Gaussian Elimination & LU Decompositions

Square System of Equations

Consider

$$Ax = b$$

where

$$A = [a_{ij}] \longleftarrow n \times n \text{ matrix}$$

$$b \leftarrow n \times 1$$
 vector.

In expanded form,

$$a_{11}x_1 + \cdots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1$$

 $a_{21}x_1 + \cdots + a_{2n}x_n = b_2$
 \vdots
 $a_{n1}x_1 + \cdots + a_{nn}x_n = b_n$

Gaussian Elimination



Carl Friedrich Gauss (1777-1855)

A Summary of the Evolution of Gaussian Elimination

Gaussian Elimination With No Pivoting (GENP)

$$A \longrightarrow A^{(1)} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow A^{(n-1)} =: U$$
 (upper triangular). $b \longrightarrow b^{(1)} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow b^{(n-1)}$.

Gaussian Elimination With No Pivoting (GENP)

$$A \longrightarrow A^{(1)} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow A^{(n-1)} =: U$$
 (upper triangular). $b \longrightarrow b^{(1)} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow b^{(n-1)}$.

Step 1: Create zeros in the first column of A:

$$A \longrightarrow \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & a_{22}^{(1)} & \cdots & a_{2n}^{(1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & a_{n2}^{(1)} & \cdots & a_{nn}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix}}_{=:A^{(1)}}; b \longrightarrow \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ b_n^{(1)} \end{bmatrix}}_{=:b^{(1)}}$$

where

$$a_{ij}^{(1)} = a_{ij} - \underbrace{\frac{a_{i1}}{a_{11}}}_{=:m:} a_{1j}; \quad b_i^{(1)} = b_i - \frac{a_{i1}}{a_{11}} b_1; \quad i = 2:n, j = 2:n.$$

Here $a_{11} \leftarrow$ pivot (assumed non zero); $m_{i1} \leftarrow$ multipliers;



Step k: Create zeros in column k of $A^{(k-1)}$:

The same operations are performed on $b^{(k-1)}$:

$$b^{(k-1)} \longrightarrow \left[egin{array}{c} b_1 \ b_2^{(1)} \ dots \ b_k^{(k-1)} \ b_{k+1}^{(k)} \ dots \ b_n^{(k)} \end{array}
ight] =: b^{(k)}$$

where for i = k + 1 : n, j = k + 1 : n,

$$a_{ij}^{(k)} = a_{ij}^{(k-1)} - \underbrace{\frac{a_{ik}^{(k-1)}}{a_{kk}^{(k-1)}}}_{=:m_{ik}} a_{kj}^{(k-1)}; \quad b_{i}^{(k)} = b_{i}^{(k-1)} - \frac{a_{ik}^{(k-1)}}{a_{kk}^{(k-1)}} b_{k}^{(k-1)};$$

Here $a_{kk}^{(k-1)} \leftarrow$ pivot (assumed non zero); $m_{ik} \leftarrow$ multipliers;



Step n-1: Create a zero in the (n, n-1) of $A^{(n-2)}$:

$$A^{(n-2)} \longrightarrow egin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ & a_{22}^{(1)} & \cdots & a_{2n}^{(1)} \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & a_{nn}^{(n-1)} \end{bmatrix}; \ b^{(n-2)} \longrightarrow b^{(n-1)};$$
 $=:A^{(n-1)} \ (also \ called \ U)$

where assuming pivot $a_{n-1,n-1}^{(n-2)} \neq 0$ and using multiplier

$$m_{n,n-1} := \frac{a_{n,n-1}^{(n-2)}}{a_{n-1,n-1}^{(n-2)}},$$

$$a_{nn}^{(n-1)} = a_{nn}^{(n-2)} - m_{n,n-1}a_{n-1,n}^{(n-2)}; \quad b_n^{(n-1)} = b_n^{(n-2)} - m_{n,n-1}b_{n-1}^{(n-2)}.$$

