

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/309695417>

Heavy metal removal from wastewater using various adsorbents: a review

Article in *Journal of Water Reuse and Desalination* · November 2016

DOI: 10.2166/wrd.2016.104

CITATIONS

14

READS

1,275

3 authors, including:



Renu Bisht

Malaviya National Institute of Technology Jaipur

9 PUBLICATIONS 22 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Madhu Agarwal

Malaviya National Institute of Technology Jaipur

76 PUBLICATIONS 277 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Parametric Sensitivity Analysis of Humic phase in Landfill [View project](#)



Working on "Modelling and Experimental study of heavy metal removal from wastewater" [View project](#)

Heavy metal removal from wastewater using various adsorbents: a review

Renu, Madhu Agarwal and K. Singh

ABSTRACT

Heavy metals are discharged into water from various industries. They can be toxic or carcinogenic in nature and can cause severe problems for humans and aquatic ecosystems. Thus, the removal of heavy metals from wastewater is a serious problem. The adsorption process is widely used for the removal of heavy metals from wastewater because of its low cost, availability and eco-friendly nature. Both commercial adsorbents and bioadsorbents are used for the removal of heavy metals from wastewater, with high removal capacity. This review article aims to compile scattered information on the different adsorbents that are used for heavy metal removal and to provide information on the commercially available and natural bioadsorbents used for removal of chromium, cadmium and copper, in particular.

Key words | adsorbents, adsorption capacity, heavy metal, wastewater

Renu
Madhu Agarwal (corresponding author)
K. Singh
Department of Chemical Engineering,
Malaviya National Institute of Technology,
JLN Marg,
Jaipur 302017,
India
E-mail: madhunaresh@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

Discharge from industry contains various organic and inorganic pollutants. Among these pollutants are heavy metals which can be toxic and/or carcinogenic and which are harmful to humans and other living species (MacCarthy *et al.* 1993; Clement *et al.* 1995; Renge *et al.* 2012). The heavy metals of most concern from various industries include lead (Pb), zinc (Zn), copper (Cu), arsenic (As), cadmium (Cd), chromium (Cr), nickel (Ni) and mercury (Hg) (Mehdipour *et al.* 2015). They originate from sources such as metal complex dyes, pesticides, fertilisers, fixing agents (which are added to dyes to improve dye adsorption onto the fibres), mordants, pigments and bleaching agents (Rao *et al.* 2010). In developed countries, legislation is becoming increasingly stringent for heavy metal limits in wastewater. In India, the current maximum contaminant level (ppm–mg/mL) for heavy metals is 0.05, 0.01, 0.25, 0.20, 0.80, 0.006, 0.00003, 0.050 for chromium, cadmium, copper, nickel, zinc, lead, mercury and arsenic, respectively (Gopalakrishnan *et al.* 2015). Various treatment technologies employed for the removal of heavy metals include chemical

precipitation, ion exchange, chemical oxidation, reduction, reverse osmosis, ultrafiltration, electrodialysis and adsorption (Fu & Wang 2011). Among these methods, adsorption is the most efficient as the other techniques have inherent limitations such as the generation of a large amount of sludge, low efficiency, sensitive operating conditions and costly disposal. The adsorption method is a relatively new process and is emerging as a potentially preferred alternative for the removal of heavy metals because it provides flexibility in design, high-quality treated effluent and is reversible and the adsorbent can be regenerated (Fu & Wang 2011). The specific sources of chromium are leather tanning, electroplating, nuclear power plants and textile industries. Chromium(VI) is an oxidising agent, is carcinogenic in nature and is also harmful to plants and animals (Barnhart 1997). Exposure to chromium(VI) can cause cancer in the digestive tract and lungs, epigastric pain, nausea, severe diarrhoea, vomiting and haemorrhage (Mohanty *et al.* 2005). Although chromium can access many oxidation states, chromium(VI) and chromium(III)

are the species that are mainly found in industrial effluents (Mohan & Pittman 2006). Chromium(VI) is more toxic than chromium(III) and is of more concern (Al-Othman *et al.* 2012). The United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) has set the maximum chromium levels in drinking water at 0.1 ppm. The USEPA has classified cadmium as a human carcinogen and it is known to cause deleterious effects to health and bone demineralisation either through direct bone damage or as a result of renal dysfunction (Fu & Wang 2011). The major sources of cadmium include metal refineries, smelting, mining and the photographic industry and it is listed as a Category-I carcinogen by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) and a group B-I carcinogen by the USEPA (Friberg *et al.* 1992). Copper is an essential element and is required for enzyme synthesis as well as tissue and bone development. Copper(II) is toxic and carcinogenic when it is ingested in large amounts and causes headache, vomiting, nausea, liver and kidney failure, respiratory problems and abdominal pain (Ren *et al.* 2008; Hu *et al.* 2013; Lan *et al.* 2013). The USEPA has set the copper limit at 1.3 ppm in industrial effluents. Industrial sources of copper include smelting, mining, electroplating, surface finishing, electric appliances, electrolysis and electrical components (Yin *et al.* 2012; Bilal *et al.* 2013; Lan *et al.* 2013). Nickel is a human carcinogen in nature and causes kidney and lung problems, gastrointestinal distress, skin dermatitis and pulmonary fibrosis (Borba *et al.* 2006). Zinc is essential for human health but large quantities of zinc can cause skin irritation, stomach cramps, vomiting and anaemia (Oyaro *et al.* 2007). Similarly, lead is harmful to human health and can damage kidney, liver, reproductive system and brain functions (Naseem & Tahir 2001). Mercury is also harmful and it is a neurotoxin that can affect the central nervous system. If it is exceeded in concentration it can cause pulmonary, chest pain and dyspnoea (Namasivayam & Kadirvelu 1999). Arsenic can cause skin, lung, bladder and kidney cancer, muscular weakness, loss of appetite, and nausea (Mohan & Pittman 2007).

Due to stringent regulations for heavy metals, their removal has become a serious environmental problem. This review surveys the various commercially available adsorbents and natural biosorbents used over the past decades for the removal of chromium, cadmium and copper

ions from wastewater. Commercial adsorbents are those adsorbents which are produced commercially on a large scale, such as activated carbon, silica gel, alumina, etc., however they are costly. Natural bioadsorbents are those obtained from biological material and are comparatively cheap. However, cost analysis is an important criterion for selection of an adsorbent for heavy metal removal from wastewater. The cost of the adsorption process depends on the cost of the adsorbent. For instance, the cost of commercial activated carbon is Rs. 500/kg; however, the cost of bioadsorbents is in the range of Rs. 4.4–36.89/kg, which is much less as compared to the commercial adsorbents (Gupta & Babu 2008). A comprehensive approach has been followed to cover all significant work done in this field to date, and a final evaluation has been made on the most efficient adsorbent(s) to date.

ADSORBENTS USED FOR REMOVAL OF HEAVY METALS FROM WASTEWATER

There are a number of types of adsorbent that are used for the efficient removal of heavy metal removal from wastewater that are both commercial and/or bioadsorbents. These are described as follows.

Commercially available adsorbents for chromium removal

Graphene

Nanomaterials are efficient adsorbents for the removal of heavy metals from wastewater because of their high surface area, enhanced active sites and the functional groups that are present on their surface (Gopalakrishnan *et al.* 2015). Graphene is a carbon-based nanomaterial with a two-dimensional structure, high specific surface area and good chemical stability. It is available in various forms such as pristine graphene, graphene oxide and reduced graphene oxide. Graphene may be oxidised to add hydrophilic groups for heavy metal removal (Thangavel & Venugopal 2014). Yang *et al.* (2014a) adsorbed chromium onto the surface of graphene oxide and the maximum adsorption capacity found was around 92.65 mg/g at an optimum pH

of 5. This adsorption of chromium on graphene oxide was found to be endothermic and spontaneous. [Gopalakrishnan *et al.* \(2015\)](#) have also oxidised graphene for the addition of $-\text{COOH}$, $-\text{C}=\text{O}$ and $-\text{OH}$ functional groups onto the surface using a modified Hummer's method ([Hummers & Offeman 1958](#)). The novelty of their work is that only 70 mg of graphene oxide has been utilised for 100% removal of chromium from wastewater effectively at an optimum pH of 8. Graphene composite materials have been developed by a number of authors for the removal of heavy metals. [Li *et al.* \(2013\)](#) functionalised graphene oxide with magnetic cyclodextrin chitosan for the removal of chromium since magnetic cyclodextrin chitosan has favourable properties such as high adsorption capacity and magnetic property which assists in the separation process. [Guo *et al.* \(2014\)](#) functionalised graphene with a ferro/ferric oxide composite for chromium removal with a maximum adsorption capacity of 17.29 mg/g which is higher as compared to the adsorption capacity of other magnetic adsorbents, such as $\text{Fe}@\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ core-shell nanowires ([Ai *et al.* 2008](#)), chitosan-coated MnFe_2O_4 nanoparticles ([Xiao *et al.* 2013](#)) and Fe_3O_4 -polyethyleneimine (PEI)-montmorillonite ([Larraza](#)

[et al. 2012](#)), i.e., 7.78 mg/g, 15.4 mg/g, 8.8 mg/g, respectively. [Table 1](#) summarises the graphene-related work that has been reported in this area.

Activated carbon

Modern industries began production of active carbon in 1900–1901 to replace bone char in the sugar refining industry ([Bansal *et al.* 1988](#)) and powdered activated carbon was first produced commercially in Europe in the early 19th century, using wood as a raw material ([Mantell 1968](#)). Activated carbon can be obtained from any material which has high carbon content. Activated carbon is a good adsorbent for chromium removal because it has a well-developed porous structure and a high internal surface area for adsorption ([Anirudhan & Sreekumari 2011](#)). However, because coal-based activated carbon is expensive, its use has been restricted and further efforts have been made to convert cheap and abundant agricultural waste into activated carbon ([Anirudhan & Sreekumari 2011](#)). Activated carbon is now prepared from various agricultural wastes such as rubber wood saw dust ([Karthikeyan *et al.* 2005](#)), moso and

Table 1 | Chromium removal using graphene, graphine oxide and modified graphine as an adsorbent

Adsorbent	Metal concentration (ppm-mg/L)	Optimum pH	Best model fit	Contact time (min)	Adsorbent dose (g/L)	Adsorbent capacity (mg/g)	Removal per cent (%)	References
Graphene oxide based inverse spinel nickel ferrite composite	1,000	4	Langmuir	120	0.125–2.5	45	–	Lingamdinne <i>et al.</i> (2015)
Zero-valent iron assembled on magnetic Fe_3O_4 /graphene nanocomposites	40–100	3	Langmuir	120	–	101	83.8%	Lv <i>et al.</i> (2014)
Zero-valent iron decorated on graphene nanosheets	15–35	3	Langmuir	90	1.0	–	70%	Li <i>et al.</i> (2016)
Copolymer of dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate with graphene oxide	–	1.1	–	45	–	82.4	93%	Ma <i>et al.</i> (2015)
Graphene sand composite (GSC)	8–20	1.5	Langmuir	90	10	2859.38	93%	Dubey <i>et al.</i> (2015)
Graphene oxide	52	5	Langmuir	12	–	43.72	92.65%	Yang <i>et al.</i> (2014a)
Modified graphene (GN) with cetyltrimethylammonium bromide	50, 100	2	Langmuir	60	400	21.57	98.2%	Wu <i>et al.</i> (2013)

ma bamboo (Lo *et al.* 2012), viticulture industry wastes, grape stalk, lex, pomace (Sardella *et al.* 2015), hazelnut shell activated carbon (Koby *et al.* 2004), coconut tree saw dust (Selvi *et al.* 2001), coconut shell carbon (Babel & Kurniawan 2004), sugarcane bagasse (Sharma & Forster 1994), treated saw dust of Indian rose wood (Garg *et al.* 2004), wood activated carbon (Selomulya *et al.* 1999), tyre activated carbon (Hamadi *et al.* 2001), coconut shell activated carbon (Selomulya *et al.* 1999) and palm shell (Saifuddin & Kumaran 2005; Owlad *et al.* 2010; Kundu *et al.* 2015; Nizamuddin *et al.* 2015, 2016; Sabzoi *et al.* 2015; Thangalazhy-Gopakumar *et al.* 2015), etc.

Karthikeyan *et al.* (2005) removed chromium from wastewater using activated carbon derived from rubber wood saw dust and 44 mg/g maximum adsorption capacity was obtained at an optimum pH 2. Maximum adsorption capacity obtained in their work was higher as compared to other adsorbents such as coconut tree saw dust (Selvi *et al.* 2001), coconut shell carbon (Babel & Kurniawan 2004), sugarcane bagasse (Sharma & Forster 1994) and treated saw dust of Indian rose wood (Garg *et al.* 2004), which were only 3.60 mg/g, 10.88 mg/g, 13.40 mg/g and 10 mg/g, respectively. Lo *et al.* (2012) derived activated carbon from moso and ma bamboo, and 100% removal was obtained

using once activated maa bamboo and 91.7% removal using twice activated maa bamboo. Removal efficiency decreases for once activated moso bamboo and twice activated moso bamboo by 20–77% because their average pore diameter is less than 2 nm and major pores were mesopores. Koby (2004) prepared activated carbon using hazelnut shell and maximum adsorption capacity of 170 mg/g was obtained at an optimum pH 1 which is higher than adsorption capacity of other adsorbents such as wood activated carbon (Selomulya *et al.* 1999), tyre activated carbon (Hamadi *et al.* 2001) and coconut shell activated carbon (Selomulya *et al.* 1999) which is only 87.6 mg/g, 58.5 mg/g and 107.1 mg/g, respectively. Table 2 summarises the reported use of activated carbon for chromium removal from wastewater.

Carbon nanotubes

Carbon nanotubes are efficient adsorbents for heavy metal removal because they possess chemical stability, large surface area, excellent mechanical and electrical properties, adsorption property and well-developed mesopores (Gupta *et al.* 2011; Mubarak *et al.* 2014a; Al-Khaldi *et al.* 2015). They can also be further modified by chemical treatment to increase

Table 2 | Chromium removal using activated carbon as an adsorbent

Adsorbent derived from	Metal concentration (mg/L)	Optimum pH	Best model fit	Contact time (min)	Adsorbent dose (g/L)	Adsorbent capacity (mg/g)	Removal per cent (%)	References
Acrylonitriledivinylbenzene copolymer	30	2	Freundlich	420	0.6	101.2	80%	Duranoglu <i>et al.</i> (2010)
<i>Syzygium jambolanum</i> nut carbon	20–100	2	Langmuir	240	5	–	100%	Muthukumaran & Beulah (2011)
Green alga <i>Ulva lactuca</i>	5–50, 5–250	1	Langmuir	40	2	10.61 112.36	98%	El-Sikaily <i>et al.</i> (2007)
Jatropha wood	30–100	2–10	Langmuir	360	0.6–2	106.4–140.8	–	Gueye <i>et al.</i> (2014)
Tamarind wood	10–50	6.5	Langmuir Freundlich	40	2	–	28%	Acharya <i>et al.</i> (2009)
<i>Pterocladia capillacea</i>	5–100	1	Langmuir	120	3–10	66	100%	El Nemr <i>et al.</i> (2015)
<i>Zizania caduciflora</i>	10–50	2–3	Freundlich	48	0.8	2.7	84.8%	Liu <i>et al.</i> (2014)
Prawn shell	25–125	–	Langmuir Freundlich	31.4	–	100	98%	Arulkumar <i>et al.</i> (2012)

adsorption capacity (Chen *et al.* 2009; Mubarak *et al.* 2013, 2015a, 2015b, 2015c, 2016a, 2016c; Ruthiraan *et al.* 2015b). Hu *et al.* (2009) removed chromium using oxidised multi-walled carbon nanotubes and 100% maximum removal was achieved at an optimum pH of 2.88. Gupta *et al.* (2011) combined the adsorptive property of multi-walled carbon nanotubes with the magnetic property of iron oxide. The advantages of this composite are high surface area, can be used for contaminant removal and can be controlled and removed from the medium using a simple magnetic process. A maximum removal of 88% at pH 6 was obtained. Luo *et al.* (2013) prepared manganese dioxide/iron oxide/acid oxidised multi-walled carbon nanotube nanocomposites for chromium removal. Manganese dioxide is a scavenger of aqueous trace metals because of its high adsorption capacity but the use of pure manganese dioxide is not favoured because of the high cost and its unfavourable physical and chemical properties. The maximum adsorption capacity of the above nanocomposite was 186.9 mg/g with a maximum removal of 85% at an optimum pH of 2. Mubarak *et al.* (2014b) functionalised carbon nanotubes for chromium removal using nitric acid and potassium permanganate in 3:1 volume ratio and compared the removal capacity with non-functionalised carbon nanotubes. They found that maximum adsorption capacity for functionalised carbon nanotubes was 2.517 mg/g while for non-functionalised carbon nanotubes it was 2.49 mg/g, and removal capacity for functionalised carbon nanotubes (87.6%) was higher compared to non-functionalised carbon nanotubes (83%). Mubarak *et al.* (2016b) produced carbon nanotubes using microwave heating for comparative study of the removal of chromium with another heavy metal (i.e., lead). Microwave heating provides a fast and uniform heating rate and it accelerates reaction and gives a higher yield. The maximum adsorption capacity obtained for chromium was 24.45 mg/g and removal efficiency obtained was 95% at an optimum pH 8. Table 3 summarizes the reported use of carbon nanotubes for chromium removal from wastewater.

Bio-adsorbents for chromium removal from wastewater

Rice husk

Rice husk consists of cellulose (32.24%), lignin (21.44%), hemicellulose (21.34%) and mineral ash (15.05%) (Rahman

et al. 1997). This material is not soluble in water and possesses a granular structure, chemical stability and good mechanical strength (Chuah *et al.* 2005). Silica is derived from rice husk using sol gel technique and has an affinity for chromium (Adam *et al.* 2006). Thus, Oladoja *et al.* (2013) incorporated iron oxide into silica derived from rice husk, calling it modified rice husk derived silica. This modified rice husk derived silica has higher adsorption (63.69 mg/g) as compared to the silica derived from raw rice husk. Rice husk in its natural form and in modified form (activated carbon modified using ozone) was used for the removal of chromium(VI) and results compared (Bishnoi *et al.* 2004; Sugashini & Begum 2015). It was found that ozone modified rice husk shows a higher removal capacity than raw rice husk. Sugashini & Begum (2015) modified rice husk by treating it with ozone to produce activated carbon for chromium removal with 86% removal being reported. Ozone was used for activation because it is a strong oxidising agent, stable and can be regenerated. Rice husk can also be modified by preparation of biochar. Biochar is a carbon-rich solid by-product resulting from the pyrolysis of rice husk under oxygen-free and low temperature conditions (Lehmann 2007; Woolf *et al.* 2010; Mubarak *et al.* 2013, 2014c; Agrafioti *et al.* 2014; Ruthiraan *et al.* 2015a, 2015b). Biochar has the ability to adsorb heavy metals because of electrostatic interactions between the negative surface charge and the metal cations, as well as ion exchange between biochar surface protons and metal cations (Machida *et al.* 2006; Lehmann 2007; Woolf *et al.* 2010; Xu *et al.* 2013; Thines *et al.* 2014, 2015). Agrafioti *et al.* (2014) modified rice husk by pyrolysis for chromium removal with 95% removal reported. Table 4 summarises the reported use of rice husk for chromium removal from wastewater.

