AI Planning Exercise Sheet 4

## AI Planning Exercise Sheet 4

Date: dd.11.2014

Students: Axel Perschmann, Tarek Saier

## Exercise 4.1

For easy readability let the tiles be referred to as  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$ ,  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  and the empty cell be referred to as e. Furthermore, let the actions move and jump be denoted as  $m_c(t)$  and  $j_c(t)$  respectively where c is the destination cell  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  and t is the tile that is being relocated.

```
As an example, the initial state is:
```

 $b_1, b_2, w_1, w_2, e$ 

If we then apply  $j_5(b_2)$  we reach:

 $b_1, e, w_1, w_2, b_2$ 

```
(a) Let [o] denote the state s reached by applying the operation o \in \{m_c(t), j_c(t)\}.
f(\lceil m_5(w_2) \rceil) = 1 + 4 = 5
f([j_5(w_1)]) = 1 + 4 = 5
f([j_5(b_2)]) = 2 + 2 = 4
Apply j_5(b_2) which results in s_1:
b_1, e, w_1, w_2, b_2
f(\lceil m_2(b_1) \rceil) = 3 + 2 = 5
f(\lceil m_2(w_1) \rceil) = 3 + 2 = 5
f([j_2(w_2)]) = 3 + 2 = 5
\lceil j_2(b_2) \rceil = I \in closed
Apply m_2(b_1) which results in s_2:
e, b_1, w_1, w_2, b_2
Apply m_2(w_1) which results in s_3:
b_1, w_1, e, w_2, b_2
Apply j_2(w_2) which results in s_4:
b_1, w_2, w_1, e, b_2
Expanding on s_2:
\lceil m_1(b_1) \rceil = s_1 \in closed
f(\lceil j_1(w_1) \rceil) = 4 + 1 = 5
f(\lceil j_1(w_2) \rceil) = 5 + 1 = 6
Expanding on s_3:
f([j_3(b_1)]) = 4 + 1 = 5
f(\lceil m_3(w_1) \rceil) = 4 + 2 = 6
```

 $f(\lceil m_3(w_2) \rceil) = 4 + 2 = 6$  $f(\lceil j_3(b_2) \rceil) = 4 + 3 = 7$  AI Planning Exercise Sheet 4

Expanding on 
$$s_4$$
:  
 $f([j_4(b_1)]) = 5 + 0 = 5$ 

Since h is goal aware and the minimum cost of an operator is 1 we're done at this point. There may be other solutions but none with a cost of less than 5. The resulting plan is:  $j_5(b_2), j_2(w_2), j_4(b_1)$  with a total cost of 5 and a final state:  $e, w_2, w_1, b_1, b_2$ 

(b) Suppose we loosened the rules of our puzzle in the following way: there are infinitely many empty cells left of the leftmost  $b_i$  and right of the rightmost  $w_j$ . Furthermore jumps over more than 2 tiles are allowed while the calculation of cost follows the original rule but never exceeds 2.

In this relaxed setting the puzzle can always be solved at a cost of

$$h_r^*(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{\text{number of black tiles}} b_i \times \text{number of white tiles right of } b_i$$

Since this is a less restrictive setting  $h_r^*(s) \leq h^*(s)$ . Furthermore  $h_r^*(s)$  is a more general variant of h(s). In other words, for the specific case I we can say  $h_r^*(s) = h(s)$  and therefore  $h^*(s) \leq h(s)$ .

## Exercise 4.2

bar