Step n-1: Create a zero in the (n, n-1) of $A^{(n-2)}$:

$$A^{(n-2)} \longrightarrow egin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \ a_{22}^{(1)} & \cdots & a_{2n}^{(1)} \ & & \ddots & \vdots \ & & & \vdots \ & & & \vdots \ & & & & \vdots \ \end{pmatrix}; \ b^{(n-2)} \longrightarrow b^{(n-1)};$$
 $=:A^{(n-1)} \ (also \ called \ U)$

where assuming pivot $a_{n-1,n-1}^{(n-2)} \neq 0$ and using multiplier

$$\begin{split} m_{n,n-1} &:= \frac{a_{n,n-1}^{(n-2)}}{a_{n-1,n-1}^{(n-2)}}, \\ a_{nn}^{(n-1)} &= a_{nn}^{(n-2)} - m_{n,n-1} a_{n-1,n}^{(n-2)}; \quad b_n^{(n-1)} = b_n^{(n-2)} - m_{n,n-1} b_{n-1}^{(n-2)}. \end{split}$$

- ▶ The system is transformed to $Ux = b^{(n-1)}$.
- ▶ The pivots at each step are on the diagonal of *U*!
- ► All steps have to be repeated to solve any new system Ax = c if the multipliers used in the GENP are not saved.



Let

```
L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ m_{21} & 1 & & & & & & & & & \\ m_{31} & m_{32} & \ddots & & & & & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & & & & & & & \\ m_{k1} & m_{k2} & \cdots & \cdots & 1 & & & & \\ m_{k+1,1} & m_{k+1,2} & \cdots & \cdots & m_{k+1,k} & \ddots & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots & & \vdots & & 1 & \\ m_{n1} & m_{n2} & \cdots & \cdots & m_{nk} & \cdots & m_{n,n-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix}.
```

Let

Then A = LU!

LU **decomposition:** A square matrix A is said to have an LU decomposition if there exists a unit lower triangular matrix L and an upper triangular matrix U such that A = LU.

Let

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ m_{21} & 1 & & & & & & & & & \\ m_{31} & m_{32} & \ddots & & & & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & & & & & & \\ m_{k1} & m_{k2} & \cdots & \cdots & 1 & & & & \\ m_{k+1,1} & m_{k+1,2} & \cdots & \cdots & m_{k+1,k} & \ddots & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots & & \vdots & & 1 \\ m_{n1} & m_{n2} & \cdots & \cdots & m_{nk} & \cdots & m_{n,n-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then A = LU! This needs a proof!

LU **decomposition:** A square matrix A is said to have an LU decomposition if there exists a unit lower triangular matrix L and an upper triangular matrix U such that A = LU.

Proof: In step *k* of GENP

$$A^{(k)} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & & \\ 0 & \ddots & & & & \\ \vdots & \cdots & 1 & & & \\ 0 & & -m_{k+1,k} & \ddots & & \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & \cdots & -m_{nk} & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{=:M_k} A^{(k-1)}$$

Then

$$U = A^{(n-1)} = M_{n-1}M_{n-2}\cdots M_{k}\cdots M_{2}M_{1}A$$

where M_k , k = 1, ..., n-1 are the *multiplier* matrices or *Gauss transforms* of Gaussian Elimination.

Exercise: $b^{(n-1)} = M_{n-1}M_{n-2}\cdots M_1b$.



