

# Sémantique des Langages de Programmation (SemLP) $TD \ n^{o} \ 7 : Typed \ \lambda - calculus$

## Exercice 1: (LN: 179)

Show that if  $x_1: A_1, \ldots, x_n: A_n \vdash M: B$  is derivable then  $(A_1 \to \cdots (A_n \to B) \cdots)$  is a tautology of propositional logic where we interpret  $\to$  as implication and atomic types as propositional variables. Conclude that there are types A which are not inhabited, i.e., there is no (closed)  $\lambda$ -term M such that  $\emptyset \vdash M: A$ .

### Exercice 2:(LN:180)

Show that there is no  $\lambda$ -term M such that :  $\emptyset \vdash M : (b \to b) \to b$ . Write  $A \to b$  as  $\neg A$ . Show that there are  $\lambda$ -terms  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  such that :

$$\emptyset \vdash N_1 : A \to (\neg \neg A)$$
,  $\emptyset \vdash N_2 : (\neg \neg \neg A) \to (\neg A)$ .

On the other hand, there are tautologies which are not inhabited! For instance, consider:  $A \equiv ((t \to s) \to t) \to t$ . Show that there is no  $\lambda$ -term M in normal form such that  $\emptyset \vdash M : A$  is derivable. This is enough because later we will show that all typable  $\lambda$ -terms normalize to a  $\lambda$ -term of the same type. For another example, show that there is no  $\lambda$ -term M in normal form such that  $\emptyset \vdash M : \neg \neg t \to t$  is derivable (the intuitionistic/constructive negation is not involutive!).

#### Exercice 3:(LN:183)

Suppose we reconsider the non-logical extension of the simply typed  $\lambda$ -calculus with a basic type nat, constants Z, S, Y, and with the following fixed-point rule:

$$C[\mathsf{Y}M] \to C[M(\mathsf{Y}M)]$$
.

Let a program be a closed typable  $\lambda$ -term of type nat and let a value be a  $\lambda$ -term of the shape  $(S \cdots (SZ) \cdots)$ . Show that if P is a program in normal form (cannot reduce) then P is a value.

#### Exercice 4: (LN: 200) recursive types

Assume a recursively defined type t satisfying the equation  $t = t \to b$  and suppose we add a rule for typing up to type equality:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \quad A = B}{\Gamma \vdash M : B} .$$

Show that in this case the following  $\lambda$ -term (Curry's fixed point combinator) is typable (e.q., in Curry's style):

$$Y \equiv \lambda f.(\lambda x. f(xx))(\lambda x. f(xx))$$
.

Are the  $\lambda$ -terms typable in this system terminating?