

Impact Management for Everyone

Select the pathway that matches your mandate for impact management **

	•			
i.e. that suits the	methodological	intensity	you	need

	Saïd Business School	Agnostic	Avoid harm	Want good	Get facts	Explain why	Assess effects	Interpret impact
	Engaged [×]	Do not consider impact	Have policies to identify and avoid activities that you think will cause harm	Have policies to identify and select activities that you think will do good	Describe what happens by enumerating outputs [ʔand/ or outcomes?] i.e. primary impact based upon general theory	Explain why outputs and/ or outcomes happen based upon specific inputs and actions	Enumerate the intended effects of actions on stakeholders	Enumerate positive and/ or negative outcomes, both intended and/ or unintended
	1 Clarify intentions			1.01 - Select criteria/ types of activities/ sectors/ themes that must be excluded. 1.02 - Select criteria/ types of activities/ sectors/ themes that are desirable.	be excluded. 1.02 - Select criteria/ types of activities/ sectors/ themes that are desirable. 1.03 - Select goals.	activities/ sectors/ themes that must be excluded. 1.02 - Select criteria/ types of activities/ sectors/ themes that are desirable. 1.03 - Select goals. 1.04 - Identify primary stakeholders	be excluded. 1.02 - Select criteria/ types of activities/ sectors/ themes that are desirable. 1.03 - Select goals.	1.01 - Select criteria/ types of activities/ sectors/ themes that must be excluded. 1.02 - Select criteria/ types of activities/ sectors/ themes that are desirable. 1.03 - Select goals. 1.04 - Identify primary stakeholders who will be affected/ targetted. 1.05 - Identify secondary stakeholders who might be also be affected.
-	2 Select approach			2.01 - Select principles that need to be adhered to.	2.01 - Select principles that need to be adhered to.	be adhered to.	be adhered to. 2.02 - Identify all the stakeholders who experience change as a result of the activity. 2.03 - Link outcomes selected for measure to specific stakeholders	2.01 - Select principles that need to be adhered to. 2.02 - Identify all the stakeholders who experience change as a result of the activity. 2.03 - Link outcomes selected for measure to specific stakeholders (and incorporate at appropriate level in the data model). 2.04 - Based upon qualitative data collected about outcomes, identify any apparent segments withing each stakeholder group that should be treated as a disrete cohort for further measurement and activity design and management. 2.05 - Capture open feedback from stakeholders about which outcomes they experience or might experience. 2.06 - Capturing any other outcomes that might/ would have happened without the activity (displacement).
					2.07 - Identify the intended consequences as the only ones to measure.	consequences as the only ones to	2.07 - Identify the intended consequences as the only ones to measure.	2.07 - Identify more than only the intended consequences to measure. 2.08 - Assess consequential outcomes (an outcomes tree) to help select the sustainable consequential outcome in the value chain. 2.09 - Define a sub-set of outcomes that are relevant for a quantitative
nt Journey *						between inputs, activities, outputs, outcomes and impact (Theory of Change/ Logic Model).	that correspond with consequences identified. 2.11 - Show the relationship between inputs, activities, outputs,	measurement phase. 2.10 - Identify metrics and indicators that correspond with consequences identified. 2.11 - Show the relationship between inputs, activities, outputs, outcomes and impact (Theory of Change/Logic Model). 2.12 - Identify the the most appropriate indicators for each outcome decided to measure.
Stages of Common Impact Management Journe	3 Set targets				and timing of measurement. 3.03 - Specify performance targets in format that enables accurate assessment of results. 3.05 - Select level of evidence required.	3.02 - Specify frequency, duration, and timing of measurement. 3.03 - Specify performance targets in format that enables accurate assessment of results. 3.04 - Review performance targets and identify if a proxy performance target is necessary (i.e. for managerial practicality of portfolio investor). 3.05 - Select level of evidence required. 3.06 - Identify units of measurement.	and timing of measurement. 3.03 - Specify performance targets in format that enables accurate assessment of results. 3.04 - Review performance targets and identify if a proxy performance target is necessary (i.e. for managerial practicality of portfolio investor). 3.05 - Select level of evidence required. 3.06 - Identify units of measurement.	3.01 - Identify benchmarks. 3.02 - Specify frequency, duration, and timing of measurement. 3.03 - Specify performance targets in format that enables accurate assessment of results. 3.04 - Review performance targets and identify if a proxy performance target is necessary (i.e. for managerial practicality of portfolio investor). 3.05 - Select level of evidence required. 3.06 - Identify units of measurement. 3.07 - Capture baseline data.