Note that,

$$U = A^{(n-1)} = M_{n-1} \underbrace{\left(M_{n-2} \cdots \underbrace{\left(M_{k} \cdots \underbrace{\left(M_{2} \underbrace{\left(M_{1} A\right)}_{=A^{(1)}}\right)}\right)}_{=A^{(n-2)}}\right)}_{=A^{(n-2)}}$$

and

$$M_k = I_n - \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ m_{k+1,k} \\ \vdots \end{vmatrix} e_k^T, \quad k = 1: n-1,$$

Observe that

$$M_k^{-1} = I_n + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ m_{k+1,k} \\ \vdots \\ m_{kn} \end{bmatrix} e_k^T, \quad k = 1: n-1, \text{ (Prove this!)}$$

 $\blacktriangleright \text{ For } i_1 < \dots < i_p,$

$$M_{i_1}^{-1}\cdots M_{i_p}^{-1}=I_n+\sum_{i=i_1}^{i_p}\left|egin{array}{c} \vdots \\ 0 \\ m_{i+1,i} \\ \vdots \\ m_{r} \end{array}
ight|e_i^T, ext{ (Prove this!)}$$

So $U = M_{n-1}M_{n-2}\cdots M_2M_1A$ implies,

$$A = M_1^{-1} M_2^{-1} \cdots M_{n-1}^{-1} U = \begin{pmatrix} I_n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ m_{k+1,k} \\ \vdots \\ m_{nk} \end{pmatrix} e_k^T \end{pmatrix} U$$

In Step k,

$$A^{(k)} = M_{k}A^{(k-1)}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} I_{n} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ m_{k+1,k} \\ \vdots \\ m_{nk} \end{bmatrix} e_{k}^{T} A^{(k-1)} = A^{(k-1)} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ m_{k+1,k} \\ \vdots \\ m_{nk} \end{bmatrix} e_{k}^{T}A^{(k-1)}$$

$$= A^{(k-1)} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ m_{k+1,k} \\ \vdots \\ m_{nk} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{k}^{(k-1)} & \cdots & a_{kn}^{(k-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

rank one update of $A^{(k-1)}$

Now

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ m_{k+1,k} \\ \vdots \\ m_{nk} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{kk}^{(k-1)} & \cdots & a_{kn}^{(k-1)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \widehat{M}_k \end{bmatrix},$$

where
$$\widehat{M}_k = \begin{bmatrix} m_{k+1,k} \\ \vdots \\ m_{nk} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{kk}^{(k-1)} & \cdots & a_{kn}^{(k-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$
.

Now

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ m_{k+1,k} \\ \vdots \\ m_{nk} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{kk}^{(k-1)} & \cdots & a_{kn}^{(k-1)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \widehat{M}_k \end{bmatrix},$$

where
$$\widehat{M}_k = \begin{bmatrix} m_{k+1,k} \\ \vdots \\ m_{nk} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{kk}^{(k-1)} & \cdots & a_{kn}^{(k-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$
.

As

$$m_{ik}a_{kk}^{(k-1)} = \left(a_{ik}^{(k-1)}/a_{kk}^{(k-1)}\right)a_{kk}^{(k-1)} = a_{ik}^{(k-1)}, \ i = k+1:n,$$

the first column of \widehat{M}_k is

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{k+1,k}^{(k-1)} \\ \vdots \\ a_{k-1}^{(k-1)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore,

$$A^{(k)} = A^{(k-1)} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \widehat{M}_k \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} A_{11}^{(k-1)} & A_{12}^{(k-1)} \\ \hline A_{21}^{(k-1)} & A_{22}^{(k-1)} \end{array} \right]$$

$$-\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & a_{k+1,k}^{(k-1)} & \begin{bmatrix} m_{k+1,k} \\ \vdots \\ m_{nk} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{k,k+1}^{(k-1)} & \cdots & a_{kn}^{(k-1)} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$A_{11}^{(k-1)} \to k \times k; \quad A_{21}^{(k-1)} \to k \times (n-k);$$

 $A_{21}^{(k-1)} \to (n-k) \times k; \quad A_{22}^{(k-1)} \to (n-k) \times (n-k).$

