Applied Machine Learning

Saul Pierotti

May 16, 2020

Introduction

- Professor is a physicist in high-energy physics
- We will not go so much into theory
- The exam will be a ML project
- ML is the capacity of a computer to do a task without being explicitly programmed
- AI contains ML, which contains DL (deep learning)
 - ML started in 1980, DL in 2010
- Strong AI is really far
- ML can learn faster and with lower latency than humans
- It is useful for tasks that humans cannot or don't want to do
- Why today? Data avalilable and Cloud computing
- ML can be supervised, unsupervised and reinforcement learning
- Supervised: I know some real solutions
 - It is a regression or classification problem
 - Regression: continuous
 - Classification: discrete
- Unsupervised: no label on the data
 - I use clustering algos
 - I want to find some structure in the data
 - I can get groups, but I don't know the meaning of these groups

Univariate linear regression

- I can define a cost function that measures the average distance of the real outcomes from my regression
- I want to choose the parameters θ s that minimize the cost function $J(\theta_1, \theta_2, ..., \theta_n)$
 - In a linear regression the cost function has 2 parameters (!)
 - * Intercept and angular coefficient
- To minimise a function I can use a gradient descent algo
 - It is an iterative process
 - For now, only local minima, no global
 - It uses an aggressivness factor α , which is how big every step is
 - * If too small it is too slow
 - * If it is too large I can miss a minimum
 - * α is referred to as an hyperparameter
 - · It refers to the learning, not to the problem
 - When updating θ s, all of them must be updated simultaneously
- The minimization algo can be analytical or iterative
 - An analytical solution to univariate linear regression exists
 - In ML the analytical version does not scale well
 - GD is the iterative approach

- The iterative update of θ is done by subtracting to its previous value α times the partial derivative of the cost function with respect to θ
 - If the derivative is positive θ decreases, if negative increases, if 0 doesn't change
 - The magnitude of the change is proportional to the derivative at that point (!)
- In a linear regression the cost function is always a convex quadratic: the only minimum is the global minimum (!)
- Batch GD: start from any point and apply GD until I get to a minimum
 - It is batch since at every iteration I evaluate the cost function for the whole batch of datapoints

Multivariate linear regression

- The real world is multivariate (!)
 - Nonetheless, unuvariate is useful for understanding concepts
- I have one θ for each x, plus θ_0
 - $-\theta_0$ is a bit unconfortable, since it is different from the others (no x associated!)
 - To make things easier, I introduce $x_0 = 1$ that multiplies θ_0
 - This means that I have n+1 dimentional vectors if n is the number of independent variables
 - In this way, I have a vector of xs and a vector of θ s
 - I can represent the whole multivariate function as a product of the x vector with the traspose of the θ vector
 - $-h_{\theta}(x) = \theta_0 x_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 + \dots + \theta_n x_n = \boldsymbol{\theta}^T \boldsymbol{x}$
- The different variables can have different magnitudes, and I want to account for this
 - To correct, I will do feature scaling
 - I divide the data for the highest value for that variable
 - My data becomes all in the range 0-1
 - Outliers can skew my features: I remove them
 - More generally I want to be in the -1/+1 range since x_0 is already 1
 - I need to rescale also features which are really small
- A different way can be to do mean normalisation
 - I subtract the mean and divide for the range (max-min) or stdev

Learning rate

- The selection of α is important for determining if the GD converges, and if it does how much does it take
- How do I determine if the GD has converged?
 - I can decide a threshold decrese, i.e. if J decreses of less than 10^{-3} in one iteration I stop
- If I see a strange behaviour (divergence, bouncing around) the first thing to try is to decrease α
- But what values for α ?
 - First try in factor 10 steps: 0.0001, 0.001, 0.01, 1, 10, ...
 - Then go to a factor 3

Polynomial regression

- It is the simplest non-linear model but it can fit really complicated behaviours
- I can create features: instead of using x, why not e^x ?
 - I can make linear dependencies which are not linear
- I can reduce any polynomial regression to a linear by adding new features (!)
 - I can use x and x^2 instead of only x

Classification

- Classification problems can be binary or multiclass
- Linear regression is not good for pure classification problems
 - My problem is in nature not linear
 - I want an output in the range 0-1, not a continuous one
- Logistic regression: a classification algorithm
 - It is a sigmoid or logistic function that outputs in the 0-1 range
 - It is a function of the regression function itself $\theta^T x$
 - $* h_{\theta}(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\theta^T x}}$
 - I can interpret it as a probability of belonging to class y=1, given the measurement x and the parametrization θ
 - * $h_{\theta}(x) = p(y = 1 | x, \theta)$
 - In general the logistic function takes any range of values, e.g. outputs of a function, and reports it in the range 0-1
 - The output is the probability of the input belonging to class 1, and the probability of belonging to 0 is its complementary
- I am defining with the logistic a decision bundary that discriminates 1 and 0 outputs
- The decision boundary is not decided by the data, but by our hypothesis
 - It is a product of the model we use
- The decision boundary is not necessarily linear
 - By using higher order polinomials I can have circles and more complex boundaries
- The cost fucntion for the logistic regression cannot be the argument of the logistic
 - If we apply GD on the initial function that is plugged into the logistic, there is no guarantee of convergence
 - This cost function is not convex (!)
- We can define this cost function for a single element y

$$-\cos t(h_{\theta}(x), y) = \begin{cases} -\log\left(h_{\theta}(x)\right) & y = 1\\ -\log\left(1 - h_{\theta}(x)\right) & y = 0 \end{cases}$$

