Information Retrieval Tutorial - Set 1

- 1. A. Draw the term-document incidence matrix and the inverted index representation for the following document collection:
 - Doc 1 : breakthrough drug for schizophrenia
 - Doc 2 : new schizophrenia drug
 - Doc 3: new approach for treatment of schizophrenia
 - Doc 4 : new hopes for schizophrenia patients

Term-Doc Incidence Matrix

term\doc	Doc1	Doc2	Doc3	Doc4	
breakthrough	1	0	0	0	
drug	1	1	0	0	
for	1	0	1	1	
schizophrenia	1	1	1	1	
new	0	1	1	1	
approach	0	0	1	0	
treatment	0	0	1	0	
of	0	0	1	0	
hopes	0	0	0	1	
patients	0	0	0	1	

Inverted Index

- breakthrough → Doc1
- drug \rightarrow Doc1 \rightarrow Doc2
- schizophrenia → Doc1 → Doc2 → Doc3 → Doc4
- approach → Doc3
- treatment → Doc3
- hopes → Doc4

- patients → Doc4
 - B. What are the returned results for these queries-
 - schizophrenia AND drug
 - for AND NOT(drug OR approach)

```
schizophrenia →
                                   1111
drug \rightarrow
                                   1100
schizophrenia AND drug →
                                   1100
Returned: Doc1, Doc2
drug →
                                   1100
                                   0010
approach →
drug OR approach →
                                   1110
for
                                   1011
NOT (drug OR approach) →
                                   0001
for AND NOT (drug OR approach) →
                                  0001
```

Returned: Doc4

2. What is its time complexity of the postings merge algorithm to arbitrary Boolean query formulas? For instance, consider: (Brutus OR Caesar) AND NOT (Antony OR Cleopatra)

```
x OR y: O(|x| + |y|)
x AND y: O(|x| + |y|)
x AND NOT y: O(|x| + |y|) [You can leave out all docs from x that are also present in y, thus just scanning both lists will do]
x OR NOT y: O(N) [You need to go over all docs in the corpus]
```

3. Write out a postings merge algorithm that evaluates this query efficiently-

x AND NOT y

```
INTERSECT AND NOT(p_1, p_2)
    1. answer ← < >
    2. while p_1 \neq \text{NIL} and p_2 \neq \text{NIL}
                  do if doclD(p_1) = doclD(p_2)
    3.
    4.
                           then p_1 \leftarrow \text{next}(p_1)
    5.
                           p_2 \leftarrow \text{next}(p_2)
    6.
                  else if doclD(p_1) < doclD(p_2)
    7.
                           then ADD(answer, doclD(p_1))
    8.
                           p_1 \leftarrow \text{next}(p_1)
    9.
                  else
    10.
                           p_2 \leftarrow \text{next}(p_2)
    11. while p_1 \neq NIL
    12.
                  do ADD(answer, docID(p_1))
    13.
                  p_1 \leftarrow \text{next}(p_1)
```

4. We have a two word query. For one term the postings list consist of the following 16 entries.

```
[2, 4, 9, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 24, 32, 47, 81, 120, 125, 158, 180]
```

and for the other list it is the one entry postings list [81]

Work out how many comparisons would be done to intersect the two postings list with the following two strategies.

- i. Using standard postings list.
- ii. Using postings list stored with skip pointers, with the suggested skip length of \sqrt{P} (P=length of the list).

```
INTERSECT(p_1, p_2)
  1 answer \leftarrow \langle \rangle
  2 while p_1 \neq \text{NIL} and p_2 \neq \text{NIL}
      do if docID(p_1) = docID(p_2)
  4
            then ADD(answer, docID(p_1))
  5
                  p_1 \leftarrow next(p_1)
  6
                  p_2 \leftarrow next(p_2)
  7
            else if docID(p_1) < docID(p_2)
  8
                     then p_1 \leftarrow next(p_1)
  9
                     else p_2 \leftarrow next(p_2)
10 return answer
i: 12 comparisons
ii: 7 comparisons – (2,81), (14,81), (24,81), (120,81), (32,81), (47,81), (81,
81)
```

Consider the following fragment of a positional index with the format: word: document: <position, . . .>; document: <position>,...

```
Gates: 1:<3>; 2:<6>; 3:<2,17>; 4:<1>;
IBM: 4:<3>; 7:<14>;
Microsoft: 1: <1>; 2:<1,21>; 3:<3>; 5:<16,22,51>;
```

The /k operator, word1 /k word2 finds occurrences of word1 within k words of word2 (on either side), where k is a positive integer argument. Thus k=1 demands that word1 be adjacent to word2.

Describe the set of documents that satisfy the query -> Gates /k Microsoft for k=1, 2

```
Gates \rightarrow Doc1 \rightarrow Doc2 \rightarrow Doc3 \rightarrow Doc4
Microsoft \rightarrow Doc1 \rightarrow Doc2 \rightarrow Doc3 \rightarrow Doc5
Matches for Doc1, Doc2, Doc3

Gates /1 Microsoft
Cross-product of positions
Doc1: (3,1)
```

Doc2: (6,1), (6,21) Doc3: (2,3), (17,3)

Gates /2 Microsoft

Cross-product of positions

Doc1: (3,1)

Doc2: (6,1), (6,21) Doc3: (2,3), (17,3)

5. If |S| denotes the length of string S, show that the edit distance between s1 and s2 is never more than max{|s1|, |s2|}.

Assume |s1| <= |s2|. Also assume the worst case scenario — all characters of s1 and s2 are different.

So, we need to go from s1 to s2 using standard operations (add/delete/replace).

- First, replace all characters of s1 with all characters of s2 upto position |s1| — |s1| operations
- Then, keep adding the rest of the characters of s2 |s2| |s1| operations
- Total no. of operations = |s2| = max(|s1|,|s2|)
- 6. Compute the edit distance between paris and alice. Write down the 5 × 5 array of distances between all prefixes.

	E	а	I	i	С	е
E	0	1	2	3	4	5
р	1	1	2	3	4	5
а	2	1	2	3	4	5
r	3	2	2	3	4	5
i	4	3	3	2	3	4