## Independent of Tail Sigma Algebra

于峥 518030910437

June 2, 2020

## Problem 1 (Exercise 4.9 of *Chapter E*)

Let  $Y_0, Y_1, Y_2, ...$  be independent random variables with

$$P(Y_n = +1) = P(Y_n = -1) = \frac{1}{2}, \forall n$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define

$$X_n := Y_0 Y_1 \dots Y_n$$

Prove that the variables  $X_1, X_2, ...$  are independent. define

$$\mathcal{Y} := \sigma(Y_1, Y_2, \dots), \quad \mathcal{T}_n := \sigma(X_r : r > n)$$

Prove that

$$\mathcal{L} := \bigcup_{n} \sigma(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{T}_{n}) \neq \sigma\left(\mathcal{Y}, \bigcup_{n} \mathcal{T}_{n}\right) =: \mathcal{R}$$

## Proof: (a)

For i < j, let  $Y_{ij} = Y_{i+1}Y_{i+2}...Y_j$ , then we can know  $P(Y_{ij} = +1) = P(Y_{ij} = -1) = \frac{1}{2}$  by symmetry.

And we can easily get for j > i,  $X_i$ ,  $Y_{ij}$  be independent variable. Hence

$$\begin{split} P(X_i = 1, X_j = 1) &= P(X_i = 1, Y_{in} = 1) = P(X_i = 1) P(Y_{in} = 1) = \frac{1}{4} \\ P(X_i = 1, X_j = -1) &= P(X_i = 1, Y_{in} = -1) = P(X_i = 1) P(Y_{in} = -1) = \frac{1}{4} \\ P(X_i = -1, X_j = 1) &= P(X_i = -1, Y_{in} = -1) = P(X_i = -1) P(Y_{in} = -1) = \frac{1}{4} \\ P(X_i = -1, X_j = -1) &= P(X_i = -1, Y_{in} = 1) = P(X_i = -1) P(Y_{in} = 1) = \frac{1}{4} \end{split}$$

Therefore  $X_i$  and  $X_j$  are independent for all  $i \neq j$ .

(b) Obviously,  $Y_0$  is independent of  $\mathcal{Y}$ .  $X_0 = Y_0$ , so  $Y_0$  is independent of  $X_n$  for n > 0. Therefore  $Y_0$  is independent of  $\mathcal{T}_n$  for all n. So  $Y_0$  is independent of  $\mathcal{R}$ .

Then we can proof  $Y_0 \in m\mathcal{L}$ , it means  $Y_0$  is measurable in  $\mathcal{L}$ . And we know that  $Y_0 = X_{n+1}/Y_{1,n+1}$ ,  $X_{n+1}$  is measurable in  $\mathcal{T}_n$ , and  $Y_{1,n+1}$  is measurable in  $\mathcal{T}_n$ . It means that  $X_{n+1}$  and  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_{n+1}$  enables us to solve  $Y_0$ . Hence  $Y_0$  ignorespaces measurable in  $\sigma(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{T}_n)$  for all n. It implies that  $Y_0$  is measurable in  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Because  $Y_0$  is independent of  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $Y_0$  is measurable in  $\mathcal{L}$ , we conclude that  $\mathcal{L} \neq \mathcal{R}$ .