Proof of Discrete Poincaré's Recurrence Theorem

赖睿航 518030910422

March 24, 2020

Theorem 1 (Discrete Poincaré's Recurrence Theorem). Let T be a measure-preserving transformation on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . Then, for any $E \in \mathcal{F}$ with P(E) > 0, almost all points of E returns to E infinitely often under positive iterations by T.

Proof. For all $n \ge 1$, let

$$A_n := \{ x \in E | x \notin T^{-kn}(E), \forall k \geqslant 1 \}$$
$$= E \setminus \bigcup_{k \geqslant 1} T^{-kn}(E).$$

Since T is a measure-preserving transformation and $E \in \mathcal{F}$, it is obvious that $A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ and hence A_n is an event.

Consider a sequence of events $\{A_n, T^{-n}(A_n), T^{-2n}(A_n), \dots, T^{-kn}(A_n), \dots\}$. Assume that for two integers p,q with $0 \le p < q$, $T^{-pn}(A_n) \cap T^{-qn}(A_n) \ne \emptyset$. Then we have $A_n \cap T^{-(q-p)n} \ne \emptyset$, which is contrary to $A_n = E \setminus \bigcup_{k \geqslant 1} T^{-kn}(E)$. Therefore, the events $A_n, T^{-n}(A_n), T^{-2n}(A_n), \dots, T^{-kn}(A_n), \dots$ are pairwise distinct. Since T is measure-preserving, we know that $P(A_n) = P(T^{-n}(A_n)) = P(T^{-2n}(A_n)) = \dots$, and hence $P(A_n) = 0 < \infty$ for all $n \geqslant 1$.

By the First Borel-Cantelli Lemma we discussed in class, immediately we have $P(\limsup A_n) = 0$, and $P(E \setminus \limsup A_n) = P(E) > 0$.

So by definition of A_n , for any x,

$$x \in E \setminus \limsup A_n \Longrightarrow x \in E \text{ and } x \notin \limsup A_n$$

$$\Longrightarrow x \in E \text{ and } x \notin \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{n \geqslant m} A_n$$

$$\Longrightarrow \text{There exist infinite numbers of increasing}$$

$$\text{positive integers } \{n_k\} \text{ such that } T^{n_k}(x) \in E.$$

$$\Longrightarrow x \text{ returns to } E \text{ infinitely often under positive}$$

$$\text{iterations by } T.$$

Since $P(\limsup A_n) = 0$, we can say that almost all points of E returns to E infinitely often under positive iterations by T.