Note: This document is a part of the lectures given during the Jan-May 2020 Semester.

Definition:

Given two partitions \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}' , we say that \mathcal{P}' is finer than \mathcal{P} if each element of \mathcal{P} can be represented as a union of some elements of \mathcal{P}' .

Note that the random variables S(1) and S(2) are constant on the components of the corresponding partition \mathcal{P}_1 and \mathcal{P}_2 , respectively.

Definition:

In general, for any integer $n \leq N$ and any $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in \{u, d\}$, we define B_{v_1, \ldots, v_n} to be the set of all $\omega \in \Omega$ such that the first n elements in the sequence $\omega = \omega_1 \omega_2 \dots \omega_n$ are $\omega_1 = v_1, \dots, \omega_n = v_n$. The partition \mathcal{P}_n is defined as the family of all such subsets B_{v_1,\ldots,v_n} of Ω .

Filtration:

Given a finite partition \mathcal{P} , we consider the family of sets \mathcal{F} , consisting of the empty set ϕ and all possible union of components in \mathcal{P} .

Definition:

We denote by \mathcal{F}_n , the field extending the partition \mathcal{P}_n . For example, when N=3,

$$\mathcal{F}_{0} = \{\phi, \Omega\}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{1} = \{\phi, \Omega B_{u}, B_{d}\}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{2} = \{\phi, \Omega, B_{uu}, B_{ud}, B_{du}, B_{dd}, B_{uu} \cup B_{ud}, B_{uu} \cup B_{du}, B_{uu} \cup B_{dd}, B_{uu} \cup B_{dd}, B_{ud} \cup B_{dd}, B_{ud} \cup B_{dd}, B_{du} \cup B_{dd}, B_{$$

We can see that $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \subset \mathcal{F}_3$. This is an example of filtration, which in general is a sequence of fields such that $\mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$.

Remark:

The family \mathcal{F}_n consists of all sets A such that for every scenario $\omega \in \Omega$, it is possible to tell whether or not $\omega \in A$, by knowing the stock prices up to and including time n only.

Option Pricing:

Investment Strategies:

We assume that we trade in a stock following the binomial model and buy a risk-free investment. Let the price of the stock and the bond be S(0) = 100 and A(0) = 100, respectively. Further, suppose that U = 10%, D = -10% and R = 5%.

For the risk-free investment, the prices are determined by the interest rate R as $A(n) = A(0)(1+R)^n$. Suppose the goal is to invest an amount of V(0) = 300, which is the initial portfolio value.

We can buy x(1) = 2 stocks and invest the rest in the bond, *i.e.*, buy $y(1) = \frac{V(0) - x(1)S(0)}{A(0)} = 1$ bonds. At time t = 1, the portfolio takes one of the two values,

$$V^{u}(1) = x(1)S^{u}(1) + y(1)A(1) = 325 \text{ on } B_{u}$$

$$V^{d}(1) = x(1)S^{d}(1) + y(1)A(1) = 285 \text{ on } B_{d}.$$

These are the amounts available for the next time-step. We call such a strategy as self-financing, assuming that there is no withdrawal or injection of funds.

1. If at time t = 1, the state is u, we may decide that the position of the stock will now be $x^{u}(2) = 1$, which implies that

$$y^{u}(2) = \frac{V^{u}(1) - x^{u}(2)S^{u}(1)}{A(1)} = 2.0476.$$

At time t = 2, the portfolio takes one of the two values,

$$V^{uu}(2) = x^{u}(2)S^{uu}(2) + y^{u}(2)A(2) = 346.75 \text{ on } B_{uu}$$

$$V^{ud}(2) = x^{u}(2)S^{ud}(2) + y^{u}(2)A(2) = 324.75 \text{ on } B_{ud}$$

2. If at time t = 1, the state is d, we may decide that the position of the stock will now be $x^d(2) = 1$, which implies that

$$y^{d}(2) = \frac{V^{d}(1) - x^{d}(2)S^{d}(1)}{A(1)} = 0.1429.$$

At time t = 2, the portfolio takes one of the two values,

$$V^{du}(2) = x^d(2)S^{du}(2) + y^d(2)A(2) = 312.75 \text{ on } B_{du}$$

$$V^{dd}(2) = x^d(2)S^{dd}(2) + y^d(2)A(2) = 258.75 \text{ on } B_{dd}.$$

In general, we assume that we build a strategy, that is, a sequence of portfolios (x(n), y(n)) according to the following principles:

1. The strategy is self-financing, that is,

$$x(n)S(n) + y(n)A(n) = x(n+1)S(n) + y(n+1)A(n).$$

This means that the portfolio restructuring at time n involves the choice of x(n+1) and consequently y(n+1).

2. The decision about the choice of (x(n+1), y(n+1)) is taken on the basis of information available at time n.

The value of a strategy is given by,

$$V(0) = x(1)S(0) + y(1)A(0),$$

$$V(n) = x(n)S(n) + y(n)A(n)$$
, for $n > 0$.

In a market with options, it is possible to create a portfolio (x, y, z) consisting of x shares of stock, y bonds and z options. The time 0 value of such a portfolio will be

$$V(0) = xS(0) + yA(0) + zC(0).$$

At time T it will be worth

$$V(T) = xS(T) + yA(T) + zC(T).$$

Here C(t), t = 0, 1 will denote the price of the option at time t, while S(0), S(T), A(0) and A(T) have already been defined earlier.

No-Arbitrage Principle:

There is no portfolio (x, y, z) that includes a position z in call options and has initial value V(0) = 0 such that $V(T) \ge 0$ with probability 1 and V(T) > 0 with non-zero probability.

The price C(0) of the option at time t=0 can be found in two steps, as outlined below:

1. We construct an investment in x stocks and y bonds such that the value of the investment at time T is the same as the option, *i.e.*,

$$xS(T) + yA(T) = C(T).$$

This is known as replicating the option.

2. The price C(0) of the option may be calculated as follows:

$$C(0) = xS(0) + yA(0).$$

Example:

Consider bond with A(0) = 100 and A(T) = 110.

Also, consider a long call option, with strike price X = 100, on a stock with S(0) = 100 and

$$S(T) = \begin{cases} 120 \text{ with probability } p, \\ 80 \text{ with probability } (1-p), \end{cases}$$

where 0 . The relation

$$xS(T) + yA(T) = C(T),$$

yields the two following equations:

$$x \times 120 + y \times 110 = \max(120 - 100, 0) = 20,$$

 $x \times 80 + y \times 110 = \max(80 - 100, 0) = 0.$

Solving the two equations, we get, x = 1/2 and y = -4/11.

Accordingly, the price of the option then is,

$$C(0) = xS(0) + yA(0) = \frac{1}{2} \times 100 - \frac{4}{11} \times 100 \approx 13.6364.$$