# Algorithms and Data Structures

### Saul Pierotti

### April 6, 2020

### Introduction

- Exam will be written, but you can do oral if you want
  - After lectures, around June 25
- An algorithm is a finite series of steps that solves a problem
  - In CS, an algorithm is a well defined computational procedure
- For a sorting algorithm, the input is a series of numbers and the output is an ordered series of numbers
- Algorithms are for humans, while a program is for a computer
- Algorithms are written in pseudocode, which follow specific conventions
- A problem can be solved by many algorithms
- An algorithm can be implemented in many different programs
- Properties of algorithms
  - Input for an algorithm can have 0 or more inputs
  - It always as 1 or more outputs
  - It should be clearly defined and unanbiguous
  - It should terminate after a finite number of steps
  - All operations must be basic
    - \* They can be solved exactly and in finite time
- The correctness of an algorithm is difficult to prove
  - I would need to try all possible inputs (!)
  - Published algorithms have a mathematical proof
- Incorrect algorithms can produce a wrong output or not produce any for some instances
  - In some cases they are still useful, if I can control their error rate
- Efficiency is related to the ability of an algorithm to be executed with available resources
- Resources are time and memory
- Time is measured in running time, not CPU time
  - CPU time is dependent on CPU (!)
  - CPU time is number of instruction divided by number of istructions per unit time
  - Running time is the number of primitive operations to be performed in proportion to the input size
- The algorithm influences time much more than hardware, we do not focus on hardware (!)
- Running time of  $n^2$  is unacceptable for large inputs
- Using the right data structure is important for efficiency
- Decision trees are essential in CS
- An instace of a problem is a specific input for that problem
- In a while and for loop, the test is always executed once more than the body

# Math backgroud

- Finite sums
- $-\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n$  Infinite sums

$$-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}a_k=a_1+a_2+\dots$$
 • Sums are linear

- - $-\sum_{k=1}^{n} (ca_k + b_k) = c\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k + \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k$
- The arithmetic series

$$-\sum_{k=1}^n k=1+2+\ldots+n=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$
 • The quadratic arithmentic series

$$-\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^2 = 1 + 4 + \dots + n^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}$$

• The cubic arithmetic series

$$-\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^3 = 1 + 8 + \dots + n^3 = \frac{n^2(n+1)^2}{4}$$

• The geometric series

the geometric series
$$-\sum_{k=1}^{n} x^k = 1 + x + x^2 \dots + x^n = \frac{x^{n+1}-1}{x-1}$$

$$- \text{ When x is less than 1}$$

$$*\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^k = \frac{1}{1-x}$$

$$*\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kx^k = \frac{x}{(1-x)^2}$$

\* 
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^k = \frac{1}{1-x}$$
  
\*  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kx^k = \frac{x}{(1-x)}$ 

- Other formulas
  - $-\sum_{k=1}^{n} \log k \approx n \log n$
- $-\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{p} \approx \frac{n^{p+1}}{p+1}$  A set is a non-ordered and non repetitive collection of elements
- Sets can be infinite
- It is described as  $S = \dots$
- 2 sets are equal if they contain the same elements
- The cardinality of a set |S| is the number of elements it contains
- If A contains all the elements contained in B and also other elements, then B is a proper subset of A
- The power set P(S) is the set of all subset of S, including the empty set and S itself  $- |P(S)| = 2^{|S|}$
- The cartesian product of 2 sets is a set containing all possible pairs of elements
- The cartesian product of n sets is a set of n-tuples
- A tree has only one way to go from one node to the other
- A graph can have cycles
- A forest is made of many trees
- Any non-empty tree with n nodes has n-1 edges
  - If this is not true, we don't have a tree
- A tree is rooted if one of its nodes is distinguished as root
  - It can be defined recursively such that every non-root node of a rooted tree is itself the root of a subtree
- Tree terminology is similar to that of ancestry trees
- The depth of a node is its distance from the root (number of edges)
- The height of a node is the length of the longest path to a leaf
- A binary tree is an order tree with 2 subtrees wich are themselves binary

## Pseudocode

- We start counters from 1 since it is easier to understand
- Bold words are reserved words like **return**
- Variables are always local to the current procedure
- We can have loops like while and for

for i=0 to/downto i=4 (by 3) <statement>

- We have if statements
- if <condition>

<statement>

- Comments are rendered with //
- No colon/semicolon at the end of lines
- Use of indented blocks
- Differentiate conditional expressions and assignments (!)
- A slice of an array is indicated as A[3..5]
- Attributes of objects are indicated as object.attribute
  - The length of an array can be indicated as A.length

## Sorting

- Sorting is an intermediate step in many tasks in CS
- There are many sorting algorithms

