

# Logic

Luka Ilić

October 5, 2018

# Contents

1	Propositional logic	3
---	---------------------	---

# 1 Propositional logic

Convention: In this course we write  $T$  for true and  $F$  for false.

**Definition. 1.1.** The language of propositional logic consists of following symbols: *propositional variables* denoted (mostly) by  $p, q, \dots$  or  $p_1, p_2, \dots, q_1, q_2, \dots$  and the *connectives*  $\wedge, \vee, \neg, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$ .

**Definition. 1.2.** A *propositional formula* is a string of symbols obtained in the following way

1. Any variable is a formula
2. If  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are formulas then so are  $(\phi \wedge \psi), (\phi \vee \psi), (\neg \phi), (\phi \rightarrow \psi), (\phi \leftrightarrow \psi)$
3. Any formula is obtained in this way.

**Definition. 1.3.** A *truth function* of  $n$  variables is a function

$$f : \{T, F\}^n \rightarrow \{T, F\} \quad .$$

**Exercise.** How many functions are there for  $n$  variables?

**Definition. 1.4.** Suppose  $\phi$  is a formula with variables  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  then we obtain a truth function  $F_\phi : \{T, F\}^n \rightarrow \{T, F\}$  whose value at  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$   $x_i \in \{T, F\}$  is the truth value of  $\phi$  when  $p_i$  has value  $x_i$ . The function  $F_\phi$  is the *truth function* of  $\phi$ .

**Example.** What is the truth function of

$$(((p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow (\neg p))) \rightarrow (\neg p)) \quad ?$$

It is always true.

**Definition. 1.5.** A propositional formula  $\phi$  whose truth function  $F_\phi$  is always true is called *tautology*. Say that formulas  $\phi, \psi$  are *logically equivalent* (l.e.) if they have the same truth function.

**Remark.**  $\phi, \psi$  are l.e. iff  $(\phi \leftrightarrow \psi)$  is a tautology. Also, suppose that we got some formula  $\phi$  with variables  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  and  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n$  are formulas with variables  $q_1, \dots, q_r$ . For each  $i \leq n$  substitute  $\phi_i$  in place of  $p_i$  in  $\phi$ . Then the result is a formula  $\psi$  and if  $\phi$  is a tautology, then so is  $\psi$ .

*Proof.* The first statement is easy. For the second remark that

$$F_\psi(q_1, \dots, q_r) = F_\phi(F_{\phi_1}(q_1, \dots, q_r), \dots, F_{\phi_n}(q_1, \dots, q_r))$$

by the induction on the number of connectives in  $\phi$ . □

**Example.** 1.  $(p_1 \wedge (p_2 \wedge p_3))$  is l.e. to  $((p_1 \wedge p_2) \wedge p_3)$ ,

2. same with  $\vee$ ,

3.  $(p_1 \vee (p_2 \wedge p_3))$  is l.e. to  $((p_1 \vee p_2) \wedge (p_1 \vee p_3))$

4. similar the other way around.

5. etc.

**Remark.** Note that by the remark above, we can boost these equivalences by substituting formulas for the variables.

**Definition. 1.6.** Say that a set of connectives is *adequate* if for every  $n \geq 1$ , every truth function of  $n$  variables is the truth function of some formula which involves only connectives from the set and variables  $p_1, \dots, p_n$ .

**Theorem. 1.7.** *The set  $\{\neg, \wedge, \vee\}$  is adequate.*

*Proof.* Let  $G : \{T, F\}^n \rightarrow \{T, F\}$

1.  $G(v) = F$  for all  $v \in \{T, F\}^n$ . Take  $\phi$  to be  $(p_1 \wedge (\neg p_1))$  then  $G = F_\phi$
2. (*Disjunctive Normal Form*) List the  $v \in \{T, F\}^n$  with  $G(v) = T$  as  $v_1, \dots, v_r$ . Write  $v_i = (v_{i1}, \dots, v_{in})$ . Define

$$q_{ij} = \begin{cases} p_j & \text{if } v_{ij} = T \\ (\neg p_j) & \text{if } v_{ij} = F \end{cases}$$

So  $q_{ij}$  has value  $T$  iff  $p_j$  has value  $v_{ij}$ . Let  $\psi_i$  be

$$(q_{i1}, \dots, q_{in})$$

Then  $F_{\psi_i}(v) = T$  iff each  $q_{ij}$  has value  $T$  iff  $v = v_i$ .

Let  $\theta$  be  $(\phi_1 \vee \dots \vee \phi_r)$ . Then  $F_\theta(v) = T$  iff  $F_{\psi_i}(v) = T$  for some  $i$  which is equivalent to  $v = v_i$  for some  $i \leq r$ . Thus  $F_\theta(v) = T$  iff  $G(v) = T$  i.e.  $F_\theta = G$ . As  $\theta$  was constructed using only  $\neg, \vee, \wedge$  the statement follows. □

**Definition. 1.8.** A formula in the form as  $\theta$  in the proof above (1.7) is said to be in *disjunctive normal form* (dnf).

**Corollary. 1.9.** *Suppose  $\chi$  is a formula which truth function is not always false. Then  $\chi$  is l.e. to a formula in dnf.*

*Proof.* Take  $G = F_\chi$  and apply the second case from the proof above. □

**Example.** For

$$\chi : ((p_1 \rightarrow p_2) \rightarrow (\neg p_2))$$

the truth function  $F_\chi(v)$  is true, precisely when  $v = \{T, F\}$  or  $v = \{F, F\}$ . Hence the dnf is:

$$((p_1 \wedge (\neg p_2)) \vee ((\neg p_1) \vee (\neg p_2))).$$

**Corollary. 1.10.** *The following sets of connectives are adequate:*

1.  $\neg, \vee$
2.  $\neg, \wedge$

3.  $\neg, \rightarrow$ .

*Proof.* 1. By 1.7 we just need to show, that  $\wedge$  can be expressed using  $\neg, \vee$ .  $(p \wedge q)$  is l.e. to  $(\neg((\neg p) \vee (\neg q)))$ .

2. similar to the approach above.  $(p \vee q)$  is l.e. to  $(\neg((\neg p) \wedge (\neg q)))$ .

3. Due to the cases above, it suffices to obtain either  $\wedge$  or  $\vee$  using  $\neg, \rightarrow$ .  $(p \vee q)$  is l.e. to  $((\neg p) \rightarrow q)$ .

□