Report Lab Assignment Running x264 on Host Processor Extracting and Accelerating Kernel on Co-processor

Imara Speek (1506374) Aimee Ferouge (4014030)

10 november 2013

Date Performed: October, 2013 Instructor: Ir. A. Brandon

Samenvatting

10 lines of Abstract text

1 Wat moet er nog gebeuren

- debuggen van de rovex uitleggen met nep pixels en bewijs van werking
- Stride en manier van het schrijven naar het geheugen uitleggen
- Gebruik van lseek uitleggen
- uitleg van de kernel functie met plaatjes
- communicatie van pvex en microblaze
- big or little endiannes, en aanpak in code
- speedup & theoretical calculation speedup
- results of additional assignment and if more time which we would have chosen

2 Introduction

For the lab a computational intensive kernel has to be extracted from a x264 software application, free software library for encoding video stream into H.236/MPEG-4 AVC format. The application is executed on a FPGA running a MicroBlaze host processor. By running the particular kernel on ρ -VEX co-processor, the execution time can be decreased by making use of hardware acceleration.

2.1 Getting Used to the Environment

The first lab is meant to get used to the project environment. A few input files are given to show the compile and run commands of the x264 application, by inserting a .y4m stream and creating a short .mkv movie. By adding the gprof flag to the compile command, a list is created of all functions ordered by their share of the total execution time (in percentage).

2.2 Detecting the Computationally Most Intensive Kernel

Profiling the x264 execution for the .y4m files that are provided by the lab leads to the ranking show in table ??.

3 Approach

Our extracted kernel can be found in appendix ??. In order to make the extracted kernel qualified for compilation and execution, a few things have to be altered. First, the new file has to be recognized by the makefile in the rovex-examples directory. By executing make byte—command two files are created:

- bytecode, containing all the interructions to be executed by the ρ -VEX
- bytedata, containing the pixels of the input stream

Second, some type definitions have to be made. Originally, pixel_satd_8x4 resides in the pixel.c file of the x264 application. When extracting this kernel, all prior knowledge is lost and has to be defined again. Then, in order to make the kernel compile and run on the ρ -VEX, the development board has to be reset and started. Bytecode has to be written to the instruction memory (rex-imemory) and bytedata to the data memory (rvex-dmemory). Finally, the calculated result should be returned to the host. Figure X shows the ρ -VEX memory layout for our kernel.

3.1 Adjusting the makefile

In order to make the makefile recognize the extracted kernel, the file can simply be added to the EXECUTABLES. Other files are already in the makefile (e.g. adpcm), but these can be removed since we will not need them for our application. An important issue is the difference between logical memory and physical memory. While the first address of a logical memory is obviously zero, the physical memory can have the first part of the register being occupied by the operating system. For the ρ -VEX, the first address which is allowed to be (over)written is 120. Thus, when writing for example the result of the kernel to the logical address '0', it actually should be written to the physical address '120' of the data memory. This can be done using __DATA_START to indicate the start address.

Despite the fact that this is a rather simplistic operation, it took us some struggling to have this action confirmed as correct. For example, we were told to remove the AUTOINLINE flag which resulted in a lot of wrong hexdumps. Also, half way the lab a fix has been made to eliminate the issue of the logical and physical addresses. All references to __DATA_START had to be removed again, which felt like we had wasted lots of time. Unfortunately, we still had major problems getting the application to run

```
// Open the inem and fill it with bytecode
// Write the bytecode into the instruction memor int instr = open('dex/'gree-'memory.'0', O. MRONL)
if (instr = -1){
    printf("hinsit is already open or error has return -1;
}

write (instr, codebuffer, 2000);
close(instr);
printf("hafter writing bytecode");

(a) Bytecode

(b) Bytedata

// Open the data register and check whether it has open
// point data mem to 120 on the growx, address 0 on the temp. "It wite the reset command to grex
// Write the bytecode into the instruction memor int instr = open('dex/'grey-'memory.'0', O. MRONL)
if (instr = -1){
    printf("hafter is already open or error has return -1;
}

ff (lasek(data, 0, SEEK SET) == -1)

ff (lasek(data, 0, SEEK SET) == -1)

for (i = 0; i < 4; i++, pixl += i_pixl, pix2 += i_pixl)

for (i = 0; i < 4; i++, pixl += i_pixl, pix2 += i_pixl)

printf("hafter running code g the grows");
write(data, pixl, stride);

write(data, pixl, stride);

printf("hanter pix loop");
write(data, pixl, stride);

printf("honous is finished");
```

