

Sémantique des Langages de Programmation (SemLP)

DM : little- λ -ref

We consider a simple extension to the monadic *call-by-value* λ -calculus of the lecture notes (Chapter ...) with :

1. Natural values,
2. Boolean values,
3. an *if-then-else* conditional expression, and
4. references.

The syntax of this extended language is given in Figure 1. The symbol \oplus represents a binary natural operator, \otimes represents a natural binary comparator, and \odot represents a boolean binary operator. The special value $()$ is named *unit*, and it is the only value of type Unit.

| | | | |
|-----|-------|--|----------------|
| x | \in | \mathcal{Var} | (var. names) |
| n | \in | \mathbb{N} | (naturals) |
| b | \in | $\{\text{true}, \text{false}\}$ | (booleans) |
| p | \in | \mathcal{Ref} | (references) |
| V | $::=$ | $\lambda x. M \mid n \mid b \mid p \mid ()$ | (values) |
| M | $::=$ | $x \mid V \mid @(M, M)$ | (terms) |
| | | $\mid M \oplus M \mid -M \mid M \otimes M \mid M \odot M \mid \neg M$ | |
| | | $\mid \text{ref } M \mid !M \mid M := M$ | |
| | | $\mid \text{let } x = M \text{ in } M$ | |
| | | $\mid \text{if } M \text{ then } M \text{ else } M$ | |
| E | $::=$ | $ @(E, M) \mid @(V, E)$ | (ev. contexts) |
| | | $\mid E \oplus M \mid V \oplus E \mid -E \mid \dots$ | |
| | | $\mid \text{ref } E \mid !E \mid E := M \mid V := E$ | |
| | | $\mid \text{let } x = E \text{ in } M \mid \text{if } E \text{ then } M \text{ else } M$ | |

FIGURE 1 – Syntax for little- λ -ref.

Exercise 1 : Implementing little- λ -ref

1. Give an operational semantics similar to that of Chapter 12 of the lecture notes to little- λ -ref. Notice that you will need the *heap* to give semantics to references.¹
2. Implement in you favorite programming language an interpreter for little- λ -ref. The input to the interpreter is an expression in the *abstract syntax* of the language. You are not required to provide a parser.²

1. The evaluation contexts are given. Identifying the redexes is left as part of the exercise.
2. Providing a parser can be considered for extra credit.

Exercice 2 : Typing little- λ -ref

Provide and implement a type inference algorithm for little- λ -ref. You will need to use the type constructor `Ref` provided in Chapter 12 of the lecture notes. The value `()` is the only value with type `Unit`.

Exercice 3 : Transforming little- λ -ref

Implement the chain of program transformations considered in Chapter 10 of the lecture notes for little- λ -ref. You will need to consider the case of conditional expressions as well as nested arithmetic, boolean and comparator operators.

Exercice 4 : Testing little- λ -ref

Provide a test-suite showing that each of the transformations preserves the semantics of the source level program. That is, provide a set of “relevant” well-typed tests, for each of which (eg. M) you will show that :

$$(M, \epsilon) \rightarrow^* (V, h) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_h \circ \mathcal{C}_{cc} \circ \mathcal{C}_{vm} \circ \mathcal{C}_{cps}(M), \epsilon) \rightarrow^* (V, h)$$

where \circ represents functional composition.³

Can you observe differences in the performance of the programs before and after the transformations? Can these transformations be considered as optimizations?

3. The format for reporting the results of the tests is left open. It is expected that the results be logged or printed, and that appropriate error messages be emitted when a test fails.