Surfactant modified waste

Various agricultural wastes have been modified using surfactants (Bingol *et al.* 2004; Namasivayam & Sureshkumar 2008; Nadeem *et al.* 2009; Jing *et al.* 2011; Min *et al.* 2013). Surfactants are amphipathic substances with both lyophobic and lyophilic groups with the capability of forming self-associated clusters. Depending upon the nature of their hydrophilic group they can be cationic (positive charge), anionic (negative charge), non-ionic (no apparent charge)

Table 3 | Chromium removal using carbon nanotubes as an adsorbent

Adsorbent	Metal concentration (mg/L)	Optimum pH	Best model fit	Contact time (min)	Adsorbent dose (g/L)	Adsorbent capacity (mg/g)	Removal per cent (%)	References
Nitric acid oxidised carbon nanotube	1	7	–	2	150	0.5	18%	Atieh <i>et al.</i> (2010)
Composite of carbon nanotubes and activated alumina	100	2	Langmuir Freundlich	240	2.5	264.5	>95%	Sankararamakrishnan <i>et al.</i> (2014)
Nitrogen-doped magnetic CNTs	12.82	8	Langmuir	720	0.2	638.56	>97%	Shin <i>et al.</i> (2011)
CNT supported by activated carbon	0.5	2	Langmuir	60	0.04	9	72%	Atieh (2011)
Cigarette filter with MWCNT and graphene	4	–	–	–	4	–	63–79%	Yu <i>et al.</i> (2015)
Oxidised multi-walled carbon nanotubes	2.88	<2	Langmuir adsorption isotherm	9,900	75–1.25	4.2615	100%	Hu <i>et al.</i> (2009)
Composite of multi-walled carbon nanotubes and iron oxide	20	6	–	10–60	0.1–2	–	88%	Gupta <i>et al.</i> (2011)
Manganese dioxide/iron oxide/acid oxidised multi-walled carbon nanotube nanocomposites	50–300	2	Langmuir	150	5	186.9	85%	Luo <i>et al.</i> (2013)
Carbon nanotubes functionalised using nitric acid and potassium permagnate	1	9	Langmuir and Freundlich	120	0.1	2.47, 2.48	87.6%	Mubarak <i>et al.</i> (2014b)
Carbon nanotube produced using microwave heating	2	8	Langmuir and Freundlich	60	9	24.45	95%	Mubarak <i>et al.</i> (2016b)

Table 4 | Chromium removal using rice husk as an adsorbent

Adsorbent	Metal concentration (mg/L)	Optimum pH	Best model fit	Contact time (min)	Adsorbent dose (g/L)	Adsorbent capacity (mg/g)	Removal per cent (%)	References
Iron oxide incorporated into silica derived from rice husk	50–300	2	Langmuir	120	2.0	63.69	71%	Oladoja <i>et al.</i> (2013)
Ozone-treated rice husk	50, 100	2	Freundlich	150	4.0	8.7–13.1	86%	Sugashini & Begum (2015)
Modified rice husk	190, 850	6.8	Freundlich	5,760	1–16	–	95%	Agrafioti <i>et al.</i> (2014)

and zwitterionic (both charges are present); because of these characteristics surfactant modified adsorbents are superior in removal efficiency and promote selective adsorption (Nadeem *et al.* 2006; Rosen & Kunjappu 2012). These researchers modified carbon powder obtained from the husks and pods of *Moringa oleifera* using the surfactant cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide. This process improved the removal efficiency of the carbon powder with an adsorption capacity of 27 mg/g being reported at an optimum pH of 8. Similarly, Namasivayam & Sureshkumar (2008) modified coconut coir pith by using hexadecyltrimethyl ammonium bromide surfactant to increase the removal efficiency of chromium. They reported a maximum adsorption capacity of 76.3 mg/g at an optimum pH of 2. Table 5 summarises the reported use of surfactant modified waste as an adsorbent for chromium removal.

Modified sugarcane bagasse

Sugarcane bagasse is a by-product of agricultural wastes that consists of cellulose (50%), polyoses (27%) and lignin (23%). Due to these biological component polymers, sugarcane bagasse is rich in hydroxyl and phenolic groups and these groups can be chemically modified to improve adsorption capacity (Ngah & Hanafiah 2008). Sugarcane bagasse is obtained from the fibrous material left after cane stalk crushing and juice extraction. Sugarcane bagasse originates from the outer rind and inner pith (Ullah *et al.* 2013) and has been used in the natural form as well as in a modified form.

Ahmad *et al.* (2013) reported chromium removal using chromium-resistant reducing bacteria *Acinetobacter haemolyticus* inside sugarcane bagasse; this bacteria converts Cr(VI) into Cr(III) which is less toxic and less soluble as compared to Cr(VI), and a removal of more than 90% was obtained. Chemicals used for modification of sugarcane bagasse are succinic anhydride, EDTA dianhydride (EDTAD), xanthate, pyromellitic anhydride, sulphuric acid, citric acid, sodium bicarbonate, ethylenediamine, etc. These acids work as good chelating agents, so they become polymerised with sugarcane bagasse because it increases the number of chelating sites and helps in heavy metal removal from wastewater. Garg *et al.* (2009) used succinic acid for modification of sugarcane bagasse and reported 92% removal obtained at an optimum pH of 2. Cronje *et al.* (2011) removed chromium by activating sugarcane bagasse with zinc chloride, and >87% chromium was reported at an optimum pH of 8.58. Table 6 summarises the reported use of sugarcane bagasse as an adsorbent for chromium removal.

Modified wheat bran

Wheat bran is an agricultural by-product which can be used for the removal of heavy metals and is obtained from the shell of flour mill wheat seeds. It is economically viable, biodegradable and consists of many nutrients such as protein, minerals, fatty acids and dietary fibres (Kaya *et al.* 2014). It has various organic functional groups with a surface area of 441 m²/g and a fixed carbon content of 31.78% (Singh

Table 5 | Chromium removal using surfactant modified waste as an adsorbent

Adsorbent	Metal concentration (mg/L)	Optimum pH	Best model fit	Contact time (min)	Adsorbent dose (g/L)	Adsorbent capacity (mg/g)	Removal per cent (%)	References
Coconut coir pith modified by using surfactant cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide	30	8	Langmuir	120	1	29.96	98%	Nadeem <i>et al.</i> (2006)
Coconut coir pith modified by using hexadecyltrimethyl ammonium bromide surfactant	20–60	2	Langmuir, Freundlich, Dubinin–Radushkevich	90	0.5–6.0	76.3	96%	Namasivayam & Sureshkumar (2008)

Table 6 | Chromium removal using modified sugarcane bagasse as an adsorbent

Adsorbent	Metal concentration (mg/L)	Optimum pH	Best model fit	Contact time (min)	Adsorbent dose (g/L)	Adsorbent capacity (mg/g)	Removal per cent (%)	References
<i>Acinetobacter haemolyticus</i> bacteria inside sugarcane bagasse	10–100	7	–	2,880	–	–	>90%	Ahmad <i>et al.</i> (2013)
Succinic acid modified sugarcane bagasse	50	2	–	60	20	–	92%	Garg <i>et al.</i> (2009)
Sugarcane bagasse activated with zinc chloride	77.5	8.58	–	60	6.85	–	>87%	Cronje <i>et al.</i> (2011)

et al. 2009). It has various functional groups, such as methoxy, phenolic hydroxyl and carbonyl, that have the ability to bind heavy metals (Ravat *et al.* 2000). Farajzadeh & Monji (2004) demonstrated the removal of chromium using wheat bran with a maximum adsorption capacity of 93 mg/g and a maximum removal of 89%. Wheat bran can be modified by using different acids to increase removal capacity (Al-Khaldi *et al.* 2015). The thermo-chemical interaction between wheat bran and acids increases with temperature. Thus, Özer & Özer (2004) modified wheat bran using sulphuric acid and demonstrated chromium removal with an adsorption capacity of up to 133 mg/g at an optimum pH of 1.5. Kaya *et al.* (2014) used tartaric acid for modification of wheat bran and reported a 51% removal without modification, while after modification, removal was up to 90% at pH 2 and the maximum adsorption capacity was reported to be 4.53 mg of Cr(VI)/g and 5.28 mg of Cr(VI)/g at pH 2.2, without and with modification, respectively. Table 7 summarises the reported use of modified wheat bran as an adsorbent for chromium removal.

Modified coconut waste

Coconut waste is also used as an adsorbent for chromium removal. Its sorption properties are due to the presence of coordinating functional groups such as hydroxyl and carboxyl (Tan *et al.* 1993). Coconut coir pith and coconut shell are coconut wastes suitable for heavy metal removal. Coir pith is a light fluffy biomaterial and is generated during the separation process of fibre from coconut husk (Namasivayam & Sureshkumar 2008). Notably, 7.5 million tons per year of coconut is produced in India (Chadha 2003). Raw coir pith consists of 35% cellulose, 1.8% fats, 25.2% lignin and resin, 7.5% pentosans, 8.7% ash content, 11.9% moisture content and 10.6% other substances (Dan 1992). Namasivayam & Sureshkumar (2008) modified coir pith using the surfactant hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide for chromium removal. The maximum removal obtained with this material was reported as being higher than 90% at an optimum pH of 2 and the maximum adsorption capacity was 76.3 mg/g. This was higher than the

Table 7 | Chromium removal using modified wheat bran as an adsorbent

Adsorbent	Metal concentration (mg/L)	Optimum pH	Best model fit	Contact time (min)	Adsorbent dose (g/L)	Adsorbent capacity (mg/g)	Removal per cent (%)	References
Wheat bran	20	5	–	20	80	93	89%	Farajzadeh & Monji (2004)
Wheat bran modified using sulphuric acid	50, 100	1.5	Langmuir	300	2.0	133	99.9%	Ozer <i>et al.</i> (2006)
Wheat bran modified using tartaric acid	52	2, 2.2	Freundlich	15–1,440	20	5.28	90%	Kaya <i>et al.</i> (2014)

maximum adsorption capacity obtained using raw coir pith which was only 1.24 mg/g (Sumathi *et al.* 2005). This demonstrates that the adsorption capacity obtained after modification was much higher. Similarly, Shen *et al.* (2012) removed chromium using coconut coir and derived char and reported a maximum removal of 70%. Table 8 summarises the reported use of modified coconut waste as an adsorbent for chromium removal.

Modified orange peel waste

Orange peel is used as an adsorbent for the removal of chromium from wastewater because it contains cellulose, hemicelluloses, pectin (galacturonic acid) and lignin (Feng *et al.* 2011). These components also have various coordinating functional groups including carboxylic and phenolic acid groups which can bind heavy metals. Orange peel is an attractive adsorbent because of its availability and low cost (Feng *et al.* 2011). Marín *et al.* (2010) studied the role of three major functional groups (amine, carboxyl and hydroxyl) on chromium removal where the bioadsorbent (orange peel) was chemically modified by esterification, acetylation and methylation in order to selectively block the functional groups. Thus, esterification decreased removal capacity, which indicates that the carboxylic groups present in the adsorbent are important for chromium removal and that the amine and hydroxyl groups have a negligible effect. The maximum adsorption capacity reported by these researchers was 40.56 mg/g. Lugo-Lugo *et al.* (2012) biosorbed chromium on pre-treated orange peel in both single (presence of chromium only) and binary mixtures (presence of chromium along with iron). It was observed that in the binary mixture, removal of chromium was

interfered with by the presence of iron as more than one heavy metal in the mixture may increase, decrease or may not affect removal performance of the adsorbent. The removal per cent and adsorption capacity obtained in single phase (presence of chromium only) was 51% and 4.79 mg/g while for the binary system (presence of chromium along with iron) it was 79% and 7.60 mg/g. López-Téllez *et al.* (2011) removed chromium by preparing a composite that incorporates iron nanoparticles into orange peel pith. It was found that for this composite the percentage removal and adsorption capacity were 71% and 5.37 mg/g, respectively, as compared to raw orange peel, i.e., 34% and 1.90 mg/g, respectively. Table 9 summarises the reported use of modified orange peel waste as an adsorbent for chromium removal from wastewater.

Modified saw dust

As a solid waste, sawdust is produced in large quantities at sawmills. It contains primarily lignin and cellulose. Sawdust has been used as an adsorbent for heavy metal removal and shows good removal (Shukla *et al.* 2002). Sawdust is obtained by cutting, grinding, drilling, sanding or by pulverising wood with a saw or other tool producing fine wood particles. Argun *et al.* (2007) used hydrochloric acid modified oak saw dust (*Quercus coccifera*) for the removal of chromium. This treatment increases the proportion of active surfaces and prevents the elution of tannin compounds that would stain treated water. The maximum removal efficiency reported was 84% for Cr(VI) at pH 3 and the maximum adsorption capacity was 1.70 mg/g for Cr(VI) at pH 3. Politi & Sidiras (2012) used pine sawdust modified with 0.11–3.6 N sulphuric acid for the removal of

Table 8 | Chromium removal using modified coconut waste as an adsorbent

Adsorbent	Metal concentration (mg/L)	Optimum pH	Best model fit	Contact time (min)	Adsorbent dose (g/L)	Adsorbent capacity (mg/g)	Removal per cent (%)	References
Modified coir pith using the surfactant hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide	20–100	2	Langmuir, Freundlich and Dubinin–Raduskevich	30–90	50	76.3, 1.24	>90%	Namasivayam & Sureshkumar (2008)
Coconut coir and derived char	10–500	3	–	7,200	1.0	70.4	70%	Shen <i>et al.</i> (2012)

Table 9 | Chromium removal using modified orange peel waste as an adsorbent

Adsorbent	Metal concentration (mg/L)	Optimum pH	Best model fit	Contact time (min)	Adsorbent dose (g/L)	Adsorbent capacity (mg/g)	Removal per cent (%)	References
Modified orange peel	0–500	4	Langmuir	4,320	4.0	40.56	82%	Marín <i>et al.</i> (2010)
Pre-treated orange peel	10	3	Langmuir model	260	10.0	4.79, 7.60	51%, 79%	Lugo-Lugo <i>et al.</i> (2012)
Composite of iron nanoparticles into orange peel pith	10–50	1	Langmuir	60	5.0	1.90, 5.37	34%, 71%	López-Téllez <i>et al.</i> (2011)

chromium and reported a maximum adsorption capacity of 20.3 mg/g and 86% removal at pH 2. Table 10 summarises the reported use of modified saw dust as an adsorbent for chromium removal from wastewater.

Modified egg shell

Although chicken eggs are a worldwide daily food they also pose environmental problems. For example, in the United States, about 150,000 tons of this material is disposed of in landfills every year (Toro *et al.* 2007). Egg shell has an outstanding mechanical performance, such as an excellent combination of stiffness, strength, impact resistance and toughness. The composition is about 95% calcium carbonate (which occurs in two crystal forms: hexagonal calcite and rhombohedral aragonite) and 5% organic materials. The amine and amide groups of the proteins on the surface of particulate egg shell are a potential source of hardening agent and help in chromium removal via chelation (Guru & Dash 2013) and this hardening agent (providing strength

to the adsorbent) has an affinity for chromium. Egg shells have been used for the removal of chromium from water in both modified and non-modified forms. Modification is carried out by calcinating at high temperatures. After calcination the structure changes due to the development of pores via the emission of carbon dioxide gas (Rohim *et al.* 2014). Daraei *et al.* (2015) used egg shell for chromium removal and reported 93% removal at an optimum pH 5 and 1.45 mg/g of maximum adsorption capacity. Liu & Huang (2011) modified egg shell using PEI. The PEI functionalises the eggshell membrane (ESM) via cross-linking reactions between various functional groups. The prepared bioadsorbent is reported as interacting strongly with chromium(VI), and the uptake capacity of the PEI-ESM was increased by 105% compared with the unmodified egg shell with a maximum removal of 90% and a maximum adsorption capacity of up to 160 mg/g at an optimum pH of 3. Table 11 summarises the reported use of modified egg shell as an adsorbent for chromium removal from wastewater.

Table 10 | Chromium removal using modified saw dust as an adsorbent

Adsorbent	Metal concentration (mg/L)	Optimum pH	Best model fit	Contact time (min)	Adsorbent dose (g/L)	Adsorbent capacity (mg/g)	Removal per cent (%)	References
Hydrochloric acid modified oak saw dust (<i>Quercus coccifera</i>)	0.1–100	3	Langmuir, D–R isotherms	0–720	60	1.70	84%	Argun <i>et al.</i> (2007)
Sulphuric acid modified pine sawdust	15–75	2	Freundlich	240	4	20.3	–	Politi & Sidiras (2012)

Table 11 | Chromium removal using modified egg shell as an adsorbent

Adsorbent	Metal concentration (mg/L)	Optimum pH	Best model fit	Contact time (min)	Adsorbent dose (g/L)	Adsorbent capacity (mg/g)	Removal per cent (%)	References
Egg shell	5–30	5	Freundlich	90	3.5	1.45	93%	Daraei <i>et al.</i> (2015)
Egg shell modified using PEI	100	3	Langmuir	10–1,440	10–40	160	90%	Liu & Huang (2011)

Commercially available adsorbents for cadmium removal from wastewater

Mesoporous silica

Mesoporous silica is a highly ordered material which possesses a regular two-dimensional hexagonal array of channels. Mesoporous silica is efficient for cadmium removal because of its high surface area and 2–10 nm pore size (Bhattacharyya *et al.* 2006). Mesoporous silica may be chemically modified via the attachment of groups including carboxylic acid, sulfonic acid and amino-carbonyl. Javadian *et al.* (2014) prepared polyaniline/polypyrrole/hexagonal type mesoporous silica for cadmium removal and reported a removal of 99.2% cadmium at an optimum pH of 8. Hajiaghababaei *et al.* (2011) modified SBA-15 nanoporous silica by functionalising it with ethylenediamine. SBA-15 is a highly ordered material with a

regular two-dimensional hexagonal array of channels with a pore diameter of the order of 7–10 nm. The reported removal was 98% at pH > 4.5. Similarly, Burke *et al.* (2009) also used aminopropyl and mercaptopropyl, functionalised and bi-functionalised, large pore mesoporous silica spheres for the removal of chromium from wastewater. These researchers reported a maximum sorption capacity of 43.16 mg/g for Cr. Pérez-Quintanilla *et al.* (2007) modified silica and amorphous silica using 2-mercaptopyridine and reported maximum adsorption capacities of 205 mg/g and 97 mg/g, respectively. Table 12 documents the available data for mesoporous silica for cadmium removal from wastewater.