Figurr 1: Commands for reading from and writing to the ρ -VEX

correctly. As it turned out, the fix had only affected the 'home' directory. In order to avoid conflicting files, we had created a separate folder named 'lab2' from where we executed the application. Due to this, the fix did not reach our code and thus did not eliminate the start address issue.

3.2 Type Definition

As stated earlier, an extracted kernel is unable to obtain information from previous code. Consequently, all parameters have to be pre-defined. Table ?? shows all required type definitions.

3.3 Constraints of the ρ -VEX

*** Beperkingen van de RoVEX uitleggen ***

3.4 Communication between the MicroBlaze and the $\rho\textsc{-}\mbox{VEX}$

In order to delegate the satd_8x4 kernel from the MicroBlaze to the ρ -VEX, both the environments need to communicate with each other. When executing the x264 application, the MicroBlaze has to load the instructions of the extracted kernel into the instruction memory of the ρ -VEX and the data (for which the SATD has to be evaluated) into the data memory. This is when the source code of x264 becomes involved. The pixel_satd_8x4 kernel, which is residing in the pixel_c file of the application, needs to be overwritten. Instead of calculating the SATD, it should send the instructions and input to the ρ -VEX. The commands ?? and ?? are therefore added to the pixel_c file of the x264 application. These pixels are written at physical address 120, the first address on the ρ -VEX that is not set apart for communication between the driver and the ρ -VEX. Also, an empty pixel is written after bytedata in order to make space for writing the result.

To control the ρ -VEX from the x264 application, the control memory should also be written. By first setting the control to '2', the ρ -VEX is being reset. It can then be

started by writing '1' to control, telling the ρ -VEX to start calculating the satd of the two pixels. While calculating, the status of the ρ -VEX can be checked in a while-loop by reading the status variable of the status memory (rvex-smemory). When the satd kernel is finished, the result can be read from the data memory. See also ??.

3.5 Result Hyphothesis

By having the computational intensive kernel being run concurrently on a co-processor, one could expect an overal speedup of the application. A problem is, however, that the bytecode and bytedata have to be sent to the ρ -VEX every time the kernel is being called. Bytedata contains two new pixels of which the SATD has to be calculated, bytecode the kernel instructions. Because of this constant data traffic between the MicroBlaze and the ρ -VEX we don't think the intended speed up is achieved.

The reason that bytecode has to be sent every time the kernel is called, is that the three FPGAs are shared among a lot of students. When running their application concurrently, the instruction memory is constantly overwritten by another group. If there was a one-to-one setup, bytecode could have to been placed in main.c, written tot the imemory of the ρ -VEX once when starting the application.

4 Implementation

The approach described in the previous section wasn't implemented in a day, obviously. This section will cover some difficulties that came along during the lab.

4.1 The Fix

Halfway the lab, a fix was introduced to take care of the stride issue. The stride values were now set and did not have to be passed on using <code>__DATA_START</code>. In order to make the ρ -VEX read the pixels in the data memory correct a pointer to the first address would be enough.

Unfortunately, this caused us problems for weeks. Since we wanted to let the original x264 file unaltered, we copied the folder and created a new application. The folder was called 'lab2', with the pixel.c file called microlab2.c. The lab2.c file containing the extracted kernel was in the rovex-examples folder because of the makefile, that was also residing there. Now, the fix was only applicable to the original x264 folder, so we still had to deal with the stride issue.

When we found out about this fix, we adjusted the original x264 folder. We commented out the source code of pixel_satd_8x4 and put our MicroBlaze kernel code instead. To check whether the pixels were sent to ρ -VEX data memory correctly, we pre-defined two pixels in pixel.c to be written to rvex-dmemory. This way, we could have certain expectations when doing a hexdump to examine the registers. Our testpixels are shows in figure ??.