### Insertion sort

- It is like arranging card in order in your hand by picking one at a time
- I take 1 unsorted object at a time and I insert it in the correct position in the sorted array
  - I compare with all the objects in the sorted array, until I find the right position
- I start from the first element of the array and I don't do anything
- I take the second element, and if it is smaller than the first I swap them
- I take the third, and if it is smaller than the second I compare it with the first and I swap in the right position
- I continue like this for all the elements

#### Pseudocode

```
INSERTION-SORT(A)
  for j = 2 to A.lenght
    key = A[j]
    i = j-1
    while i > 0 and A[i] > key
        A[i+1] = A[i]
    A[i+1] = key
```

#### Running time

- Nearly sorted numbers can be sorted much fatser with insertion sort
- The input size is the length of the array
  - n = A.lenght
- The initial **for** test is executed n times
  - It is n, not n-1 because even when it is false we still have to check ones (!)
  - The body of the **for** is executed n-1 times
- The assignemt of key is therefore executed n-1 times
- The while test is executed  $\sum_{j=2}^{n} t_j$  times
  - The body of the **while** is executed  $\sum_{j=2}^{n} t_j 1$
  - There are 2 assignments on the while body
- The final assignment after the **while** inside the **for** is executed n-1 times

#### Best case

- The array is already sorted
- I never enter the while, but I do completely the for
- This means that  $t_i$  is 1, I only do the test
- The time is linear

#### Worst case

- The array is in reverse sorted order
- The time is quadratic

#### Average case

• It is really difficult to do, we prefer to focus on the worst case

#### **Evaluation**

- For almost sorted sequences its running time is almost linear
- Can be online
  - It can sort sequences as they arrive
- In the worst and average cases it is quadratic
  - Quadratic is really bad (!)

## Merge sort

- It is a divide and conquer algorithm
  - Divide a problem in subproblems of smaller size
  - Solve the subproblems recursivley (conquer)
  - Combine the solution to solve the original problem
  - When subproblems are too big to be solved directly we are in the recursive case
  - When subproblems can be solved directly we are in the base case
- The complicated part is the merging process
- It runs always as n\*log(n), there is not worst or best case
- It requires a lot of memory to store all the sub-arrays
- It is worse than insertion sort in the best case, but better in most cases
- It cannot work online (!)

#### Idea

- I want to sort the array A
- I split the array using the indeces p,q,r such that  $p \le q < r$
- I want to produce a single sorted subarray
- I call initially on A with p=1 and r=A.lenght
- The index q is the one that best splits the array in 2
- For merging I always have sorted arrays to merge
  - The first element of each array is guaranteed to be the smallest one of the entire array
  - I compare the first element of the 2 arrays to be merged, and I put the smallest in the output array
  - I repeat until one of the arrays is empty
  - I finsih by putting what remains of the other array in the output
  - I put an immaginary infinite at the end of any array
    - \* This is so that when I finish the elements of an array, whatever remains in the other is smaller and so it is inserted in the output

#### Pseudocode

```
MERGESORT(A,p,r)
    if p < r
        q = (p+r)/2
        MERGESORT(A,p,q)
        MERGESORT(A,q+1,r)
        MERGE(A,p,q,r)

MERGE(A,p,q,r)
    n1 = q - p + 1
    n2 = r - q</pre>
```

```
for i = 1 to n1
   L[i] = A[p+i-1]
for j = 1 to n2
   R[i] = A[q+j]
L[n1+1] = \infty
R[n2+1] = \infty
i = 1
j = 1
for k = p to r
   if L[i] <= R[j]
        A[k] = L[i]
        i += 1
   else A[k] = R[j]
        j += 1</pre>
```

MERGESORT(A,1,A.lenght)

#### Running time

- MERGE
  - Copying the elements into the subarrays takes  $\Theta(n)$
  - Adding elements to the final array takes n iterations of that themselves take constant time  $* \Theta(n)$
  - In total, the merging takes  $\Theta(n)$
- MERGE-SORT
  - Let T(n) be the unknown running time of MERGE-SORT
  - Calculating q:  $\Theta(1)$
  - Solve recursively 2 subproblems of size n/2: 2T(n/2)
  - Call to MERGE:  $\Theta(n)$

- So, 
$$T(n) = \begin{cases} \Theta(1) & \text{if } n = 1\\ 2T(n/2) + \Theta(1) + \Theta(n) & \text{if } n > 1 \end{cases}$$

- The recursive equation can be solved and we find that  $T(n) = \Theta(n \log n)$ 

# Limiting behaviour of functions

- There are different notations to define the behaviour of functions
- The  $\Theta$  (theta) notation signifies asymptotic equality
  - Formally, for a function f(n) having a certain  $\Theta$  notation there are 2 constant that multiplied for the  $\Theta$  function are constantly greater or smaller than f(n) for  $n > n_0$ 
    - \* This is defined as a tight bound
  - If I say that  $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$  I mean that f(n) belongs to the family of functions with order of growth g(n)
- The O (big-O) notation indicates an upper bound for the asymptotic behaviour
  - The formal definiton is similar to that of  $\Theta$ , but instead of a tight bound I only search for an upper bound
    - \* I only want a constant, not 2 (!)
- The  $\Omega$  (big-Omega) notation indicates a lower bound for the function
- An important theorem:  $f(n) = \Theta(g(n)) \iff f(n) = O(g(n)) \land f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$
- Factorials are faster than exponentials, but slower than  $n^n$  (!)

