Planning Search heuristic analysis Hyunbyung, Park

In this project, we define a group of problems in classical PDDL for the air cargo domain. We set up the problems for search, experiment with various automatically generated heuristics, including planning graph heuristics, to solve the problems, and then **now we provide an analysis of the results**.

Air Cargo Action Schema

Action(Load(c, p, a),

PRECOND: At(c, a) \land At(p, a) \land Cargo(c) \land Plane(p) \land Airport(a) EFFECT: \lnot At(c, a) \land In(c, p))

Action(Unload(c, p, a),

PRECOND: In(c, p) \land At(p, a) \land Cargo(c) \land Plane(p) \land Airport(a) EFFECT: At(c, a) \land In(c, p))

Action(Fly(p, from, to),

PRECOND: At(p, from) \land Plane(p) \land Airport(from) \land Airport(to) EFFECT: \lnot At(p, from) \land At(p, to))

Problem 1

Init(At(C1, SF0) ∧ At(C2, JFK) ∧ At(P1, SF0) ∧ At(P2, JFK) ∧ Cargo(C1) ∧ Cargo(C2) ∧ Plane(P1) ∧ Plane(P2) ∧ Airport(JFK) ∧ Airport(SF0)) Goal(At(C1, JFK) ∧ At(C2, SF0))

Solution

Load(C1, P1, SFO) Load(C2, P2, JFK) Fly(P1, SFO, JFK) Fly(P2, JFK, SFO) Unload(C1, P1, JFK) Unload(C2, P2, SFO)

Problem 2

 $Init(At(C1, SFO) \land At(C2, JFK) \land At(C3, ATL)$

 \wedge At(P1, SF0) \wedge At(P2, JFK) \wedge At(P3, ATL)

 \land Cargo(C1) \land Cargo(C2) \land Cargo(C3)

 \land Plane(P1) \land Plane(P2) \land Plane(P3)

∧ Airport(JFK) ∧ Airport(SFO) ∧ Airport(ATL))

Goal(At(C1, JFK) \wedge At(C2, SFO) \wedge At(C3, SFO))

Problem 3

Init(At(C1, SFO) \wedge At(C2, JFK) \wedge At(C3, ATL) \wedge At(C4, ORD)

∧ At(P1, SF0) ∧ At(P2, JFK)

 \land Cargo(C1) \land Cargo(C2) \land Cargo(C3) \land Cargo(C4)

 \land Plane(P1) \land Plane(P2)

 \land Airport(JFK) \land Airport(SFO) \land Airport(ATL) \land Airport(ORD))

Goal(At(C1, JFK) \(\triangle At(C3, JFK) \(\triangle At(C2, SFO) \(\triangle At(C4, SFO) \)

Solution

Load(C2, P2, JFK) Load(C3, P3, ATL) Fly(P1, SFO, JFK) Fly(P2, JFK, SFO) Fly(P3, ATL, SFO) Unload(C3, P3, SFO) Unload(C2, P2, SFO) Unload(C1, P1, JFK)

Load(C1, P1, SFO)

Solution

Load(C2, P2, JFK) Fly(P2, JFK, ORD) Load(C4, P2, ORD) Fly(P2, ORD, SFO) Load(C1, P1, SFO) Fly(P1, SFO, ATL) Load(C3, P1, ATL) Fly(P1, ATL, JFK) Unload(C4, P2, SFO) Unload(C3, P1, JFK) Unload(C2, P2, SFO) Unload(C1, P1, JFK)

Uninformed non-heuristic search Optimality Plan Length Time Elapsed **Node Expansions Breadth First Search** YES 6 0.03638 43 Problem 1 Depth First Graph Search NO 20 0.01355 21 YES 6 0.03619 **Uniform Cost Search** 55 **Breadth First Search** YES 9 8,43632 3343 Problem 2 NO Depth First Graph Search 619 4.27744 624 YES **Uniform Cost Search** 9 12,6459 4852 **Breadth First Search** YES 12 14663 41.7846 Problem 3 Depth First Graph Search NO 392 1,73900 408 **Uniform Cost Search** YES 12 51,3935 18235

I used 3 uninformed non-heuristic search, Breadth first search, Depth First graph search, and Uniform search. As looking at the result of the metrics we can see that DFS use time and node less than other search. But has longer plan length more than about approximately 30 - 50 times so this is not optimal. We consider the optimal search so need to decide between BFS and UCS. As compare by result both has same plan length but BFS takes less time and node expansion than UCS. **BFS seems the best of this three.**

A* search with heuristic					
		Optimality	Plan Length	Time Elapsed	Node Expansions
Problem 1	A* ignore preconditions	YES	6	0.04348	41
	A* level-sum	YES	6	0.68742	11
Problem 2	A* ignore preconditions	YES	9	4.15485	1450
	A* level-sum	YES	9	61.9788	86
Problem 3	A* ignore preconditions	YES	12	16.0981	5040
	A* level-sum	YES	12	305.459	316

I used A* informed search used 2 heuristic, Ignore precondition & Level—sum. Both heuristic performs well at every problem and optimal. Compared each, ignore precondition is faster, and level—sum use less memory. A* with ignore precondition seems the best in terms of time.

Conclusion

A* ignore precondition is better than BFS in terms of memory, time.

So got conclusion that A* ignore precondition is the best search to the problem of air cargo.

Neither forward nor backward search is efficient without a good heuristic function. Effective heuristics can be derived by subgoal independence assumptions and by various relaxations of the planning problem. The ease of manipulating the schemas is the great advantage of the factored representation of planning problems, as compared with the atomic representation of search problems.