COMP2022|2922 Models of Computation

Non-regularity

Sasha Rubin

August 24, 2022





To show that a language is regular, it is sufficient to find a DFA, NFA, or Regular Expression for it.

But how do we show a language is not regular?

- One must show that there is no DFA that recognises it.
- We will show that $\{a^nb^n:n\geq 1\}=\{ab,aabb,aaabbb,\dots\}$ is not regular.
- We will start with an intuition of why this language is not regular, and then prove it mathematically.

Intuition

What happens if you try build a DFA for the language $\{a^nb^n:n\geq 1\}$?

- What information does the DFA have to remember in its state?
- How many states are needed?

Pigeonhole principle (PHP)

If there are n holes and > n objects to put in the holes, then at least one hole must get at least two objects.

- We will apply this with n states and > n strings, and conclude that at least one state must be associated with two strings.
- Then we will use these two strings to "fool" the DFA into accepting (or rejecting) a string that it shouldn't.

Distinguishability

Let L be a language over Σ .

Definition

Two strings x,y are distinguishable with respect to L if there is some string z such that exactly one of xz and yz is in L.

So: x,y are indistinguishable wrt L means that for every z either both xz and yz are in L or both are not in L.

Example

- 1. $L = L(a^*b^*)$
 - aa and ab are distinguishable (by z = a).
 - aab and ab are indistinguishable.
 - -aba and ba are indistinguishable.
- 2. $L = \{a^n b^n : n \ge 1\}$
 - aa and aaa are distinguishable (by z = bb).
 - aaa and aaaaaaaaa are distinguishable (by z = bbb).

Distinguishability

What is the largest number of strings that are pairwise distinguishable?

Example

- 1. $L = L(a^*b^*)$
 - Strings in ${\cal L}$ that don't have b are pairwise indistinguishable.
 - Strings in L that have b are pairwise indistinguishable.
 - Strings not in L are pairwise indistinguishable.
 - Largest number of strings that are pairwise distinguishable is 3, one from each set, e.g., aa and ab and aba.
- 2. $L = \{a^n b^n : n > 1\}$
 - If $i \neq j$, strings a^i and a^j are distinguishable (by $z = b^i$).
 - So we can find infinitely many strings that are pairwise distinguishable, e.g., $a, aa, aaa, aaaa, \cdots$.

Theorem

If there are infinitely many strings x_1, x_2, \cdots that are pairwise distinguishable with respect to L then L is not regular.

We prove this by **contradiction**. Here is the structure:

- We are given that there are infinitely many pairwise distinguishable strings wrt L.
- We assume (for a "moment") that our conclusion is false
 - i.e., that there is some DFA recognising L.
- We insert a clever argument here and that ends with a contradictory ("impossible") statement
 - i.e., that some pair of the given strings are indistinguishable!
- Immediately conclude that our assumption is false
 - i.e., L cannot be regular, which is what we want to show!

Theorem

If there are infinitely many strings x_1, x_2, \cdots that are pairwise distinguishable with respect to L then L is not regular.

- 1. Given x_1, x_2, \cdots pairwise distinguishable.
- 2. Assume L is recognised by some DFA, say with state set Q.
- 3. Write f(x) for the state that M reaches after reading input x.
- 4. There must exist $i \neq j$ such that $f(x_i) = f(x_j)$.
 - Why? By the pigeonhole principle. There are infinitely many pigeons x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots , but only |Q| many pigeonholes.
- 5. But if $f(x_i) = f(x_j)$ then x_i and x_j are indistinguishable.
 - Why? if x_i, x_j go to the same state then for every string z both x_iz and x_jz go to the same state, and thus either both x_iz and x_jz are accepted, or both are rejected.

(To think about: where do we use that we are working with a DFA and not an NFA?)

Theorem

If there are infinitely many strings x_1, x_2, \cdots that are pairwise distinguishable with respect to L then L is not regular.

That proves the theorem.

To apply this theorem to show that a specific L is not regular, we must find infinitely many strings that are pairwise distinguishable wrt L.

