

Report Date: 05/13/2022

To: ematson@purdue.edu, ahsmith@purdue.edu, lhiday@purdue.edu and lee3450@purdue.edu
From: BEST (Beacon-based Evacuation System and Technology)

Bacon Beacon

- Hwawon Lee (andyhwh12@soongsil.ac.kr)
- Yoonha Bahng (tlol91@cau.ac.kr)
- Dohyun Chung (sosvast@cau.ac.kr)
- Jiwon Lim (senta2006@kw.ac.kr)
- Suhyun Park (2061013@pcu.ac.kr)
- Seongmin Kim (aliveksm@kangwon.ac.kr)

Summary

Two teams were formed, one for surveys and the other for experiments. For experiments, collected the data between Received Signal Strength Indicator (RSSI) and the Range of relationship to make the training data. This data will be processed using Machine Learning (ML). During the experiments, issues such as fluctuating signals and ESP32 performance occurred, but they were resolved. The issues will be resolved later with the newly provided beacon.

What “BEST” completed this week

- Abstract and Introduction
 - Finished with the Introduction and Abstract, and team members had a deliberated about Introduction, and tried to make the Introduction easily readable. Based on Introduction, we started writing Abstract.
- Modifications of Overview
 - Our team started discussing about which kind of packets to use.
 - For the Raspberry Pi which detects fire and sent to server, we use HTTP request instead of TCP or UDP packet, because Raspberry Pi sends HTTP packet only if they detect fire. The reason why TCP and UDP is not suitable is maintaining the connection every moment is unnecessary. For UDP, we cannot know whether the packet has been sent successfully or not.
 - For the smartphone and server, if server notices fire from Raspberry Pi, it sends notification to the Google and Apple cloud server to make notification to the iOS and Android device.
 - If the iOS and Android device starts to run the BEST application, socket communication will start to begin. Discussion which types of communication is most suitable, considered which to choose TCP and UDP packets, but it was not suitable for a Real-time communication. Therefore, conclusion was using socket programming to keep Real-time communication.
- Choosing Methodology
 - Filtering Algorithm
 - RSSI is very much sensitive data. It easily fluctuates by varying factors (e.g., obstacle, multipath propagation). That is why it needs to be filtered before used.

- AR Navigation with computer vision

AR Navigation that uses computer vision and location markers has been widely used in indoor localization. AR navigation system captures 3D maps with advanced computer vision. It fuses 2D markers that already located specific positions and 3D features. The system tracks users with visual simultaneous localization and mapping (SLAM) algorithms to achieve continuous tracking [5]. However, computer vision and detecting location markers in a fire situation can be incredibly challenging. The BEST will use AR to show the correct path using beacons and Wi-Fi, rather than a navigation system using computer vision and SLAM.

- Indoor Localization with Wi-Fi and Beacon

Wi-Fi was once the most reliable way to track the location of devices. Although, because the cost of an Access Point is too high, Beacon was chosen. RSSI, which stands for signal strength, is used by beacons to track the exact location of devices. According to this lecture, the accuracy of combining Wi-Fi and Beacon is 20% higher than the Wi-Fi by itself.




Fig. 1. Indoor Localization by Wi-Fi and Beacons [2]

Fig. 1. describes how to locate Access Points and Beacons to improve indoor localization.

- Trilateration

One of the most commonly used techniques for indoor localization is trilateration. If there are more than three reference nodes, the calculation will be based on the three with the highest RSSI values. Once the three closest reference points are determined, the coordinate system is then adjusted to the center of the closest reference. Localization techniques could use trilateration as a methodology. When beacons are arranged in a straight line, trilateration operations are inaccurate [15].

- Experiments

To find out the relationship between RSSI and distance, an experiment was conducted. It was conducted in two places, the distance between 0cm to 100cm was conducted on the table and 100cm to 500cm was conducted on the floor due to the length of the table. ESP32 was used as a beacon and placed at the fixed point. Two smartphones (Galaxy A30 and iPhone12) were used as a receiver and moved during the experiment. Receivers received signals for 5 minutes and moved 10cm during 0 to 100cm and 50cm during 100cm to 500cm. It was stored as a CSV file and used as data for the RSSI-Distance model. The result was not as we expected. RSSI signal should reduce exponentially but the actual signal fluctuated a lot.