Stages of Co	4 Do assessment			4.03 - Undertake compliance audits and checks.	4.02 - Decide if collection by self, independent expert, audited. 4.03 - Collect data in standardised form and data structure, on number of people affected.	4.01 - Identify measurement techniques and methodologies. 4.02 - Decide if collection by self, independent expert, audited. 4.03 - Collect data in standardised form and data structure, on number of people affected. 4.04 - Data systems allow for multiple and different interpretations/ evaluations/ insights for each factual component of measurement. 4.07 - Check accuracy of data collection. 4.08 - Validate data in terms of completeness and accuracy, and that level of rigour is appropriate for	of people affected. 4.04 - Data systems allow for multiple and different interpretations/ evaluations/ insights for each factual component of measurement. 4.05 - Capture (for each outcome): quantity, duration, value (weighting), atribution, deadweight, and drop-off. 4.06 - Enumerate value/ assign pecuniary valuation to outcomes based upon stakeholder opinion. 4.07 - Check accuracy of data collection. 4.08 - Validate data in terms of completeness and accuracy, and that level of rigour is appropriate for	4.01 - Identify measurement techniques and methodologies. 4.02 - Decide if collection by self, independent expert, audited. 4.03 - Collect data in standardised form and data structure, on number of people affected. 4.04 - Data systems allow for multiple and different interpretations/ evaluations/ insights for each factual component of measurement. 4.05 - Capture (for each outcome): quantity, duration, value (weighting), atribution, deadweight, and drop-off. 4.06 - Enumerate value/ assign pecuniary valuation to outcomes based upon stakeholder opinion. 4.07 - Check accuracy of data collection. 4.08 - Validate data in terms of
-	5 Analyse data				capital allocation, and capture recommendations to executive	5.04 - Identify options for optimising capital allocation and improving services or products, and capture	5.01 - Assign confidence level for data against each outcome. 5.02 - Make adjustments, normalise, and optimise the data. 5.04 - Identify options for optimising capital allocation and improving services or products, and capture	5.01 - Assign confidence level for data against each outcome. 5.02 - Make adjustments, normalise, and optimise the data. 5.03 - Based on quantitative data collected for each outcome, identify if any outcomes appear to be irrelevant and not statistically significant, and can therefore be excluded from analysis. 5.04 - Identify options for optimising capital allocation and improving services or products, and capture
-	6 Report findings			6.01 - Prepare reports.		management. 6.01 - Prepare reports.	recommendations to executive management. 6.01 - Prepare reports. 6.02 - Ability to export data.	recommendations to executive management. 6.01 - Prepare reports according to industry standard/ norms. 6.02 - Ability to share data with 3rd parties in interoperable/
	7 Take action			7.01 - Results form part of primary information actively used by management/ executive team.	information actively used by management/ executive team.	information actively used by management/ executive team. 7.02 - Refine or adapt the Theory of Change based upon data collected, continuous learning, and	7.01 - Results form part of primary information actively used by management/ executive team. 7.02 - Refine or adapt the Theory of Change based upon data collected, continuous learning, and experience/ maturing.	standardised format. 7.01 - Results form part of primary information actively used by management/ executive team. 7.02 - Refine or adapt the Theory of Change based upon data collected, continuous learning, and experience/ maturing. 7.03 - Capture evidence of behaviour change as a result of impact management.