- - $-\cos(h_{\theta}(x), y) = -y \log(h_{\theta}(x)) (1-y) \log(1-h_{\theta}(x))$
- The total cost function J is then
- The GD algorithm for classification is identical to that for linear regression
 - The only difference is the hitself, so our hypothesis
 - The process for optimizing the descent is the same

Alternatives to GD

- GD is not the only possibility, there is also conjugate gradients and other approaches
- Other approaches are more opaque, there are libraries that provide them but they are difficult to understand

Multiclass classification

- One-vs-all approach: I decompose the problem in several binary classifications
 - I assign a class to 1 and all the other datapoints to 0
 - I determine the decision boundary
 - I repeat with the second class and so on
 - Now we know the probability that a datapoint belongs to each of the classes
 - Our prediction is the class that gives me the highest probability

Overfitting

- I have overfitting when my model does not generalize
- How to reduce overfitting
 - Reduce the number of features
 - * This is risky since I can loose useful information
 - Tune down the weight of features
- I don't need to specify how small a feature should be (!)
- I can modify my cost function so that the cost for a feature is really high, and thus gets tuned down by the GD
 - I can add the square of the parameters that I want to tune down to the cost function
 - I add the square because the parameters can be negative (!)
 - In this way I penalise when they get too big
 - This term is added to the sum of squared distances of the previous cost function
- I get a cost function that is a tradeoff between fitting and avoidance of overfitting
- I can do this by introducing the regularization hyperparameter λ
 - It is a multiplier to the sum of squares of all the parameters
 - * I am actually excluding θ_0 from this
 - It penalizes the cost function when parameters get too large
- By tuning λ I can modify the behaviour of my model
 - When λ is really large I go towards underfitting
 - When it is too small I have overfitting

Improving performance

- Do not over-optimize the model: if needed try to increase the amount of data
 - Not always easy!
- Another possibility: tune down or remove features!
- Maybe your dataset is not descriptive enough: more features!
- In general, you need experience and gut feeling

Training and testing

- Typically I split in 70/30 or 80/20
- The training set should be larger than the test set
- If there is structure in your data shuffle them!
- When checking performance in the testing set, not always I use the same cost function used in the training
 - For logistic regression I can just count the number of correct predictions
- I can train my model and test it n times, and then chose the one that performs better in the test set
 - In this case I am actually using the test set to choose the best model, so I cannot use it for testing performance!
 - I can 2 a 3-partition: I set aside the test set at the beginning and I do cross validation in the remaining part
 - * I choose the best model and then test it on the test set that I set aside
 - * A typical split is 60/20/20

Variance and bias

- When my hypothesys is too simple I an doing underfitting, I am in anigh-bias case
- When my hypothests is too complex I and oing overfitting, I am in an high-variance case
- For evaluating bias and variance it is usefull to look at the train error and cross-validation (cv) error

- The train error tends to decrease indefinitely and approach 0 when the degree of the polynomial model increases
- The cv error initially decreases by adding higher polynomial features, but then reaches a minimum and it increases again
- If both train and cv error ar high I am in an high-bias scenario
- If cv error is high and train error low, I am in an high-variance scenario

Automatic regularization

- Choosing a large λ cause high bias, a small λ high variance
- I can automatically select λ by doing model selection
 - I create not 1 but a set of models with a range of λ s
 - I test the error on the cv set for each model
 - I choose the λ that minimises J_{cv}

Learning curves

- A learning curve is a plot of J_{train} and J_{cv} as a function of the training set size m
 - I fist train with 1 sample, then with 2, and so on
- J_{train} is small when the set is small and then increases and reaches a plateau when $m \to \infty$
- J_{cv} is high when the set is small and then decreases and reaches a plateau when $m \to \infty$
- The larger m, the closer J_{train} and J_{cv} are
- If J_{train} and J_{cv} are similar I can be in high bias
 - In this case increasing the sample size is not likely to help
- If J_{train} is low but J_{cv} is high (few training samples) I can be in high bias
 - In this case increasing the sample size will help

Classification metrics

- In a classification problem I can define a confusion matrix
 - It has the predicted classes as rows and the actual classes as columns
 - In a 2-class positive/negative scenario $cm = \begin{bmatrix} tp & fp \\ fn & tn \end{bmatrix}$
- Accuracy: general acceptable as a metric but it fails with skewed classes $-ACC = \frac{\text{\# of correct predictions}}{\text{\# of samples}}$
- Precision:

Infos for the exam

- For the basic part only scikit learn can be used
- For the advanced part Keras and Tensorflow
- If I want to do a project for both I should use both
- The project is end to end: data and goal
- Option 1: a proposal (1 page or an email)
- Option 2: data and problem given by him
 - There are easy and difficult problems (but they are all quite easy)
- Problems in python with code and documentation, possibly on github
 - It can also be a notebook with both
- It should be reproducible
- You can copy but be clever!