Chitosan

Chitosan is a derivative of the N-deacetylation of chitin which is a naturally occurring polysaccharide obtained from

Table 12 | Cadmium removal using mesoporous silica as an adsorbent

Adsorbent functionalised with	Metal concentration (mg/L)	Optimum pH	Best model fit	Contact time (min)	Adsorbent dose (g/L)	Adsorbent capacity (mg/g)	Removal per cent (%)	References
Silica functionalised with mono amino and mercapto groups	25	<8	Langmuir	1,440–2,880	20	12.36, 14.61, 28.10	80%	Machida <i>et al.</i> (2012)
Amino functionalised silica	50	5	Langmuir	120	5	18.25	90%	Heidari <i>et al.</i> (2009)
Amino functionalised mesoporous silica	5–300	–	Langmuir	1,440	1.11	93.30	100%	Aguado <i>et al.</i> (2009)
Iminodiacetic acid-modified mesoporous SBA-15	50–1,000	5.6	Langmuir	1,440	4.0	–	99.8%	Gao <i>et al.</i> (2007)
Polyamine-functionalised	100	5.5–7	–	2,880	–	–	70%	Allothman & Appleth (2010)

crustaceans. Chitosan is an efficient adsorbent for the removal of heavy metals (Ren *et al.* 2008). Chitosan is cheap, hydrophilic and biodegradable and it also offers ease of derivatisation. It contains amino and hydroxyl groups that may form chelates with heavy metals (Huo *et al.* 2009; Hu *et al.* 2011). Chitosan has the advantage of being cheap yet effective, but has the disadvantages of being mechanically weak, soluble under acidic conditions and may leach carbohydrate when used in raw form (Ren *et al.* 2008; Huo *et al.* 2009). Various efforts have been made to stabilise chitosan using cross-linking agents, but this results in a decrease in adsorption capacity (Wang *et al.* 2011). Thus, Chen *et al.* (2012) have developed 'ion imprint technology' for achieving higher adsorption capacity and stability. This involves the development of a novel adsorbent that is a thiourea-modified magnetic ion imprinted chitosan/TiO₂ composite for the removal of cadmium. The maximum adsorption capacity obtained for this material was reported to be 256.41 mg/g at an optimum pH of 7. Chitosan has also been modified by a coating process involving ceramic alumina. Coating helps increase accessibility of binding sites and improves mechanical stability. Maximum adsorption capacity obtained was reported to be 108.7 mg/g at an optimum pH of 6 and the maximum removal reported was 93.76% (Wan *et al.*

2004). Similarly, Hydari *et al.* (2012) modified chitosan by coating with activated carbon and reported an adsorption capacity of 52.63 mg/g adsorption capacity at an optimum pH of 6 with 100% removal. Table 13 presents cadmium removal data for chitosan as an adsorbent from wastewater.

Zeolite

Zeolites are among the best adsorbents for the removal of cadmium because they are composed of hydrated aluminosilicate minerals made from the interlinked tetrahedra of alumina (AlO₄) and silica (SiO₄) moieties (Choi *et al.* 2016). Zeolite has good ion exchange properties, a high surface area and a hydrophilic character which makes them suitable for sequestration of cadmium. Modified zeolite provides a higher adsorption capacity compared to natural zeolite. There are different methods for zeolite modification. For example, nano-sized zeolite has more accessible pores which make it more suitable for heavy metal removal. Among nanosized zeolite adsorbents, NaX nanozeolite (Ansari *et al.* 2014) (in molar ratio of 5.5 Na₂O:1.0 Al₂O₃:4.0 SiO₂:190 H₂O) is widely used for cadmium removal from wastewater (Erdem *et al.* 2004; Jha *et al.* 2008; Ibrahim *et al.* 2010; Aliabadi *et al.* 2013;

Table 13 | Cadmium removal using chitosan as an adsorbent

Adsorbent	Metal concentration (mg/L)	Optimum pH	Best model fit	Contact time (min)	Adsorbent dose (g/L)	Adsorption capacity (mg/g)	Removal (%)	References
α -Ketoglutaric acid-modified magnetic chitosan	100–500	6	Langmuir	90	0.04	201.2	93%	Yang <i>et al.</i> (2014b)
Electrospun nanofibre membrane of PEO/chitosan	50–1,000	5	Freundlich, Langmuir and Dubinin–Radushkevich	120	–	248.1	72%	Aliabadi <i>et al.</i> (2013)
Nano-hydroxyapatite/chitosan composite	100–500	5.6	Freundlich and Langmuir	90	5.0	92, 122	92%	Salah <i>et al.</i> (2014)
Polyaniline grafted cross-linked chitosan beads	40–220	6	Langmuir	120	4.5	145	99.6%	Igberase & Osifo (2015)
O-carboxymethyl functionalisation of chitosan	675	10	–	1,440	–	–	95%	Borsagli <i>et al.</i> (2015)
Multi-walled carbon nanotubes modified with chitosan	–	6–7	–	–	–	–	>90%	Salam <i>et al.</i> (2011)

Rad *et al.* 2014). Rad *et al.* (2014) synthesised NaX nanozeolite using a microwave heating method, and then polyvinylacetate polymer/NaX nanocomposite nanofibres were prepared using electrospinning method; the potential of these composite nanofibres was then investigated for cadmium. The reported maximum adsorption capacity was 838.7 mg/g with 80% removal at an optimum pH of 5. Choi *et al.* (2016) modified zeolite by replacing Si(IV) and Al(III) sites in the lattice with exchangeable cations such as sodium, magnesium, potassium, or calcium, leading to a net negative charge. Mg-modified zeolite has certain advantages such as non-toxicity, low cost, abundance (and hence availability) and large pore size of 40–50 nm compared to the non-modified adsorbent. This Mg-modified adsorbent has a cadmium removal of more than 98% at an optimum pH of 7. In addition, the adsorption capacity of Mg-zeolite was found to be 1.5 times higher than that of zeolite modified with Na or K and 1.5 to 2.0 times higher than that of natural zeolite.

Coal, which is used in many industries as a fuel, produces fly ash as a by-product which causes air pollution and presents disposal problems. Due to its low cost fly ash can be used for zeolite formation using the hydrothermal process (Hui *et al.* 2005). Javadian *et al.* (2015) converted fly ash into amorphous aluminosilicate adsorbent and reported a maximum adsorption capacity for cadmium of 26.246 mg/g with 84% removal at an optimum pH of 5. Similarly, Visa (2016) converted fly ash into zeolite for cadmium removal through a hydrothermal process using sodium hydroxide. These researchers reported that this product has high surface area and is rich in micropores and

demonstrates more than 80% cadmium removal at an optimum pH of 7–8. Table 14 summarises the removal parameters for the sequestering of cadmium using zeolite.

Red mud

Red mud is a waste material from the aluminium industry that may be converted into an efficient adsorbent for cadmium removal from waste water (Gupta & Sharma 2002). Red mud has the advantage of being cheap and available and possesses a high capacity for cadmium removal; however, it also has some disadvantages including the difficulty of dealing with the wastewater produced during red mud activation before application, and regeneration/recovery of red mud is difficult after application (Zhu *et al.* 2007). However, Zhu *et al.* (2007) developed red mud as a novel adsorbent for cadmium removal from wastewater. In this regard, the adsorption process onto granular red mud was found to be spontaneous and endothermic in nature. A maximum adsorption capacity of 52.1 mg/g was reported at a pH of 3 to 6. Similarly, Gupta & Sharma (2002) also used red mud for cadmium removal from wastewater and complete removal was obtained at the lower concentration (1.78×10^{-5} to 1.78×10^{-4} Molar) while 60–65% removal was obtained at the higher concentration (1.78×10^{-4} to 1.78×10^{-3} Molar) at an optimum pH between 4 and 5. Ma *et al.* (2009) used CaCO₃-dominated red mud (red mud containing substantial amounts of CaCO₃) for cadmium removal from wastewater. With increase in saturation degree of binding sites on red mud particles by the heavy metal, the proportion of HCH₃COO-extractable Cu fraction

Table 14 | Cadmium removal using zeolite as an adsorbent

Adsorbent	Metal concentration (mg/L)	Optimum pH	Best model fit	Contact time (min)	Adsorbent dose (g/L)	Adsorbent capacity (mg/g)	Removal (%)	References
Synthetic zeolite A	100–2,000	–	Freundlich and D–R	180	1.0	315.65	–	El-Kamash <i>et al.</i> (2005)
Zeolite	25–100	6	Freundlich	90	25	–	76%	Rao <i>et al.</i> (2006)
Zeolite from fly ash	1124.1–3372.3	6.6	Langmuir	1,440	10	57–195	95.6%	Izidoro <i>et al.</i> (2013)
Oil shale into zeolite	100	7	Sips	60–1,440	–	95.6	–	Shawabkeh <i>et al.</i> (2004)
Natural zeolite	9–90	5	Freundlich	1,440	–	9	71%	Hamidpour <i>et al.</i> (2010)

(acetic acid-extractable Cu fraction) increased accordingly. Cadmium increasingly bound with HCH_3COO -extractable forms until adsorption capacity of red mud was depleted. Ju *et al.* (2012) mixed 2%–8% w/w granular red mud with cement and reported an adsorption capacity of 9 mg/g. It was also found that an increase in temperature increases the equilibrium adsorption which suggests that this adsorption process is endothermic in nature.

Bio-adsorbents for the removal of cadmium

Coffee residue

Coffee residue has been reported as an efficient adsorbent for the removal of cadmium from wastewater. For example, Boonamnuyvitaya *et al.* (2004) used coffee residues for cadmium removal and also blended them with clay to prepare an adsorbent with a negative charge which promotes cadmium complexation and removal. The prepared adsorbent contains hydroxyl, carbonyl and amine groups and has a pyrolysis temperature of 500 °C (this temperature gives maximum adsorption capacity) and a particle size diameter of 4 mm. A weight ratio of coffee residue to clay of 80:20 was found to be the most suitable blend. Oliveira *et al.* (2008) employed coffee husks that comprise the dry outer skin, pulp and parchment as these are likely to represent the major residue obtained from the handling and processing of coffee. For this material, the maximum adsorption capacity was reported to be 6.9 mg/g at an optimum pH of 4 with a removal of 65–85%. Kaikake *et al.* (2007) soaked and degreased coffee beans (DCB) in water

and methanol to produce an adsorbent. The prepared DCB material behaved as a cation exchanger with 90% removal at an optimum pH 8. Azouaou *et al.* (2010) used waste material from cafeterias as an adsorbent for cadmium removal and reported an adsorption capacity of 15.65 mg/g with more than 80% removal at an optimum pH of 7. Table 15 presents cadmium removal data for coffee residue as an adsorbent.

Rice husk

Rice husk is an agricultural waste obtained from rice mills and it consists of cellulose, hemicelluloses, mineral ash, lignin and a high percentage of silica (Rahman *et al.* 1997). It contains groups such as $-\text{OH}$, Si-O-Si and $-\text{Si-H}$ which have an affinity for cadmium coordination and hence removal. It may be useful as an adsorbent for cadmium removal because it is cheap and easily available. Chemicals that are used for the modification of rice husk in order to increase adsorption capacity include the bases sodium hydroxide, epichlorohydrin and sodium carbonate (Kumar & Bandyopadhyay 2006). Ye *et al.* (2010) modified rice husk by constant stirring with sodium hydroxide for 24 hours and reported an adsorption capacity for cadmium removal of 125.94 mg/g, which is higher than the non-modified rice husk at 73.96 mg/g, at an optimum pH of 6.5. Kumar & Bandyopadhyay (2006) modified rice husk using epichlorohydrin, sodium hydroxide and sodium bicarbonate, and the adsorption capacity increased from 8.58 mg/g for raw rice husk to 11.12 mg/g, 20.24 mg/g and 16.18 mg/g, respectively, with the removal increasing

Table 15 | Cadmium removal using coffee residue as an adsorbent

Adsorbent	Metal concentration (mg/L)	Optimum pH	Best model fit	Contact time (min)	Adsorbent dose (g/L)	Adsorbent capacity (mg/g)	Removal per cent (%)	References
Coffee residues blended with clay	25–250	1.6–2.5	Langmuir	30	10	17.5–17.9	88–92%	Boonamnuyvitaya <i>et al.</i> (2004)
Coffee husks	50–100	4	Langmuir	4,320	6.7	6.9	65–85%	Oliveira <i>et al.</i> (2008)
Coffee beans	6–202	8	Langmuir	1,440	10	3.80	90%	Kaikake <i>et al.</i> (2007)
Coffee grounds from cafeterias	10–700	7	Langmuir	120	9	15.65	>80%	Azouaou <i>et al.</i> (2010)

from 75% to 86.2%, 97% and 97.2%, respectively, at an optimum pH of 9. It was also reported that the equilibrium time was reduced from 600 min to 120 min, 240 min and 60 min, respectively. [Ajmal *et al.* \(2003\)](#) treated rice husk using phosphate, and a maximum removal of 99% was reported at an optimum pH of 12. [Srivastava *et al.* \(2006\)](#) used mesoporous rice husk with an 80% pore area (ratio of rice husk's unoccupied area to its total area) and reported a 23.3% cadmium removal along with some other heavy metals at an optimum pH of 6. [Sharma *et al.* \(2009\)](#) used polyacrylamide grafted rice husk for cadmium removal from wastewater, and 85% removal was reported at an optimum pH of 9. [Table 16](#) summarises the removal parameters for the sequestering of cadmium using rice husk.

Powdered olive stones

Olive stones form part of the waste produced from the oleic industry and are available in olive oil producing countries ([Bohli *et al.* 2015](#)). Thus, the olive stone is a plentiful by-product of the olive oil industry and is a candidate for use as an adsorbent for the removal of cadmium. Olive stones can be modified using succinic anhydride, sulphuric acid, nitric acid or sodium hydroxide to increase adsorption ([Blázquez *et al.* 2005](#); [Aziz *et al.* 2009a](#)). [Aziz *et al.* \(2009a\)](#) modified olive stones using succinic anhydride that chemically functionalises it with succinate moieties that have an affinity for cadmium. This adsorbent was synthesised by esterifying the lignocellulosic matrix of the olive stone with succinic

anhydride in the presence of toluene in basic medium. The adsorption capacity reported for this material was 200 mg/g at an optimum pH of 4. [Aziz *et al.* \(2009b\)](#) modified olive stones using concentrated sulphuric acid at room temperature followed by neutralization with 0.1 N sodium hydroxide solution, and the maximum adsorption capacity was reported to be 128.2 mg/g at an optimum pH range of 5–10. [Blázquez *et al.* \(2005\)](#) used olive stones for cadmium removal and observed the effect of different parameters on the percentage removal. Thus it was found that for a smaller size of adsorbent particles (250–355 nm) the removal capacity increases up to 90% at an optimum pH of 11, and the maximum adsorption capacity was reached within 20 minutes, which is fast compared to the equilibrium time achieved in cadmium removal using olive stones prepared by ZnCl_2 activation ([Kula *et al.* 2008](#)) and by using olive cake ([Al-Anber & Matouq 2008](#)). Olive stone can also be used as an adsorbent by converting it into activated carbon using chemicals such as ZnCl_2 , H_3PO_4 and H_2O_2 with a subsequent improvement in pore distribution that increases the surface area of the adsorbent. [Kula *et al.* \(2008\)](#) used 20% zinc chloride as an olive stone activating agent for cadmium removal and 95% removal was reported and compared with raw olive stone (43%) at an optimum pH of 9. [Obregón-Valencia & del Rosario Sun-Kou \(2014\)](#) prepared activated carbon from carbon aguaje and olive fruit stone using phosphoric acid solution, and a maximum adsorption capacity of 8.14 mg/g and 9.01 mg/g and a removal capacity of 61% and 68% was obtained for aguaje and olive fruit stones, respectively. [Hamdaoui \(2009\)](#)

Table 16 | Cadmium removal using rice husk as an adsorbent

Adsorbent	Metal concentration (mg/L)	Optimum pH	Best model fit	Contact time (min)	Adsorbent dose (g/L)	Adsorption capacity (mg/g)	Removal per cent (%)	Reference
Rice husk ash	10–100	6	Freundlich, Redlich–Peterson	5	1–10	3.04	29.8%	Srivastava <i>et al.</i> (2008)
Sulphuric acid-treated rice husk	50, 100	4	Langmuir	60	1.0	41.15 and 38.76	–	El-Shafey (2007)
Activated rice husk	8.9–89 M	6	Freundlich, Langmuir and Dubinin–Radushkevich	20	4.0	–	97%	Akhtar <i>et al.</i> (2010)

compared the adsorption capacity of olive stone in the absence of ultrasound (42.19 mg/g) and in the presence of ultrasound (55.87 mg/g) and with combined ultrasound/stirring (64.94 mg/g). Ultrasound increases adsorption capacity of olive stone due to acoustic power which enhances mass and heat transfer at films and within the pore. Further, combination of stirring with ultrasound leads to intensification of the removal of cadmium. Table 17 summarises the removal parameters for the sequestering of cadmium using powdered olive stones.

Apple pomace

Apple pomace is a waste product from the apple juice industry and is usually dumped at industrial sites in very large quantities (Chand *et al.* 2015). An apple (solid residue part) consists of the flesh 95% (wt%), seed 2–4% (wt%) and stem 1% (wt%) (Chand *et al.* 2014). Apple pomace is the solid residue part of the apple which is obtained during its processing (Chand *et al.* 2014). Apple pomace contains 7.24 g kg^{-1} of total polyphenol which includes epicatechin (0.64 g/kg), caffeic acid (0.28 g/kg), 3-hydroxyphloridzin (0.27 g/kg), phloretin-20-xyloglucoside (0.17 g/kg), phloridzin (1.42 g/kg), quercetin-3-galactoside (1.61 g/kg), quercetin-3-galucoside (0.87 g/kg), quercetin-3-xyloside (0.53 g/kg), quercetin-3-arbinoside (0.98 g/kg) and quercetin-3-rhamnoside (0.47 g/kg). Thus, apple pomace behaves as a metal chelator

because of these polyphenols, amine and carboxyl groups (Foo & Lu 1999; Lu & Foo 1998). Chand *et al.* (2014) chemically modified apple pomace with succinic anhydride via a simple ring opening mechanism that provides a larger surface area on the material. The surface area is reported to increase by 18%, and consequently, 50 times less apple pomace was required as an adsorbent. The adsorption capacity of modified apple pomace (91.74 mg/g) was increased 20 times compared to non-modified apple pomace (4.45 mg/g) and for the modified apple pomace a removal of 90% was obtained compared to 70% for non-modified apple pomace at an optimum pH of 4. Similarly, in other work, these researchers prepared an adsorbent by introducing the xanthate moiety into apple pomace. The maximum adsorption capacity obtained for the xanthate modified material was reported to be 112.35 mg/g with a maximum removal of 99.7% at an optimum pH of 4. This research suggests that chemically modified apple pomace is best for cadmium removal and the introduction of xanthate gives higher removal than succinic anhydride. Table 18 presents cadmium removal data for apple pomace as an adsorbent.

