



Welke Theory of Change modellen worden gebruikt voor vrijwilligersorganisaties?

Onderzoek naar hoe organisaties hun impact logica modelleren, welke indicatoren ze gebruiken, en hoe ze oorzaak-gevolg relaties tussen vrijwilligersinzet en maatschappelijke impact aantonen.

Now I have comprehensive research to generate the final report. Let me create a professional, detailed response.

Theory of Change Models for Volunteer Organizations: A Comprehensive Analysis

Theory of Change (ToC) has become a fundamental framework for volunteer organizations seeking to demonstrate how their activities create societal impact. This analysis examines the models, indicators, and causal mechanisms that organizations use to evidence the link between volunteer engagement and social outcomes.

Understanding Theory of Change in Volunteer Context

A Theory of Change is a visual roadmap that traces the logical pathway from an organization's needs assessment through activities, outputs, and outcomes to long-term impact. For volunteer organizations, it answers critical questions: What change are we trying to achieve? How will volunteering contribute to that change? What assumptions underlie our logic? What evidence supports those assumptions?^[1]

Unlike simpler Logic Models—which map inputs, activities, and outputs—a Theory of Change explicitly focuses on causal mechanisms and the "why" behind each step in the change process. This distinction is crucial for volunteer organizations working in complex social contexts where multiple factors influence outcomes.^[2]

Core Components of a Volunteer-Focused Theory of Change

The foundational structure includes five interconnected elements:^[3] ^[1] ^[2]

Element	Definition	Example for Volunteer Program
Long-term Goal	Broad social change the organization aims to achieve	Reduced social isolation in community
Intermediate Outcomes	Sequential changes needed to reach the goal	Volunteers develop social networks → Beneficiaries experience belonging → Community cohesion strengthens

Element	Definition	Example for Volunteer Program
Activities	What the organization does to enable outcomes	Recruit volunteers, provide training, match with beneficiaries
Outputs	Immediate, measurable results of activities	50 volunteers trained, 200 service hours delivered
Assumptions & Evidence	The premises underlying causal links, backed by research	Assumption: Meaningful relationships reduce isolation (Evidence: What Works for Wellbeing study)

Causal Pathways: How Volunteering Creates Impact

Research identifies several evidence-based causal pathways through which volunteer engagement produces societal benefits. These mechanisms should be explicitly mapped in an organization's Theory of Change.

The Meaning-Helpfulness-Isolation Pathway

One well-documented causal chain operates through older adults:^[4]

Sense of Meaning → Feeling Helpful → Reduced Social Isolation

This pathway reveals that volunteering's impact on social isolation operates indirectly through psychological factors. When volunteer roles are designed to emphasize contribution and purpose, they activate feelings of helpfulness. Simulated interventions show that a one-point increase in "feeling helpful" reduces social isolation by 0.257 points. This suggests that organizations should deliberately structure volunteer roles to emphasize the difference volunteers make.^[4]

The Engagement-Wellbeing Connection

Volunteer organizations internationally document that volunteer participation predicts improvements in psychological and social well-being, particularly through:^{[5] [6]}

- Strengthened social integration via community engagement
- Enhanced emotional support networks
- Greater sense of belonging and reduced loneliness
- Increased personal growth and confidence

However, organizations should note an important finding: volunteering shows marginal associations with gratitude and no direct effect on subjective wellbeing or loneliness in some studies—indicating that outcomes depend heavily on program design and volunteer experience quality.^[5]

Five Dimensions of Volunteer Engagement

Retention research identifies five interdependent dimensions affecting volunteer outcomes:^[7]

1. **Cognitive engagement:** Understanding the mission and one's role
2. **Affective engagement:** Emotional connection to the cause
3. **Behavioral engagement:** Active participation and task completion
4. **Social engagement:** Community and peer relationships
5. **Spiritual engagement:** Sense of purpose and meaning

Organizations should measure progress across all five to ensure comprehensive impact, as poor person-organization fit in any dimension increases burnout and attrition.^[7]

Measurement Frameworks Used in Practice

Australia's National Strategy for Volunteering (2023-2033)

The Australian framework provides one of the most comprehensive outcomes-focused models. It organizes impact measurement into three focus areas:^[8]

1. Individual Potential and Volunteer Experience

- Volunteers have meaningful, enriching experiences
- Programs are inclusive and accessible
- Safety and ethical engagement with supports
- Specific indicators: volunteer satisfaction rates, diversity metrics, support accessibility, burnout/exploitation measures