4.2 Order of Variable Initialization

After moving our code to the original x264 code and creating test pixels to be used for SATD calculation, a dump of the ρ -VEX data memory can been seen in figure ??. As you can see, the first two byte are not matching. At first, we thought this

```
pixel imagepix[pixsize] = (50, 47, 45, 42, 40, 37, 34, 32, 49, 46, 44, 41, 39, 36, 33, 31, 48, 45, 43, 40, 37, 35, 32, 30, 47, 44, 42, 39, 36, 34, 31, 29 );

pixel imagepix[pixsize] = ( 48, 50, 47, 33, 27, 26, 30, 29, 48, 50, 47, 33, 27, 26, 30, 29, 48, 50, 47, 33, 27, 26, 30, 29, 48, 50, 47, 33, 27, 26, 30, 29 );

(a) Test pixels defined in pixel.c
```

(b) Hexdump of the testpixels (first two bytes incorrect)

Figurr 2: Commands for reading from and writing to the ρ -VEX

could be because of the fact that another group was running their application at the same FPGA concurrently. However, the value of these bytes remained the same during several runs.

This unwanted write was caused by the initialization of the sum variable, that has type short int and was stored in the data memory before the pixel. When pixel_satd_8x4 tried to find the pixels, it found sum instead of the pixels, causing the program to get stuck in a loop. When changes sum to not being initialied, datamem containing the pixels had the first spot at address 120.

4.3 Endianness

```
*** byteswap toelichten ***
```

A Extracted kernels pixel_satd_8x4 and pixel_satd_4x4

```
// Defining types for the expected input arguments
// changed intptr_t to int instead of unsigned int and sum2 from us long to us int
typedef unsigned int intptr_t;
typedef unsigned char pixel;
typedef unsigned short sum_t;
typedef unsigned long sum2_t;

// Define an inputarray that points to the start of the data memory
pixel datamem[128];

// BIT_DEPTH is 8 always, so if else statement is removed
#define BIT_DEPTH 8

// #define BITS_PER_SUM (8 * sizeof(sum_t))
#define BITS_PER_SUM (8 * sizeof(sum_t))

// HADAMARD4 function exported from the original pixel.c file
#define HADAMARD4(d0, d1, d2, d3, s0, s1, s2, s3) {\
    sum2_t t0 = s0 + s1;\
}
```

```
sum2_t t1 = s0 - s1;
    sum2_t t2 = s2 + s3;
    sum2_t t3 = s2 - s3;
   d0 = t0 + t2;
   d2 = t0 - t2;
   d1 = t1 + t3; \ d3 = t1 - t3; \
}
// abs function exported from the original pixel.c file
static sum2_t abs2(sum2_t a);
// x264_pixel_satd_8x4 exported from the original pixel.c file
int x264_pixel_satd_8x4();
// x264_pixel_satd_4x4 exported from the original pixel.c file
int x264_pixel_satd_4x4();
char main()
    // run the x264_pixel_satd_8x4 by passing on the pixel values.
   int result, i;
   result = 0;
   i = 0;
    // calculate the result
    if (datamem[0x40] == 0x44)
       result = x264-pixel_satd_4x4();
    else if (datamem[0x40] == 0x84)
       result = x264-pixel_satd_8x4();
    else result = 0xdead;
    // clean result array
    for (i = 0; i < 4; i++)
    {
        datamem[i] = 0x00;
    // write result in reverse endianess
   datamem[0x02] = (result >> 8) & 0xFF;
   datamem[0x03] = result & 0xFF;
    // DEBUG
    // datamem[0x40] = 0xfe;
    // datamem[0x41] = 0xed;
    return 0;
}
static sum2_t abs2(sum2_t a)
```

```
{
    sum2_t s = ((a)>(BITS_PER_SUM-1))&(((sum2_t)1<<BITS_PER_SUM)+1))*((sum_t)-1);
    return (a+s) ^s;
int x264_pixel_satd_8x4()
        sum2_t tmp[4][4];
        sum2_t a0, a1, a2, a3;
    sum2_t sum = 0;
    int i = 0;
    // Strides are set values and do not have to be given as input arguments
    // Stride is 16 to compute 2 rows of 8 bytes of pixel array elements
    intptr_t stride = 16;
    // Pointer to data memory
    pixel* datapoint = datamem;
    // Adjust the for\ loop\ so\ it\ can\ fetch\ data\ from\ data\ memory
    for (i = 0; i < 4; i++, datapoint += stride)
        a0 = (datapoint[0] - datapoint[8]) + ((sum2.t)(datapoint[4] - datapoint[12]) << BITS_PER_SUM
        a1 = (datapoint[1] - datapoint[9]) + ((sum2_t) (datapoint[5] - datapoint[13]) << BITS_PER_SUM</pre>
        a2 = (datapoint[2] - datapoint[10]) + ((sum2.t) (datapoint[6] - datapoint[14]) << BITS_PER_SU a3 = (datapoint[3] - datapoint[11]) + ((sum2.t) (datapoint[7] - datapoint[15]) << BITS_PER_SU
        HADAMARD4( tmp[i][0], tmp[i][1], tmp[i][2], tmp[i][3], a0,a1,a2,a3 );
    for(i = 0; i < 4; i++ )</pre>
        HADAMARD4( a0, a1, a2, a3, tmp[0][i], tmp[1][i], tmp[2][i], tmp[3][i] );
        sum += abs2(a0) + abs2(a1) + abs2(a2) + abs2(a3);
    return (((sum_t)sum) + (sum>>BITS_PER_SUM)) >> 1;;
int x264_pixel_satd_4x4()
    sum2_t tmp[4][2];
    sum2_t a0, a1, a2, a3, b0, b1;
    sum2_t sum = 0;
    int i = 0;
        // Strides are set values and do not have to be given as input arguments
    // Stride is 8 to compute 2 rows of 4 bytes of pixel array elements
    intptr_t stride = 8;
    // Pointer to data memory
    pixel* datapoint = datamem;
    // instead of 8x4 method, it writes per 4 bytes
    for (i = 0; i < 4; i++, datapoint +=stride)
        a0 = datapoint[0] - datapoint[4];
a1 = datapoint[1] - datapoint[5];
        b0 = (a0+a1) + ((a0-a1) << BITS_PER_SUM);
        a2 = datapoint[2] - datapoint[6];
```

