# PROOF-THEORETIC SEMANTICS FOR DYNAMIC LOGICS

Alessandra Palmigiano
Joint work with
Sabine Frittella, Giuseppe Greco, Alexander Kurz, Vlasta Sikimic

WWW.APPLIEDLOGICTUDELFT.NL

Computer and Information Sciences University of Strathclyde

6 October 2015

#### PROOF-THEORETIC SEMANTICS

Theories of meaning					
Denotational	Inferential				
(model-theoretic)	(proof-theoretic)				
Tarski: Meaning is	Gentzen: Meaning is				
out there	in Rules				

- Wittgenstein: meaning is use (very influential in philosophy of language)
- Wansing: meaning is correct use!
- not all proof systems are good environments for an inferential theory of meaning.

#### GOOD PROOF SYSTEMS FOR DLS: DESIDERATA

- An independent account of dynamic logics:
  - Proof-theoretic semantic approach;
- Intuitive, user-friendly rules;
- Good performances:
  - soundness & completeness,
  - cut-elimination & sub-formula property,
  - decidability.
- A modular account of dynamic logics:
  - charting the space of DLs by adding/subtracting rules,
  - transfer of results with minimal changes.

#### PROBLEMS: THE CASE STUDY OF DEL

$$\begin{split} &\langle \alpha \rangle \mathsf{p} \leftrightarrow \mathsf{Pre}(\alpha) \land \mathsf{p} \\ &\langle \alpha \rangle (\mathsf{A} \lor \mathsf{B}) \leftrightarrow \langle \alpha \rangle \mathsf{A} \lor \langle \alpha \rangle \mathsf{B} \\ &\langle \alpha \rangle \neg \mathsf{A} \leftrightarrow \mathsf{Pre}(\alpha) \land \neg \langle \alpha \rangle \mathsf{A} \\ &\langle \alpha \rangle \langle \mathsf{a} \rangle \mathsf{A} \leftrightarrow \mathsf{Pre}(\alpha) \land \bigvee \{ \langle \mathsf{a} \rangle \langle \beta \rangle \mathsf{A} \mid \alpha \mathsf{a} \beta \} \end{split}$$

- 1. not closed under uniform substitution;
- 2. use of meta-linguistic abbreviation  $Pre(\alpha)$ ;
- 3. use of labels  $\alpha a \beta$ .

#### THE CASE STUDY OF PDL

$$[\alpha] (A \to B) \to ([\alpha] A \to [\alpha] B)$$

$$[\alpha \cup \beta] A \leftrightarrow [\alpha] A \wedge [\beta] A$$

$$[\alpha; \beta] A \leftrightarrow [\alpha] [\beta] A$$

$$[?A] B \leftrightarrow (A \to B)$$

$$[\alpha] (A \wedge B) \leftrightarrow [\alpha] A \wedge [\alpha] B$$

$$[\alpha^*] A \leftrightarrow A \wedge [\alpha] [\alpha^*] A$$

$$A \wedge [\alpha^*] (A \to [\alpha] A) \to [\alpha^*] A$$

#### DISPLAY CALCULI

- Natural generalization of sequent calculi;
- ▶ sequents  $X \vdash Y$ , where X, Y STRUCTURES:  $\phi$  ,  $\phi$ ;  $\psi$  ..., X > Y,...
- ► DISPLAY PROPERTY:

$$\frac{Y \vdash X > Z}{X; Y \vdash Z}$$

$$\frac{X; Y \vdash Z}{Y; X \vdash Z}$$

$$X \vdash Y > Z$$

- display property: adjunction at the structural level.
- Canonical proof of cut elimination

#### More on Structural Connectives

▶ One for two:

>		;		I		{a}		{a}		$\{\alpha\}$		$\widehat{\alpha}$	
>-	$\rightarrow$	$\wedge$	V	$\vdash$	1	$\langle \mathtt{a}  angle$	[a]	$\langle { t a}  angle$	<u>a</u>	$\langle \alpha \rangle$	$[\alpha]$	$\widehat{\alpha}$	$\alpha$

Again, dynamic adjoints needed for display rules:

$$\begin{array}{c}
X \vdash \{a\}Y \\
\hline
\widehat{a}X \vdash Y
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{c}
\{a\}X \vdash Y \\
X \vdash \widehat{a}Y
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
X \vdash \{\alpha\}Y \\
\hline
\widehat{\alpha}X \vdash Y
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{c}
\{\alpha\}X \vdash Y \\
\hline
X \vdash \widehat{a}Y
\end{array}$$

#### THE MULTI-TYPE APPROACH

- ► Ag Act Fnc Fm;
  - no ancillary symbols; all types are first-class citizens;
- Additional expressivity:
  - operational connectives merging different types:

$$\triangle_1, \blacktriangle_1 : \mathsf{Act} \times \mathsf{Fm} \to \mathsf{Fm} \qquad \langle \alpha \rangle A \leadsto \alpha \triangle_1 A$$

$$\triangle_{\,2},\, \blacktriangle_{\,2} \quad : \quad \mathsf{Ag} \times \mathsf{Fm} \to \mathsf{Fm} \qquad \ \langle \mathsf{a} \rangle \mathcal{A} \leadsto \mathsf{a} \, \triangle_{\,2} \mathcal{A}$$

$$\triangle_3$$
,  $\blacktriangle_3$ : Ag × Fnc  $\rightarrow$  Act

Modularity: by adding or subtracting types (Games, strategies, coalitions) one can chart the whole space of dynamic logics.