- In terms of cost, the ESP32 beacon was chosen over the Raspberry Pi.
- Enhanced signal strength makes mobile devices (iOS, Android) detect iBeacon smoothly.
- ESP32s have been developed by Arduino IDE, and they work as iBeacon [3].



Fig. 2. Experiment of Relation between RSSI and range

Tested by marking on every 0.5m, and placed the iOS and Android device on every title mark.

- **Mobile Application**

Mobile Devices can detect the Universally Unique Identifier (UUID), Major, Minor, RSSI and Proximity from the iBeacons. The applications have been programmed to detect information from iBeacons, and save it as CSV files. IOS application has been developed with Core Location Framework from Apple [8], and Android application has been developed with Altbeacon Framework [13]. Signals from three ESP32s have been tested by iPhone12 and Galaxy A30.

Now, our team made an experiment about correlation between RSSI and range. As the distance gets farther, strength of RSSI gets weak.

Generally, it shows that RSSI reduced exponentially. Some exceptions were shown the outliers values of the RSSI.




Fig.3. Mean, Median, Mod of RSSI received by iOS
iPhone12 was used as iOS device. The modulus of RSSI value is illustrated at the graph.




Fig.4. Mean, Median, Mod of RSSI received by Android
Galaxy A30 was used as Android device. The modulus of RSSI value is illustrated at the graph.
Fig.3. and Fig.4. shows when the distances between beacons and smartphones get farther, strength of RSSI becomes weaker. The distance was increased by 10cm.




Fig. 5. iOS and Android Application
Fig. 5. shows the list of UUID, Major, Minor, RSSI value of detected iBeacons.

Things to do by next week

- Methodology for indoor localization

- The experiment will be conducted with Trilateration and Nonlinear Least Squares by using smartphones and ESP32.
- The experiment should be tested several times to get accurate indoor localization.
- Data from mobile devices that include information from iBeacons will be collected, and used to make a path-loss model.
- Methodology for filtering Algorithms
 - Seminars for Moving Average and Kalman Filter are planned to be start[15].
 - Support Vector Regression (SVR) model and Kalman Filter-Support Vector Regression (KF-SVR) are planned to have seminars [16].
- Methodology for evacuation Algorithms
 - Seminars for A* Algorithms.
- Additional Seminars for Machine Learning
 - As team members are not familiar with ML, have to start studying.
 - Previous research predicted the location of stable objects [15], but our goal is to pinpoint the exact coordinates of the moving objects.
 - The experiments will refer to supplementary article such as "Distance Estimation on Moving Object using BLE Beacon" [16] to detect the moving objects.
- Beacon detection experiment with new equipment
 - Conduct experiment that smartphones detect RSSI from beacons using brand new beacons that we ordered.
 - Compared the detection data between RSSI and the previous result using ESP32.

Problems or challenges:

- Beacon Detection problem
 - Developed an application which detects iBeacon devices. However, problem occurs when getting a RSSI value.
 - Relationship between RSSI and distance is not as good as our team expected. For example, the signal of 20cm is weaker than signal of 50cm. We will solve this problem by conducting more strict experimental environments and using different beacons.
- Paper work
 - The paragraph in the introduction was too wordy and complicated, so we decided to take baby steps and solve the problem.
- The references analyzed by our team will be employed in future research.

