

# The Changing of Measure

## A journey beginning with Radon-Nikodym Theorem

张志成 518030910439

July 3, 2020

### 1 Introduction

In class, we have learned the famous Radon-Nikodym Theorem. It can be interpreted as the derivative of two measures. But I have always wondered where can this theorem be applied.

The main work I have done in this essay is discussing the change of measure which is an extension of the course material by interpreting the Radon-Nikodym theorem as an elegant way of constructing a new probability measure. Furthermore, I utilize the tool of Brownian Motion and provide a real life application where changing of measure is important.

I think this essay has at least answered my question about Radon-Nikodym Theorem and provides some background knowledge about Mathematical Finance.

For the structure of the essay, I first revisit the Radon-Nikodym Theorem in a bit more depth, and introduce a powerful tool-Brownian Motion. Then I talk about one motivation behind the changing of measure, that is to change the physical measure into the risk-neutral measure. We could accomplish this with the help of Radon-Nikodym Theorem and a more powerful Girsanov's Theorem.

### 2 Revisiting the Radon-Nikodym Theorem

#### ┌ Theorem 1: Radon-Nikodym Theorem

Given two equivalent (defined later) probability measures  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$  constructed on the measurable space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ , there exists a nonnegative-valued random variable  $X$  such that

$$\mathbb{Q}(A) = E^{\mathbb{P}}[X; A], \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F}.$$

And the random-variable  $X$  is called the derivative of  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$ , often written as  $\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}}$ .

## 2.1 Constructing a new probability measure

This theorem already provides us with an insight of how to construct a new probability measure.

Suppose we are given a probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . We seek a random variable  $X$  with the properties:

1. Non-negative.  $\forall \omega \in \Omega \ X(\omega) \geq 0$ .
2.  $E^{\mathbb{P}}[X; \Omega] = 1$ .

We simply set the new measure  $\mathbb{Q}$  as the following:

$$\mathbb{Q}(A) := E^{\mathbb{P}}[X; A] = \int_A X d\mathbb{P}, \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F}.$$

It is not difficult to verify that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is indeed a measure.

## 2.2 Relationship

The Radon-Nikodym Theorem and the above construction looks the same, but is actually different in that

1. Radon-Nikodym Theorem proves the existence of such a random variable  $X$  given  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
2. The construction simply asks for a random variable  $X$  and a probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$ , and a new measure  $\mathbb{Q}$  could be constructed.

## 2.3 Equivalent Measures

### ⌈ Theorem 2: Equivalent Measures

$\mathbb{P}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$  are called equivalent measures if

$$\mathbb{P}(A) = 0 \iff \mathbb{Q}(A) = 0, \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F}.$$

This is the existence condition of the Radon-Nikodym derivative, i.e. the density function, for  $\mathbb{Q}$  with respect to  $\mathbb{P}$ .

If only one side holds, e.g.  $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0 \implies \mathbb{Q}(A) = 0$ , we call that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mathbb{P}$  and vice versa.

If  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$  are equivalent on a filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ , then we can a family of densities  $X = (X_n)$ . Then the following holds:

1.  $X_n$  is  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable, and therefore  $X_n$  is an adapted process.
2.  $X$  is a martingale.

*Proof.* We want to prove that

$$E[X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = X_{n-1}, \quad a.s.$$

For every  $G \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ , we have

$$\int_G X_n d\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{Q}(A),$$

and since  $G \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_n$ , we also have

$$\mathbb{Q}(A) = \int_G X_{n-1} d\mathbb{P}$$

Therefore, we have  $X_{n-1}$  is a version of  $E[X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}]$ , and therefore  $X$  is a martingale.  $\square$

### 3 Some powerful tools

Before we can extend beyond the Radon-Nikodym Theorem, we need some powerful tools about **continuous time**, in which time is represented by  $\mathbb{R}^+$  rather than  $\mathbb{N}$ . This interests us because it could depict an object more precisely than discrete time.

#### 3.1 Brownian Motion

##### ▮ Theorem 3: Brownian Motion

Let  $X = \{X_t \mid t \in \mathbb{R}^+\}$ . And  $X$  is called a Brownian Motion if the following holds:

1. For a fixed  $\omega$ ,  $f(t) = X_t(\omega)$  is a continuous function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .
2. For all  $\omega$ ,  $X_0(\omega) = 0$ .
3. For each  $s > 0$ ,  $\{X_t - X_s \mid t \geq s\}$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}_s$ .
4.  $X_t - X_s$  is  $N(0, t - s)$  distributed for  $0 \leq s < t$ .

Property (1) allows us to define a Brownian Motion's integral over time.

$$Y(\omega) := \int_0^T B_t(\omega) dt$$

Two properties will help us better understand the Brownian Motion and the second one will appear in the Girsanov's Theorem later.

##### ▮ Theorem 4

Brownian motion  $X$  is a martingale.

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} E[X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] &= E[X_{n-1} + (X_n - X_{n-1}) | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\ &= E[X_{n-1} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\ &= X_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $X$  is a martingale. □

┌ **Theorem 5**

For any  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , the process  $Y_t = e^{\theta X_t - \frac{1}{2}\theta^2 t}$  is a martingale.

