

Machine Learning

Assignment - I

by

**Mohammed Ilyas Habeeb
(mih278)**

and

**Faiyaz Sundrani
(fs1459)**

Machine Learning

Assignment - 1

Part - I Written Exercises

1. Given:

Ans - Company A

$$\mu_1 = 9.6 \text{ g}$$

$$\sigma_1 = 1.6 \text{ g}$$

Company B

$$\mu_2 = 9.2 \text{ g}$$

$$\sigma_2 = 1.8 \text{ g}$$

Received shipment from

Companies, containing

one of two

3 mice

(a) Given that:

$$P(A) = 2P(B)$$

Since, we know that:

$$P(A) + P(B) = 1 \quad (\because \text{priors sum upto 1})$$

$$\Rightarrow 2P(B) + P(B) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow 3P(B) = 1 \Rightarrow P(B) = 1/3$$

$$\therefore P(A) = 2(1/3) = \frac{2}{3}$$

$$\therefore P(A) = \frac{2}{3} ; P(B) = \frac{1}{3}$$

b)

Ans - Given that

$$\text{wt. of Mice 1} = 9.2 \text{ g } x_1$$

$$\text{wt. of Mice 2} = 8.9 \text{ g } x_2$$

$$\text{wt. of Mice 3} = 9.9 \text{ g } x_3$$

We know from (a) that:

$$P(A) = \frac{2}{3}, P(B) = \frac{1}{3}$$

Posterior probability according to Bayes' Rule is:

$$P(A|D) = \frac{P(A) \cdot p(D|A)}{P(D)}$$

prob. that mice are from company A, given data

where $P(A)$ = prior

$$p(D|A) = \text{likelihood} = (A)9 + (A)4$$

$p(D)$ = Evidence (Total probability)

$$= P(A) \cdot p(D|A) + P(B) \cdot p(D|B)$$

$$\frac{2}{3} = (A)6 = (A)9$$

Since, we know that the weights of mice are distributed according to a Gaussian Distribution; we can use the probability density function to calculate the likelihood

p.d.f of Gaussian Distribution

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

where μ = mean, σ = standard deviation

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} p(D=x_1, x_2, x_3 | A) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_1} e^{-\frac{(x_1-\mu_1)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}} \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_2} e^{-\frac{(x_2-\mu_2)^2}{2\sigma_2^2}} \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_3} e^{-\frac{(x_3-\mu_3)^2}{2\sigma_3^2}} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_1} \right)^3 e^{\frac{-1}{2\sigma_1^2} [(x_1-\mu_1)^2 + (x_2-\mu_2)^2 + (x_3-\mu_3)^2]} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \cdot 3.14 \cdot 1.6}} \right)^3 e^{\frac{-1}{2 \cdot 1.6^2} [(9.2 - 9.6)^2 + (8.9 - 9.6)^2 + (9.9 - 9.6)^2]} \\ &= 0.0134 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} p(D|B) &= 0.00995 \\ p(D) &= P(A) \cdot p(D|A) + P(B) \cdot p(D|B) \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{3} \cdot 0.0134 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{3} \cdot 0.00995 \right) = 0.01226 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore p(A|D) &= \frac{P(A) \cdot p(D|A)}{P(D)} \\ &= \frac{\left(\frac{2}{3} \times 0.0134\right)}{0.01226} \\ &= 0.73 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, posterior probability that the mice are from Company A is 0.73

(c)

$$\text{Ans} \rightarrow \text{likelihood of data given mice are from Company } A = p(D|A)$$

$$\left[\frac{(14 \cdot P_A) + (14 \cdot P_B) + (14 \cdot P_C)}{14 + 14 + 14} \right] = p(D|B)$$

From (b), we know that:

$$p(D|A) = 0.0134$$

$$p(D|B) = 0.00995$$

Since $p(D|A) > p(D|B)$,

$p(D|A)$ is the Maximum Likelihood

Therefore,

the Maximum likelihood hypothesis is that
the weights of the mice are from company A.
i.e., $p(D/A)$ is the M.l hypothesis.

