

# Hewitt-Savage Zero-One Law and Random Walk

WU Runzhe

Student ID : 518030910432

SHANGHAI JIAO TONG UNIVERSITY

April 10, 2020

## 1 Hewitt-Savage zero-one law

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$  be our probability triple.

For a sequence of IID RVs  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , let  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ , i.e., the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the first  $n$  random variables, and  $\mathcal{F}_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_n$ .

By *Doob-Dynkin Lemma* (or 3.13. (d)), for any  $f \in m\mathcal{F}_\infty$  (namely,  $\sigma(f) \subseteq \sigma(X_1, X_2, \dots)$ ), there exists  $Y : \mathbb{R}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f = Y \circ X$  where  $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots)$ .

By a *finite permutation* of  $\mathbb{F}$  we mean a bijection map  $p : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that  $p = n$  for all but finitely many  $n$ . We say  $f$  is *invariant under finite permutation* or *permutation invariant* or *permutable* if  $f = f \circ p$  for every finite permutation  $p$  where  $f \circ p = Y \circ X \circ p = Y \circ (X_1, X_2, \dots) \circ p := Y \circ (X_{p_1}, X_{p_2}, \dots)$ .

We say an event  $A$  is permutation invariant if  $\mathbf{1}_A$  is permutation invariant.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of IID RVs. Then every permutation invariant event has probability 0 or 1.*

## 2 Random Walk (trichotomy)

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be IID RVs, and put  $S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \dots$  where  $\mathbf{P}(X_n = 0) < 1$ . Undoubtedly  $S_n$  is also a random variable. Furthermore,  $\limsup S_n$  and  $\liminf S_n$  are also random variables. By *Hewitt-Savage zero-one law*, the following result is easy to validate.

**Lemma 1.**  $\mathbf{P}(\limsup S_n \in B) = 0 \text{ or } 1 \text{ for any } B \in \mathcal{B}$ .

*Proof of lemma 1.* We only need to prove  $\limsup S_n$  is permutation invariant, or equivalently,  $\mathbf{1}_{\limsup S_n}$  is permutation invariant. However, it is trivial since  $\mathbf{1}_{\limsup S_n}$  has nothing to do with the order of first finite random variables.  $\square$

Using the same method in the second part of the proof of Kolmogorov's zero-one law (in 4.11. of our textbook), we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 1.**  $\limsup S_n = c \text{ a.s. for some } c \in [-\infty, +\infty]$ .

We call random walk a trichotomy because there are only three possibilities for  $\lim S_n$ .

**Theorem 2.** *One of the followings happens a.s.:*

(1)  $\lim S_n = +\infty$ .

(2)  $\lim S_n = -\infty$ .

(3)  $\limsup S_n = +\infty, \liminf S_n = -\infty$ .

*Proof of theorem 2.* To finish the proof, we just need to show that the  $c$  in corollary 1 cannot be finite, namely,  $c \in \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ .

Suppose  $c$  is finite. We know  $\limsup S_n = c$  a.s., and equivalently,  $\limsup S_{n+1} = c$  a.s..

However, obviously we also have  $\limsup(S_{n+1} - X_1) = c$  a.s. , and thus,  $\limsup(S_{n+1}) = c + X_1$  a.s..

Combining these two results, we have  $c = c + X_1$  a.s. — that is,  $X_1 = 0$  a.s., which contradicts our premise  $\mathbf{P}(X_n = 0) < 1$ .

□