2. Community and Social Impact

- Recognition of diverse cultural expressions of volunteering
- Public awareness of volunteering's breadth and value
- Demonstrated connection between volunteer activity and individual/community outcomes
- Specific indicators: research publications, policy recognition, funding diversity, proportion of organizations measuring outcomes

3. Conditions for Volunteering to Thrive

- Government policy and investment support
- Strong leadership and collaboration across ecosystem
- Adequate infrastructure and strategic investment
- Volunteer management as recognized professional practice
- Specific indicators: government funding levels, cross-sector partnerships, volunteer manager qualifications, adoption of national standards

This framework uses both quantitative measures (participation rates, funding amounts) and qualitative measures (case studies, examples of policy change, beneficiary testimonies).

The "Making It Matter" Approach: Transnational Tool for Social Inclusion

Developed across six European countries (Belgium, Croatia, Denmark, Germany, Ireland, Slovenia) through an Erasmus+ project, this framework specifically addresses measuring volunteer impact on social inclusion for disadvantaged youth.^[9]

Individual Level ("You Matter" Tool): Measures personal development across four domains:

- Self-image: Health, confidence, self-perception
- Relationships: Social networks, acceptance, sense of belonging
- Community connection: Civic responsibility, community feeling
- Skills and knowledge: New competencies, learning from experience

Each domain is scored 0-90, with interpretation: 0-30 (limited effect), 31-60 (moderate effect), 61-90 (meaningful effect). The tool is administered pre-participation and after 10+ volunteer hours.

Organizational Level ("Making It Matter" Tool): Assesses program quality across five dimensions:

- Service quality
- Volunteer program quality
- Organizational inclusion policy
- Internal organizational relationships
- Community relationships and impact

Organizations conduct team-based assessments using 17 statements, with evidence collection from organizational records, volunteer feedback, and beneficiary input.

Community Level: Uses qualitative and quantitative methods (interviews, surveys, focus groups) to assess social capital development, community relationships, and systemic inclusion improvements.

Dutch Framework: Three Types of Value for Volunteer Work

Netherlands research by Movisie and Regioplan identifies important definitional challenges for Dutch/Flemish context:^[10]

Value Type	Definition	Measurable	Challenge
Financial-Economic	Volunteer hours × comparative wage rate	Yes (€5-15B estimated for NL)	Doesn't capture volunteer motivation
Socio-Economic	Social outcomes quantified with financial attribution (SROI, VIVA-1)	Partially	Requires administrative capacity many organizations lack

Value Type	Definition	Measurable	Challenge
Socio-Maatschappelijk	Social cohesion, citizenship, social capital	Often not	Intrinsic value may be lost in quantification

The Dutch research reveals organizational ambivalence: leaders recognize volunteer value but fear that measurement will bureaucratize relationships or reveal findings that undermine funding arguments. The field prefers "praktische en eenvoudige methoden" (practical and simple methods) with room for customization while preserving the unique value of volunteer work.^[10]

Key Performance Indicators Across Models

International best practice identifies consistent measurement areas across diverse volunteer contexts:

Capacity Indicators (Output Level)

- Number of active volunteers
- Total volunteer hours contributed
- Average hours per volunteer per month
- Percentage of volunteer positions filled
- Volunteer diversity metrics

Retention & Engagement Indicators

- Volunteer retention rate (month-to-month, annual)
- Volunteer satisfaction scores (qualitative feedback + surveys)
- Volunteer-to-staff ratios
- Training completion and participation rates

Impact Indicators (Outcome Level)

- **For beneficiaries:** Service quality ratings, client satisfaction, behavioral/wellbeing improvements
- **For volunteers:** Self-reported skill development, confidence changes, social network expansion, sense of purpose
- **For organization:** Efficiency gains, service capacity increase, mission alignment improvements
- **For community:** Social capital indicators, civic participation rates, reduced isolation metrics

Critically, organizations should mix quantitative and qualitative measures. While volunteer hours provide clear numeric value, beneficiary testimonies and volunteer reflections reveal mechanisms of change.^{[11] [12]}

Building an Effective Theory of Change: The Facilitation Process

Research from UK government guidance and international practice identifies best-practice workshop methodology:[\[13\]](#) [\[14\]](#) [\[15\]](#)

Preparation Phase (2-4 weeks prior)

- Engage 5-12 stakeholders: practitioners, beneficiaries, evaluators, skeptics, organizational leaders
- Select experienced external facilitator + internal co-facilitator
- Schedule 2 half-day workshops minimum (full days optimal)
- Prepare draft context analysis and initial theory for review