Modified coconut waste

Seven and a half million tons of coconut per year is produced in India alone and the waste by-products have been used as adsorbents for cadmium removal (Chadha 2003).

Table 17 | Cadmium removal using powdered olive stone as an adsorbent

Adsorbent functionalised/ composite with/ modified	Metal concentration (mg/L)	Optimum pH	Best model fit	Contact time (min)	Adsorbent dose (g/L)	Adsorption capacity (mg/g)	Removal per cent (%)	Reference
Olive cake	100	6	Langmuir and Freundlich	1,440	0.3	65.4	66%	Al-Anber & Matouq (2008)
Zinc chloride activated olive stone	15–45	9	Langmuir and Freundlich	60	20	–	95%	Kula <i>et al.</i> (2008)
Microwaved olive stone activated carbon	20	5	Langmuir	7	0.25–2	11.72	95.32%	Alslaibi <i>et al.</i> (2013)
Activated carbon from olive stones	56–562	5	Redlich–Peterson	200	6	17.665	23%	Bohli <i>et al.</i> (2015)
Olive stone waste	33–16,861	5.5–6	Langmuir and Freundlich	60	13.33	–	49.2%	Fiol <i>et al.</i> (2006)

Table 18 | Cadmium removal using apple pomace as an adsorbent

Adsorbent	Metal concentration (mg/L)	Optimum pH	Best model fit	Contact time (min)	Adsorbent dose (g/L)	Adsorbent capacity (mg/g)	Removal per cent (%)	References
Succinic anhydride modified apple pomace	10–80	4	Langmuir	10–180	0.8 and 40	4.45, 91.74	70%, 90%	Chand <i>et al.</i> (2014)
Xanthate moiety into apple pomace	10–120	4	Langmuir	5–60	0.2–8	112.35	99.7%	Chand <i>et al.</i> (2015)

The sorption properties are due to the presence of functional groups such as hydroxyl and carboxyl and this material demonstrates a high affinity for metal ions (Tan *et al.* 1993). Coconut coir pith and coconut shell are waste by-products that can be used for cadmium removal. Coir pith is a light fluffy biomaterial generated during the separation of the coconut fibre from the husk (Namasivayam & Sureshku-mar 2008). Raw coir pith consists of 35% cellulose, 1.8% fats, 25.2% lignin and resin, 7.5% pentosans, 8.7% ash, 11.9% moisture and 10.6% other substances (Dan 1992). Kadirvelu & Namasivayam (2003) prepared activated carbon using coconut coir pith and reported a maximum adsorption capacity of 93.4 mg/g at a pH of 5. For cadmium removal, along with some other heavy metals, Jin *et al.* (2013) converted coconut into activated carbon and then grafted it with tetraoxalyl ethylenediamine melamine chelate using a pressure relief dipping ultrasonic method. The maximum adsorption capacity reported was 26.41 mg/g at an optimum pH of 5.5. Pino *et al.* (2006) used green coconut shell powder and reported removal of cadmium over a large

concentration range of 20 to 1,000 ppm with a maximum adsorption capacity of 285.7 mg/g and 98% removal at pH 7. Similarly, Sousa *et al.* (2010) used green coconut shell for cadmium removal, along with other heavy metals, and the maximum adsorption capacity found for the single component system (presence of cadmium only) was reported to be 37.78 mg/g and for the multicomponent system (presence of lead, nickel, zinc and copper along with cadmium), 11.96 mg/g at pH 5. Table 19 presents cadmium removal data for modified coconut waste as an adsorbent.

Commercially available adsorbents for copper removal from wastewater

Magnetic adsorbents

Various magnetic adsorbents have been used or show potential for the effective removal of copper from wastewater, including ‘magnetic’ adsorbent micro- and nano-sized particles (Yin *et al.* 2012). These latter adsorbents

Table 19 | Cadmium removal using modified coconut waste as an adsorbent

Adsorbent	Metal concentration (mg/L)	Optimum pH	Best model fit	Contact time (min)	Adsorbent dose (g/L)	Adsorbent capacity (mg/g)	Removal per cent (%)	References
Activated carbon from coconut shell	5–40	5	Langmuir, Freundlich	120	0.3921	93.4	98%	Kadirvelu & Namasivayam (2003)
Activated carbon from coconut shell	1,124	5.5	Langmuir	240	2	26.41	93.4%	Jin <i>et al.</i> (2013)
Green coconut shell	20–1,000	7	Langmuir	120	5	285.7	98	Pino <i>et al.</i> (2006)
Green coconut shell	100	5	–	1.33–9.98	1.620	37.38, 11.96	–	Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2010)

show high adsorption capacity and can be harvested from aqueous solution in the presence of a suitable magnetic field. In addition, such material is potentially reusable (Mehta *et al.* 2015). A problem with the use of unmodified magnetic particles is the formation of aggregates due to magnetic dipolar attraction between the particles. To prevent this, a layer of various polymer compounds or the inorganic oxide may be coated on the surface of the particles (Yin *et al.* 2012). Ren *et al.* (2008) prepared a novel adsorbent by using waste fungal mycelium obtained from industry (industries dealing with fungal products such as antibiotics, citric acid and enzymes), chitosan and iron oxide nanoparticles utilizing metal imprinting technology. Fungal mycelium has been used because of its low cost, abundance and high efficiency. However, its direct use is difficult because of its limited reusability, relative low adsorption and low mechanic intensity (mechanical strength). Chitosan is considered useful since it is a polysaccharide and contains $-NH_2$ and $-OH$ functional groups, which have an affinity for copper removal, and iron oxide is used because it is magnetic. In metal ion imprinting technology, selective binding sites are made on synthetic polymer using metal ion templates, and after removal of these templates, polymer become more selective for heavy metal removal from wastewater. Thus, binding of chitosan and industrial waste fungal mycelium on iron oxide nanoparticles produces a novel adsorbent known as magnetic Cu(II) ion imprinted composite adsorbent (Cu(II)-MICA). Ren *et al.* (2008) reported that the Langmuir isotherm fits the experimental data well and a maximum adsorption capacity of 71.36 mg/g was reported. It was also shown that this adsorbent can be reused up to five times with a regeneration loss of 14%–15%. Lan *et al.* (2013) used hyaluronic acid supported magnetic microspheres for copper removal, and their adsorption capacity is reported to increase from 6 mg/g to 12.2 mg/g as the pH is increased from 2 to 6.8, and slowly decreases to 11.6 mg/g up to pH 8. The corresponding adsorption equilibrium study showed that the copper adsorption of the hyaluronic acid-supported magnetic microspheres had the best fit to the Freundlich isotherm model. Gong *et al.* (2012) used a pectin-coated iron oxide magnetic nanocomposite as an adsorbent for removal of copper from wastewater. This nanocomposite adsorbent was synthesised

using an iron salt co-precipitation method followed by direct encapsulation with a coating of pectin and in the absence of calcium cross linking. The experimental data are reported to fit both Langmuir and Freundlich models and a maximum adsorption capacity of 48.99 mg/g was reported. The adsorbent can be further regenerated using EDTA and a removal of 93.70% was obtained after the first regeneration cycle and a removal of 58.66% remained even after a fifth cycle. Hu *et al.* (2013) used sulfonated graphene oxide for removal of copper from wastewater. The introduction of the sulfo functional group to graphene oxide is reported to increase the copper adsorption with an adsorption capacity of 62.73 mg/g at pH 4.68 and the experimental data fit the Langmuir isotherm.

Alumina

Alumina may be used for copper removal from wastewater and several authors have utilized alumina for this purpose either in nanoparticulate form or via loading with cation exchangers (Mahmoud *et al.* 2010; Fouladgar *et al.* 2015). For example, Fouladgar *et al.* (2015) used γ -alumina nanoparticles for removal of copper along with nickel. Nanoparticles are useful because of their high adsorption capacity due to the high number of metal coordination sites. These researchers have a best fit for the Freundlich isotherm and a maximum adsorption capacity of 31.3 mg/g for copper removal from wastewater. Ghaemi (2016) used a phase inversion method to prepare a mixed matrix membrane using PES (polyether-sulfone) and varying amounts (1 wt%) of alumina nanoparticles. Such mixed matrix membranes have shown higher water permeation compared to a pristine PES membrane that is facilitated by the addition of small amounts of nanoparticles. This results in an increase in porosity and hydrophilicity. The mixed matrix membrane has shown the highest removal of copper from wastewater of 60% compared to the PES membrane (around 25%). Mahmoud *et al.* (2010) removed copper from wastewater using three new alumina adsorbents of acidic, neutral and basic nature and their surface was modified by loading with 1-nitroso-2-naphthol as a cation exchanger. After modification, alumina adsorbent become stronger towards acid leaching and thermal

decomposition. The adsorption capacities obtained were 27.96 mg/g, 28.58 mg/g and 28.59 mg/g for the acidic, neutral and basic adsorbents, respectively. Conventional porous solids such as fly ash, clay and silica materials have the disadvantage of having non-uniform pores and low adsorption capacity. Thus, Rengaraj *et al.* (2004) prepared aminated and protonated mesoporous alumina for copper removal from wastewater. Mesoporous alumina have several advantages over conventional porous solids such as a large surface area, uniform pore size distribution with a sponge-like interlinked pore system, high stability and high metal uptake capacity (Lee *et al.* 2001). Ion exchange takes place between copper and the hydrogen ions that are present on the surface of mesoporous alumina, and the maximum adsorption capacity obtained for aminated mesoporous alumina is 7.9239 mg/g compared to 14.5349 mg/g for protonated mesoporous silica.

Clay

Clay may be used for removal of copper from wastewater and has a number of advantages over other adsorbents, such as high surface area, excellent physical (plasticity, bonding strength, shrinkage)/chemical properties (large zeta potential, cation exchange property, shows monobasicity) and structural/surface properties (load bearing strength, resistance to wear, resistance to chemical attack) (Singh *et al.* 2001; Krikorian & Martin 2005; Aşçı *et al.* 2007). Thus, researchers have studied different types of clay, either in raw form or after its modification, for copper sequestration. For example, Bertagnolli *et al.* (2011) employed bentonite clay after calcination at 400–500 °C. Bentonite has several advantageous properties as an adsorbent including low cost, good ion exchange capacity, selectivity and regenerability. After calcination, the chemical morphology and composition of clay does not change although the resulting structural changes alter its behaviour towards water and enables it to use infixed bed columns with no expansion. This material showed a maximum adsorption capacity of 11.89 mg/g. de Almeida Neto *et al.* (2014) reported copper removal in a fixed bed using Bofe bentonite calcinated clay, and a maximum adsorption capacity of 19.0638 mg/g was reported. The equilibrium time was increased from 120 to 400 minutes which is

much less compared to equilibrium time obtained by copper removal using chitosan immobilized on bentonite clay (Futalan *et al.* 2011). Furthermore, the bed was regenerated using NaCl/HCl solution at pH 5 that gave 50% elution efficiency. It increases removal capacity because the bed becomes free from heavy metals after contact with the eluent. Vengris *et al.* (2001) modified clay using hydrochloric acid followed by neutralisation of resultant solution with sodium hydroxide for copper removal from wastewater. Initially, the chemical composition (wt%) of clay was: iron oxide 6.9, silicon oxide 44.2, aluminium oxide 15.3, calcium oxide 13.8 and magnesium oxide 4. After treatment with hydrochloric acid, aluminium, iron and magnesium compounds of clay had increased because acid treatment causes dissolution of iron, calcium, magnesium and aluminium oxides and during the neutralisation process many dissolved metals (except calcium) reprecipitate in the form of hydroxides and their amount in the modified adsorbent increases. This leads to an increase in metal uptake capacity of modified clay compared to unmodified clay. This acidic treatment led to the decomposition of the montmorillonite structure. The maximum adsorption capacity obtained for single component solutions was 0.75 mg/g, for ternary component solutions 0.80 mg/g and the experimental data fitted the Langmuir isotherm. Similarly, Oubagaranadin *et al.* (2010) modified montmorillonite-illite clay using sulphuric acid for copper removal from wastewater. The BET model fitted well with the experimental data and the shape of the isotherm indicated that copper adsorption was multilayer.

Bio-adsorbents for copper removal from wastewater

Fungal biomass

Fungal biomass has been explored by several researchers for its potential to remove copper from wastewater. The use of fungal biomass for such purposes has been hindered due to problems such as small particle size, poor mechanical strength, low density and rigidity (Akar *et al.* 2009; McHale & McHale 1994; Volesky & Holan 1995). However, the use of a suitable matrix can potentially overcome these problems. Thus, Iqbal & Edyvean (2004) used a low cost, physically strong and highly porous matrix, namely 'loofah sponge' for the immobilized biomass of *Phanerochaete chrysosporium*,

and a maximum adsorption capacity of 50.9 mg/g at pH 6 with 98% removal reported. Formaldehyde inactivated *Cladosporium cladosporioides*, *Gliomastix murorum* and *Bjerkandera* fungi, at optimum conditions, can also be used for copper removal. These fungi are highly porous, their mesh structure provides ready access and a large surface area for the biosorption of copper. Thus, Li *et al.* (2009) obtained maximum adsorption capacities of 7.74 mg/g, 9.01 mg/g and 12.08 mg/g, and removals of 93.79%, 85.09% and 81.96%, for *C. cladosporioides*, *G. murorum* and *Bjerkandera* fungi, respectively. The biosorption data of all fungal species fitted well with the Langmuir model. Ertugay & Bayhan (2010) used *Agaricus bisporus* fungi and 73.3% removal was obtained at pH 5 with a preferred fit to the Freundlich model compared to other adsorption models. Table 20 summarises the parameters for the sequestration of copper using fungal biomass.

Yeast

Yeast has been successfully used as an adsorbent for the sequestration of copper. Yeast is a fungi and has a larger size than bacteria and, like other eukaryotic organisms, has a nucleus and associated cytoplasmic organelles. The cytoplasm present in living cells is important for the living cells because it interacts with metal ions and after entering into the cells, the heavy metal ions are separated into compartments for removal (Wang & Chen 2009). Waste beer yeast is a by-product of the brewing industry that is a cheap and promising adsorbent for copper removal from wastewater (Han *et al.* 2006). These researchers reported a maximum uptake of copper of 1.45 mg/g with a preferred fit to the Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms; biosorption was reached in equilibrium in 30 minutes. The sorption capacity of beer yeast was found to be a function of the

Table 20 | Copper removal using fungal biomass as an adsorbent

Adsorbent	Initial metal concentration (mg/L)	pH	Best model fit	Contact time (min)	Adsorbent dose (g/L)	Adsorption capacity (mg/g)	Removal per cent (%)	References
<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	10–100	6	Langmuir and Freundlich	–	–	23.6	–	Mukhopadhyay (2008)
<i>Mucor rouxii</i>	10–1,000	5–6	Langmuir, adsorption	4,320	0.25	52.6	96.3%, 94.8%, 95.7%, 96.2%	Majumdar <i>et al.</i> (2008)
Fungal cells (dead) and (living)	20–100	5–9	–	4,320	0.2	–	95.27%	Hemambika <i>et al.</i> (2011)
<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	25–100	5	–	10, 200	15	15.6	–	Dursun <i>et al.</i> (2003)
<i>Rhizopus oryzae</i> filamentous fungus	20–200	4–6	Langmuir	200	1	19.4	–	Bhainsa & D'Souza (2008)
<i>Pleurotus pulmonarius</i> CCB019 and <i>Schizophyllum commune</i>	5–200	4	Langmuir	12	3	6.20, 1.52	–	Veit <i>et al.</i> (2005)
<i>Chlorella</i> sp. and <i>Chlamydomonas</i> sp.	5	7	–	12	25	33.4	–	Maznah <i>et al.</i> (2012)
<i>Trametes versicolor</i>	37–80	5.51	Plackett–Burman	80	1	60.98	–	Şahan <i>et al.</i> (2010)
<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	10–100	6	Langmuir, Freundlich	30	2–5	23.62	30%	Mukhopadhyay <i>et al.</i> (2007)
<i>Penicillium citrinum</i>	10–90	5	Langmuir, Freundlich	30	1.5	–	76.2%	Verma <i>et al.</i> (2013)

initial metal ion concentration, the adsorbent dose, pH, contact time and the amount of salts added and the process best fits the Langmuir and Freundlich adsorption models (Han *et al.* 2006). Table 21 summarises the parameters for the sequestration of copper using yeast.

Algal biomass

Algae may be used for the removal of copper because of their high capacity, low cost, renewability and ready abundance (Chen 2012). There are different types of marine algae, such as red algae, green algae and brown algae, that are used for copper removal from wastewater, and the main difference in these algae is in their respective cell walls where biosorption occurs (Romera *et al.* 2007). The cell walls of brown algae contain cellulose (as a structural support), alginic acid and polymers of mannuronic and guluronic acids complexed with metals such as sodium, magnesium, potassium, calcium and other polysaccharides (Romera *et al.* 2007). Green algae mainly have cellulose in the cell wall with a high content of bonded proteins. Therefore, this material contains various functional groups such as carboxyl, amino, sulfate and hydroxyl. Red algae contain cellulose in the cell wall, but their biosorption capacity is attributed mainly to the presence of sulfated polysaccharides called galactans (Romera *et al.* 2007). Brown algae, *Turbinaria ornate*, and green algae, *Ulothrix zonata*, have shown a maximum copper removal of 176.20 mg/g and 147.06 mg/g from wastewater at pH 6 and pH 4.5, respectively (Nuhoglu *et al.* 2002; Vijayaraghavan & Prabu 2006).

Industrial algal waste has also been used for copper removal with a maximum adsorption of 16.7 mg/g at pH 5.3 (Vilar *et al.* 2008). Under hydrated and dehydrated conditions, micro algae *Spirulina platensis* has also been reported to remove up to 90% of copper from aqueous solution (Solisio *et al.* 2006). The dried biomass of *Spirogyra neglecta* has a reported maximum adsorption capacity for copper of 115.5 mg/g at pH 4.5–5 (Singh *et al.* 2007). Table 22 summarizes the removal parameters for the sequestering of copper using algal biomass as an adsorbent.