V 2.4.01 Jan 2019.
Creative Commons licence CC BY-NC-5A
Prepared by karl.richter@engagedx.com &
ben.carpenter@socialvalueint.org.
Initially jointly published by UNSIF & SVI, and
subsequent updates published with permission
separately by Oxford University Saïd Business
School or EngagedX or ixo.

Acknowledgements:
The original rubric was published by UNSIF, with thanks to the following for their valuable contributions and comments: Asia Venture Philanthropy Network, New Philanthropy Capital, Oxford University Said School, PHINEO, Possibilian Ventures, Social Value International, URBACT Boostinno, Social Performance Task Force.

References:

* Synthesed from "Proposed Approaches to Social Impact Measurement", European Commission GECES, 2014. "Measuring Impact", G8, 2014. "Investing for Impact: Practical Tools, Lessons, and Results", NPC, 2015. "More than Measurement: A Practitioner's Journey to Impact Management" Bridges Fund Management, 2016. "Navigating Between Impact Intent and Impact Metrics", Global Impact Investing Network, 2016. "Assuring Software Products", Social Value International, http://www.socialvalueuk.org/accrediting-software-products/.

** Evolved from OECD Expert Group on SII (Working Papers), iiRADAR (Harji Richter 2016). It overlaps with the 4 types of "Impact Goal Categories" by the Impact Management Project, and aligned with the principles of a Capability Maturity Model (CMM).

1 of 3



Impact Management for Everyone

Select the pathway that matches your mandate for impact management **



Agnostic	Avoid harm	Want good	Get facts	Explain why	Assess effects	Interpret impact
Do not consider impact	Have policies to identify and avoid activities that you think will cause harm	Have policies to identify and select activities that you think will do good	Describe what happens by enumerating outputs [?and/ or outcomes?] i.e. primary impact based upon general theory	Explain why outputs and/ or outcomes happen based upon specific inputs and actions	Enumerate the intended effects of actions on stakeholders	Enumerate positive and/ or negative outcomes, both intended and/ or unintended

Mapping to tools & techniques (not exhaustive)

The table below shows which tools and techniques are suitable for different pathways and therefore when they should be used - however, it may be more useful to think of it as a guide for when tools and techniques may not be suitable for use because their functionality is too advanced or sophisticated for that pathway.

• Exclusion criteria					
Negative screening ✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Inclusion criteria					
Positive screening & activity filters	√	√	√	√	√
Goal alignment	√ ·	√ ·	· ✓	· ✓	<u>√</u>
Principles (norms)	,	,	,	,	
UN Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI)	√	√	√	√	√
UNEP FI Principles for Positive Impact Finance	✓	✓	✓	✓	√
Labels & certifications (binary pass-fail)					
Fair Trade	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Forest Stewardship Council (FSC)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Marine Stewardship Council	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Etc	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Ratings & indices (absolute score/ relative ranking)	1				
ESG Ratings	/ ✓	√	✓	√	√
B-Corp Certification	√	√	√	· ✓	
Etc	√	√	√	· ✓	
			·		·
Track KPIs against targets (outputs/ primary impac	t)				
Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)		✓	✓	✓	✓
Global Reporting Initiative (GRI)		✓	✓	✓	✓
GIIN Impact Reporting & Investment Standards (IRIS)		✓	✓	✓	✓
Etc		✓	✓	✓	✓
Theory of causation					
Theory of change/ logic models			√	√	√
Counterfactual analysis			V	√	./
Counterractual analysis			V	V	V
Stakeholder engagement					
Structured processes				✓	√
Surveys or questionaires				✓	✓
Outcome indicators				✓	✓
Randomised control trials (RCTs)				✓	✓
Deep analysis					
Social Return on Investment (SROI) - without pecuniary	evaluation (monetisation)				✓
coda netam on messment (one), minous pesaman, c	tuluation (monetication)				<u> </u>
niary valuation/ monetisation of impac	t				
Taxes (carbon, sugar, etc)		√	√	√	√
Social Impact Bonds (SIB)				· ✓	<u> </u>
Social Success Note (SSN)				√	<u> </u>
Social Impact Incentiv (SIINC)				√	√
Impact Multiple of Money (IMM)				√	
Social Botum on Investment (SBOI) with measurisms and				· ·	· ·

Pe

Taxes (carbon, sugar, etc)	✓	✓	✓	√	
Social Impact Bonds (SIB)	✓	✓			
Social Success Note (SSN)	✓	✓			
Social Impact Incentiv (SIINC)				✓	
Impact Multiple of Money (IMM)	✓	✓			
Social Return on Investment (SROI) - with pecuniary evaluation (monetisation)					



