

Sémantique des Langages de Programmation (SemLP)

TD n° 3 : Unification & Termination

Exercice 1 : (Ex. 52 in the course notes)

Apply the unification algorithm viewed in the course to the following systems of equations :

1. $\{ f(x, f(x, y)) = f(g(y), f(g(a), z)) \}$
2. $\{ f(x, g(y)) = f(y, g(g(x))) \}$

Exercice 2 : *** (Ex. 55 in the course notes)

1. Propose a method to transform a unification problem of the shape :

$$E = \{ t_1 = s_1, \dots, t_n = s_n \}$$

over the signature $\Sigma = \{g_1, g_n\}$ with $n, m \geq 1$ into a unification problem E' with the following properties :

1. E' contains exactly one equation,
 2. the terms in E' range over the signature $\Sigma' = \{f\}$, where f is binary,
 3. E has a solution if and only if E' has a solution, and
2. Apply the method to the system below, where x, y and z are variables.

$$E = \{ x = h(y), g(c, x, y) = g(y, z, z) \}$$

Exercice 3 : (Ex. 56 in the course notes)

Let t, s, \dots be terms over the signature Σ . We say that t is a *filter* for s if there exists a substitution S with $S t = s$. We denote this fact as $t \leq s$ ¹. Prove or disprove the following assertions :

1. If $t \leq s$, then t and s are unifiable.
2. If t and s are unifiable, then $t \leq s$ and $s \leq t$.
3. if $t \leq s$ and $s \leq t$, then s and t are unifiable.
4. For all t, s there exists an r with $r \leq t$, and $r \leq s$.
5. For all t, s , there exists an r with $t \leq r$ and $s \leq r$.

1. Not to be confused with the notation $S \leq S'$ over substitutions.

Termination

Interpretation method

Exercice 4 : (Ex. 63 in the course notes)

Find a polynomial interpretation to show termination of the following TRS :

$$f(f(x, y), z) \rightarrow f(x, f(y, z)), \quad f(x, f(y, z)) \rightarrow f(y, y)$$

Exercice 5 : (Ex. 64 in the course notes)

1. Find a polynomial interpretation for the following TRS :

$$\begin{array}{lll} x + 0 \rightarrow x, & x + s(y) \rightarrow s(x + y), & \text{(addition)} \\ d(0) \rightarrow 0, & d(s(x)) \rightarrow s(d(x)), & \text{(double)} \\ q(0) \rightarrow 0, & q(s(x)) \rightarrow q(x) + s(d(x)), & \text{(square)} \end{array}$$

2. Consider the term $t = q^{n+1}(s^2 0)$ whose size is linear in n . Show that there is a reduction as shown below with length doubly exponential in n .

$$t \rightarrow^* q^{n+1}(s^{2^{2^n}})$$

Recursive path ordering

Exercice 6 : (Ex. 65 in the course notes)

Consider the TRS :

$$(x + y) + z \rightarrow x + (y + z) \quad x * s(y) \rightarrow x + (y * x)$$

Find a status function (τ) such the following inequalities hold :

$$(x + y) + z >_r x + (y + z) \quad x * s(y) >_r x + (y * x)$$

Exercice 7 : (Ex. 69 in the course notes)

Consider the TRS encoding the *Ackermann function* and prove its termination.

$$\begin{array}{ll} ack(z, n) \rightarrow s(z) & ack(s(z)) \rightarrow s^2(z) \\ ack(s^2(m), z) \rightarrow s^2(m) & ack(s(m), s(n)) \rightarrow ack(ack(m, s(n)), n) \end{array}$$

Exercice 8 : (Ex. 70 in the course notes)

Consider the TRS

$$b(x) \rightarrow r(s(x)) \quad r(s(s(x))) \rightarrow b(x)$$

1. Show that the TRS terminates by polynomial interpretation.
2. Show that there is no RPO on Σ that can prove its termination.
3. RPO is a particular type of simplification order. Is there a simplification order that shows termination of the TRS above?

Exercice 9 : (Ex. 71 in the course notes)

Consider the TRS :

$$f(f(x)) \rightarrow f(g(f(x)))$$

1. Show that it is terminating.
2. Show that there is no simplification order $>_r$ that contains \rightarrow .

Exercice 10 : (Ex. 80 in the course notes)

Consider the product order \leq on \mathbb{N}^k (vectors of natural numbers) :

$$(n_1, \dots, n_k) \leq (m_1, \dots, m_k) \text{ if } n_i \leq m_i, \text{ for } i \in [1, k]$$

1. Show that $<$ (the strict part of \leq) is well-founded.
2. Show by induction on k , that from every sequence $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathbb{N}^k we can extract a *growing subsequence*. Namely, that there is an injective function $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that :

$$\forall n, v_{\sigma(n)} \leq v_{\sigma(n+1)}$$

3. Show that every set of incomparable elements in \mathbb{N}^k (an anti-chain) is finite.