

In this example the only way the data appear in the likelihood ratio test is in a sum. This is an example of a *sufficient statistic*, which we denote by  $l(\mathbf{R})$  (or simply  $l$  when the argument is obvious). It is just a function of the received data which has the property that  $\Lambda(\mathbf{R})$  can be written as a function of  $l$ . In other words, when making a decision, knowing the value of the sufficient statistic is just as good as knowing  $\mathbf{R}$ . In Example 1,  $l$  is a linear function of the  $R_i$ . A case in which this is not true is illustrated in Example 2.

**Example 2.** Several different physical situations lead to the mathematical model of interest in this example. The observations consist of a set of  $N$  values:  $r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots, r_N$ . Under both hypotheses, the  $r_i$  are independent, identically distributed, zero-mean Gaussian random variables. Under  $H_1$  each  $r_i$  has a variance  $\sigma_1^2$ . Under  $H_0$  each  $r_i$  has a variance  $\sigma_0^2$ . Because the variables are independent, the joint density is simply the product of the individual densities. Therefore

$$p_{\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{H}_1}(\mathbf{R}|H_1) = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_1} \exp\left(-\frac{R_i^2}{2\sigma_1^2}\right) \quad (27)$$

and

$$p_{\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{H}_0}(\mathbf{R}|H_0) = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_0} \exp\left(-\frac{R_i^2}{2\sigma_0^2}\right). \quad (28)$$

Substituting (27) and (28) into (13) and taking the logarithm, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} \right) \sum_{i=1}^N R_i^2 + N \ln \frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_1} \stackrel{H_1}{\geq} \ln \eta. \quad (29)$$

In this case the sufficient statistic is the sum of the squares of the observations

$$l(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_{i=1}^N R_i^2, \quad (30)$$

and an equivalent test for  $\sigma_1^2 > \sigma_0^2$  is

$$l(\mathbf{R}) \stackrel{H_1}{\geq} \frac{2\sigma_0^2\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_0^2} \left( \ln \eta - N \ln \frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_1} \right) \triangleq \gamma. \quad (31)$$

For  $\sigma_1^2 < \sigma_0^2$  the inequality is reversed because we are multiplying by a negative number:

$$l(\mathbf{R}) \stackrel{H_0}{\geq} \frac{2\sigma_0^2\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_0^2 - \sigma_1^2} \left( N \ln \frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_1} - \ln \eta \right) \triangleq \gamma'; \quad (\sigma_1^2 < \sigma_0^2). \quad (32)$$

These two examples have emphasized Gaussian variables. In the next example we consider a different type of distribution.

**Example 3.** The Poisson distribution of events is encountered frequently as a model of shot noise and other diverse phenomena (e.g., [1] or [2]). Each time the experiment is conducted a certain number of events occur. Our observation is just this number which ranges from 0 to  $\infty$  and obeys a Poisson distribution on both hypotheses; that is,

$$\Pr(n \text{ events}) = \frac{(m_i)^n}{n!} e^{-m_i}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, i = 0, 1, \quad (33)$$

where  $m_i$  is the parameter that specifies the average number of events:

$$E(n) = m_i. \quad (34)$$