Impact Management for Everyone

Select the pathway that matches your mandate for impact management **



Agnostic	Avoid harm	Want good	Get facts	Explain why	Assess effects	Interpret impact
Do not consider impact	Have policies to identify and avoid activities that you think will cause harm	Have policies to identify and select activities that you think will do good	Describe what happens by enumerating outputs [?and/ or outcomes?] i.e. primary impact based upon general theory	Explain why outputs and/ or outcomes happen based upon specific inputs and actions	Enumerate the intended effects of actions on stakeholders	Enumerate positive and/ or negative outcomes, both intended and/ or unintended

Mapping to related industry frameworks/ initiatives

Impact Classes			(A) Act to avoid [alleviate] harm
(Impact	Do not consider		e i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
Management	Do not consider		(B) Benefit people and planet -
Project - IMP)			(C) Contribute to solutions
EVPA		Invest	Invest
[Fundamental]		- WITH -	- FOR -
Impact Strategies		impact	impact
DW		Primary Impact & General Theories of Impact	Deep Impact & Specific Theories of
to be continued		of impact	Change

Software Accreditation Levels

[DISCUSSION	ENTRY / "FAST TRACK" (Intentions & Strategies)	BASIC / STANDARD (Activities & Outputs)	INTERMEDIATE / PRACTITIONER (Goals & Outcomes)	ADVANCED / PROFESSIONAL (Consequences & Net Impact)
DRAFT] Next generation SVI Software Accreditation	SDG Certification for prequalification of alignment with SDGs	Allows users to select appropriate and standardised themes, high level goals and high level output indicators that relate to their activities. Performance data can be collected in relation to outputs. The resulting data are well suited for aggregation and comparison between similar activities.	Allows users to develop and document the relationships between inputs, activities and outputs - and explain how and why this leads towards the intended goals for specific stakeholders. Performance data can be collected in relation to the relevant and important goals needed to achieve the intended goals for each stakeholder group. The resulting data are well suited for informing decisions that will help you achieve your intended goals more effectively and directly.	appropriate counterfactual evidence, in order to establish which outcomes are material. The resulting data provides an understanding of net impact and
		Suitability Asset owners, fund managers, or others not directly involved in the underlying activities. The resulting insights can be used for aligning high level capital allocation decisions with intentions. Typically the most cost effective option for impact management, where standardised templates suffice.	Suitability Organisations delivering services, goods and undertaking activities that directly target specific stakeholder groups or beneficiaries. The resulting insights can be used for allocating resources and designing activities, as well as understanding and managing the causal relationships that deliver intended outcomes for the target groups identified. Requires access to knowledgeable expertise to implement correctly.	Suitability • Activity and programme designers t researchers, and policy makers who require the most rigorous information available. • The resulting insights can be used to understand the full causal relationships at work, as well as to optimise the design and management of activities in order to maximise the net positive impact for all those affected, both directly or indirectly and whether the effect is intended or not.
		Risks Additional information will be required to correctly inform decision-making for active management of the underlying activities.	may have broader effects beyond the groups specifically targeted, including unintended consequences whether they be positive or negative.	The processes require high levels of practitioner knowledge to execut correctly and may require high level of customisation, therefore the resulting insights could be misleading if impact management is not undertaken by knowledgeable
Impact Lab (Business Call to Action - BCtA)		BASIC Use the core components of this Impact Lab to focus on your basic impact management needs, with	MEDIUM Use existing operational data, or collect customer feedback to improve your business performance	ADVANCED Integrate impact management practices in your strategy and operations to gain singleant.
,		minimal resources and time commitments.	and impact.	decision-making insights into your business' impact.