Workshop Day 1: Context & Change Mechanisms

- Reflect on current conditions ("how things are now")
- Identify who should change, what should change, and how change happens
- Map stakeholders and their roles in the change process
- Surface existing beliefs about how change occurs

Workshop Day 2: Impact Pathways & Assumptions

- Work backwards from long-term goal to intermediate outcomes
- Establish causal links: "What has to happen first for this outcome to occur?"
- Identify critical assumptions (those whose failure would derail the program)
- Link assumptions to monitoring questions
- Identify what evidence exists for each assumption

Post-Workshop (Implementation & Learning)

- Refine draft with smaller team
- Implement monitoring aligned with assumptions
- Conduct annual review workshops to test theory against evidence
- Adapt theory as implementation reveals what works

Making Assumptions Explicit: The Foundation of Testable Theories

A distinctive feature of Theory of Change is its systematic treatment of assumptions. These are not weaknesses but rather the hypotheses organizations can test during implementation.[\[16\]](#) [\[17\]](#) [\[18\]](#)

Assumptions fall into several categories:

Behavioral Assumptions: Will volunteers and beneficiaries actually change their behavior as expected? For example: "Lonely seniors will attend volunteer-led social groups" depends on assumptions about transportation, trust, cultural appropriateness, and competing demands on time.

Organizational Assumptions: Do partner organizations have capacity to implement as planned? "Training partnerships will consistently recruit high-quality volunteers" assumes partners have staff time, commitment to standards, and appropriate networks.

Market Assumptions: Does the target population actually need the services offered? Does the community perceive volunteering as valuable? "Young people will volunteer" may fail if social norms discourage it or if alternative opportunities are more attractive.

Evidence-Based Assumptions: What research supports the causal links? Organizations should ask: "What evidence exists that this type of intervention produces this outcome?" Stronger theories ground assumptions in research evidence, local knowledge, and previous program data.
[\[17\]](#)

Demonstrating Causal Relationships: From Attribution to Contribution

A critical challenge for volunteer organizations is distinguishing between correlation and causation. Several approaches help evidence causal claims:

Contribution Logic: Rather than claiming sole attribution, organizations can evidence that their work contributed to outcomes through:
[\[19\]](#) [\[11\]](#)

- Pre-post measurement with volunteer participants (comparing changes to baseline)
- Comparison of served vs. unserved populations in their catchment area
- Tracking intermediate outcomes predicted by Theory of Change (confirming causal pathway)
- Qualitative evidence: volunteer and beneficiary accounts of what changed and why
- Counterfactual evidence: What would have happened without the program?

Pathway Confirmation: Evidence that intermediate outcomes were achieved supports the overall causal theory. For example:

- Theory predicts: Volunteer training → increased confidence → greater community engagement
- Evidence: Measure confidence improvement, then engagement change, confirming pathway
- Stronger evidence: Both intermediate and final outcomes shift as predicted

Assumption Validation: Test specific assumptions during implementation:

- "Volunteers will stay engaged longer if paired with mentors" → Track retention by mentorship status
- "Social inclusion improves when volunteers reflect diverse community" → Compare beneficiary satisfaction across volunteer diversity levels

Practical Challenges and Localization for Netherlands/Belgium Context

Dutch and Belgian volunteer organizations face specific implementation challenges:^[20] ^[10]

Administrative Burden: Many smaller organizations lack personnel for detailed hour-tracking or complex measurement tools. The solution: design simpler methods aligned with organizational capacity while maintaining rigor.^[21]

Relationship Preservation: In care/wellbeing sectors, organizations worry that measurement will commercialize relationships. The response: emphasize that measuring socio-maatschappelijk (social-community) outcomes captures what pure financial metrics miss.^[10]

Funder Alignment: With increasing municipal requests for impact evidence (especially under Wmo/Social Support Law), organizations need measurement systems that simultaneously serve internal learning and external accountability.^[21] ^[10]

Cultural Sensitivity: Netherlands and Belgium have diverse volunteer traditions (formal organizations, informal community-based, faith-based). The Theory of Change should honor these distinctions rather than imposing one model.^[6]

Practical Recommendation: Use Theory of Change as a qualitative planning tool first, then layer measurement appropriate to organizational capacity and stakeholder needs. Begin with simple outcome tracking (volunteer satisfaction, hours, beneficiary feedback) before implementing SROI or complex value accounting.