Input file Kernel name	Time (sec)	Share $(\%)$	Calls
		100.00	71
x264 camal32x18 itLgosts			
· ·	0.02	0.00	1646
$x264$ _free			
		0.00	784
$x264_cabac_encode_desicion_c$			
		66.67	71
x264 camal@sex32i6.gosts			
	0.03	33.33	1971
$x264$ _pixel_satd_ $4x4$			
		0.00	4830
$x264$ -pixel_satd_ $8x4$			
		14.29	1599044
x264*qaixxe640 tx 328 x \$.y4m			
	1.61	11.80	570708
$x264_get_ref$			
		4.97	38770
$x264$ -pixel_satd_ $x4$ -16x16			
		20.61	7228633
$\mathbf{gktdrefam}_{-}640\mathbf{x}320_{-}32.\mathbf{y}4\mathbf{m}$			
	8.54	13.23	15386501
$x264$ _pixel_satd_8x4			
		4.57	1009690
x264_pixel_satd_x4_8x8			
1.1.6. 040 000 400 4		17.48	21956292
gktdre $ ext{fm}_640 ext{x}320_128. ext{y}4 ext{m}$	20.00		1000000
	29.86	14.17	49862831
$x264$ _pixel_satd_ $8x4$		0.50	1000015
204 : 1 +1 410 10		6.56	1023315
$x264$ _pixel_satd_ $x4$ _ $16x16$			

Tabel 1: Chart with computationally most intensive kernels for each input stream.

Parameter	Type definition	Motivation
intptr_t	unsigned int	This parameter represents the stride, which cannot be <0
pixel	unsigned char	Pixels are made out of bytes, which have 8 bits (like a char)
sum_t	short int	Same type definition as in the source code (16 bits)
sum2_t	long int	Same type definition as in the source code (32 bits)
BIT_DEPTH	defined as 8	Also in the source code, plus the kernel handles pixels (bytes)
BIT_PER_SUM	$(8 * sizeof(sum_t))$	Also predefined as in the source code, being 8 as well

Tabel 2: Type definitions in the extracted kernel file.