Data attributes

Types of Data						
(Acumen Lean	Screening Tools	Screening Tools	Operational Data	Third Party Research & Proxies	Original Surveys	Original Surveys
Data)						
Levels of	* Compliance Reports	* Compliance Reports	* Self reported	Select the level relevant to your:	Select the level relevant to your:	Select the level relevant to your:
	* Ratings	* Ratings	* Self reported and independently	* Perspective	* Perspective	* Perspective
Evidence	* Labels	* Labels	audited	* Context	* Context	* Context
	* Certifications	* Certifications	* Third party data	* Reason for assessing impact	* Reason for assessing impact	* Reason for assessing impact
	* other?	* other?		* Rigour of data required, etc	* Rigour of data required, etc	* Rigour of data required, etc
				_	_	_
				i) Circumstantial evidence or	i) Circumstantial evidence or	i) Circumstantial evidence or
				stakeholder opinion	stakeholder opinion	stakeholder opinion
				ii) Expert opinion or rating or label	ii) Expert opinion or rating or label	ii) Expert opinion or rating or label
				iii) Single case study or story-telling	iii) Single case study or story-telling	iii) Single case study or story-telling
				iv) Multiple studies or time-series	iv) Multiple studies or time-series	iv) Multiple studies or time-series
				analysis	analysis	analysis
				v) Statistically rigorous analysis	v) Statistically rigorous analysis	v) Statistically rigorous analysis
				vi) Published in academic or	vi) Published in academic or	vi) Published in academic or
				research journal	research journal	research journal
				vii) Published and peer reviewed	vii) Published and peer reviewed	vii) Published and peer reviewed

V 2.4.01 Jan 2019.

Creative Commons licence CC BY-NC-SA Prepared by karl.richter@engagedx.com & ben.carpenter@socialvalueint.org.
Initially jointly published by UNSIF & SVI, and subsequent updates published with permission

separately by Oxford University Saïd Business School or EngagedX or ixo.

The original rubric was published by UNSIF, with thanks to the following for their valuable contributions and comments: Asia Venture Philanthropy Network, New Philanthropy Capital, Oxford University Saïd School, PHINEO, Possibilian Ventures, Social Value International, URBACT Boostinno, Social Performance Task Force.

References:

* Synthesed from "Proposed Approaches to Social Impact Measurement", European Commission GECES, 2014. "Measuring Impact", G8, 2014. "Investing for Impact: Practical Tools, Lessons, and Results", NPC, 2015. "More than Measurement: A Practitioner's Journey to Impact

Management" Bridges Fund Management, 2016. "Navigating Between Impact Intent and Impact Metrics", Global Impact Investing Network, 2016. "Assuring Software Products", Social Value International, http://www.socialvalueuk.org/accrediting-software-products/.
** Evolved from OECD Expert Group on SII (Working Papers), iiRADAR (Harji Richter 2016). It overlaps with the 4 types of "Impact Goal Categories" by the Impact Management Project, and aligned with the principles of a Capability Maturity Model (CMM).

3 of 3

- V 2.4.00 V 2.3.06
- * Added mapping to EVPA, Pecuniary Valuations, and instructional investor concepts of "primary impact" and "general theory of impact". Also added section on tools and techniques.

 * commenced coordinating the individual process steps with ixo so that the Rubric can serve as a common performance specification and set of functional requirements for Web 3.0 technology solutions.

 * re-mapped the IMP impact Goal Categories so that all (ABC) are mapped to the "Interpret Impact" column coordination with IMP ongoing

 * incorporated 1st round comments from the Impact Management Project (IMP) coordination ongoing.

 * expanded "Interpret Impact" sub-heading with "and/ or", updated IMP reference

 * added types of data and levels of evidence

 * Simplified headings of journey

 * Added numberies to the processor throughout. V 2.3.05
- V 2.3.04
- V 2.3.03 V 2.3.02 V 2.3.01
- V 2.3.00
- * Added numbering to sub-processes throughout;

 * Modified sub-process 1.02 by adding "types of activities";

 * Updated Want Good pathway by creating new sub-process "2.10 Identify audit and certification procedures to ensure compliance" and assigned 4.03, 6.01 and 7.01 as relevant to this pathway;

 * Created new sub-process 4.04 "Data systems allow for multiple and different interpretations/ evaluations/ insights for each factual component of measurement".

V 2.2.8 * Added description, suitability, and risk sections for software accreditation