## Recurrence equations

- A recurrence equation describes a function in terms of its value on a smaller input
- An example: analysis of a divide and conquer algorithm
  - -T(n) is the running time of the algorithm on input n
  - Dividing takes  $D(n) = \Theta(1)$  time
  - Conquer takes the same T on a smaller input, so aT(n/b)
    - \* I need to solve a subproblems in with an input size reduced of a factor b
  - Combining the solutions takes  $C(n) = \Theta(n)$  time
  - So we have that  $T(n) = \begin{cases} c & n = 1\\ 2T(n/2) + c + cn & n > 1 \end{cases}$
- Solving recurrence equations: the iteration method
  - If I have  $T(n) = T(n/2) + c \implies T(n/2) = T(n/4) + c$  and so on
  - This implies that T(n) = c + c + T(n/4)
  - If I continue this until I get to the base case T(1)
  - I can write therefore  $T(n) = c * k + T(n/2^k)$
  - The base case will be when  $n = 2^k$  and therefore  $k = \log n$
  - So I get that  $T(n) = c * \log n + T(n/2^{\log n}) = c * \log n + T(1)$
  - This means that  $T(n) = \Theta(\log n)$
- Solving recurrence equations: the recursion tree method
  - I convert the equation into a tree and sum up the cost of each node
  - Let's try with  $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + n^2$
  - The root has a cost  $n^2$  and 2 children of cost T(n/2) each
  - I continue to expand until the base case
  - This gives us a  $O(n^2)$ , since I cannot determine a tight bound

# Heapsort

- Normally, the numbers to be sorted are a key that is paired to other data, forming a record
  - A record is composed of a key and satellite data
- When I want to sort, are all keys unique?
- Its running time is  $\Theta n \log n$  and it sorts in place like insertion-sort
- It is based on a data structure called heap
  - An heap is a nearly complete binary tree
    - \* All nodes are binary except for possibly the last level
    - \* If the last level is not full, it is filled from left to right
  - It follows the heap property: the value of a parent must be greter that that of a children
    - \* This is a max heap, there are also min heaps where the property is opposite
  - The size of an heap is the number of nodes
- We can represent an heap with an array
  - The first element is the root
  - The children of the root are the second and third element
  - The fourth and fifth element are the children of the second, and so on
  - The children of node A[i] are nodes A[2i] and A[2i+1]
  - The parent of A[i] is A[|i/2|]
  - The maximum element is always the root
- We can define 3 basic functions that return the index of the left child, right child and parent of element with index i in an heap

LEFT(i)

return 2i

RIGHT(i)

```
return 2i+1
PARENT(i)
    return floor(i/2)
   • How to maintain the max heap property (MAX-HEAPIFY)
       - I recursively explore the tree
       - If I find a parent smaller than its child, I swap them and continue
       - I assume that there is only one violation
       - The running time is O(log n), or linear to heap size (O(h))
MAX-HEAPIFY(A,i)
    1, r = LEFT(i), RIGHT(i)
    if 1 <= A.heap-size and A[1]>A[i]
        largest = 1
    else largest = i
    if r <= A.heap-size and A[r]>A[i]
         largest = r
    else largest = i
    if largest != i
         exchange A[i] and A[largest]
         MAX-HEAPIFY(A, largest)
   • Now we start from a random array and we want to make it a max heap
       - Note that A[(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1)...n] are leaves
BUIL-MAX-HEAP(A)
    A.heap-size = A.lenght
    for i = floor(A.lenght/2) downto 1
        MAX-HEAPIFY(A,i)
   • This operations has an loose upper boundf of O(n log n)
        - I do n/2 times a O(log n) operation
   • However, the argument to MAX-HEAPIFY is almost never n (!)
       - In the first step it is 1, then 2 and so on
   • The worst case running time of MAX-HEAPIFY is O(log i) where i is the value of the for loop in
     BUILD-MAX-HEAP
       - We obtain O(n)
   • The next step is to actually sort the array
       - We swap the root with the last element and decrease the heap-size by 1
       - We call MAX-HEAPIFY on the root to rebuil the max-heap property
       - We repeat until the heap-size is 1
       - The array is sorted (!)
HEAPSORT(A)
```

- - BUILD-MAX-HEAP(A) for i = A.lenght down to 1 exchange A[1] with A[-1] A.heap-size = A.heap-size - 1 MAX-HEAPIFY(A,1)
  - The total running time is  $O(n \log n)$
  - Compared to mergesort, which has a  $\Theta(nlogn)$ , here we have an O bound
    - The worst case is like mergesort, but it can be faster (!)

