## DOM's dominating function is necessary

## 李孜睿 518030910424

April 27, 2020

**Theorem 1.** DOM(Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem)

 $f_n \to f(\text{a.e.})$  and  $|f_n(s)| \le g(s), \forall s \in S, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ \mu(g) < \infty \Rightarrow \mu(|f_n - f|) \to 0$  which also implies  $\mu(f_n) \to \mu(f)$ .

I will prove the necessity of the dominating function  $g \in \mathcal{L}^1(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  by giving a counterexample.

Assume  $S = (0,1], \Sigma = \mathcal{B}(S), \text{ and } \mu = \text{Leb}(S).$  Define f = 0 and

$$f_n(s) = \begin{cases} n, s \in (0, \frac{1}{n}] \\ 0, s \in (\frac{1}{n}, 1] \end{cases}$$

Clearly,  $f_n \to f(\text{a.e.})$ . But  $\mu(|f_n - f|) = n \times \mu((0, \frac{1}{n}]) = 1 \Rightarrow \mu(|f_n - f|) \to 1$ , contradicting to DOM's conclusion  $\mu(|f_n - f|) \to 0$ .