Microbial (bacteria)

Bacteria and cyanobacteria remove heavy metal because the cell wall has the ability to capture the heavy metals due to negatively charged groups within its fabric (Uslu & Tanyol 2006). There are several processes to remove heavy metals, such as transport across the cell membrane, biosorption to cell walls, entrapment in extra cellular capsules, precipitation, complexation and oxidation/reduction (Rai *et al.* 1981; Brady *et al.* 1994; Veglio *et al.* 1997). Bacteria are the most abundant and versatile of microorganisms (Mann 1990) and bacteria species such as *Bacillus* sp., *Micrococcus luteus*, *Pseudomonas cepacia*, *Bacillus subtilis* and *Streptomyces coelicolor* have been used for copper removal from wastewater (Nakajima 2002; Öztürk *et al.* 2004; Hassan *et al.* 2009). Veneu *et al.* (2013) used *Streptomyces lumalinharsii* for copper removal from wastewater and a removal of 81% was reported at an optimum pH of 5 with best fit to the Freundlich model.

Table 21 | Copper removal using yeast biomass as an adsorbent

Adsorbent	Initial metal concentration (mg/L)	pH	Best model fit	Contact time (min)	Adsorbent dose (g/L)	Adsorption capacity (mg/g)	Removal per cent	References
Caustic-treated <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> yeast biomass	16–18	5	Freundlich, Langmuir	2,160	2.0	9.01	–	Lu & Wilkins (1996)
<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> biomass	25–200	3–4	Freundlich, Langmuir, Redlich–Peterson	–	15	2.59	43.08%	Cojocar <i>et al.</i> (2009)
Baker's yeast	100	2.7–6	Langmuir	250	1	65	–	Yu <i>et al.</i> (2008)

Table 22 | Copper removal using algal biomass as an adsorbent

Adsorbent	Initial metal concentration (mg/L)	pH	Best model fit	Contact time (min)	Adsorbent dose (g/L)	Adsorption capacity (mg/g)	Removal per cent (%)	References
<i>Sargassum</i> sp., <i>Padina</i> sp., <i>Ulva</i> sp. and <i>Gracillaria</i> sp.	64	5	Langmuir	60	1	62.91, 72.44	90%	Sheng <i>et al.</i> (2008)
<i>Padina</i> sp.	127	5	Langmuir	30	2	50.87	90%	Kaewsarn (2002)
<i>Sargassum</i>	25	4–5	Equilibrium	–	1.2	2.3 meq/g	–	Kratochvil & Volesky (1998)
Macroalga, <i>Sargassum muticum</i>	15–190	4.5	Modified competitive Langmuir sorption	240	5	71	75%	Herrero <i>et al.</i> (2011)
<i>Gelidium</i>	317	5.3	Freundlich	60	1–20	31.137	97%	Vilar <i>et al.</i> (2007)
<i>Cystoseira crinitophylla</i> biomass	25, 40, 50	4.5	Langmuir, Freundlich	720	2.5	160	100%	Christoforidis <i>et al.</i> (2015)
<i>Sargassum</i> , <i>Chlorococcum</i> and GAC	1–100	4.5	Langmuir, Freundlich	60, 90, 300	0.1	71.4, 19.3, 11.4	87.3%	Jacinto <i>et al.</i> (2009)
<i>Codium vermilara</i>	10–150	5	Langmuir	120	0.5	16.521	–	Romera <i>et al.</i> (2007)
<i>Spirogyra insignis</i>	10–150	4	Langmuir	120	5	19.063	–	Romera <i>et al.</i> (2007)
<i>Spirulina platensis</i>	100–400	–	Langmuir, Freundlich	–	1–4	92.6–96.8	91%	Solisio <i>et al.</i> (2006)
Dried micro-algal/ bacterial biomass	10–1,000	4	Langmuir	120	0.4	18–31	80–100%	Loutseti <i>et al.</i> (2009)

Öztürk *et al.* (2004) used *S. coelicolor* for copper removal and reported 21.8% removal at an optimum pH of 5 with a good fit to the Langmuir model. Uslu & Tanyol (2006) used *P. putida* for copper removal as a single component (in the presence of copper only) or as binary component (in the presence of copper along with other heavy metal, i.e., lead here) and reported an endothermic and spontaneous process with 50% copper removal from wastewater. Lu *et al.* (2006) used *Enterobacter* sp. J1 for copper removal and an adsorption capacity of 32.5 mg/g and a removal of 90% of copper removal was reported at pH >2. Even after four repeated adsorption and desorption cycles, the *Enterobacter* sp. J1 biomass achieved 79% removal. Nakajima (2002) studied removal of copper using *Arthrobacter nicotianae* bacteria from wastewater by electron spin resonance method, and found that copper ions present in bacterial cells are of octahedral

structure with nitrogen and oxygen as ligand atoms and most copper in bacterial cells is combined with amino acid residues present in cell surface protein. Table 23 summarises the removal parameters for the sequestering of copper using bacteria as an adsorbent.

FACTORS AFFECTING ADOPTION OF HEAVY METALS

There are many factors which affect heavy metal removal efficiency of adsorbents from wastewater. These factors are initial concentration, temperature, adsorbent dose, pH, contact time and stirring speed. Heavy metal removal per cent increases with increase in initial concentration, temperature, adsorbent dose, contact time and stirring speed (Sahu *et al.* 2009).

Table 23 | Copper removal using bacteria as an adsorbent

Adsorbent	Initial metal concentration (mg/L)	pH	Best model fit	Contact time (min)	Adsorbent dose (g/L)	Adsorption capacity (mg/g)	Removal per cent (%)	References
<i>Paenibacillus polymyxa</i>	25–500	6	Langmuir	120	–	112, 1,602	–	Acosta <i>et al.</i> (2005)
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	32–64	–	–	–	–	8.846, 10.364	–	Ravikumar <i>et al.</i> (2011)
<i>Pseudomonas stutzeri</i>	30–100	5	Langmuir, Freundlich	30	1	36.2	–	Hassan <i>et al.</i> (2009)
<i>Pseudomonas putida</i>	0.1	5	Langmuir	10	1	6.6	80%	Pardo <i>et al.</i> (2003)
<i>Sphaerotilus natans</i>	100	6	Langmuir	150	3	60	–	Beolchini <i>et al.</i> (2006)
<i>Sphaerotilus natans</i> (Gram-negative bacteria)	–	–	Langmuir	30	1	44.48	–	Pagnanelli <i>et al.</i> (2003)
<i>Bacillus</i> sp. (bacterial strain isolated from soil)	100	5	Langmuir	30	2	16.25, 1.64	–	Tunali <i>et al.</i> (2006)
<i>Lactobacillus</i> sp. (DSM 20057)	0.398–39.8	3–6	Langmuir	5–1,440	0.3–10	0.046	–	Schut <i>et al.</i> (2011)

FUTURE PERSPECTIVE AND CHALLENGES IN REMOVAL OF HEAVY METALS

In this review paper, the bioadsorbents used for removal of chromium, cadmium and copper are low-cost adsorbents and are beneficial replacements for commercially available adsorbents. In some studies, removal efficiency of adsorbents for heavy metal removal from wastewater has been reported to increase after modification. However, less work has been carried out in this direction. Hence, our future perspectives are to increase removal efficiency of bioadsorbents after modification (at minimum requirements of acid, bases and heat), regeneration of adsorbents, recovery of metal ions and application of bioadsorbents at commercial level. The challenge in heavy metal removal from wastewater is that it may require large amounts of bioadsorbents and extra chemicals to maintain a pH that provides suitable conditions for adsorption.

CONCLUSIONS

This review shows the potential of commercial and agricultural adsorbents for the removal of chromium, cadmium and

copper from wastewater. A wide range of adsorbents has been studied for removal of heavy metals from wastewater. A few adsorbents that stand out for their maximum adsorption capacities are: graphene sand composite (2,859.38 mg/g), composite of carbon nanotubes and activated alumina (264.5 mg/g), PEI functionalised eggshell (160 mg/g) for chromium, chitosan/TiO₂ composite (256.41 mg/g), chitosan-coated ceramic alumina (108.7 mg/g), α -ketoglutaric acid-modified magnetic chitosan (201.2 mg/g), electrospun nanofibre membrane of PEO/chitosan (248.1 mg/g), NaX nanozeolite (838.7 mg/g), green coconut shell powder (285.7 mg/g), succinic anhydride modified olive stones (200 mg/g) for cadmium, green coconut shell powder (285.7 mg/g), *Paenibacillus polymyxa* bacteria (1,602 mg/g) for copper. Further, optimum values of parameters such as pH, contact time and adsorbent dose were also compared for chromium, cadmium and copper removal from wastewater. It was found that the optimum value of pH is in the range of 1–2 for chromium, 4–7 for cadmium and 4.5–6 for copper. Similarly, the optimum value of contact time for maximum removal is in the range of 120–9,900 minutes for chromium, 5–120 minutes for cadmium and 120 minutes–12 hours for copper. However, the optimum value of adsorbent dose is in the range of 0.75–10 g/L for chromium,

0.01–4.5 g/L for cadmium and 0.25–1 g/L for copper. Overall, the adsorption data have been found to fit the Langmuir and Freundlich models, which indicates single and multi-layer adsorption behaviour. Further, the cost of both commercial adsorbents and bioadsorbents was compared. The cost of commercial activated carbon is Rs. 500/kg; however, the cost of bioadsorbents is in the range of Rs. 4.4–36.89/kg, which is much less compared to the commercial adsorbents (Gupta & Babu 2008). Bioadsorbents have the benefits of being cheap, easily available, no sludge generation, can be regenerated, possess technical feasibility, engineering applicability and affinity for heavy metal removal.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank the Department of Chemical Engineering and Materials Research Centre, MNIT Jaipur for the financial support to carry out my Ph.D research work. The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

REFERENCES

- Acharya, J., Sahu, J. N., Sahoo, B. K., Mohanty, C. R. & Meikap, B. C. 2009 Removal of chromium (VI) from wastewater by activated carbon developed from *Tamarind* wood activated with zinc chloride. *Chemical Engineering Journal* **150** (1), 25–39.
- Acosta, M. P., Valdman, E., Leite, S. G. F., Battaglini, F. & Ruzal, S. M. 2005 Biosorption of copper by *Paenibacillus polymyxa* cells and their exopolysaccharide. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology* **21** (6–7), 1157–1163.
- Adam, F., Kandasamy, K. & Balakrishnan, S. 2006 Iron incorporated heterogeneous catalyst from rice husk ash. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science* **304** (1), 137–143.
- Agrafioti, E., Kalderis, D. & Diamadopoulos, E. 2014 Ca and Fe modified biochars as adsorbents of arsenic and chromium in aqueous solutions. *Journal of Environmental Management* **146**, 444–450.
- Aguado, J., Arsuaga, J. M., Arencibia, A., Lindo, M. & Gascón, V. 2009 Aqueous heavy metals removal by adsorption on amine-functionalized mesoporous silica. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **163** (1), 213–221.
- Ahmad, W. A., Ahmad, W. H. W., Karim, N. A., Raj, A. S. & Zakaria, Z. A. 2013 Cr (VI) reduction in naturally rich growth medium and sugarcane bagasse by *Acinetobacter haemolyticus*. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation* **85**, 571–576.
- Ai, Z., Cheng, Y., Zhang, L. & Qiu, J. 2008 Efficient removal of Cr (VI) from aqueous solution with Fe@ Fe₂O₃ core – shell nanowires. *Environmental Science & Technology* **42** (18), 6955–6960.
- Ajmal, M., Rao, R. A. K., Anwar, S., Ahmad, J. & Ahmad, R. 2003 Adsorption studies on rice husk: removal and recovery of Cd(II) from wastewater. *Bioresource Technology* **86** (2), 147–149.
- Akar, S. T., Akar, T., Kaynak, Z., Anilan, B., Cabuk, A., Tabak, Ö., Demir, T. A. & Gedikbey, T. 2009 Removal of copper(II) ions from synthetic solution and real wastewater by the combined action of dried *Trametes versicolor* cells and montmorillonite. *Hydrometallurgy* **97** (1), 98–104.
- Akhtar, M., Iqbal, S., Kausar, A., Bhanger, M. I. & Shaheen, M. A. 2010 An economically viable method for the removal of selected divalent metal ions from aqueous solutions using activated rice husk. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces* **75** (1), 149–155.
- Al-Anber, Z. A. & Matouq, M. A. D. 2008 Batch adsorption of cadmium ions from aqueous solution by means of olive cake. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **151** (1), 194–201.
- Aliabadi, M., Irani, M., Ismaeili, J., Piri, H. & Parnian, M. J. 2013 Electrospun nanofiber membrane of PEO/Chitosan for the adsorption of nickel, cadmium, lead and copper ions from aqueous solution. *Chemical Engineering Journal* **220**, 237–243.
- Al-Khaldi, F. A., Abusharkh, B., Khaled, M., Atieh, M. A., Nasser, M. S., Saleh, T. A., Agarwal, S., Tyagi, I. & Gupta, V. K. 2015 Adsorptive removal of cadmium(II) ions from liquid phase using acid modified carbon-based adsorbents. *Journal of Molecular Liquids* **204**, 255–263.
- Allothman, Z. A. & Apblett, A. W. 2010 Metal ion adsorption using polyamine-functionalized mesoporous materials prepared from bromopropyl-functionalized mesoporous silica. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **182** (1), 581–590.
- Al-Othman, Z. A., Ali, R. & Naushad, M. 2012 Hexavalent chromium removal from aqueous medium by activated carbon prepared from peanut shell: adsorption kinetics, equilibrium and thermodynamic studies. *Chemical Engineering Journal* **184**, 238–247.
- Alslaibi, T. M., Abustan, I., Ahmad, M. A. & Foul, A. A. 2013 Cadmium removal from aqueous solution using microwaved olive stone activated carbon. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering* **1** (3), 589–599.
- Anirudhan, T. S. & Sreekumari, S. S. 2011 Adsorptive removal of heavy metal ions from industrial effluents using activated carbon derived from waste coconut buttons. *Journal of Environmental Sciences* **23** (12), 1989–1998.
- Ansari, M., Aroujalian, A., Raisi, A., Dabir, B. & Fathizadeh, M. 2014 Preparation and characterization of nano-NaX zeolite by microwave assisted hydrothermal method. *Advanced Powder Technology* **25** (2), 722–727.
- Argun, M. E., Dursun, S., Ozdemir, C. & Karatas, M. 2007 Heavy metal adsorption by modified oak sawdust: thermodynamics and kinetics. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **141** (1), 77–85.

- Arulkumar, M., Thirumalai, K., Sathishkumar, P. & Palvannan, T. 2012 Rapid removal of chromium from aqueous solution using novel prawn shell activated carbon. *Chemical Engineering Journal* **185**, 178–186.
- Aşçı, Y., Nurbas, M. & Açikel, Y. S. 2007 Sorption of Cd(II) onto kaolin as a soil component and desorption of Cd(II) from kaolin using rhamnolipid biosurfactant. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **139** (1), 50–56.
- Atieh, M. A. 2011 Removal of chromium (VI) from polluted water using carbon nanotubes supported with activated carbon. *Procedia Environmental Sciences* **4**, 281–293.
- Atieh, M. A., Bakather, O. Y., Tawabini, B. S., Bukhari, A. A., Khaled, M., Alharthi, M., Fettouhi, M. & Abuilawi, F. A. 2010 Removal of chromium (III) from water by using modified and nonmodified carbon nanotubes. *Journal of Nanomaterials* **2010**, 17.
- Aziz, A., Elandalousi, E. H., Belhallaoui, B., Ouali, M. S. & De Ménorval, L. C. 2009a Efficiency of succinylated-olive stone biosorbent on the removal of cadmium ions from aqueous solutions. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces* **73** (2), 192–198.
- Aziz, A., Ouali, M. S., Elandalousi, E. H., De Menorval, L. C. & Lindheimer, M. 2009b Chemically modified olive stone: a low-cost sorbent for heavy metals and basic dyes removal from aqueous solutions. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **163** (1), 441–447.
- Azouaou, N., Sadaoui, Z., Djaafri, A. & Mokaddem, H. 2010 Adsorption of cadmium from aqueous solution onto untreated coffee grounds: equilibrium, kinetics and thermodynamics. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **184** (1), 126–134.
- Babel, S. & Kurniawan, T. A. 2004 Cr (VI) removal from synthetic wastewater using coconut shell charcoal and commercial activated carbon modified with oxidizing agents and/or chitosan. *Chemosphere* **54** (7), 951–967.
- Bansal, R. P., Donnet, J. P. & Stoeckli, F. 1988 *Active Carbon*. Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, USA.
- Barnhart, J. 1997 Occurrences, uses, and properties of chromium. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology* **26** (1), S3–S7.
- Beolchini, F., Pagnanelli, F., Toro, L. & Veglio, F. 2006 Ionic strength effect on copper biosorption by *Sphaerotilus natans*: equilibrium study and dynamic modelling in membrane reactor. *Water Research* **40** (1), 144–152.
- Bertagnolli, C., Kleinübing, S. J. & Da Silva, M. G. C. 2011 Preparation and characterization of a Brazilian bentonite clay for removal of copper in porous beds. *Applied Clay Science* **53** (1), 73–79.
- Bhainsa, K. C. & D'Souza, S. F. 2008 Removal of copper ions by the filamentous fungus, *Rhizopus oryzae* from aqueous solution. *Bioresource Technology* **99** (9), 3829–3835.
- Bhattacharyya, S., Lelong, G. & Saboungi, M. L. 2006 Recent progress in the synthesis and selected applications of MCM-41: a short review. *Journal of Experimental Nanoscience* **1** (3), 375–395.
- Bilal, M., Shah, J. A., Ashfaq, T., Gardazi, S. M. H., Tahir, A. A., Pervez, A., Haroon, H. & Mahmood, Q. 2013 Waste biomass adsorbents for copper removal from industrial wastewater – A review. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **263**, 322–333.
- Bingol, A., Uzun, H., Bayhan, Y. K., Karagunduz, A., Cakici, A. & Keskinler, B. 2004 Removal of chromate anions from aqueous stream by a cationic surfactant-modified yeast. *Bioresource Technology* **94** (3), 245–249.
- Bishnoi, N. R., Bajaj, M., Sharma, N. & Gupta, A. 2004 Adsorption of Cr (VI) on activated rice husk carbon and activated alumina. *Bioresource Technology* **91** (3), 305–307.
- Blázquez, G., Hernáinz, F., Calero, M. & Ruiz-Nunez, L. F. 2005 Removal of cadmium ions with olive stones: the effect of some parameters. *Process Biochemistry* **40** (8), 2649–2654.
- Bohli, T., Ouederni, A., Fiol, N. & Villaescusa, I. 2015 Evaluation of an activated carbon from olive stones used as an adsorbent for heavy metal removal from aqueous phases. *Comptes Rendus Chimie* **18** (1), 88–99.
- Boonamnuayvitaya, V., Chaiya, C., Tanthapanichakoon, W. & Jarudilokkul, S. 2004 Removal of heavy metals by adsorbent prepared from pyrolyzed coffee residues and clay. *Separation and Purification Technology* **35** (1), 11–22.
- Borba, C. E., Guirardello, R., Silva, E. A., Veit, M. T. & Tavares, C. R. G. 2006 Removal of nickel (II) ions from aqueous solution by biosorption in a fixed bed column: experimental and theoretical breakthrough curves. *Biochemical Engineering Journal* **30** (2), 184–191.
- Borsagli, F. G. M., Mansur, A. A., Chagas, P., Oliveira, L. C. & Mansur, H. S. 2015 O-carboxymethyl functionalization of chitosan: complexation and adsorption of Cd (II) and Cr (VI) as heavy metal pollutant ions. *Reactive and Functional Polymers* **97**, 37–47.
- Brady, D., Stoll, A. D., Starke, L. & Duncan, J. R. 1994 Chemical and enzymatic extraction of heavy metal binding polymers from isolated cell walls of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering* **44** (3), 297–302.
- Burke, A. M., Hanrahan, J. P., Healy, D. A., Sodeau, J. R., Holmes, J. D. & Morris, M. A. 2009 Large pore bi-functionalised mesoporous silica for metal ion pollution treatment. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **164** (1), 229–234.
- Chadha, K. L. 2003 Coconut research in India – a review. *Indian Coconut Journal* **36** (4), 13–19.
- Chand, P., Shil, A. K., Sharma, M. & Pakade, Y. B. 2014 Improved adsorption of cadmium ions from aqueous solution using chemically modified apple pomace: mechanism, kinetics, and thermodynamics. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation* **90**, 8–16.
- Chand, P., Bafana, A. & Pakade, Y. B. 2015 Xanthate modified apple pomace as an adsorbent for removal of Cd (II), Ni (II) and Pb (II), and its application to real industrial wastewater. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation* **97**, 60–66.
- Chen, J. P. 2012 *Decontamination of Heavy Metals: Processes, Mechanisms, and Applications*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA.
- Chen, G. C., Shan, X. Q., Zhou, Y. Q., Shen, X. E., Huang, H. L. & Khan, S. U. 2009 Adsorption kinetics, isotherms and thermodynamics of atrazine on surface oxidized multiwalled