## Priority queues

- A priority queue is a data structure for maintaining a set S of element each with a priority value called key
- There are max and min priority queues
- A max priority queue supports the following operations
  - Return the element with largest key
  - Remove the element with largest key and return it
  - Increase the key of an element
  - Insert a new element
- Heaps are really useful for implementing priority queues
- Getting the largest element takes constant time

```
HEAP-MAXIMUM(A)
    return A[1]
```

• Extracting the largest element and re-building the heap takes  $O(\log n)$  since it is essentially a single call to MAX-HEAPIFY plus a constant amount of work

```
HEAP-EXTRACT-MAX(A)
  if A.heapsize < 1
     error "heap uderflow"
  max = A[1]
  A[1] = A[A.heapsize]
  A.heapsize = A-heapsize - 1
  MAX-HEAPIFY(A,1)
  return max</pre>
```

• Increseing the value of a key is O(log n) since the maximum possible number of place exchanges is equal to the height of the heap, log n

```
HEAP-INCREASE-KEY(A, i, key)
  if key < A[i]
    error "new key smaller than current key"
  A[i] = key
  while i > 1 and A[PARENT(i)] < A[i]
    exchange A[i] with A[PARENT(i)]
  i = PARENT(i)</pre>
```

- Inserting a new element into the heap is equivalent to increasing the key of an alredy existing one
  - I can insert an element with key infinitely small and update it to the real value
  - It uses HEAP-INCREASE-KEY so its running time is O(log n)

```
MAX-HEAP-INSERT(A, key)
   A-heapsize = A.heapsize + 1
   A[A.heapsize] = - infinity
   HEAP-INCREASE-KEY(A, A.heapsize, key)
```

# Quicksort

- It is a divide and conquer algorithm
- The conquer and combine parts are really easy, but divide requires effort
  - This is sharply different from MERGESORT, where combine is the most demanding part
- Divide: we want to find indexes p, q, r such that the elements  $A[p...q-1] \le A[q] \le A[q+1...r]$  q is determined by the PARTITION function
- Partioning: I want to create 4 zones with indeces p, i, j, r such that  $A[p...i] \leq A[r] < A[i+1...j]$ 
  - A[r]=x is called pivot element and it can be choosen freely

- Usually the implementation places x at the end of the array
- The region A[j+1...r-1] is unrestricted
- The value returned by the partitioning step will be the q of the divide step
- i is initialized to p-1 so that there are no elements between them
- In the for loop j is always mooving
  - \* If the new element is bigger than x, nothing happens
  - \* If it is smaller, I increse i of 1 and place it in the new i position
  - \* What was previously in the i position is necessarily bigger than x, since it was in the j region, and so I place it as element j
  - \* After the end of the for I have a series of elements smaller than x, a series of elements bigger, and x itself at the end
  - \* I exchange x with the element i+1, which is necessarily bigger than it
  - \* Now x is what splits the array in a smaller and a bigger subarray, and so I return its index (i+1 now) which will be assigned to q
- The base case is when the subarray has 3, 2, or 1 elements
  - In this case the PARTITION function puts them in order
- Conquer: the 2 subarrays A[p:q-1] and A[q+1:r] are sorted recursively with QUICKSORT
- Combine: trivial, everithing is sorted because QUICKSORT sorts in place(!)

```
QUICKSORT(A, p, r)
    if p < r
        q = PARTITION(A, p, r)
        QUICKSORT(A, p, q-1)
        QUICKSORT(A, q+1, r)

PARTITION(A, p, r)
    x = A[r]
    i = p - 1
    for j = p to r - 1
        if A[j] <= x
             i = i + 1
             exchange A[i] and A[j]
    exchange A[i+1] and A[r]
    return i + 1</pre>
```

## QUICKSORT(A, 1, A.lenght)

- The running time depends on wether the array is balanced or not
  - This in turn depends on the choice of the pivot element x
  - The choice of the pivot influences the running time (!)
  - The array is balanced if the pivot cause a constant proportional split
  - If the array is balanced we are in the best case
- The worst case running time is  $\Theta(n^2)$  (unbalanced array) and the average and best case running times are  $\Theta(n \log n)$ 
  - In practice we are almost always in the best case or close to it (!)
- The array is maximally unbalanced when it is already sorted (!)
  - In this case I have a subarray with 0 elements and one with n-1 elements
  - I do n-1 recursions, sicne at each step I decrease n by 1 and I stop when I get to 1
  - I pay a cost T(0) for the branch with 0 elements and a cost T(n-1) for the branch with n-1 elements
  - At each level of the tree I pay a fixed cost  $\Theta(n)$  for the partitioning
  - $-T(n) = T(n-1) + T(0) + \Theta(n)$
  - I have n calls of  $\Theta(n)$  complexity: the cost is  $\Theta(n^2)$
- The array is perfectly balanced when I get a constant proportional split
  - Doesn't matter the proportionality, it can also be a 1/100 to 99/100 split, as long as it is not 0 to  $\rm n\text{-}1$

