

Project1

David Lewis

Assignment

1. for the assignment use the second dataset called TCGA_breast_cancer_ERpositive_vs_ERnegative_PAM50.tsv that shows ER assignment for each sample (Positive vs. Negative)
2. compute 5-fold and 10-fold cross-validation estimates of prediction accuracies of ER using all genes by utilizing logistic regression and compare with NNC (2x2 table).
3. modify the the R markdown document template to report your computation and results in a table format.
4. comment on the quality of results
5. In the second part of the assignment use Project1fs.R to process a large data set by first removing all genes with $sd < 1$ and subsequently use Feature selection to pick top 50 genes vs top 100 genes for cross-validation based on the t-test statistic.
6. For extra credit – please replace centroid based classifier with one utilizing logistic or lasso regression similarly to the first part of the assignment and report on any difficulties.

Reading data

Please add R code that reads data here - reading file: TCGA_breast_cancer_ERpositive_vs_ERnegative_PAM50.tsv

```
##      user  system elapsed
##    0.035    0.000    0.039
```

Computation

Please add R code that computes the results

```
##      user  system elapsed
##    0.242    0.045    0.314
```

Note that the `echo = FALSE` parameter was added to the code chunk to prevent printing of the R code.

Results

These are our results:

5-fold cross validation

	GLM	kNNC
5-fold	mean= 0.0676 sd= 0.0232	mean= 0.0656 sd= 0.0111
10-fold	mean= 0.0694 sd= 0.02	mean= 0.0637 sd= 0.0277

Discussion

For five-fold and 10-fold validation, the GLM model has slightly worse performance according to the mean when compared to the kNNC method. However, the sd goes up when the number of folds increases in kNNC

while it decreases in the GLM model. It could be argued that the performance of the two models is too similar to argue for the use of one or the other.

Part 2

Change eval=TRUE when ready to include Project1fs.R

Top.50.genes..centroid.	Top.100.genes..centroid.
mean=(0.0618) sd=(0.038)	mean=(0.0622) sd=(0.0496)

[1] "extra credit"

Top.50.genes..GLM.	Top.100.genes..GLM.
mean=(0.0589) sd=(0.0298)	mean=(0.0619) sd=(0.0242)