- carbon nanotubes. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **169** (1), 912–918.
- Chen, A., Zeng, G., Chen, G., Hu, X., Yan, M., Guan, S., Chang, C., Lu, L., Zou, Z. & Xie, G. 2012 Novel thiourea-modified magnetic ion-imprinted chitosan/TiO₂ composite for simultaneous removal of cadmium and 2, 4-dichlorophenol. *Chemical Engineering Journal* **191**, 85–94.
- Choi, H. J., Yu, S. W. & Kim, K. H. 2016 Efficient use of Mg-modified zeolite in the treatment of aqueous solution contaminated with heavy metal toxic ions. *Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers* **63**, 482–489.
- Christoforidis, A. K., Orfanidis, S., Papageorgiou, S. K., Lazaridou, A. N., Favvas, E. P. & Mitropoulos, A. C. 2015 Study of Cu(II) removal by *Cystoseira crinitophylla* biomass in batch and continuous flow biosorption. *Chemical Engineering Journal* **277**, 334–340.
- Chuah, T. G., Jumariah, A., Azni, I., Katayon, S. & Choong, S. T. 2005 Rice husk as a potentially low-cost biosorbent for heavy metal and dye removal: an overview. *Desalination* **175** (3), 305–316.
- Clement, R. E., Eiceman, G. A. & Koester, C. J. 1995 Environmental analysis. *Analytical Chemistry* **67** (12), 221R–255R.
- Cojocaru, C., Diaconu, M., Cretescu, I., Savić, J. & Vasić, V. 2009 Biosorption of copper (II) ions from aqua solutions using dried yeast biomass. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects* **335** (1), 181–188.
- Cronje, K. J., Chetty, K., Carsky, M., Sahu, J. N. & Meikap, B. C. 2011 Optimization of chromium (VI) sorption potential using developed activated carbon from sugarcane bagasse with chemical activation by zinc chloride. *Desalination* **275** (1), 276–284.
- Dan, T. K. 1992 Development of light weight building bricks using coconut pith. *Research and Industry* **37** (1), 11–17.
- Daraei, H., Mittal, A., Noorisepehr, M. & Mittal, J. 2015 Separation of chromium from water samples using eggshell powder as a low-cost sorbent: kinetic and thermodynamic studies. *Desalination and Water Treatment* **53** (1), 214–220.
- de Almeida Neto, A. F., Vieira, M. G. A. & da Silva, M. G. C. 2014 Adsorption and desorption processes for copper removal from water using different eluents and calcinated clay as adsorbent. *Journal of Water Process Engineering* **3**, 90–97.
- Dubey, R., Bajpai, J. & Bajpai, A. K. 2015 Green synthesis of graphene sand composite (GSC) as novel adsorbent for efficient removal of Cr (VI) ions from aqueous solution. *Journal of Water Process Engineering* **5**, 83–94.
- Duranoğlu, D., Trochimczuk, A. W. & Beker, Ü. 2010 A comparison study of peach stone and acrylonitrile-divinylbenzene copolymer based activated carbons as chromium (VI) sorbents. *Chemical Engineering Journal* **165** (1), 56–63.
- Dursun, A. Y., Uslu, G., Cuci, Y. & Aksu, Z. 2003 Bioaccumulation of copper(II), lead(II) and chromium(VI) by growing *Aspergillus niger*. *Process Biochemistry* **38** (12), 1647–1651.
- El-Kamash, A. M., Zaki, A. A. & El Geleel, M. A. 2005 Modeling batch kinetics and thermodynamics of zinc and cadmium ions removal from waste solutions using synthetic zeolite A. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **127** (1), 211–220.
- El Nemr, A., El-Sikaily, A., Khaled, A. & Abdelwahab, O. 2015 Removal of toxic chromium from aqueous solution, wastewater and saline water by marine red alga *Pterocladia capillacea* and its activated carbon. *Arabian Journal of Chemistry* **8** (1), 105–117.
- El-Shafey, E. I. 2007 Sorption of Cd (II) and Se (IV) from aqueous solution using modified rice husk. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **147** (1), 546–555.
- El-Sikaily, A., El Nemr, A., Khaled, A. & Abdelwehab, O. 2007 Removal of toxic chromium from wastewater using green alga *Ulva lactuca* and its activated carbon. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **148** (1), 216–228.
- Erdem, E., Karapinar, N. & Donat, R. 2004 The removal of heavy metal cations by natural zeolites. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science* **280** (2), 309–314.
- Ertugay, N. & Bayhan, Y. K. 2010 The removal of copper (II) ion by using mushroom biomass (*Agaricus bisporus*) and kinetic modelling. *Desalination* **255** (1), 137–142.
- Farajzadeh, M. A. & Monji, A. B. 2004 Adsorption characteristics of wheat bran towards heavy metal cations. *Separation and Purification Technology* **38** (3), 197–207.
- Feng, N., Guo, X., Liang, S., Zhu, Y. & Liu, J. 2011 Biosorption of heavy metals from aqueous solutions by chemically modified orange peel. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **185** (1), 49–54.
- Fiol, N., Villaescusa, I., Martínez, M., Miralles, N., Poch, J. & Serarols, J. 2006 Sorption of Pb (II), Ni (II), Cu (II) and Cd (II) from aqueous solution by olive stone waste. *Separation and Purification Technology* **50** (1), 132–140.
- Foo, L. Y. & Lu, Y. 1999 Isolation and identification of procyanidins in apple pomace. *Food Chemistry* **64** (4), 511–518.
- Fouladgar, M., Beheshti, M. & Sabzyan, H. 2015 Single and binary adsorption of nickel and copper from aqueous solutions by γ -alumina nanoparticles: equilibrium and kinetic modeling. *Journal of Molecular Liquids* **211**, 1060–1073.
- Friberg, L., Elinder, C. G. & Kjellstrom, T. 1992 *Environmental Health Criteria 134: Cadmium*. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Fu, F. & Wang, Q. 2011 Removal of heavy metal ions from wastewaters: a review. *Journal of Environmental Management* **92** (3), 407–418.
- Futalan, C. M., Kan, C. C., Dalida, M. L., Pascua, C. & Wan, M. W. 2011 Fixed-bed column studies on the removal of copper using chitosan immobilized on bentonite. *Carbohydrate Polymers* **83** (2), 697–704.
- Gao, Z., Wang, L., Qi, T., Chu, J. & Zhang, Y. 2007 Synthesis, characterization, and cadmium (II) uptake of iminodiacetic acid-modified mesoporous SBA-15. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects* **304** (1), 77–81.
- Garg, V. K., Gupta, R., Kumar, R. & Gupta, R. K. 2004 Adsorption of chromium from aqueous solution on treated sawdust. *Bioresource Technology* **92** (1), 79–81.
- Garg, U. K., Kaur, M. P., Sud, D. & Garg, V. K. 2009 Removal of hexavalent chromium from aqueous solution by adsorption on treated sugarcane bagasse using response surface methodological approach. *Desalination* **249** (2), 475–479.

- Ghaemi, N. 2016 A new approach to copper ion removal from water by polymeric nanocomposite membrane embedded with γ -alumina nanoparticles. *Applied Surface Science* **364**, 221–228.
- Gong, J. L., Wang, X. Y., Zeng, G. M., Chen, L., Deng, J. H., Zhang, X. R. & Niu, Q. Y. 2012 Copper (II) removal by pectin–iron oxide magnetic nanocomposite adsorbent. *Chemical Engineering Journal* **185**, 100–107.
- Gopalakrishnan, A., Krishnan, R., Thangavel, S., Venugopal, G. & Kim, S. J. 2015 Removal of heavy metal ions from pharmaceutical effluents using graphene-oxide nanosorbents and study of their adsorption kinetics. *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry* **30**, 14–19.
- Gueye, M., Richardson, Y., Kafack, F. T. & Blin, J. 2014 High efficiency activated carbons from African biomass residues for the removal of chromium (VI) from wastewater. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering* **2** (1), 273–281.
- Guo, X., Du, B., Wei, Q., Yang, J., Hu, L., Yan, L. & Xu, W. 2014 Synthesis of amino functionalized magnetic graphenes composite material and its application to remove Cr (VI), Pb (II), Hg (II), Cd (II) and Ni (II) from contaminated water. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **278**, 211–220.
- Gupta, S. & Babu, B. V. 2008 Economic feasibility analysis of low cost adsorbents for the removal of Cr (VI) from waste water. In: *Proceedings of International Convention on Water Resources Development and Management (ICWRDM)*, BITS Pilani.
- Gupta, V. K. & Sharma, S. 2002 Removal of cadmium and zinc from aqueous solutions using red mud. *Environmental Science & Technology* **36** (16), 3612–3617.
- Gupta, V. K., Agarwal, S. & Saleh, T. A. 2011 Chromium removal by combining the magnetic properties of iron oxide with adsorption properties of carbon nanotubes. *Water Research* **45** (6), 2207–2212.
- Guru, P. S. & Dash, S. 2013 Amino acid modified eggshell powder (AA-ESP) – A novel bio-solid scaffold for adsorption of some Styrylpyridinium dyes. *Journal of Dispersion Science and Technology* **34** (8), 1099–1112.
- Hajiaghbabaei, L., Badiei, A., Ganjali, M. R., Heydari, S., Khaniani, Y. & Ziarani, G. M. 2011 Highly efficient removal and preconcentration of lead and cadmium cations from water and wastewater samples using ethylenediamine functionalized SBA-15. *Desalination* **266** (1), 182–187.
- Hamadi, N. K., Chen, X. D., Farid, M. M. & Lu, M. G. 2001 Adsorption kinetics for the removal of chromium (VI) from aqueous solution by adsorbents derived from used tyres and sawdust. *Chemical Engineering Journal* **84** (2), 95–105.
- Hamdaoui, O. 2009 Removal of cadmium from aqueous medium under ultrasound assistance using olive leaves as sorbent. *Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification* **48** (6), 1157–1166.
- Hamidpour, M., Afyuni, M., Kalbasi, M., Khoshgoftarmans, A. H. & Inglezakis, V. J. 2010 Mobility and plant-availability of Cd (II) and Pb (II) adsorbed on zeolite and bentonite. *Applied Clay Science* **48** (3), 342–348.
- Han, R., Li, H., Li, Y., Zhang, J., Xiao, H. & Shi, J. 2006 Biosorption of copper and lead ions by waste beer yeast. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **137** (3), 1569–1576.
- Hassan, S. H., Kim, S. J., Jung, A. Y., Joo, J. H., Eun Oh, S. & Yang, J. E. 2009 Biosorptive capacity of Cd(II) and Cu(II) by lyophilized cells of *Pseudomonas stutzeri*. *Journal of General and Applied Microbiology* **55** (1), 27–34.
- Heidari, A., Habibollah, Y. & Zahra, M. 2009 Removal of Ni (II), Cd (II), and Pb (II) from a ternary aqueous solution by amino functionalized mesoporous and nano mesoporous silica. *Chemical Engineering Journal* **153** (1), 70–79.
- Hemambika, B., Rani, M. J. & Kannan, V. R. 2011 Biosorption of heavy metals by immobilized and dead fungal cells: a comparative assessment. *Journal of Ecology and the Natural Environment* **3** (5), 168–175.
- Herrero, R., Lodeiro, P., García-Casal, L. J., Vilariño, T., Rey-Castro, C., David, C. & Rodríguez, P. 2011 Full description of copper uptake by algal biomass combining an equilibrium NICA model with a kinetic intraparticle diffusion driving force approach. *Bioresource Technology* **102** (3), 2990–2997.
- Hu, J., Chen, C., Zhu, X. & Wang, X. 2009 Removal of chromium from aqueous solution by using oxidized multiwalled carbon nanotubes. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **162** (2), 1542–1550.
- Hu, X. J., Wang, J. S., Liu, Y. G., Li, X., Zeng, G. M., Bao, Z. L., Zeng, X. X., Chen, A. W. & Long, F. 2011 Adsorption of chromium (VI) by ethylenediamine-modified cross-linked magnetic chitosan resin: isotherms, kinetics and thermodynamics. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **185** (1), 306–314.
- Hu, X. J., Liu, Y. G., Wang, H., Chen, A. W., Zeng, G. M., Liu, S. M., Guo, Y. M., Hu, X., Li, T. T., Wang, Y. Q., Zhou, L. & Liu, S. H. 2013 Removal of Cu(II) ions from aqueous solution using sulfonated magnetic graphene oxide composite. *Separation and Purification Technology* **108**, 189–195.
- Hui, K. S., Chao, C. Y. H. & Kot, S. C. 2005 Removal of mixed heavy metal ions in wastewater by zeolite 4A and residual products from recycled coal Fly ash. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **127** (1), 89–101.
- Hummers, W. S. & Offeman, R. E. 1958 Preparation of graphitic oxide. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **80**, 1339–1339.
- Huo, H., Su, H. & Tan, T. 2009 Adsorption of Ag⁺ by a surface molecular-imprinted biosorbent. *Chemical Engineering Journal* **150** (1), 139–144.
- Hydari, S., Shariffard, H., Nabavinia, M. & Reza Parvizi, M. 2012 A comparative investigation on removal performances of commercial activated carbon, chitosan biosorbent and chitosan/activated carbon composite for cadmium. *Chemical Engineering Journal* **193**, 276–282.
- Ibrahim, H. S., Jamil, T. S. & Hegazy, E. Z. 2010 Application of zeolite prepared from Egyptian kaolin for the removal of heavy metals: II. Isotherm models. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **182** (1), 842–847.
- Igberase, E. & Osifo, P. 2015 Equilibrium, kinetic, thermodynamic and desorption studies of cadmium and lead by polyaniline