for 
$$1 < i < 3$$
,

	$\triangle_i$		$\blacktriangle_i$		1	> <sub>i</sub>	<b>→</b> ;		
	$\triangle_i$		<b>▲</b> i			> <i>i</i>		<b>→</b> i	

#### A GLIMPSE AT RULES FOR DEL

Single-type, first version: formulas as side conditions (and rules with labels);

swap-in<sub>L</sub> 
$$\frac{\mathsf{Pre}(\alpha); \{\alpha\}\{\mathtt{a}\}X \vdash Y}{\mathsf{Pre}(\alpha); \{\mathtt{a}\}\{\beta\}_{\alpha\mathtt{a}\beta}X \vdash Y}$$

Single-type, emended: purely structural (but labels still there);

$$\mathit{swap-in'}_{\mathsf{L}} \frac{\{\alpha\}\{\mathtt{a}\}X \vdash Y}{\Phi_{\alpha}; \{\mathtt{a}\}\{\beta\}_{\alpha\mathtt{a}\beta}X \vdash Y}$$

Multi-type: no side conditions and no labels.

swap-in<sub>L</sub> 
$$\frac{a \blacktriangle_{2}(\alpha \blacktriangle_{1}X) \vdash Y}{(a \blacktriangle_{3}\alpha) \blacktriangle_{1}(a \blacktriangle_{2}X) \vdash Y}$$

### A GLIMPSE AT RULES FOR PDL

$$\bigoplus \frac{ \prod^{\oplus} \vdash \Delta}{ \prod \vdash \Delta^{\ominus}}$$

$$\omega \triangle \frac{ \left( \prod^{(n)} \triangle_1 X \vdash Y \mid n \ge 1 \right)}{ \prod^{\oplus} \triangle_0 X \vdash Y}$$

## Canonical cut elimination, 1/3

- 1. structures can disappear, formulas are **forever**;
- 2. **tree-traceable** formula-occurrences, via suitably defined congruence:
  - ▶ same shape, same position, same type, non-proliferation;
- 3. **principal** = **displayed** (Exception: principal fma's in axioms)
  - Generaliz.: axioms are closed under display rules (when applicable);
- 4. rules are closed under **uniform substitution** of congruent parameters within each type;
- reduction strategy exists when cut formulas are both principal.

#### SPECIFIC TO MULTI-TYPE SETTING:

- 6. type-uniformity of derivable sequents;
- 7. strongly uniform cuts in each/some type(s).

THM: For any (multi-type) calculus satisfying list above, the cut elimination theorem can be proven.

## Canonical cut elimination, 2/3

Two main cases + subcases.

- (a) Both cut formulas are principal. by 5. (cut is either eliminated or "broken down" into cuts of lower rank).
- (b) At least one cut formula is parametric. Subcase (b1):  $a_u$  principal in axiom. Then,

## CANONICAL CUT ELIMINATION, 3/3

Subcase (b2):  $a_u$  principal in other rule. Then,  $a_u$  is in display, and hence:

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
\vdots \pi'_{2} & & \vdots \pi_{1} & \vdots \pi'_{2} \\
a_{u} \vdash y' & & \underline{x \vdash a} & a_{u} \vdash y' \\
\vdots \pi_{1} & \vdots \pi_{2} & & & x \vdash y' \\
\underline{x \vdash a} & a \vdash y & & \vdots \pi_{2}[x/a] \\
x \vdash y & & & x \vdash y
\end{array}$$

## CANONICAL CUT ELIMINATION, 3/3

Subcase (b2):  $a_u$  principal in other rule. Then,  $a_u$  is in display, and hence:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \vdots \pi'_2 & & \vdots \pi_1 & \vdots \pi'_2 \\ a_u \vdash y' & & x \vdash a & a_u \vdash y' \\ \vdots \pi_1 & \vdots \pi_2 & & & x \vdash y' \\ \hline x \vdash a & a \vdash y & & \vdots \pi_2[x/a] \\ \hline x \vdash y & & & x \vdash y \end{array}$$

Subcase (b3):  $a_u$  parametric. Then:

$$\vdots \pi'_{2}$$

$$(x' \vdash y')[a_{u}]^{pre} \qquad \vdots \pi'_{2}$$

$$\vdots \pi_{1} \qquad \vdots \pi_{2}$$

$$x \vdash a \qquad a \vdash y \qquad \vdots \pi_{2}[x/a_{u}^{pre}]$$

$$x \vdash y \qquad \leadsto \qquad x \vdash y$$

- 1. S. Frittella, G. Greco, A. Kurz, AP, V. Sikimic, A Proof-Theoretic Semantic Analysis of Dynamic Epistemic Logic, JLC Special issue on Substructural Logic and Information Dynamics (2014), DOI:10.1093/logcom/exu063.
- 2. S. Frittella, G. Greco, A. Kurz, AP, V. Sikimic, Multi-type Display Calculus for Dynamic Epistemic Logic, JLC Special issue on Substructural Logic and Information Dynamics (2014), DOI:10.1093/logcom/exu068.
- 3. S. Frittella, G. Greco, A. Kurz, AP, **Multi-type Display** Calculus for Propositional Dynamic Logic, JLC Special issue on Substructural Logic and Information Dynamics (2014), DOI:10.1093/logcom/exu064.
- 4. S. Frittella, G. Greco, A. Kurz, AP, V. Sikimic, Multi-type Sequent Calculi, Studia Logica, Proc. Trends in Logic XIII (2014) 81-93.
- 5. G. Greco, A. Kurz, AP, **Dynamic Epistemic Logic Displayed**, Proc. LORI 2013.