- In this case  $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + \Theta(n)$
- T(n) is of complexity  $\Theta(n \log n)$
- In a random array I expect a mix of good and bad splits
  - This only adds a constant term to the complexity
  - In this case I still have a complexity of  $\Theta(n \log n)$
- To assure that no particular input (i.e. a sorted array) cause the worst-case scenario of quicksort, I can use the randomized version of the algorithm
  - I can either randomize the input or the choice of the pivot

## Sone reflections on sorting

- All the algorithms we saw sort in place with the exception of MERGESORT
- A sort can be stable or not
  - Sorting stability is the preserving of the orders of records with equal keys
  - This is important for sorting keys with satellite data, to not mix up the satellite data order
    - \* If I order column A and then column B, I see that column A is not ordered anymore even for entries with the same keys on column B
  - Insertion sort and mergesort are stable, heapsort and quicksort are not stable
- All the algorithms we saw sort by comparing elements
- The lower bound for comparison-based sorting is  $\Omega(n \log n)$  because in the worst case I need to do at least n log n comparisons
  - Any comparison sort alogrithm must be able to sort any possible input of size n
  - There are n! possible permutations of an array of size n, and the algorithm must be able to solve all of them
  - Every permutation requires a different rearrangement of the array in order to be sorted
  - I can imagine a decision tree with n! leaves, corresponding to all the permutions
  - The height of the decision tree represent the number of single comparisons that the algorithm has to do in the worst case
  - A tree of heigh h has  $2^h$  leaves, and so a tree with n! leaves has heigh  $\log n!$
  - We saw before that  $\Theta(n!) = \Theta(n \log n)$
- Heapsort and Mergesort are asymptotically optimal comparison sort algorithms

# Counting sort

- It can sort in linear time since it is not based on comparison
- It assumes that the input array contains only integers in the range 0 k
- For each element x into the array, determine how many elements are equal or smaller than x
- Put x in the correct position in the array
- It uses an input array A, an output array B and a counter array C
- I first create an array C of length k containing all 0s
- I go through all the elements in A
  - In each iteration i use A[j] as an index in C, and I increment that position of 1
  - In this way element C[i] contains the number of occurrences of the value i in the array A
- I go through C and I add, starting from the beginning, the value of C[i-1] to C[i]
  - In this way element C[i] contains the number of elements in A that are smaller or equal to i
  - I am converting a counter for i in a cumulative counter
- Finally, I iterate j from the A.lenght downto 1
  - I put in the output array B the element A[j] in the position that is stored in C[A[j]]
    - \* If there are i elements in A smaller than A[j], then A[j] is put in B[i]
  - I decrease the respective counter in C of 1, so that if I find another element in A with the same key it is put in the previous position of B

COUNTING-SORT(A, B, k)

```
let C[0...k] be a new array
for i = 0 to k
    C[i] = 0
for j = 1 to A.length
    C[A[j]] = C[A[j]] + 1
for i = i to k
    C[i] = C[i] + C[i-1]
for j = A.length downto 1
    B[C[A[j]]] = A[j]
    C[A[j]] = C[A[j]] - 1
```

- The first and third for loops require both time  $\Theta(k)$
- The second and last for loops require both  $\Theta(n)$
- The total running time is  $\Theta(n+k)$
- The running time is at minimum  $\Omega(n)$ , and O(n+k)
  - If k = O(n), then COUNTING-SORT runs in  $\Theta(n)$
  - Since I use COUNTING-SORT only when k = O(n), in practice the algorithm runs in  $\Theta(n)$
- COUNTING-SORT is stable
  - The last equal key element of A is the first to be put in B
  - The last for loop runs backwards, so the first element is put in the last position
  - Order is preserved
  - This is important because COUNTING-SORT is frequently used as a subroutine of RADIX-SORT
     \* RADIX-SORT requires a stable sorting subroutine

### Radix sort

- It consideres the key as a number in base k, which has d digit and so occupies d columns
- It looks at 1 column at a time, starting from the LEAST significant and sorting it with any stable algorithm
  - If I start from the most significant, I need to recurse for sorting the least significant digits
  - If I start from the least significant and I use a stable sort, when I sort the most significant column everithing is correctly sorted
- It requires only a for loop with i from 1 to d
  - In each iteration it calls a stable sorting algorithm on column i
- It takes only d passes on the array

```
RADIX-SORT(A, d)
  for i = 1 to d
     use a stable sort to sort A on digit i
```

- RADIX-SORT takes  $\Theta(d * f(n))$  time, where f(n) is the running time of the subroutine used
- If I use COUNTIG-SORT as a subroutine, it take  $\Theta(d(n+k))$
- In decimal notation k=9 since a column can only hold digits in the range 0 9
- Therefore when the base used is smaller than n, RADIX-SORT has complexity  $\Theta(n)$
- RADIX-SORT is not stable and does not sort in place
  - If memory is a problem then QUICKSORT is better