====

```

1 import numpy as np
2 import math
3
4 #Company A
5 prior_A = 2/3
6 mean_A = 9.6
7 stddeviation_A = 1.6
8
9 #Company B
10 prior_B = 1/3
11 mean_B = 9.2
12 stddeviation_B = 1.8
13
14 #values
15 x = [9.2, 8.9, 9.9]
16
17 def Evidence_Calculation(values, mean, stddeviation):
18     """
19         i/p: values - list, mean - float, stddeviation - float
20         o/p: Likelihood - float
21     """
22     res = 0
23     for i in values:
24         int_res = (i - mean)**2
25         res = res + int_res
26
27     int_exp = ( -1/(2 * (stddeviation) **2 ) ) * res
28     exp = np.exp(int_exp)
29     Likelihood = ( ( 1/( math.sqrt(2*np.pi) * stddeviation ) )**len(values) ) * exp
30
31     return Likelihood
32
33 Likelihood_of_A = Evidence_Calculation(x, mean_A, stddeviation_A)
34 Likelihood_of_B = Evidence_Calculation(x, mean_B, stddeviation_B)
35
36 print("Prior of Company A is:", prior_A)
37 print("Prior of Company B is:", prior_B)
38
39 print("Likelihood of Company A is:", Likelihood_of_A)
40 print("Likelihood of Company B is:", Likelihood_of_B)
41
42 Evidence = (prior_A * Likelihood_of_A) + (prior_B * Likelihood_of_B)
43 print("Evidence is:", Evidence)
44
45 posterior_A = (prior_A * Likelihood_of_A) / Evidence
46 posterior_B = (prior_B * Likelihood_of_B) / Evidence
47 print("Posterior of Company A is:", posterior_A)
48 print("Posterior of Company B is:", posterior_B)

```

```

Prior of Company A is: 0.6666666666666666
Likelihood of Company A is: 0.0134153227386
Prior of Company B is: 0.3333333333333333
Likelihood of Company B is: 0.00995498580723
Evidence is: 0.0122618770948
Posterior of Company A is: 0.729378415984
Posterior of Company B is: 0.270621584016

```

2.

 H_1 : has M-disease H_2 : does not have M-disease D_1 : +ve D_2 : +ve

Given: outcomes are independent

$$\text{Formula: } P(H_1 | D_1, D_2) = \frac{P(D_1 | H_1) \cdot P(D_2 | H_1) \cdot P(H_1)}{P(D_1, D_2)}$$

where: $P(D_1, D_2)$ is the Total Probability

$$= P(H_1) \cdot P(D_1 | H_1) \cdot P(D_2 | H_1) + P(H_2) \cdot P(D_1 | H_2) \cdot P(D_2 | H_2)$$

Priors:

$$P(H_1) = 0.003 \rightarrow (\text{Since } 0.3\% \text{ of population has M-Disease})$$

$$P(H_2) = 0.997 \quad (\text{since priors sum up to 1})$$

$$P(D_1 | H_1) = 1 - F_N \quad P(D_1 | H_2) = F_P = \cancel{+0.25}$$

$$= 1 - 10\% = 0.25$$

$$= 1 - 0.1 = 0.9$$

$$P(D_2 | H_1) = \underline{\underline{0.9}} \quad P(D_2 | H_2) = 0.25$$

$$= \underline{\underline{(0.9)(0.9)}} = 0.00243$$

$$P(H_1 | D_1, D_2) = \frac{(0.9)(0.9) \cdot 0.003}{[0.003 \cdot (0.9) \cdot (0.9)] + [0.997 \cdot (0.25) \cdot (0.25)]}$$

$$= \frac{0.00243}{0.0647425} = 0.03753330502$$

$$= 3.75\%$$

		Predicted		Total
		yes	no	
Actual	yes	T.P (10%)	F.N (25%)	
	no	F.P (25%)	T.N	N
		P	N	P+N

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(H_2 | D_1, D_2) &= \frac{P(D_1 | H_2) P(D_2 | H_2) \cdot P(H_2)}{[P(D_1 | H_1) P(D_2 | H_1) \cdot P(H_1)] + [P(D_2 | H_2) P(D_1 | H_2) \cdot P(H_2)]} \\
 &= \frac{0.0623125}{[0.9 \cdot 0.9 \cdot 0.003] + [0.25 \cdot 0.25 \cdot 0.99]} \\
 &= \frac{0.0623125}{0.0647425} \\
 &= 0.9625053095 = 96.25\%
 \end{aligned}$$