Theorem

If there are infinitely many strings x_1, x_2, \cdots that are pairwise distinguishable with respect to L then L is not regular.

Example 1

 $L = \{a^nb^n : n \ge 1\}$ is not regular. We will show that a, aa, aaa, \cdots are pairwise distinguishable.

- 1. Let $x_n = a^n$ for $n \ge 1$.
- 2. Then x_n, x_m (for $n \neq m$) are distinguished by $z = b^n$. Why?
- 3. String $x_n z = a^n b^n \in L$ (obvious).
- 4. String $x_m z = a^m b^n \notin L$ since $n \neq m$.

Theorem

If there are infinitely many strings x_1, x_2, \cdots that are pairwise distinguishable with respect to L then L is not regular.

Example 2

 $L = \{ww : w \in \{0,1\}^*\}$ is not regular. We will show that $ab, aab, aaab, \cdots$ are pairwise distinguishable wrt L.

- 1. Let $x_n = a^n b$ for $n \ge 1$.
- 2. Then x_n, x_m (for n < m) are distinguished by $z = a^n b$. Why?
- 3. $x_n z = a^n b a^n b \in L$ since it is of the form ww for $w = a^n b$.
- 4. $x_m z = a^m b a^n b \notin L$ since the left half of this string only contains as while the right contains two b's.

Theorem

If there are infinitely many strings x_1, x_2, \cdots that are pairwise distinguishable with respect to L then L is not regular.

Example 3

 $L = \{w \in \{a\}^* : |w| \text{ is a power of } 2\}$ is not regular. We will show that $a, aa, aaaa, aaaaaaaaa, \cdots$ are pairwise distinguishable wrt L.

- 1. Let $x_n = a^{2^n}$ for n > 1.
- 2. Then x_n, x_m (for n < m) are distinguished by $z = a^{2^n}$. Why?
- 3. String $x_n z \in L$ since $|x_n z| = 2^n + 2^n = 2^{n+1}$.
- 4. String $x_m z \notin L$ since

$$2^m < |x_m z| = 2^m + 2^n < 2^m + 2^m = 2^{m+1}$$

so $|x_m z|$ is not a power of 2 (because there is no power of 2 between 2^m and 2^{m+1}).

11 / 15

Other techniques

Once we know that a language is not regular, we can deduce that other languages are not regular using the closure properties of regular languages.

Example

Prove that the set L_1 of strings with the same number of as as bs is not regular.

We will use that $L_0 = \{a^n b^n : n \ge 1\}$ is not regular.

- 1. We know that L_0 is not regular (previous slides).
- 2. Assume L_1 is regular.
- 3. Then $L_1 \cap L(a^*b^*)$ is regular. Why?
- 4. But $L_0 = L_1 \cap L(a^*b^*)$. Why?
- 5. So L_0 is regular. But this is a contradiction to what we know.
- 6. So, our assumption is wrong, i.e., L_1 cannot be regular.

Example

Prove that the language L_2 consisting of strings over $\{a,b\}$ with a different number of as as bs is not regular.

We will use that L_1 (consisting of strings over $\{a,b\}$ with the same number of as as bs) is not regular.

- 1. We know that L_1 is not regular (previous slide).
- 2. Assume L_2 is regular.
- 3. Then $\{a,b\}^* \setminus L_2$ is regular. Why?
- 4. So $L_1 = \{a, b\}^* \setminus L_2$ is regular. But this is a contradiction to what we know.
- 5. So, deduce that L_2 is not regular.

Summary

- We use a fooling argument to show that certain languages are not regular.
 - A variation is called the *pumping lemma*, see Sipser.
- But these particular non-regular languages are still quite simple!
 - e.g., there is an algorithm for deciding if a given string is of the form a^nb^n for some n. How would it work?
- So, we need a more powerful model of computation to recognise more complex languages.
- Next we will see a very powerful model of computation that captures our intuitive idea of what an arbitrary algorithm can do.