## Dynamic sets

- In CS, differently from maths, sets can change
- A set supporting elementary operations is a dictionary
  - Elementary set operations are insertion, deletion and test membership
- Each set elements has key, and optional features
  - It can have satellite data

- It can have a pointer, which points to another element in the set
- Set operations can be queries or modifying operations
  - A query always returns a pointer to an element in the set
  - A modifying operation modifies the set
    - \* INSERT(S,x) and DELETE(S,x)
- Dynamic sets can be represented with different data structures: stacks

### Stack

- It is a pile of elements on top of each other
- A new element is always added to the top of the stack: PUSH(S, x)
- Elements are always removed from the top of the stack: POP(S)
- Popping order is the reverse of the push order
  - They follow the last in first out (LIFO) policy
- A stack is NOT a good data structure for sorting and it is not used for this purpose
- Some applications of stacks
  - Storing undo history in text editors
  - Synthax parsing: evaluating missing parenteses
    - \* I push open and close parenthesis to the stack and pop twice when I find matching parenthesis
    - $\ast$  At the end of the file I require the stack to be empty
- A stack of n elements can be implemented with an array S[1..n]
- The S.top call returns the index of the top of the stack
- STACK-EMPTY(S) and STACK-FULL(S) return true or false in O(1)

```
STACK-EMPTY(S)
    if S.top == 0
        return True
    else
        return False
STACK-FULL(S)
    if S.top == S.lenght
        return True
    else
        return False
  • PUSH(S,x) is also O(1)
  • If the size of the stack is not infinite I need first to check if it is full
PUSH(S,x)
    if not STACK-FULL(S)
        S.top = S-top + 1
        S[S.top] = x
    else
        error "stack is full, cannot push"
   • POP(S) returns the element we popped and removes it from the stack
POP(S)
    if not STACK-EMPTY(S)
        S.top = S.top - 1
        return S[S.top + 1]
    else:
        error "stack empty, nothing to pop"
```

## Queue

```
• In a queue I use a FIFO policy instead of a LIFO
   • I add elements to the queue with the enque operation in O(1)

    New elements are added at the end of the queue

   • Elements are removed with the dequeue operation
        - They are always removed from the top of the queue
   • Also queues can be implemented with arrays
   • Queues are circular, there is no end and beginning for the array (!)
       - For n elements I need an array of n+1 size (!)
       - This is because I need an empty element for marking the end of the queue
   • I define several attributes for the queue
       - Q.head is the index of first element of the queue
       - Q.tail is the index of the last element of the queue + 1, it is the next available position
            * It points to an empty element of the array (!)
   • Initally I have that Q.head = Q.tail = 1
   • The queue is full when Q.head = Q.tail + 1 (circular case) or when Q.head = 1 and Q.tail = Q.lenght
     (linear case)
QUEUE-EMPTY(Q)
    if Q.head == Q.tail
         return True
    else
        return False
QUEUE-FULL(Q)
    if Q.head == Q.tail + 1 or (Q.head == 1 and Q.tail = Q.lenght)
        return True
    else
        return False
ENQUEUE(Q,x)
    if QUEUE-FULL(Q)
        error "Queue is full, cannot add"
    Q[Q.tail] = x
    if Q.tail == Q.lenght
         Q.tail = 1
    else
         Q.tail = Q.tail + 1
DEQUEUE(Q)
    if QUEUE-EMPTY(Q)
        error "Queue is empty, nothing to dequeue"
    x = Q[Q.head]
    if Q.head == Q.lenght
         Q.head = 1
    else
         Q.head = Q.head + 1
    return x
```

# Arrays

- They are easy and fast to use, they can implement many data structures
- It is really inflexible for organising data

- I need (directly or nor) to specify the size of the array at the beginning
- I cannot implement all data structures with arrays

### Linked lists

- It is like an array where elements are next to each other
- The order is NOT determined by indeces, but by pointers in each element for the next element
- They are allocated dynamically when new elements are added
- L.head is a pointer to the first element of the linked list
- Each element x has 2 attributes
  - x.key is the content of the element
  - x.next is a pointer to the next element
- If x.next = NIL it means that we reached the end of the list
- If x.head = NIL the list is empty
- In double linked lists each elements has also a x.prev attribute
  - x.prev is a pointer to the previous element in the list
- Returning an element is O(1) in an array but O(n) in a linked list
  - I need to go through all the elements (!)
  - In this pseudocode if k is not in list I am returning NIL

```
SEARCH-LIST(L, k)
    x = L.head
    while x != NIL and x.key != k
        x = x.next
    return x
```

• Inserting at the beginning of a double linked list is O(1)

```
LIST-INSERT(L, x)
    x.next = L.head
    if x.head != NIL
        L.head.prev = x
    L.head = x
    x.prev = NIL
```