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} E[Y_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] &= E[e^{\theta X_n - \frac{1}{2}\theta^2 n} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\ &= E[Y_{n-1} \cdot e^{\theta(X_n - X_{n-1}) - \frac{1}{2}\theta^2} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\ &= Y_{n-1} \cdot E[e^{\theta(X_n - X_{n-1}) - \frac{1}{2}\theta^2} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \end{aligned}$$

And since  $X_n - X_{n-1}$  is  $N(0, 1)$  distributed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E[Y_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] &= Y_{n-1} \cdot E[e^{\theta(X_n - X_{n-1}) - \frac{1}{2}\theta^2} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\ &= Y_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $Y$  is a martingale. □

## 4 Girsanov's Theorem - A change of measure

### 4.1 Risk-Neutral Measure

Unlike the natural measure, risk-neutral measure aims to be an objective measure of the asset price. This facilitates the process of representing the value of an asset in a model.

┌ **Example 1**

Suppose  $\mathbb{P}$  is the physical measure and  $\mathbb{Q}$  is the risk-neutral measure. Then the *current* price of an asset that pays 1 dollar at time  $T$  if event  $A$  occurs will be

$$\mathbb{Q}(A) \cdot e^{-rT}.$$

However, if we were to use measure  $\mathbb{P}$ , we couldn't easily calculate the current price.

Formally, given the asset's price  $H_T$  at time  $T$ , the today's fair value of an asset  $H_0$  is

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= D(0, T) \cdot E^{\mathbb{Q}}[H_T] \\ &= D(0, T) \cdot E^{\mathbb{P}}\left[\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} H_T\right], \end{aligned}$$

where  $D(0, T)$  is the discount factor between 0 and  $T$ .

It is exactly for this reason that the risk-neutral lies in the very heart of asset pricing theory.

### ⌈ Theorem 6: Fundamental theorem of arbitrage-free pricing

If there is just one risk-neutral measure, then it's *unique*, and thus every asset will have a unique arbitrage-free price.

But if there are more than one risk-neutral measure, then no arbitrage is possible in an interval of prices for each asset. If no such risk-neutral measure exists, then arbitrage is possible, which is generally assumed to be not possible.

Since the risk-neutral measure is so fascinating, we want to change our physical measure to the risk-neutral measure. And this is where the Girsanov's Theorem come into place.

## 4.2 Girsanov's Theorem

### ⌈ Theorem 7: Girsanov's Theorem

Let  $\gamma = \{\gamma_t \mid t \in [0, T]\}$  be an adapted process such that

$$E^{\mathbb{P}}[e^{\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \gamma_t^2 dt}] < \infty. \quad (\text{Novikov condition})$$

Then there exists a measure  $\mathbb{Q}$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  such that

1.  $\mathbb{Q}$  is equivalent to  $\mathbb{P}$ .
- 2.

$$\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} = e^{-\int_0^T \gamma_t dW_t - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \gamma_t^2 dt}.$$

However, due to limited length of the essay, Itô's integral will not be defined explicitly here.

A not rigorous enough definition could help the understanding:

$$\int_0^T h_t(\omega) dB_t(\omega) := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_{t_i}(\omega) (B_{t_{i+1}}(\omega) - B_{t_i}(\omega)),$$

and then taking the limit of the right hand side.

3. Let  $W^{\mathbb{P}}$  be a Brownian motion, then the process  $\tilde{W}^{\mathbb{Q}}$  defined by

$$\tilde{W}_t^{\mathbb{Q}} = W_t^{\mathbb{P}} + \int_0^t \gamma_s ds$$

is a Brownian Motion over the measure  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

This is what we want to change the physical measure to a risk-neutral measure. Different models yield different  $\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}}$ . In the Black-Scholes model, we have

$$\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} = \epsilon\left(\int_0^t \frac{r - \mu}{\sigma} dW_s\right),$$

in which  $r$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$  depicts the asset and  $\epsilon$  is the Doléans-Dade exponential.

But what if the risk-neutral measure is not unique? Then we will have a bunch of different pricings and we call that an incomplete market.

## 5 Conclusion

The changing into the risk-neutral measure plays an important role in mathematical finance. Arbitrage-free pricing theory is the foundation for many modern finance models, including the Black-Scholes model.

Some of these theories that I have encountered are fascinating, but due to the limited time, I don't quite have the opportunity to fully comprehend many of them. But in the meantime, I have come to realize that martingale theory is actually very important and if time permits, I am interested in continuing to explore the field of mathematical finance.

## 6 References

1. Xi Geng, *Stochastic Calculus*, lecture Notes, [http://math.cmu.edu/~xig/Files/Teaching/Stochastic%20Calculus%20\(Fall%202017\)/Stochastic%20Calculus.pdf](http://math.cmu.edu/~xig/Files/Teaching/Stochastic%20Calculus%20(Fall%202017)/Stochastic%20Calculus.pdf).
2. Cory Barnes, *Mathematical Finance-Option Pricing under the Risk-Neutral Measure*, lecture Notes, <https://sites.math.washington.edu/~morrow/papers/cory-thesis.pdf>.
3. Wikipedia contributors. "Girsanov theorem." Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia. Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia, 27 Jun. 2020. Web. 29 Jun. 2020.
4. Geneviève Gauthier, *Change of measure and Girsanov theorem*, lecture notes, [http://neumann-hec.ca/~p240/c80646en/12Girsanov\\_EN.pdf](http://neumann-hec.ca/~p240/c80646en/12Girsanov_EN.pdf).
5. Wikipedia contributors. "Risk-neutral measure." Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia. Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia, 1 Jun. 2020. Web. 29 Jun. 2020.
6. *The Hitchhiker's Guide to the Risk-Neutral Galaxy*, Nicolae Santeau, [https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=3377470](https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3377470).