Conclusion

Effective Theory of Change models for volunteer organizations share common elements—clear causal pathways, explicit assumptions, evidence-based reasoning, and multiple measurement levels—while adapting to organizational context and stakeholder needs. Rather than prescriptive templates, the strongest approaches invite organizations to co-construct their own change theories through participatory workshops, ground those theories in research evidence and local knowledge, and use implementation as an ongoing learning process to refine their understanding of how volunteer engagement creates lasting social change.

The international research converges on a key insight: measuring volunteer impact requires balancing quantitative rigor with qualitative depth, economic metrics with socio-relational value, and accountability demands with the intrinsic motivation that drives volunteers. Organizations that successfully integrate Theory of Change thinking tend to report not just better evaluation data, but clearer organizational focus, stronger stakeholder alignment, and more resilient volunteer programs.

New Philanthropy Capital. Theory of Change: The beginning of making a difference.^[1]

Idealist.org. How to Measure Volunteer Impact and Why It Matters.^[11]

LinkedIn. How to Design a Logic Model for Your Volunteer Program.^[22]

Analysis Function Civil Service. The Theory of Change Process – Guidance for Outcome Measurement.^[2]

National Association of Area Agencies on Aging. Volunteer Management: Using a Logic Model.^[3]

[Academia.edu](#). Volunteer engagement: Conceptual extensions and value-in-context outcomes.
[17]

National Strategy for Volunteering: Monitoring and Evaluation Framework (Australia).
[8]

Rosa SMU. A Causal Discovery Exploration of Determinants of Social Isolation.
[4]

NCBI. Understanding the effects of volunteering on well-being.
[5]

Scholarship Richmond. Measuring, Reporting and Communicating Volunteer Impact.
[19]

Analysis Function. The Theory of Change Process – Guidance for Outcome Measurement.
[13]

Neyaglobal. Evaluating Volunteer Programs: Frameworks, Methods, and Best Practices.
[12]

TASO. Theory of change.
[14]

CAFOD. Developing a Theory of Change: Workshop Guidance Notes.
[15]

EvalCommunity. Theory Of Change In Monitoring And Evaluation.
[16]

TASO. Theory of Change: A precursor to impact evaluation.
[17]

West Yorkshire. Theory of Change, Monitoring, and Evaluation.
[18]

Movisie. Vrijwilligers langs de meetlat? (Volunteers Measured?).
[10]

Grant Thornton Netherlands. Theory of Change inzetten voor maatschappelijk programma's.
[20]

Volunteer Ireland. Making It Matter: The impact of volunteering on social inclusion.
[6]

Katalys/Movisie. Vrijwilligerswerk: van 'on-schatbare' waarde?
[21]

Nick Grooff. Theory of Change: Hoe je als maatschappelijke organisatie laat zien welke impact je maakt.
[23]

Filantropija. Impact Measurement Tool Manual – Making it Matter.
[9]

**

1. <https://prosper-strategies.com/what-is-a-theory-of-change-and-when-does-your-nonprofit-need-one/>
2. <https://www.thinknpc.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/Theory-of-change2.pdf>
3. <https://ltcombudsman.org/uploads/files/support/vol-mgt-oct-24.pdf>
4. [https://rosa.smu.edu.sg/sites/rosa.smu.edu.sg/files/Briefs/Jan24/A Causal Discovery Exploration of Determinants of Social Isolation.pdf](https://rosa.smu.edu.sg/sites/rosa.smu.edu.sg/files/Briefs/Jan24/A%20Causal%20Discovery%20Exploration%20of%20Determinants%20of%20Social%20Isolation.pdf)
5. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12628559/>
6. <https://www.volunteer.ie/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/Making-It-Matter-Research.pdf>
7. https://www.academia.edu/119953948/Volunteer_engagement_conceptual_extensions_and_value_in_context_outcomes
8. https://volunteeringstrategy.org.au/wp-content/uploads/2024/08/NSV_Monitoring-and-Evaluation-Framework.pdf
9. https://www.filantropija.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Manual_MiM.pdf
10. [https://www.movisie.nl/sites/movisie.nl/files/publication-attachment/Vrijwilligers langs de meetlat \[MOV-585341-001\].pdf](https://www.movisie.nl/sites/movisie.nl/files/publication-attachment/Vrijwilligers%20langs%20de%20meetlat%20%5BMOV-585341-001%.pdf)
11. <https://www.idealst.org/en/orgs/measure-volunteer-impact>
12. [https://neyaglobal.com/wa-data/public/site/non-profit-books/10/Evaluating Volunteer Programs: Frameworks, Methods, and Participatory Approaches -compressed.pdf](https://neyaglobal.com/wa-data/public/site/non-profit-books/10/Evaluating_Volunteer_Programs_Frameworks_Methods_and_Participatory_Approaches-compressed.pdf)
13. <https://analysisfunction.civilservice.gov.uk/policy-store/the-analysis-function-theory-of-change-toolkit/>
14. <https://taso.org.uk/insights-and-evaluation/theory-of-change/>
15. https://cafod.azurewebsites.net/Theory_of_Change_Guidance.pdf
16. <https://www.evalcommunity.com/career-center/theory-of-change-in-monitoring-and-evaluation-me/>