- (a) MAP hypothesis: patient does not have M-disease
 $\hat{P}(c|x) = 0.0623125$
- (b) M.L hypothesis $P(x|c)$: patient has M-disease
 $(0.81) \text{ vs } 0.0625$
- (c) Posterior probability that patient has M-Disease : $3.75\% = \underline{\underline{0.0375}}$

3. $P(HHTH)^9$ pol. \rightarrow today, \rightarrow 76 outcome of θ (1)

Ans- I am defining:

$X = 1$, if head comes up

$X = 0$, if tail comes up

X takes two values, 0 and 1, with probabilities

$P(X=1) = P(H) = \theta$ and $P(X=0) = 1 - \theta$.

Freq. function of X with parameter ' θ ':

$$p(x) = \begin{cases} \theta^x (1-\theta)^{1-x} & \text{for } x \in \{0,1\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(a) As a function of θ , what is $P(HTHH| \theta)$?

Ans-

$$L(\theta) = P(HTHH | \theta) = \prod_{t=1}^N \theta^{x_t} (1-\theta)^{1-x_t} = \theta^3 (1-\theta)^1$$

$$= \theta^3 (1-\theta)^1 * \theta^1 (1-\theta)^1 * \theta^1 (1-\theta)^1 * \theta^1 (1-\theta)^1$$

$$= \theta * (1-\theta) * \theta * \theta$$

$$= (\theta)^3 (1-\theta)$$

=

(b) As a function of θ , what is $\log P(HTHH|\theta)$?

Ans -

$$\begin{aligned}f(\theta) &= \log P(HTHH|\theta) = \log \prod_{t=1}^N \theta^{x_t} (1-\theta)^{1-x_t} \\&= \sum_{t=1}^N x_t \log \theta + (N - \sum_{t=1}^N x_t) \log (1-\theta) \\&= (1 \cdot \log \theta + 0 \cdot \log \theta + 1 \cdot \log \theta + 1 \cdot \log \theta) + (4 - (1+0+1+1)) \log (1-\theta) \\&= \log \theta + \log \theta + \log \theta + (4-3) \log (1-\theta) \\&= 3 \log \theta + \log (1-\theta)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$a = 3$$

$$b = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{d\theta} f(\theta) &= \frac{d}{d\theta} [3 \log \theta + \log (1-\theta)] \\&= \frac{3}{\theta} - \frac{1}{1-\theta} = \frac{3(1-\theta) - \theta}{\theta(1-\theta)} = \frac{3-4\theta}{\theta(1-\theta)}\end{aligned}$$

$$\theta * \theta * (\theta - 1) * \theta =$$

$$(\theta - 1)^{\theta} (\theta) =$$

=

(c)

Ans - M.L.E of θ is, i.e., $\operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} P(\text{HTHH}|\theta)$

is same as $\operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \log P(\text{HTHH}|\theta)$

$\Rightarrow \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \log P(\text{HTHH})$ is nothing but the partial ~~derivative~~ derivative of $\ell(\theta)$

(where $\ell(\theta) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \log P(\text{HTHH}|\theta)$)

= ~~$\partial \ell / \partial \theta$~~

Since from (b), we know that:

$$\ell(\theta) = 3 \log \theta + \log(1-\theta)$$

$$\frac{\partial \ell(\theta)}{\partial \theta} \Rightarrow \frac{3}{\theta} - \frac{1}{1-\theta} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{3(1-\theta) - \theta}{\theta(1-\theta)} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 3(1-\theta) - \theta = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 3 - 3\theta - \theta = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 4\theta = 3$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\theta} = \frac{3}{4}$$

Alternatively, M.L.E of Bernoulli Density $\Rightarrow \hat{\theta} = \frac{\sum_t x^t}{N}$ (Freq. Estimation)

$$= \frac{1+0+1+1}{4}$$

$$= \frac{3}{4}$$

4.