- grafted cross-linked chitosan beads from aqueous solution. *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry* **26**, 340–347.
- Iqbal, M. & Edyvean, R. G. J. 2004 Biosorption of lead, copper and zinc ions on loofa sponge immobilized biomass of *Phanerochaete chrysosporium*. *Minerals Engineering* **17** (2), 217–223.
- Izidoro, J. D. C., Fungaro, D. A., Abbott, J. E. & Wang, S. 2013 Synthesis of zeolites X and A from fly ashes for cadmium and zinc removal from aqueous solutions in single and binary ion systems. *Fuel* **103**, 827–834.
- Jacinto, M. L. J., David, C. P. C., Perez, T. R. & De Jesus, B. R. 2009 Comparative efficiency of algal biofilters in the removal of chromium and copper from wastewater. *Ecological Engineering* **35** (5), 856–860.
- Javadian, H., Sorkhrodi, F. Z. & Koutenaee, B. B. 2014 Experimental investigation on enhancing aqueous cadmium removal via nanostructure composite of modified hexagonal type mesoporous silica with polyaniline/polypyrrole nanoparticles. *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry* **20** (5), 3678–3688.
- Javadian, H., Ghorbani, F., Tayebi, H. A. & Asl, S. H. 2015 Study of the adsorption of Cd (II) from aqueous solution using zeolite-based geopolymer, synthesized from coal fly ash; kinetic, isotherm and thermodynamic studies. *Arabian Journal of Chemistry* **8** (6), 837–849.
- Jha, V. K., Matsuda, M. & Miyake, M. 2008 Sorption properties of the activated carbon-zeolite composite prepared from coal fly ash for Ni(2+), Cu(2+), Cd(2+) and Pb(2+). *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **160** (1), 148–153.
- Jin, G. P., Zhu, X. H., Li, C. Y., Fu, Y., Guan, J. X. & Wu, X. P. 2013 Tetraoxalyl ethylenediamine melamine resin functionalized coconut active charcoal for adsorptive removal of Ni (II), Pb (II) and Cd (II) from their aqueous solution. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering* **1** (4), 736–745.
- Jing, X., Cao, Y., Zhang, X., Wang, D., Wu, X. & Xu, H. 2011 Biosorption of Cr (VI) from simulated wastewater using a cationic surfactant modified spent mushroom. *Desalination* **269** (1), 120–127.
- Ju, S. H., Lu, S. D., Peng, J. H., Zhang, L. B., Srinivasakannan, C., Guo, S. H. & Wei, L. I. 2012 Removal of cadmium from aqueous solutions using red mud granulated with cement. *Transactions of Nonferrous Metals Society of China* **22** (12), 3140–3146.
- Kadirvelu, K. & Namasivayam, C. 2003 Activated carbon from coconut coirpith as metal adsorbent: adsorption of Cd (II) from aqueous solution. *Advances in Environmental Research* **7** (2), 471–478.
- Kaewsarn, P. 2002 Biosorption of copper (II) from aqueous solutions by pre-treated biomass of marine algae *Padina* sp. *Chemosphere* **47** (10), 1081–1085.
- Kaikake, K., Hoaki, K., Sunada, H., Dhakal, R. P. & Baba, Y. 2007 Removal characteristics of metal ions using degreased coffee beans: adsorption equilibrium of cadmium (II). *Bioresource Technology* **98** (15), 2787–2791.
- Karthikeyan, T., Rajgopal, S. & Miranda, L. R. 2005 Chromium (VI) adsorption from aqueous solution by *Hevea Brasiliensis* sawdust activated carbon. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **124** (1), 192–199.
- Kaya, K., Pehlivan, E., Schmidt, C. & Bahadir, M. 2014 Use of modified wheat bran for the removal of chromium (VI) from aqueous solutions. *Food Chemistry* **158**, 112–117.
- Kobya, M. 2004 Removal of Cr (VI) from aqueous solutions by adsorption onto hazelnut shell activated carbon: kinetic and equilibrium studies. *Bioresource Technology* **91** (3), 317–321.
- Kratochvil, D. & Volesky, B. 1998 Biosorption of Cu from ferruginous wastewater by algal biomass. *Water Research* **32** (9), 2760–2768.
- Krikorian, N. & Martin, D. F. 2005 Extraction of selected heavy metals using modified clays. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health* **40** (3), 601–608.
- Kula, I., Uğurlu, M., Karaoğlu, H. & Celik, A. 2008 Adsorption of Cd (II) ions from aqueous solutions using activated carbon prepared from olive stone by ZnCl₂ activation. *Bioresource Technology* **99** (3), 492–501.
- Kumar, U. & Bandyopadhyay, M. 2006 Sorption of cadmium from aqueous solution using pretreated rice husk. *Bioresource Technology* **97** (1), 104–109.
- Kundu, A., Gupta, B. S., Hashim, M. A., Sahu, J. N., Mujawar, M. & Redzwan, G. 2015 Optimisation of the process variables in production of activated carbon by microwave heating. *RSC Advances* **5** (45), 35899–35908.
- Lan, S., Wu, X., Li, L., Li, M., Guo, F. & Gan, S. 2013 Synthesis and characterization of hyaluronic acid-supported magnetic microspheres for copper ions removal. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects* **425**, 42–50.
- Larrazá, I., López-González, M., Corrales, T. & Marcelo, G. 2012 Hybrid materials: magnetite–polyethylenimine–montmorillonite, as magnetic adsorbents for Cr (VI) water treatment. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science* **385** (1), 24–33.
- Lee, B., Kim, Y., Lee, H. & Yi, J. 2001 Synthesis of functionalized porous silicas via templating method as heavy metal ion adsorbents: the introduction of surface hydrophilicity onto the surface of adsorbents. *Microporous and Mesoporous Materials* **50** (1), 77–90.
- Lehmann, J. 2007 A handful of carbon. *Nature* **447**, 143–144.
- Li, X., Xu, Q., Han, G., Zhu, W., Chen, Z., He, X. & Tian, X. 2009 Equilibrium and kinetic studies of copper (II) removal by three species of dead fungal biomasses. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **165** (1), 469–474.
- Li, L., Fan, L., Sun, M., Qiu, H., Li, X., Duan, H. & Luo, C. 2013 Adsorbent for chromium removal based on graphene oxide functionalized with magnetic cyclodextrin–chitosan. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces* **107**, 76–83.
- Li, X., Ai, L. & Jiang, J. 2016 Nanoscale zerovalent iron decorated on graphene nanosheets for Cr (VI) removal from aqueous solution: surface corrosion retard induced the enhanced performance. *Chemical Engineering Journal* **288**, 789–797.
- Lingamdinne, L. P., Koduru, J. R., Choi, Y. L., Chang, Y. Y. & Yang, J. K. 2015 Studies on removal of Pb (II) and Cr (III) using graphene oxide based inverse spinel nickel ferrite nano-composite as sorbent. *Hydrometallurgy* **165**, 64–72.

- Liu, B. & Huang, Y. 2011 Polyethyleneimine modified eggshell membrane as a novel biosorbent for adsorption and detoxification of Cr (VI) from water. *Journal of Materials Chemistry* **21** (43), 17413–17418.
- Liu, H., Liang, S., Gao, J., Ngo, H. H., Guo, W., Guo, Z., Wang, J. & Li, Y. 2014 Enhancement of Cr(VI) removal by modifying activated carbon developed from *Zizania caduciflora* with tartaric acid during phosphoric acid activation. *Chemical Engineering Journal* **246**, 168–174.
- Lo, S. F., Wang, S. Y., Tsai, M. J. & Lin, L. D. 2012 Adsorption capacity and removal efficiency of heavy metal ions by Moso and Ma bamboo activated carbons. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design* **90** (9), 1397–1406.
- López-Téllez, G., Barrera-Díaz, C. E., Balderas-Hernández, P., Roa-Morales, G. & Bilyeu, B. 2011 Removal of hexavalent chromium in aquatic solutions by iron nanoparticles embedded in orange peel pith. *Chemical Engineering Journal* **173** (2), 480–485.
- Loutseti, S., Danielidis, D. B., Economou-Amilli, A., Katsaros, C., Santas, R. & Santas, P. 2009 The application of a micro-algal/bacterial biofilter for the detoxification of copper and cadmium metal wastes. *Bioresource Technology* **100** (7), 2099–2105.
- Lu, Y. & Foo, L. Y. 1998 Constitution of some chemical components of apple seed. *Food Chemistry* **61** (1), 29–33.
- Lu, Y. & Wilkins, E. 1996 Heavy metal removal by caustic-treated yeast immobilized in alginate. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **49** (2), 165–179.
- Lu, W. B., Shi, J. J., Wang, C. H. & Chang, J. S. 2006 Biosorption of lead, copper and cadmium by an indigenous isolate *Enterobacter* sp. J1 possessing high heavy-metal resistance. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **134** (1), 80–86.
- Lugo-Lugo, V., Barrera-Díaz, C., Ureña-Núñez, F., Bilyeu, B. & Linares-Hernández, I. 2012 Biosorption of Cr (III) and Fe (III) in single and binary systems onto pretreated orange peel. *Journal of Environmental Management* **112**, 120–127.
- Luo, C., Tian, Z., Yang, B., Zhang, L. & Yan, S. 2013 Manganese dioxide/iron oxide/acid oxidized multi-walled carbon nanotube magnetic nanocomposite for enhanced hexavalent chromium removal. *Chemical Engineering Journal* **234**, 256–265.
- Lv, X., Xue, X., Jiang, G., Wu, D., Sheng, T., Zhou, H. & Xu, X. 2014 Nanoscale zero-valent iron (nZVI) assembled on magnetic Fe₃O₄/graphene for Chromium (VI) removal from aqueous solution. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science* **417**, 51–59.
- Ma, Y., Lin, C., Jiang, Y., Lu, W., Si, C. & Liu, Y. 2009 Competitive removal of water-borne copper, zinc and cadmium by a CaCO₃-dominated red mud. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **172** (2), 1288–1296.
- Ma, H. L., Zhang, Y., Zhang, L., Wang, L., Sun, C., Liu, P., He, L., Zeng, X. & Zhai, M. 2015 Radiation-induced graft copolymerization of dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate onto graphene oxide for Cr(VI) removal. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry* **124**, 159–163.
- MacCarthy, P., Klusman, R. W., Cowling, S. W. & Rice, J. A. 1993 Water analysis. *Analytical Chemistry* **65** (12), 244R–292R.
- Machida, M., Mochimaru, T. & Tatsumoto, H. 2006 Lead (II) adsorption onto the graphene layer of carbonaceous materials in aqueous solution. *Carbon* **44** (13), 2681–2688.
- Machida, M., Fotoohi, B., Amamo, Y., Ohba, T., Kanoh, H. & Mercier, L. 2012 Cadmium (II) adsorption using functional mesoporous silica and activated carbon. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **221**, 220–227.
- Mahmoud, M. E., Osman, M. M., Hafez, O. F. & Elmelegy, E. 2010 Removal and preconcentration of lead (II), copper (II), chromium (III) and iron (III) from wastewaters by surface developed alumina adsorbents with immobilized 1-nitroso-2-naphthol. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **173** (1), 349–357.
- Majumdar, S. S., Das, S. K., Saha, T., Panda, G. C., Bandyopadhyay, T. & Guha, A. K. 2008 Adsorption behavior of copper ions on *Mucor rouxii* biomass through microscopic and FTIR analysis. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces* **63** (1), 138–145.
- Mann, H. 1990 Biosorption of heavy metals by bacterial biomass. In: *Biosorption of Heavy Metals* (B. Volesky, ed.). CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA, pp. 93–137.
- Mantell, C. L. 1968 *Carbon and Graphite Handbook*. Interscience, New York, USA.
- Marín, A. P., Ortuno, J. F., Aguilar, M. I., Meseguer, V. F., Sáez, J. & Lloréns, M. 2010 Use of chemical modification to determine the binding of Cd (II), Zn (II) and Cr (III) ions by orange waste. *Biochemical Engineering Journal* **53** (1), 2–6.
- Maznah, W. W., Al-Fawwaz, A. T. & Surif, M. 2012 Biosorption of copper and zinc by immobilised and free algal biomass, and the effects of metal biosorption on the growth and cellular structure of *Chlorella* sp. and *Chlamydomonas* sp. isolated from rivers in Penang, Malaysia. *Journal of Environmental Sciences* **24** (8), 1386–1393.
- McHale, A. P. & McHale, S. 1994 Microbial biosorption of metals: potential in the treatment of metal pollution. *Biotechnology Advances* **12** (4), 647–652.
- Mehdipour, S., Vatanpour, V. & Kariminia, H.-R. 2015 Influence of ion interaction on lead removal by a polyamide nanofiltration membrane. *Desalination* **362**, 84–92.
- Mehta, D., Mazumdar, S. & Singh, S. K. 2015 Magnetic adsorbents for the treatment of water/wastewater – a review. *Journal of Water Process Engineering* **7**, 244–265.
- Min, Y. U. E., Zhang, M., Bin, L. I. U., Xing, X. U., Xiaoming, L. I., Qinyan, Y. U. E. & Chunyuan, M. A. 2013 Characteristics of amine surfactant modified peanut shell and its sorption property for Cr (VI). *Chinese Journal of Chemical Engineering* **21** (11), 1260–1268.
- Mohan, D. & Pittman, C. U. 2006 Activated carbons and low cost adsorbents for remediation of tri- and hexavalent chromium from water. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **137** (2), 762–811.
- Mohan, D. & Pittman, C. U. 2007 Arsenic removal from water/wastewater using adsorbents – a critical review. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **142** (1), 1–53.
- Mohanty, K., Jha, M., Meikap, B. C. & Biswas, M. N. 2005 Removal of chromium (VI) from dilute aqueous solutions by

- activated carbon developed from *Terminalia arjuna* nuts activated with zinc chloride. *Chemical Engineering Science* **60** (11), 3049–3059.
- Mubarak, N. M., Alicia, R. F., Abdullah, E. C., Sahu, J. N., Haslija, A. A. & Tan, J. 2013 Statistical optimization and kinetic studies on removal of Zn^{2+} using functionalized carbon nanotubes and magnetic biochar. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering* **1** (3), 486–495.
- Mubarak, N. M., Sahu, J. N., Abdullah, E. C. & Jayakumar, N. S. 2014a Removal of heavy metals from wastewater using carbon nanotubes. *Separation & Purification Reviews* **43** (4), 311–338.
- Mubarak, N. M., Thines, R. K., Sajuni, N. R., Abdullah, E. C., Sahu, J. N., Ganesan, P. & Jayakumar, N. S. 2014b Adsorption of chromium (VI) on functionalized and non-functionalized carbon nanotubes. *Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering* **31** (9), 1582–1591.
- Mubarak, N. M., Kundu, A., Sahu, J. N., Abdullah, E. C. & Jayakumar, N. S. 2014c Synthesis of palm oil empty fruit bunch magnetic pyrolytic char impregnating with $FeCl_3$ by microwave heating technique. *Biomass and Bioenergy* **61**, 265–275.
- Mubarak, N. M., Sahu, J. N., Abdullah, E. C., Jayakumar, N. S. & Ganesan, P. 2015a Novel microwave-assisted multiwall carbon nanotubes enhancing Cu (II) adsorption capacity in water. *Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers* **53**, 140–152.
- Mubarak, N. M., Sahu, J. N., Abdullah, E. C., Jayakumar, N. S. & Ganesan, P. 2015b Microwave assisted multiwall carbon nanotubes enhancing Cd (II) adsorption capacity in aqueous media. *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry* **24**, 24–33.
- Mubarak, N. M., Sahu, J. N., Wong, J. R., Jayakumar, N. S., Ganesan, P. & Abdullah, E. C. 2015c Overview on the functionalization of carbon nanotubes. In: *Chemical Functionalization of Carbon Nanomaterials: Chemistry and Applications* (V. K. Thakur & M. K. Thakur, eds). CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA, pp. 82–101.
- Mubarak, N. M., Sahu, J. N., Abdullah, E. C., Jayakumar, N. S. & Ganesan, P. 2016a Microwave-assisted synthesis of multi-walled carbon nanotubes for enhanced removal of Zn (II) from wastewater. *Research on Chemical Intermediates* **42** (4), 3257–3281.
- Mubarak, N. M., Thobashinni, M., Abdullah, E. C. & Sahu, J. N. 2016b Comparative kinetic study of removal of Pb^{2+} ions and Cr^{3+} ions from waste water using carbon nanotubes produced using microwave heating. *C* **2** (1), 7.
- Mubarak, N. M., Sahu, J. N., Abdullah, E. C. & Jayakumar, N. S. 2016c Rapid adsorption of toxic Pb (II) ions from aqueous solution using multiwall carbon nanotubes synthesized by microwave chemical vapor deposition technique. *Journal of Environmental Sciences* **45**, 143–155.
- Mukhopadhyay, M. 2008 Role of surface properties during biosorption of copper by pretreated *Aspergillus Niger* biomass. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects* **329** (1), 95–99.
- Mukhopadhyay, M., Noronha, S. B. & Suraishkumar, G. K. 2007 Kinetic modeling for the biosorption of copper by pretreated *Aspergillus niger* biomass. *Bioresource Technology* **98** (9), 1781–1787.
- Muthukumaran, K. & Beulah, S. 2011 Removal of chromium (VI) from wastewater using chemically activated *Syzygium jambolanum* nut carbon by batch studies. *Procedia Environmental Sciences* **4**, 266–280.
- Nadeem, M., Mahmood, A., Shahid, S. A., Shah, S. S., Khalid, A. M. & McKay, G. 2006 Sorption of lead from aqueous solution by chemically modified carbon adsorbents. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **138** (3), 604–613.
- Nadeem, M., Shabbir, M., Abdullah, M. A., Shah, S. S. & McKay, G. 2009 Sorption of cadmium from aqueous solution by surfactant-modified carbon adsorbents. *Chemical Engineering Journal* **148** (2), 365–370.
- Nakajima, A. 2002 Electron spin resonance study of copper biosorption by bacteria. *Water Research* **36** (8), 2091–2097.
- Namasivayam, C. & Kadirvelu, K. 1999 Uptake of mercury (II) from wastewater by activated carbon from an unwanted agricultural solid by-product: coirpith. *Carbon* **37** (1), 79–84.
- Namasivayam, C. & Sureshkumar, M. V. 2008 Removal of chromium (VI) from water and wastewater using surfactant modified coconut coir pith as a biosorbent. *Bioresource Technology* **99** (7), 2218–2225.
- Naseem, R. & Tahir, S. S. 2001 Removal of Pb (II) from aqueous/acidic solutions by using bentonite as an adsorbent. *Water Research* **35** (16), 3982–3986.
- Ngah, W. W. & Hanafiah, M. A. K. M. 2008 Removal of heavy metal ions from wastewater by chemically modified plant wastes as adsorbents: a review. *Bioresource Technology* **99** (10), 3935–3948.
- Nizamuddin, S., Kumar, J., Subramanian, N., Sahu, J. N., Ganesan, P., Mubarak, N. M. & Mazari, S. A. 2015 Synthesis and characterization of hydrochars produced by hydrothermal carbonization of oil palm shell. *The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering* **93** (11), 1916–1921.
- Nizamuddin, S., Mubarak, N. M., Tiripathi, M., Jayakumar, N. S., Sahu, J. N. & Ganesan, P. 2016 Chemical, dielectric and structural characterization of optimized hydrochar produced from hydrothermal carbonization of palm shell. *Fuel* **163**, 88–97.
- Nuhoglu, Y., Malkoc, E., Gürses, A. & Canpolat, N. 2002 The removal of Cu (II) from aqueous solutions by *Ulothrix zonata*. *Bioresource Technology* **85** (3), 331–333.
- Obrigón-Valencia, D. & del Rosario Sun-Kou, M. 2014 Comparative cadmium adsorption study on activated carbon prepared from aguaje (*Mauritia flexuosa*) and olive fruit stones (*Olea europaea* L.). *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering* **2** (4), 2280–2288.
- Oladoja, N. A., Ololade, I. A., Alimi, O. A., Akinnifesi, T. A. & Olaremu, G. A. 2013 Iron incorporated rice husk silica as a sorbent for hexavalent chromium attenuation in aqueous system. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design* **91** (12), 2691–2702.

