

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE DIVISION**

People ex rel _____

On behalf of _____

Self and/or on behalf of _____

Petitioner

v.

Respondent

No. _____

☐ Independent Proceeding☐ Other Civil Proceeding
(specify) _____☐ Criminal Proceeding☐ Juvenile Proceeding

FINDINGS STALKING NO CONTACT ORDER

☐ EMERGENCY☐ PLENARY

Finding solely applicable to ex parte Emergency Stalking No Contact Order:

The Court, having examined the Petition filed in this case as well as the person presenting the petition under oath, **FINDS THAT:**

- ☐ The averments presented herein are sufficient to grant the relief ordered.
- ☐ The averments presented are insufficient to grant the relief requested.
- ☐ For each of the remedies allowable under Emergency Stalking No Contact Orders, the harm which that remedy is intended to prevent would be likely to occur if Respondent were given any prior notice, or greater notice than was actually given, of Petitioner's efforts to obtain judicial relief.

The Court, having examined the Petition filed in this case and having conducted a hearing thereon, under oath, **FINDS THAT:**

- ☐ A. It has jurisdiction over the subject matter and over all necessary persons in these proceedings pursuant to the Illinois Stalking No Contact Order Act 740 ILCS 21/et. seq.
- ☐ B. The Court has considered all relevant factors, including but not limited to the nature, severity, and impact on the Petitioner of Respondent's two or more acts of following, monitoring, observing, surveilling, threatening, communicating or interfering or damaging property or pets of Petitioner, including Respondent's concealment of his/her location in order to evade service of process or notice, and the likelihood of danger of future acts of following, monitoring, observing, surveilling, threatening, communication or interfering or damaging property or pets of the party to be protected.
- ☐ C. _____ is a victim of two or more acts of following, monitoring, observing, surveilling, threatening, communicating, or interfering with or damaging property or pets by Respondent.

Mariyana T. Spyropoulos, Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois
cookcountyclerkofcourt.org

☐ D. The following persons are also protected by this Order:

☐ E. For the remedy relative to prohibitions on Respondent from knowingly coming within or knowingly remaining within the specified distance of Petitioner's residence, school, place of employment, or other places:

- ☐ Respondent has no right to be present at such place(s); or
- ☐ The balance of hardships favors Petitioner in prohibiting Respondent from being at such place(s).
- ☐ The specific place(s) are as follows:

☐ F. _____ is unable to bring the Petition on his/her own behalf due to age or disability.

☐ G. The disclosure of Petitioner's and/or protected party's address would risk harm.

☐ H. The hardship to Respondent, if remedy(ies) _____ were granted, substantially outweighs the hardship to Petitioner in the following manner:

☐ I. Additional findings:

ENTERED:

Dated: _____, _____

Judge

Judge's No.