AI Planning Exercise Sheet 11

Date: January 23, 2015

Students: Axel Perschmann, Tarek Saier

Exercise 11.1

(a)




Figure 1: breadth-first search graph (with dublicate detection)

(b) Not finished yet.

First Node Expansion

Initial disjunctive action landmark: $L = \{\text{wear-left-shoe}, \text{wear-right-shoe}\}$ (ToDo: explain why)

Compute T_S :

- 1. Include wear-left-shoe in T_S as disjunctive action landmark
- 2. no other applicable operators interfere with wear-left-shoe
- 3. for go-to-university, which is not applicable yet T_S contains a necessary enabling set.

 $T_S = \{\text{wear-left-shoe}\}$

Second Node Expansion

disjunctive action landmark: $L = \{\text{wear-right-shoe}\}\ \text{Compute}\ T_S$:

- 1. Include wear-right-shoe in T_S as disjunctive action landmark
- 2. no other applicable operators interfere with wear-right-shoe
- 3. for go-to-university, which is not applicable yet T_S contains a necessary enabling set.

 $T_S = \{\text{wear-right-shoe}\}$

Third Node Expansion

disjunctive action landmark: $L = \{\text{go-to-university}\}\$ Compute T_S :

- 1. Include go-to-university in T_S as disjunctive action landmark
- 2. Include hat in T_S since it interferes with go-to-university (go-to-university disables hat)

 $T_S = \{\text{go-to-university, hat}\}$




Figure 2: breadth-first search graph using strong stubborn set pruning

Conclusion

11 vs 5 Node Expansions

Exercise 11.2

Preliminaries

For every variable $v \in prevars(o)$ (Only for $o \in app(s)$?) we need to compute the Domain transition graph:




Figure 3: DTG(a)

All given operators are "Active Operators" (see lecture 13, slide 14), because of

- For every variable $v \in prevars(o)$ there is a path in DTG(v) from s(v) to pre(o)(v).
- If v is goal-related, then there is also a path from pre(o)(v) to the goal value $\gamma(v)$.

Disjunctive Action Landmark:

 $L = \{o, o'\}$ in initial state

Strong Stubborn Sets

- 1. Include o (or o') in T_S as disjunctive action landmark.
- 2. Include o_d in T_S since it interferes with o (o_d disables o)
- 3. Include o' (or o) in T_S since it interferes with o_d (o_d disables o')
- 4. Include $\overline{o_d}$ and o_i in T_S since both conflict with o_d
- 5. Include $\overline{o_i}$ in T_S since it conflicts with o_i

 $T_S = \{o, o', o_d, \overline{o_d}, o_i, \overline{o_i}\}$

All six operators included in T_S , no pruning.




Figure 4: strongStubborn

Weak Stubborn Sets

- 1. Include o (or o') in T_S as disjunctive action landmark.
- 2. there are no operators in s that have conflicting effects with o or that are disabled by o

 $T_S = \{o\}$

Nice amount of pruning.




Figure 5: weakStubborn

Conclusion

Weak stubborn sets admit exponentially more pruning than strong stubborn sets.