- Oliveira, W. E., Franca, A. S., Oliveira, L. S. & Rocha, S. D. 2008 [Untreated coffee husks as biosorbents for the removal of heavy metals from aqueous solutions](#). *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **152** (3), 1073–1081.
- Oubagaranadin, J. U. K., Murthy, Z. V. & Mallapur, V. P. 2010 [Removal of Cu \(II\) and Zn \(II\) from industrial wastewater by acid-activated montmorillonite-illite type of clay](#). *Comptes Rendus Chimie* **13** (11), 1359–1363.
- Owlad, M., Aroua, M. K. & Daud, W. M. A. W. 2010 [Hexavalent chromium adsorption on impregnated palm shell activated carbon with polyethyleneimine](#). *Bioresource Technology* **101** (14), 5098–5103.
- Oyaro, N., Ogendi, J., Murago, E. N. & Gitonga, E. 2007 The contents of Pb, Cu, Zn and Cd in meat in Nairobi, Kenya. *Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment* **5**, 119–121.
- Özer, A. & Özer, D. 2004 [The adsorption of Cr \(VI\) on sulphuric acid-treated wheat bran](#). *Environmental Technology* **25** (6), 689–697.
- Öztürk, A., Artan, T. & Ayar, A. 2004 [Biosorption of nickel \(II\) and copper \(II\) ions from aqueous solution by *Streptomyces coelicolor* A3 \(2\)](#). *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces* **34** (2), 105–111.
- Pagnanelli, F., Esposito, A., Toro, L. & Veglio, F. 2003 [Metal speciation and pH effect on Pb, Cu, Zn and Cd biosorption onto *Sphaerotilus natans*: langmuir-type empirical model](#). *Water Research* **37** (3), 627–633.
- Pardo, R., Herguedas, M., Barrado, E. & Vega, M. 2003 Biosorption of cadmium, copper, lead and zinc by inactive biomass of *Pseudomonas putida*. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry* **376** (1), 26–32.
- Pérez-Quintanilla, D., del Hierro, I., Fajardo, M. & Sierra, I. 2007 [Cr \(VI\) adsorption on functionalized amorphous and mesoporous silica from aqueous and non-aqueous media](#). *Materials Research Bulletin* **42** (8), 1518–1530.
- Pino, G. H., de Mesquita, L. M. S., Torem, M. L. & Pinto, G. A. S. 2006 [Biosorption of cadmium by green coconut shell powder](#). *Minerals Engineering* **19** (5), 380–387.
- Politi, D. & Sidiras, D. 2012 [Wastewater treatment for dyes and heavy metals using modified pine sawdust as adsorbent](#). *Procedia Engineering* **42**, 1969–1982.
- Rad, L. R., Momeni, A., Ghazani, B. F., Irani, M., Mahmoudi, M. & Noghereh, B. 2014 [Removal of Ni²⁺ and Cd²⁺ ions from aqueous solutions using electrospun PVA/zeolite nanofibrous adsorbent](#). *Chemical Engineering Journal* **256**, 119–127.
- Rahman, I. A., Ismail, J. & Osman, H. 1997 [Effect of nitric acid digestion on organic materials and silica in rice husk](#). *Journal of Materials Chemistry* **7** (8), 1505–1509.
- Rai, L. C., Gaur, J. P. & Kumar, H. D. 1981 [Phycology and heavy-metal pollution](#). *Biological Reviews* **56** (2), 99–151.
- Rao, G. P. C., Satyaveni, S., Ramesh, A., Seshiah, K., Murthy, K. S. N. & Choudary, N. V. 2006 [Sorption of cadmium and zinc from aqueous solutions by zeolite 4A, zeolite 13X and bentonite](#). *Journal of Environmental Management* **81** (3), 265–272.
- Rao, K. S., Mohapatra, M., Anand, S. & Venkateswarlu, P. 2010 [Review on cadmium removal from aqueous solutions](#). *International Journal of Engineering, Science and Technology* **2** (7), 81–103.
- Ravat, C., Dumonceau, J. & Monteil-Rivera, F. 2000 [Acid/base and Cu \(II\) binding properties of natural organic matter extracted from wheat bran: modeling by the surface complexation model](#). *Water Research* **34** (4), 1327–1339.
- Ravikumar, S., Yoo, I. K., Lee, S. Y. & Hong, S. H. 2011 [Construction of copper removing bacteria through the integration of two-component system and cell surface display](#). *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology* **165** (7–8), 1674–1681.
- Ren, Y., Zhang, M. & Zhao, D. 2008 [Synthesis and properties of magnetic Cu \(II\) ion imprinted composite adsorbent for selective removal of copper](#). *Desalination* **228** (1), 135–149.
- Rengaraj, S., Kim, Y., Joo, C. K. & Yi, J. 2004 [Removal of copper from aqueous solution by aminated and protonated mesoporous aluminas: kinetics and equilibrium](#). *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science* **273** (1), 14–21.
- Renge, V. C., Khedkar, S. V. & Pandey Shraddha, V. 2012 [Removal of heavy metals from wastewater using low cost adsorbents: a review](#). *Scientific Reviews and Chemical Communications* **2** (4), 580–584.
- Rohim, R., Ahmad, R., Ibrahim, N., Hamidin, N. & Abidin, C. Z. A. 2014 [Characterization of calcium oxide catalyst from eggshell waste](#). *Advances in Environmental Biology* **8**, 35–39.
- Romera, E., González, F., Ballester, A., Blázquez, M. L. & Munoz, J. A. 2007 [Comparative study of biosorption of heavy metals using different types of algae](#). *Bioresource Technology* **98** (17), 3344–3353.
- Rosen, M. J. & Kunjappu, J. T. 2012 *Surfactants and Interfacial Phenomena*. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, NJ, USA.
- Ruthiraan, M., Abdullah, E. C., Thines, K. R. & Mubarak, N. M. 2015a [Synthesis of magnetic biochar from *Garcinia Mangostana* peel using muffle furnace for adsorption of Zn²⁺ ions from aqueous solution](#). *International Journal of Chemical Engineering* **2**, 18–21.
- Ruthiraan, M., Mubarak, N. M., Thines, R. K., Abdullah, E. C., Sahu, J. N., Jayakumar, N. S. & Ganesan, P. 2015b [Comparative kinetic study of functionalized carbon nanotubes and magnetic biochar for removal of Cd²⁺ ions from wastewater](#). *Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering* **32** (3), 446–457.
- Sabzoi, N., Yong, E. K., Jayakumar, N. S., Sahu, J. N., Ganesan, P., Mubarak, N. M. & Mazari, S. A. 2015 [An optimisation study for catalytic hydrolysis of oil palm shell using response surface methodology](#). *Journal of Oil Palm Research* **27** (4), 339–351.
- Şahan, T., Ceylan, H., Şahiner, N. & Aktaş, N. 2010 [Optimization of removal conditions of copper ions from aqueous solutions by *Trametes versicolor*](#). *Bioresource Technology* **101** (12), 4520–4526.
- Sahu, J. N., Acharya, J. & Meikap, B. C. 2009 [Response surface modeling and optimization of chromium \(VI\) removal from aqueous solution using Tamarind wood activated carbon in batch process](#). *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **172** (2), 818–825.
- Saifuddin, M. & Kumaran, P. 2005 [Removal of heavy metal from industrial wastewater using chitosan coated oil palm shell charcoal](#). *Electronic Journal of Biotechnology* **8** (1), 43–53.

- Salah, T. A., Mohammad, A. M., Hassan, M. A. & El-Anadouli, B. E. 2014 Development of nano-hydroxyapatite/chitosan composite for cadmium ions removal in wastewater treatment. *Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers* **45** (4), 1571–1577.
- Salam, M. A., Makki, M. S. & Abdelaal, M. Y. 2011 Preparation and characterization of multi-walled carbon nanotubes/chitosan nanocomposite and its application for the removal of heavy metals from aqueous solution. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds* **509** (5), 2582–2587.
- Sankaramakrishnan, N., Jaiswal, M. & Verma, N. 2014 Composite nanofloral clusters of carbon nanotubes and activated alumina: an efficient sorbent for heavy metal removal. *Chemical Engineering Journal* **235**, 1–9.
- Sardella, F., Gimenez, M., Navas, C., Morandi, C., Deiana, C. & Sapag, K. 2015 Conversion of viticultural industry wastes into activated carbons for removal of lead and cadmium. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering* **3** (1), 253–260.
- Schut, S., Zauner, S., Hampel, G., König, H. & Claus, H. 2011 Biosorption of copper by wine-relevant lactobacilli. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* **145** (1), 126–131.
- Selomulya, C., Meeyoo, V. & Amal, R. 1999 Mechanisms of Cr (VI) removal from water by various types of activated carbons. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology* **74** (2), 111–122.
- Selvi, K., Pattabhi, S. & Kadirvelu, K. 2001 Removal of Cr (VI) from aqueous solution by adsorption onto activated carbon. *Bioresource Technology* **80** (1), 87–89.
- Sharma, D. C. & Forster, C. F. 1994 A preliminary examination into the adsorption of hexavalent chromium using low-cost adsorbents. *Bioresource Technology* **47** (3), 257–264.
- Sharma, N., Kaur, K. & Kaur, S. 2009 Kinetic and equilibrium studies on the removal of Cd₂₊ ions from water using polyacrylamide grafted rice (*Oryza sativa*) husk and (*Tectona grandis*) saw dust. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **163** (2), 1338–1344.
- Shawabkeh, R., Al-Harashsheh, A., Hami, M. & Khlaifat, A. 2004 Conversion of oil shale ash into zeolite for cadmium and lead removal from wastewater. *Fuel* **83** (7), 981–985.
- Shen, Y. S., Wang, S. L., Tzou, Y. M., Yan, Y. Y. & Kuan, W. H. 2012 Removal of hexavalent Cr by coconut coir and derived chars – The effect of surface functionality. *Bioresource Technology* **104**, 165–172.
- Sheng, P. X., Wee, K. H., Ting, Y. P. & Chen, J. P. 2008 Biosorption of copper by immobilized marine algal biomass. *Chemical Engineering Journal* **136** (2), 156–163.
- Shin, K. Y., Hong, J. Y. & Jang, J. 2011 Heavy metal ion adsorption behavior in nitrogen-doped magnetic carbon nanoparticles: isotherms and kinetic study. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **190** (1), 36–44.
- Shukla, A., Zhang, Y. H., Dubey, P., Margrave, J. L. & Shukla, S. S. 2002 The role of sawdust in the removal of unwanted materials from water. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **95** (1), 137–152.
- Singh, S. P., Ma, L. Q. & Harris, W. G. 2001 Heavy metal interactions with phosphatic clay. *Journal of Environmental Quality* **30** (6), 1961–1968.
- Singh, A., Kumar, D. & Gaur, J. P. 2007 Copper(II) and lead(II) sorption from aqueous solution by non-living *Spirogyra neglecta*. *Bioresource Technology* **98** (18), 3622–3629.
- Singh, K. K., Hasan, S. H., Talat, M., Singh, V. K. & Gangwar, S. K. 2009 Removal of Cr (VI) from aqueous solutions using wheat bran. *Chemical Engineering Journal* **151** (1), 113–121.
- Solisio, C., Lodi, A., Torre, P., Converti, A. & Del Borghi, M. 2006 Copper removal by dry and re-hydrated biomass of *Spirulina platensis*. *Bioresource Technology* **97** (14), 1756–1760.
- Sousa, F. W., Oliveira, A. G., Ribeiro, J. P., Rosa, M. F., Keukeleire, D. & Nascimento, R. F. 2010 Green coconut shells applied as adsorbent for removal of toxic metal ions using fixed-bed column technology. *Journal of Environmental Management* **91** (8), 1634–1640.
- Srivastava, V. C., Mall, I. D. & Mishra, I. M. 2006 Characterization of mesoporous rice husk ash (RHA) and adsorption kinetics of metal ions from aqueous solution onto RHA. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **134** (1), 257–267.
- Srivastava, V. C., Mall, I. D. & Mishra, I. M. 2008 Removal of cadmium (II) and zinc (II) metal ions from binary aqueous solution by rice husk ash. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects* **312** (2), 172–184.
- Sugashini, S. & Begum, K. M. M. S. 2015 Preparation of activated carbon from carbonized rice husk by ozone activation for Cr (VI) removal. *New Carbon Materials* **30** (3), 252–261.
- Sumathi, K. M. S., Mahimairaja, S. & Naidu, R. 2005 Use of low-cost biological wastes and vermiculite for removal of chromium from tannery effluent. *Bioresource Technology* **96** (3), 309–316.
- Tan, W. T., Ooi, S. T. & Lee, C. K. 1993 Removal of chromium (VI) from solution by coconut husk and palm pressed fibres. *Environmental Technology* **14** (3), 277–282.
- Thangalazhy-Gopakumar, S., Al-Nadheri, W. M. A., Jegarajan, D., Sahu, J. N., Mubarak, N. M. & Nizamuddin, S. 2015 Utilization of palm oil sludge through pyrolysis for bio-oil and bio-char production. *Bioresource Technology* **178**, 65–69.
- Thangavel, S. & Venugopal, G. 2014 Understanding the adsorption property of graphene-oxide with different degrees of oxidation levels. *Powder Technology* **257**, 141–148.
- Thines, R. K., Mubarak, N. M., Ruthiraan, M., Abdullah, E. C., Sahu, J. N., Jayakumara, N. S., Ganesan, P. & Sajuni, N. R. 2014 Adsorption isotherm and thermodynamics studies of Zn(II) on functionalized and non-functionalized carbon nanotubes. *Advanced Science, Engineering and Medicine* **6** (9), 974–984.
- Thines, R. K., Abdullah, E. C., Ruthiraan, M. & Mubarak, N. M. 2015 Production of magnetic biochar derived from durian's rind at vacuum condition for removal of methylene blue pigments from aqueous solution. *Environment* **14**, 15.
- Toro, P., Quijada, R. & Yazdani-Pedram, M. 2007 Eggshell, a new bio-filler for polypropylene composites. *Materials Letters* **61**, 4347–4350.

- Tunali, S., Cabuk, A. & Akar, T. 2006 Removal of lead and copper ions from aqueous solutions by bacterial strain isolated from soil. *Chemical Engineering Journal* **115** (3), 203–211.
- Ullah, I., Nadeem, R., Iqbal, M. & Manzoor, Q. 2013 Biosorption of chromium onto native and immobilized sugarcane bagasse waste biomass. *Ecological Engineering* **60**, 99–107.
- Uslu, G. & Tanyol, M. 2006 Equilibrium and thermodynamic parameters of single and binary mixture biosorption of lead (II) and copper (II) ions onto *Pseudomonas putida*: effect of temperature. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **135** (1), 87–93.
- Veglio, F., Beolchini, F. & Gasbarro, A. 1997 Biosorption of toxic metals: an equilibrium study using free cells of *Arthrobacter* sp. *Process Biochemistry* **32** (2), 99–105.
- Veit, M. T., Tavares, C. R. G., Gomes-da-Costa, S. M. & Guedes, T. A. 2005 Adsorption isotherms of copper (II) for two species of dead fungi biomasses. *Process Biochemistry* **40** (10), 3303–3308.
- Veneu, D. M., Torem, M. L. & Pino, G. A. 2013 Fundamental aspects of copper and zinc removal from aqueous solutions using a *Streptomyces lunalinhaesii* strain. *Minerals Engineering* **48**, 44–50.
- Vengris, T., Binkien, R. & Sveikauskait, A. 2001 Nickel, copper and zinc removal from waste water by a modified clay sorbent. *Applied Clay Science* **18** (3), 183–190.
- Verma, A., Singh, A., Bishnoi, N. R. & Gupta, A. 2013 Biosorption of Cu (II) using free and immobilized biomass of *Penicillium citrinum*. *Ecological Engineering* **61**, 486–490.
- Vijayaraghavan, K. & Prabu, D. 2006 Potential of *Sargassum wightii* biomass for copper (II) removal from aqueous solutions: application of different mathematical models to batch and continuous biosorption data. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **137** (1), 558–564.
- Vilar, V. J., Botelho, C. M. & Boaventura, R. A. 2007 Copper desorption from *Gelidium* algal biomass. *Water Research* **41** (7), 1569–1579.
- Vilar, V. J., Botelho, C. M. & Boaventura, R. A. 2008 Copper removal by algae *Gelidium*, agar extraction algal waste and granulated algal waste: kinetics and equilibrium. *Bioresource Technology* **99** (4), 750–762.
- Visa, M. 2016 Synthesis and characterization of new zeolite materials obtained from Fly ash for heavy metals removal in advanced wastewater treatment. *Powder Technology* **294**, 338–347.
- Volesky, B. & Holan, Z. R. 1995 Biosorption of heavy metals. *Biotechnology Progress* **11** (3), 235–250.
- Wan, M. W., Petrisor, I. G., Lai, H. T., Kim, D. & Yen, T. F. 2004 Copper adsorption through chitosan immobilized on sand to demonstrate the feasibility for in situ soil decontamination. *Carbohydrate Polymers* **55** (3), 249–254.
- Wang, J. & Chen, C. 2009 Biosorbents for heavy metals removal and their future. *Biotechnology Advances* **27** (2), 195–226.
- Wang, J. S., Peng, R. T., Yang, J. H., Liu, Y. C. & Hu, X. J. 2011 Preparation of ethylenediamine-modified magnetic chitosan complex for adsorption of uranyl ions. *Carbohydrate Polymers* **84** (3), 1169–1175.
- Woolf, D., Amonette, J. E., Street-Perrott, F. A., Lehmann, J. & Joseph, S. 2010 Sustainable biochar to mitigate global climate change. *Nature Communications* **1**, 56.
- Wu, Y., Luo, H., Wang, H., Wang, C., Zhang, J. & Zhang, Z. 2013 Adsorption of hexavalent chromium from aqueous solutions by graphene modified with cetyltrimethylammonium bromide. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science* **394**, 183–191.
- Xiao, Y., Liang, H., Chen, W. & Wang, Z. 2013 Synthesis and adsorption behavior of chitosan-coated MnFe_2O_4 nanoparticles for trace heavy metal ions removal. *Applied Surface Science* **285**, 498–504.
- Xu, X., Cao, X. & Zhao, L. 2013 Comparison of rice husk-and dairy manure-derived biochars for simultaneously removing heavy metals from aqueous solutions: role of mineral components in biochars. *Chemosphere* **92** (8), 955–961.
- Yang, S., Li, L., Pei, Z., Li, C., Lv, J., Xie, J., Wen, B. & Zhang, S. 2014a Adsorption kinetics, isotherms and thermodynamics of Cr(III) on graphene oxide. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects* **457**, 100–106.
- Yang, G., Tang, L., Lei, X., Zeng, G., Cai, Y., Wei, X., Zhou, Y., Li, S., Fang, Y. & Zhang, Y. 2014b Cd(II) removal from aqueous solution by adsorption on α -ketoglutaric acid-modified magnetic chitosan. *Applied Surface Science* **292**, 710–716.
- Ye, H., Zhu, Q. & Du, D. 2010 Adsorptive removal of Cd (II) from aqueous solution using natural and modified rice husk. *Bioresource Technology* **101** (14), 5175–5179.
- Yin, D., Du, X., Liu, H., Zhang, Q. & Ma, L. 2012 Facile one-step fabrication of polymer microspheres with high magnetism and armored inorganic particles by Pickering emulsion polymerization. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects* **414**, 289–295.
- Yu, J., Tong, M., Sun, X. & Li, B. 2008 Enhanced and selective adsorption of Pb^{2+} and Cu^{2+} by EDTAD-modified biomass of baker's yeast. *Bioresource Technology* **99** (7), 2588–2593.
- Yu, Y. L., Zhuang, Y. T., Song, X. Y. & Wang, J. H. 2015 Lyophilized carbon nanotubes/graphene oxide modified cigarette filter for the effective removal of cadmium and chromium from mainstream smoke. *Chemical Engineering Journal* **280**, 58–65.
- Zhu, C., Luan, Z., Wang, Y. & Shan, X. 2007 Removal of cadmium from aqueous solutions by adsorption on granular red mud (GRM). *Separation and Purification Technology* **57** (1), 161–169.

First received 3 June 2016; accepted in revised form 28 August 2016. Available online 3 November 2016