• Deleting when I have a pointer x is general and it takes also O(1)

```
LIST-DELETE(L, x)
   if x.prev != NIL
        x.prev.next = x.next
   else
        L.head = x.next
   if x.next != NIL
        x.next.prev = x.prev
```

### Rooted trees

- We already saw how to represent a binary rooted tree with arrays
- I can represent them also with linked lists
- Each element x of the linked list will contain
  - x.left and x.right, pointers to the childrens
  - x.p is a pointer to the parent
  - x.key and x.data are key and satellite data
- Some properties of the tree T
  - T.root is a pointer to the root

- \* T.root.p = NIL
- The tree is empty if T.root = NIL
- If x.left and x.right are NIL x doesn't have children nodes
- I am not cenessarily limited to binary trees with linked lists
  - I can have x.child1, x.child2, x.childk
  - This is wasteful since I need to know the number of children in advance (!)
- I can do it more efficiently
  - x.child is the first chilf of x
  - x.child.sibiling is the next child of x
  - If x.child.sibiling = NIL x.child is the last children
  - The children are themselves a linked list (!)

### Hash tables

- Dictionaries are really useful in many scenarios in CS
  - They implement INSERT, SEARCH and DELETE operations
  - An hash table can be used for implementing a dictionary
- An hash table is a generalization of a simple array
  - I have n elements that associated with one key each
  - The keys are drawn from the key universe U of size m
  - The key of each element is unique
- I can represent the hash table with an array T[0...m-1]
  - Each position in T is called slot and maps to a key in U
  - For each element x with key k, T[k] contains x itself or a pointer to it
  - If no elements has key k, then T[k] = NIL
- I can implement SEARCH, INSERT and DELETE with hash tables in O(1)

```
SEARCH(T,k)
    return T[k]

INSERT(T,x)
    T[x.key] = x

DELETE(T,x)
    T[x.key] = NIL
```

- In general U can be very large, so I do not really store it
  - I only store the U subset K containing the keys that I am actually yusing
- An hash function maps every input to a slot in the hash table
  - In this case I reduce U to K, which has size m
  - We will not study hash functions, but we assume them to be well designed and to output with equal probability to each slot
- It can happen that 2 elements hash to the same slot, and I define this as a collision
  - It is impossible to completely avoid collisions, since m is smaller than the univers U of possible elements
  - When I have a collision I create a linked list of the elements hashing at that location
- The size of the hash table influences the speed in operating with it
  - If it has only 1 element essentially I don't have an hash table but a linked list
  - If it is too big it requires a lot of space
  - Typically the right size is 1/5 to 1/10 of the number of elements
- I can keep the list ordered or not
  - If not ordered inserting is faster and I can implement a LIFO behaviour
- In a chained hash insert I usually insert new elements at the top of the respective linked list
  - Inserting is O(1) and searching is O(k), with k length of the list

- Deleting takes O(k) if the list is single linked, O(1) if double-linked
  - \* I assume that I have a pointer for x so I don't have to search it
  - \* If the list is single linked I need to find the predecessor of x in order to recreate the list (!)
- The load factor  $\alpha$  of an hash table is the number of elements in the table n divided by the number of slots m
  - $-\alpha = n/m$
  - This is true if I assume that every slot has the same probability to be hashed by an element
  - $-\alpha$  can be 1, bigger or smaller
- The worst case in hash table searching is an unsuccessful search
  - The time complexity is  $O(1+\alpha)$ 
    - \* O(1) is required for computing the hash function
  - I need to look through the whole table (!)
  - If I assume m to be proportional to n I have that m = O(n)
  - In this case  $\alpha = O(1)$  since O(n)/O(n) = O(1)

## Binary search trees

- It can be used both as a dictionary and as a priority queue
- On average all operations are O(log n), with a worst case O(n)
  - Tree walks are an exception and always require O(n) since they go across the whole tree
- It can be represented by a linked list with parent, left child, right child, key attributes
- They respect the binary search tree property
  - The key of all the elements in the left subtree of node x are smaller or equal to x.key
  - The key of all the elements in the right subtree of node x are bigger or equal to x.key
- In the following algorithms the initial calls are with x equal to a pointer to the root of the tree
- Inorder tree walk: print the keys in sorted order
  - For each node, I need to print first the left child, then the node itself, then the right child

```
INORDER-TREE-WALK(x)
```

```
if x is not NIL
    INORDER-TREE-WALK(x.left)
    print x.key
    INORDER-TREE-WALK(x.right)
```

• Preorder tree walk: root is printed first, then the children in order

```
PREORDER-TREE-WALK(x)
```

```
if x is not NIL
   print x.key
   INORDER-TREE-WALK(x.left)
   INORDER-TREE-WALK(x.right)
```