- [1] J. Ahn and R. Han, "An indoor augmented-reality evacuation system for the Smartphone using personalized Pedometry," *Human-centric Computing and Information Sciences*, vol. 2, no. 1, p. 18, 2012, doi: 10.1186/2192-1962-2-18.
- [2] P. Kriz, F. Maly, and T. Kozel, "Improving Indoor Localization Using Bluetooth Low Energy Beacons," *Mobile Information Systems*, vol. 2016, no. Volume 2016, pp. 1–11, 2016, doi: 10.1155/2016/2083094.
- [3] A. Pandit, "ESP32 based Bluetooth iBeacon," *circuitdigest.com*, Feb. 19, 2022. <https://circuitdigest.com/microcontroller-projects/esp32-based-bluetooth-ibeacon> (accessed May 05, 2022).
- [4] L. Ciabattone *et al.*, "Real time indoor localization integrating a model based pedestrian dead reckoning on smartphone and BLE beacons," *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 1–12, Sep. 2017, doi: 10.1007/s12652-017-0579-0.
- [5] G. Gerstweiler, E. Vonach, and H. Kaufmann, "HyMoTrack: A Mobile AR Navigation System for Complex Indoor Environments," *Sensors*, vol. 16, no. 1, p. 17, Dec. 2015, doi: 10.3390/s16010017.
- [6] R. Lovreglio and M. Kinateter, "Augmented reality for pedestrian evacuation research: Promises and limitations," *Safety Science*, vol. 128, no. 128, p. 104750, Aug. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.ssci.2020.104750.
- [7] Q. Wang *et al.*, "Pedestrian Dead Reckoning Based on Walking Pattern Recognition and Online Magnetic Fingerprint Trajectory Calibration," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 2011–2026, Feb. 2021, doi: 10.1109/jiot.2020.3016146.
- [8] "Getting Started with iBeacon !," 2014. [Online]. Available: <https://developer.apple.com/ibeacon/Getting-Started-with-iBeacon.pdf>
- [9] N. Shakhizat, "Getting Started with ESP32 on a Mac," *Hackster.io*, Sep. 08, 2019. <https://www.hackster.io/shahizat005/getting-started-with-esp32-on-a-mac-4b3997>
- [10] M. Fu, R. Liu, and Y. Zhang, "Why do people make risky decisions during a fire evacuation? Study on the effect of smoke level, individual risk preference, and neighbor behavior," *Safety Science*, vol. 140, no. Volume 140, p. 105245, Aug. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.ssci.2021.105245.
- [11] Y. Wang, Q. Yang, G. Zhang, and P. Zhang, "Indoor positioning system using Euclidean distance correction algorithm with bluetooth low energy beacon," *2016 Int. Conf. on Internet of Things and Applications (IOTA)*, vol. 2016, no. 2016 Int. Conf. on Internet of Things and Applications, Jan. 2016, doi: 10.1109/iota.2016.7562730.
- [12] K. Shimizu and D. Kushida, "Evacuation Guidance System Using Beacon Information and Dijkstra's Algorithm," *2021 IEEE 3rd Global Conf. on Life Sciences and Technologies (LifeTech)*, vol. 2021 IEEE 3rd Global Conf. on Life Sciences and Technologies, no. 2021 IEEE 3rd Global Conf. on Life Sciences and Technologies, Mar. 2021, doi: 10.1109/lifetech52111.2021.9391946.
- [13] "Android Beacon Library," *altbeacon.github.io*. <https://altbeacon.github.io/android-beacon-library/>
- [14] R. Liu, Z. Yin, W. Jiang, and T. He, "WiBeacon," *Proceedings of the 27th Annual Int. Conf. on Mobile Computing and Networking*, vol. MobiCom '21: Proceedings of the 27th Annual Int. Conf. on Mobile Computing and Networking, no. MobiCom '21, Sep. 2021, doi: 10.1145/3447993.3448615.

[15] S. Sadowski and P. Spachos, "Optimization of BLE Beacon Density for RSSI-Based Indoor Localization," *2019 IEEE Int. Conf. on Communications Workshops (ICC Workshops)*, vol. 2019 IEEE Int. Conf. on Communications Workshops (ICC Workshops), no. 2019 IEEE Int. Conf. on Communications Workshops, May 2019, doi: 10.1109/iccw.2019.8756989.

[16] C. H. Lam and J. She, "Distance Estimation on Moving Object using BLE Beacon," *2019 Int. Conf. on Wireless and Mobile Computing, Networking and Communications (WiMob)*, vol. 2019 Int. Conf. on Wireless and Mobile Computing, Networking and Communications (WiMob), no. 2019 Int. Conf. on Wireless and Mobile Computing, Networking and Communications, Oct. 2019, doi: 10.1109/wimob.2019.8923185.