# Naïve Bayes Classifiers

Doug Downey

Northwestern EECS 349 Spring 2015

# Naïve Bayes Classifiers

- Combines all ideas we've covered
  - Conditional Independence
  - Bayes' Rule
  - Statistical Estimation
- ...in a simple, yet accurate classifier
  - Classifier: Function f(x) from  $X = \{ \langle x_1, ..., x_d \rangle \}$  to Class
  - E.g., X = {<GRE, GPA, Letters>}, Class = {yes, no, wait}



# Probability => Classification (1 of 2)

#### Classification task

- Learn function  $f(\mathbf{x})$  from  $\mathbf{X} = \{\langle x_1, ..., x_d \rangle\}$  to Class
- Given: Examples  $D = \{(x, y)\}$

### Probabilistic Approach

- Learn P(Class =  $y \mid X = x$ ) from D
- Given **x**, pick the maximally probable y



# Probability => Classification (2 of 2)

map estimation is simpler than bayesian

### More formally

- $f(x) = \arg\max_{y} P(Class = y \mid X = x, \theta_{MAP})$
- $\theta_{MAP}$ : MAP parameters, learned from data
  - ▶ That is, parameters of  $P(Class = y \mid X = x)$
- ...we'll focus on using MAP estimate, but can also use ML or Bayesian
- Predict next coin flip? Instance of this problem
  - X = null
  - ▶ Given D= hhht...tht, estimate  $P(\theta \mid D)$ , find MAP
  - Predict Class = heads iff  $\theta_{MAP} > \frac{1}{2}$



## Example: Text Classification

#### Dear Sir/Madam,

We are pleased to inform you of the result of the Lottery Winners International programs held on the 30/8/2004. Your e-mail address attached to ticket number: EL-23133 with serial Number: EL-123542, batch number: 8/163/EL-35, lottery Ref number: EL-9318 and drew lucky numbers 7-1-8-36-4-22 which consequently won in the 1st category, you have therefore been approved for a lump sum pay out of US\$1,500,000.00 (One Million, Five Hundred Thousand United States dollars)



SPAM

**NOT SPAM?** 

not a boolean or anything, so how do we turn it into a vector of attributes?



### Representation

- X = document
- Task: Estimate P(Class = {spam, non-spam} | X)
- Question: how to represent X?
  - Lots of possibilities, common choice: "bag of words"

Madam, leased to inform you of the result of the Lottery Winners	I
nal programs held on the 30/8/2004. Your e-mail address to ticket number: EL-23133 with serial Number: EL-	10
atch number: 8/163/EL-35, lottery Ref number: EL-9318 lucky numbers 7-1-8-36-4-22 which consequently won in	7
tegory, you have therefore been approved for a lump sum f US\$1,500,000.00 (One Million, Five Hundred Thousand With	38
ates dollars)	
ates dollars)	



## Bag of Words

- ▶ Ignores Word Order, i.e.
  - No emphasis on title
  - No compositional meaning ("Cold War" -> "cold" and "war")
  - Etc.
  - But, massively reduces dimensionality/complexity
- Still and all...
  - Presence or absence of a 100,000-word vocab => 2^100,000 distinct vectors



## Naïve Bayes Classifiers

- ▶  $P(Class \mid X)$  for  $|Val(X)| = 2^100,000$  requires  $2^100,000$  parameters
  - Problematic.
- Bayes' Rule:  $P(Class \mid X) = P(X \mid Class) P(Class) / P(X)$
- Assume presence of word *i* is independent of all other words given *Class*:
  - $P(Class \mid \mathbf{X}) = \prod_{i} P(\mathbf{X}_{i} \mid Class) P(Class) / P(\mathbf{X})$
- ▶ Now only 200,001 parameters for P(Class | X)





# Naïve Bayes Assumption

- ▶ Features are conditionally independent given class
  - Not P("Republican", "Democrat") = P("Republican")P("Democrat") but instead
    P("Republican", "Democrat" | Class = Politics) =
    P("Republican" | Class = Politics)P("Democrat" | Class = Politics)
- Still, an absurd assumption
  - ("Lottery" ⊥ "Winner" | SPAM)? ("lunch" ⊥ "noon" | Not SPAM)?
- But: offers massive tractability advantages and works quite well in practice
  - Lesson: Unrealistically strong independence assumptions sometimes allow you to build an accurate model where you otherwise couldn't



# Getting the parameters from data

- Parameters  $\theta = \langle \theta_{ij} = P(w_i | Class = j) \rangle$
- Maximum Likelihood: Estimate  $P(w_i | Class = j)$  from D by counting
  - Fraction of documents in class j containing word i
  - But if word i never occurs in class j?
- Commonly used MAP estimate:
  - (# docs in class j with word i) + I (# docs in class j) + 2

if we have some words that never occur in training, but if any one of those words occurs in test, prob of spam is 0 b/c of maximum likelihood estimator



### Caveats

- ▶ Naïve Bayes effective as a classifier
- ▶ **Not** as effective in producing probability estimates
  - $ightharpoonup \Pi_i P(w_i \mid Class)$  pushes estimates toward 0 or 1
- In practice, numerical underflow is typical at classification time
  - Compare sum of logs instead of product

in the early days of spam, a thing happened:
spam detectors came out (may on naive bayes), spammers found out how it worked,
first step spammers changed their messages to use less common words like v1a instead of viagra,
but that made it easier to detect



# Reading

- ▶ Elements of Statistical Learning, Ch 7:
  - http://statweb.stanford.edu/~tibs/ElemStatLearn/

other slides (unbalanced data) acc(ZeroR) = 95%

