

# Approximation Algorithms (02360521) - HW2

**Submission deadline:** 26.01.2026

## Guidelines:

- The homework can be submitted either in singles or in pairs.
- You are required to provide complete and formal proofs of your claims.
- Assignments should be typed and may not exceed 20 pages (using a font size of at least 11 and 2.5 cm margins on all sides).
- You may consult others as necessary, but write up your own solutions independently - plagiarism of any kind is not allowed.

1. **20pt.** We saw in class algorithm `Modified_LSMax-Cut` for the weighted Max-Cut problem. Given the parameter  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  and a graph  $G = (V, E)$  with non-negative edge weights:

- (a) Show that the number of local improvement iterations until the algorithm reaches an approximate local optimum is polynomial in the input size.
- (b) Suppose that we change Step 1. of the algorithm as follows.

1. Let  $v^* = \arg \max_{v \in V} w(E(\{v\}, V \setminus \{v\}))$ . Select the cut  $(S, \bar{S})$  where  $S = \{v^*\}$ .

Show that the number of local improvement iterations until the algorithm reaches an approximate local optimum is  $O(\frac{n \log n}{\varepsilon})$ , where  $n = |V|$ .

2. **20pt.** The input for a HITTING SET instance is a collection of nonempty sets  $C = S_1, \dots, S_m$ , and a weight function  $w$  on the sets' elements  $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^m S_i$ . An element  $x \in U$  is said to hit a given set  $S_i \in C$  if  $x \in S_i$ . A subset  $H \subseteq U$  is said to hit a given set  $S_i \in C$  if  $H \cap S_i \neq \emptyset$ . The objective is to find a minimum-cost subset of  $U$  that hits all sets  $S_i \in C$ . Let  $S_{max} = \max_i |S_i|$ .

Suggest an  $S_{max}$ -approximation algorithm for the HITTING SET problem, using the local ratio technique.

3. **20pt.** In the **Multiple Choice Maximum Coverage Problem** we have a universe of elements  $\Omega$ , and  $k$  collections of subsets  $C_i = \{S_{i,1}, \dots, S_{i,\ell_i}\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , where  $S_{i,j} \subseteq \Omega$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $1 \leq j \leq \ell_i$ . A solution for the problem is a tuple of  $k$  indices  $(j_1, \dots, j_k)$  where  $1 \leq j_i \leq \ell_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . The value of a solution  $(j_1, \dots, j_k)$  is  $V(j_1, \dots, j_k) = |\bigcup_{i=1}^k S_{i,j_i}|$ . The objective is to find a solution of maximal value.

Consider the following local search algorithm for the problem. Initialize  $(j_1, \dots, j_k) = (1, \dots, 1)$ . While there is  $(j'_1, \dots, j'_k)$  which differs from  $(j_1, \dots, j_k)$  in a single index (that is, there is  $1 \leq i \leq k$  such that  $j'_{i'} = j_{i'}$  for every  $i' \neq i$ ) and

$V(j'_1, \dots, j'_k) > V(j_1, \dots, j_k)$ , update  $(j_1, \dots, j_k) \leftarrow (j'_1, \dots, j'_k)$ . Eventually return  $(j_1, \dots, j_k)$ .

Show the algorithm is a  $\frac{1}{2}$ -approximation for the problem.

**Hint:** Let  $(o_1, \dots, o_k)$  be an optimal solution. For  $1 \leq i \leq k$  define  $A_i = S_{i,j_i} \setminus \bigcup_{r \in \{1,2,\dots,k\} \setminus \{i\}} S_{r,j_r}$  and  $B_i = S_{i,o_i} \setminus \bigcup_{r \in \{1,2,\dots,k\} \setminus \{i\}} S_{r,j_r}$ . Show that  $|B_i| \leq |A_i|$ .

4. **20pt.** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a connected undirected graph and  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_t \subseteq V$ . Let  $w : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  be a non-negative weight function over the edges. A *generalized Steiner forest* is a subset  $E' \subseteq E$ , such that for every  $u, v \in T_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq t$ ) there is a path in  $E'$  connecting  $u$  and  $v$ . In the generalized Steiner forest problem the objective is to find a generalized Steiner forest of minimum weight.
  - (a) Let  $T = \bigcup_{i=1}^t T_i$ . Assume  $|T_i| \geq 2$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq t$  and consider  $w' : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined as follows. For an edge  $(u, v)$ ,  $w'(u, v) = |\{u, v\} \cap T|$ . Show that any minimal generalized Steiner forest of  $G$  is a 2-approximation with respect to the weight function  $w'$ .  
Note: a generalized Steiner forest  $E'$  of  $G$  is *minimal* if there is no  $F \subsetneq E'$  which is also a generalized Steiner forest of  $G$ .
  - (b) Suggest a 2-approximation algorithm for the generalized Steiner forest problem using the local ratio technique.
5. **20pt.** Consider the following variant of the Knapsack problem. The input consists of  $n$  items  $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$ , where item  $i \in I$  has a weight  $w_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and a value  $v_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . The items are partitioned into disjoint sets  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m$ ; that is,  $\bigcup_{j \in [m]} S_j = I$  and  $S_j \cap S_\ell = \emptyset$  for all  $j \neq \ell \in [m]$ . Moreover, each set  $S_j$  has a bound  $k(j)$ . Also, we are given a knapsack capacity  $B \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . A solution for the problem is a subset of items  $P \subseteq I$  such that  $\sum_{i \in P} w_i \leq B$  and  $|P \cap S_j| \leq k(j)$  for all  $j \in [m]$ . The value of the solution  $P$  is  $\sum_{i \in P} v_i$ . The objective is to find a solution  $P$  of maximal value. Obtain an FPTAS for the problem.

**Hint:** Use a dynamic programming algorithm generalizing the one we saw in class.