• Postorder tree walk: the children in order are printed first, then the root

```
POTSORDER-TREE-WALK(x)
```

```
if x != NIL
    INORDER-TREE-WALK(x.left)
    INORDER-TREE-WALK(x.right)
    print x.key
```

- Search a key x: at every level I half the search space
  - If the current node is smaller than x I go to the right child, if it is bigger I go to the left child, If it is equal I stop
  - I go recursively until I find the key or I finish the tree
- This recursive approach has complexity proportional to the height of the tree O(h)

```
TREE-SEARCH(x,k)
    if x == NIL or k == x.key
        return x
    if k < x.key
         return TREE-SEARCH(x.left,k)
    else
         return TREE-SEARCH(x.right,k)
   • I can do the same also iteratively
ITERATIVE-TREE-SEARCH(x,k)
    while x is not NIL and k is not x.key
         if k < x.key
             x = x.left
         else
             x = x.right
    return x
   • Finding the minimum key: always go left
        - The running time is O(h), so on average O(log n)
   • Finding the maximum: always go right
       - It is equivalent to finding a minimum
TREE-MINIMUM(x)
    while x.left != NIL
         x = x.left
    return x
TREE-MAXIMUM(x)
    while x.right != NIL
        x = x.right
    return x
   • If all keys are distinct, the successor of x is defined as the y such that y key is the smallest key bigger or
     equal to x

    It is the smallest element with key equal or bigger than that of x

   • If x has a right subtree, the successor of x is the minumum of x.right
   • If it has not, I need to go up the tree until I find a node that is the left child of its parent
        - The successor of x is the parent of that node
   • If x is the biggest element of the tree I return NIL
TREE-SUCCESSOR(x)
    if x.right != NIL
        return TREE-MINIMUM(x.right)
    y = x.p
    while y != NIL and x == y.right
         x = y
         y = y.p
    return y
   • The predecessor of x is the node y for which x is its successor
       - It is the node with the biggest key that is smaller than that of x
       - The pseudocode is symmetrical to that for the successor
TREE-PREDECESSOR(x)
    if x.left != NIL
        return TREE-MAXIMUM(x.right)
    y = x.p
```

```
while y != NIL and x == y.left
         x = y
         y = y.p
    return y
   • Insertion: always at the leaves (!)
        - I want to insert an element z such that z.key = v
        - I start from the root and maintain 2 pointers
            * x is the current node
            * y is the parent of x (trailing pointer)
        - If x.key is smaller than v I go to the right, otherwise I go to the left
        - When x = NIL we are at the correct position
            * If v is smaller than v.kev, then I insert z as v's left child
            * Otherwise I insert it as right child
        - The running time is O(h)
TREE-INSERT(T,z)
    y = NIL
    x = T.root
    while x != NIL
         y = x
         if z.key < x.key
              x = x.left
         else
              x = x.right
    z.p = y
    if y == NIL
         T.root = z
    elif z.key < y.key
         y.left = z
    else
         y.right = z
   • Deletion: it is complicated
        - If z has no children I just remove a pointer to it from its parent
        - If z has 1 child I set the pointer of its parent to z child instead of z itself
             * I also need to update the parent of z's child
        - If z has 2 children it is complicated
            * z's successor y is the minimum element in z's right subtree
            * y cannot have a left child since there cannot be elements smaller than y in that subtree
            * I delete y from the tree and replace z with y
   • To make the code for delete easier to read I define a function TRANSPLANT
        - It replaces the subtree rooted at u with the one rooted at v
        - I check if u is the root
            * In this case I put v as the root
            * If not, I check if u is the left child of its parent
                · In this case, I put v as left child of u's parent
                · If not, it means that u is the right child of its parent
                · In this case, I put v as right child of u's parent
        - At the end I update the parent of the moved subtree v to make it equal to that of the previous
          subtree u
TRANSPLANT(T,u,v)
    if u.p == NIL
         T.root = v
    elseif u == u.p.left
```

```
u.p.left = v
else
    u.p.right = v
if v != NIL
    v.p = u.p
```

- Now we can describe the delete pseudocode
  - If z doesn't have a left child, I transplant the whole right subtree of z to z's parent
    - \* If there is no right subtree, I just put NIL as right childso it's ok
  - If z doesn't have a right child, I do the same with the left subtree
  - If both checks failed, z has 2 children
    - \* I set y as z's successor (minimum of its right subtree)
    - \* if the parent of y is not z
      - · I remove y from the tree by transplanting it with its right child (it cannot have a left child!)
      - · I replace z with y
      - · I update the right child of y to that of z
      - · I update the parent of the right child of y (that was of z before) to be y itself
    - \* I transplant z with y
    - \* I update pointers for y.left and for the parent of the new y.left

```
TREE-DELETE(T,z)
```

```
if z.left == NIL
    TRANSPLANT(T,z,z.right)
```

- All the operations in binary search trees are O(h)
- This means that they are fast when the tree is not too deep