Classes: $C_1 = +$
 $C_2 = -$

$x_1 \in \{\text{High, Medium, Low}\}$

$x_2 \in \{\text{Yes, No}\}$

$x_3 \in \{\text{Red, Green}\}$

$$(a) \hat{p}(x_1 = \text{High} | +) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$= \frac{(\# \text{trials with outcome 'V'}) + m}{(\# \text{trials}) + km}$

$= \frac{1 + 0.2}{2 + 3(0.2)}$

where

$m = \text{smoothing constant}$

$k = \text{no. of different types of attribute}$

$$= \frac{1.2}{2.6} = \frac{12}{26} = 0.4615$$

~~0.4615, 0.4615~~

(b) Classify:

$$\hat{p}(x_1 = \text{High}, x_2 = \text{Yes}, x_3 = \text{Green} | C_1 = '+') * P(+)$$

$$= [\hat{p}(\text{High} | +) * \hat{p}(\text{Yes} | +) * \hat{p}(\text{Green} | +)] * P(+)$$

$$= \left[\frac{1 + 0.2}{2 + k(0.2)} * \frac{0 + 0.2}{2 + k(0.2)} * \frac{1 + 0.2}{2 + k(0.2)} \right] * \frac{2}{5}$$

$$= \left[\frac{1.2}{2 + 0.2k} * \frac{0.2}{2 + 0.2k} * \frac{1.2}{2 + 0.2k} \right] * \frac{2}{5}$$

$$= \left[\frac{1.2}{2.6} * \frac{0.2}{2.4} * \frac{1.2}{2.4} \right] * \frac{2}{5} = 0.00769$$

$$= 0.4615 * 0.0833 * 0.5 * 0.4 = 0.169\%$$

$$\hat{p}(-/\text{High, Yes, Green})$$

$$= \hat{p}(\text{High, Yes, Green} | -) * P(-)$$

$$= [\hat{p}(\text{High} | -) * \hat{p}(\text{Yes} | -) * \hat{p}(\text{Green} | -)] * P(-)$$

$$= \left[\frac{1+0.2}{3+k(0.2)} * \frac{2+0.2}{3+k(0.2)} * \frac{2+0.2}{3+k(0.2)} \right] * \frac{3}{5}$$

$$= \left[\frac{1.2}{3+0.2k} * \frac{2.2}{3+0.2k} * \frac{2.2}{3+0.2k} \right] * \frac{3}{5}$$

$$= \left[\frac{1.2}{3+0.2(3)} * \frac{2.2}{3+0.2(2)} * \frac{2.2}{3+0.2(2)} \right] * \frac{3}{5}$$

$$= \left[\frac{1.2}{3.6} * \frac{2.2}{3.4} * \frac{2.2}{3.4} \right] * \frac{3}{5}$$

$$= 0.333 * 0.647 * 0.647 * 0.6 = 0.0837$$

= 8.37%

Since $v_{NB} = \underset{v_j \in V}{\operatorname{argmax}} [\hat{p}(a_1 | v_j) * \hat{p}(a_2 | v_j) * \hat{p}(a_3 | v_j)] * P(v_j)$

and $\hat{p}(-/\text{High, Yes, Green}) > \hat{p}(+/\text{High, Yes, Green})$

i.e., $0.0837 > 0.00769$

we get $v_{NB} = 0.0837$ and \therefore , the resulting classification
for (High, Yes, Green) is $\underline{\underline{=}}$ (minus)

5.

a)

i. Estimated value of P(C) for C = 1 is **0.745**

ii. Estimated value of P(C) for C = 2 is **0.255**

iii. $(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2)_{RI, Class1} = (1.518662, 0.000010)$

iv. $(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2)_{Ca, Class2} = (9.060196, 2.509274)$

v.

	Predicted_Class_GNB
indextoUse	
20	1
60	1
100	1
140	2
180	2

vi. % Training Error = 10.0 %

b)

i. % 5-fold cross-validation error = 10.0 %

ii.

	Predicted_Class_CV_GNB
indextoUse	
20	1
60	1
100	1
140	2
180	2

c)

Accuracy using Zero-R = 74.5 %

-----x-----x----- -x -----