17. https://cdn.taso.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/TASO_Theory-of-Change_Briefing_Impact-evaluation-small-cohorts.pdf
18. <https://www.westyorks-ca.gov.uk/media/yp0nopyx/theory-of-change-monitoring-evaluation-toolkit.pdf>
19. <https://scholarship.richmond.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1026&context=spcs-nonprofitstudies-capstones>
20. <https://www.grantthornton.nl/insights/actualiteiten/theory-of-change-inzetten-voor-maatschappelijk-programmas/>
21. <https://katalys.nl/vrijwilligerswerk-van-on-schatbare-waarde/>
22. <https://www.linkedin.com/advice/3/how-do-you-design-logic-model-your-volunteer>
23. <https://www.nickgrooff.nl/2019/06/28/theory-of-change-hoe-je-als-maatschappelijke-organisatie-laat-zien-welke-impact-je-maakt-en-wat-daarvoor-nodig-is/>
24. <https://volunteerhub.com/blog/tracking-and-recognizing-volunteer-impact>
25. <https://www.planaadvisors.com/wisdom/theory-of-change/>
26. <https://bloomerang.com/blog/guide-to-measuring-volunteer-impact-nonprofits/>
27. <https://unlock-grants.com/blog/logic-model/>
28. <https://whatworkswellbeing.org/resources/theory-of-change-for-volunteer-wellbeing/>
29. <https://goldenvolunteer.com/blog/4-kpis-every-volunteer-manager-should-track/>
30. <https://tobijohnson.com/volunteer-impact-report/>
31. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9328015/>
32. <https://southamptonvs.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/Monitoring-and-Evaluating-a-Volunteer-Programme.pdf>
33. <https://www.civicchamps.com/post/11-metrics-that-matter-for-nonprofit-volunteer-managers>
34. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/43923195>
35. <https://volunteerhub.com/blog/evaluating-your-volunteer-program>
36. <https://www.iave.org/iavewp/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Measurement-and-Impact-Finding-Evidence-Volunteering-is-Good-for-Society-and-Good-for-You.pdf>
37. <https://www.local.gov.uk/our-support/our-improvement-offer/culture-and-sport-improvement/guide-developing-local-outcomes/how-do-i-create-my-own-framework/stage-two-create-outcomes-triangle-and-logic-model>
38. <https://mycommunity.org.uk/files/downloads/How-to-create-a-Logic-Model.pdf>
39. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2667321522000233>
40. [https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lanhl/article/PIIS2666-7568\(24\)00190-9/fulltext](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lanhl/article/PIIS2666-7568(24)00190-9/fulltext)
41. <https://nlctb.org/featured/how-to-evaluate-a-volunteer-program-performance/>
42. <https://www.theoryofchange.org/library/toc-examples/>
43. <https://unitedwaygmw.org/UnitedWay/Volunteer-Resources/10bMeasuringImpactTheDifference-Guide.pdf>
44. <https://www.theagilitylab.org/content/dam/tnc/nature/en/photos/agility-lab/resources/AL-Theory-of-Change-Workshop.pdf>
45. <https://philanthropynewsdigest.org/features/commentary-and-opinion/the-power-of-identifying-the-hidden-obstacle>
46. <https://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1700&context=tfr>

47. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11568639/>
48. <https://www.knowtizmnt.com/featured-articles/the-hidden-assumptions-killing-purpose-driven-organizations>
49. <https://www.actknowledge.org/resources/documents/ToC-Tech-Papers.pdf>
50. <https://www.betterevaluation.org/frameworks-guides/managers-guide-evaluation/scope-evaluation/describe-theory-change>
51. <https://eventmakers.nl/maatschappelijke-impact/>
52. <https://alexanderimpact.nl/impact-academie/theory-of-change-training/>
53. https://ec.europa.eu/citizenship/pdf/national_report_be_en.pdf
54. <https://www.cbs.nl/nl-nl/longread/rapportages/2025/vrijwilligerswerk-2024?onepage=true>