As
$$A_{21}^{(k-1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & a_{k+1,k}^{(k-1)} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & a_{nk}^{(k-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$
, therefore,
$$A^{(k)} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}^{(k-1)} & A_{12}^{(k-1)} \\ A_{12}^{(k)} & A_{12}^{(k)} \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$A_{22}^{(k)} = A_{22}^{(k-1)} - \begin{bmatrix} & & & & \\ & \vdots & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{k,k+1}^{(k-1)} & \cdots & a_{kn}^{(k-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} a_{k+1,k+1}^{(k-1)} & \cdots & a_{k+1,n}^{(k-1)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n,k+1}^{(k-1)} & \cdots & a_{nn}^{(k-1)} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} a_{k+1,k}^{(k-1)} \\ \vdots \\ a_{n,k}^{(k-1)} \\ \vdots \\ a_{n,k+1}^{(k-1)} & \cdots & a_{nn}^{(k-1)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{k,k+1}^{(k-1)} & \cdots & a_{kn}^{(k-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

Algorithm for GENP/LU

```
for k = 1 : n - 1
      if a_{kk} \neq 0 (multiplier computation begins)
            for i = k + 1 : n
                  a_{ik} = a_{ik}/a_{kk};
            end
      else
                   exit {'zero pivot encountered'}
      end
                  (multiplier computation ends)
      for i = k + 1: n (matrix update begins)
            for j = k + 1 : n
                  a_{ii} = a_{ii} - a_{ik}a_{ki}
            end
      end
                  (matrix update ends)
end
```

Algorithm for GENP/LU

```
for k = 1 : n - 1
      if a_{kk} \neq 0 (multiplier computation begins)
            for i = k + 1 : n
                   a_{ik} = a_{ik}/a_{kk};
             end
      else
                   exit {'zero pivot encountered'}
      end
                  (multiplier computation ends)
      for i = k + 1: n (matrix update begins)
            for i = k + 1 : n
                   a_{ii} = a_{ii} - a_{ik}a_{ki}
             end
      end
                  (matrix update ends)
end
L \longrightarrow I_n + strictly lower triangular part of output A.
U \longrightarrow \text{upper triangular part of output } A.
```

Algorithm for GENP/LU

```
for k = 1 : n - 1
      if a_{kk} \neq 0 (multiplier computation begins)
             for i = k + 1 : n
                   a_{ik} = a_{ik}/a_{kk};
             end
      else
                   exit {'zero pivot encountered'}
      end
                  (multiplier computation ends)
      for i = k + 1: n (matrix update begins)
            for i = k + 1 : n
                   a_{ii} = a_{ii} - a_{ik}a_{ki}
             end
      end
                  (matrix update ends)
end
L \longrightarrow I_n + strictly lower triangular part of output A.
U \longrightarrow \text{upper triangular part of output } A.
```

Exercise: Show that the flop count of LU decomposition of an $n \times n$ matrix is $\frac{2}{3}n^3 + O(n^2)$ flops.



Algorithm for GENP/LU with higher level BLAS

```
for k = 1:n-1  \text{if } A(k,k) \neq 0 \qquad \text{(multiplier computation begins)} \\ A(k+1:n,k) = A(k+1:n,k)/A(k,k); \\ \text{else} \\ \text{exit \{'zero pivot encountered'\}} \\ \text{end} \qquad \text{(multiplier computation ends)} \\ \text{(matrix update)} \\ A(k+1:n,k+1:n) = A(k+1:n,k+1:n) - A(k+1:n,k)*A(k,k+1:n); \\ \text{end}
```

Pseudocode for solving $n \times n$ system Ax = b:

- 1. Find *LU* decomposition of *A*. $(\frac{2}{3}n^3 + O(n^2) \text{ flops})$
- 2. Solve Ly = b for y. $(n^2 \text{ flops})$
- 3. Solve Ux = y for x. $(n^2 \text{ flops})$

Total flops: $\frac{2}{3}n^3 + O(n^2)$ flops.

Pseudocode for solving $n \times n$ system Ax = b:

- 1. Find *LU* decomposition of *A*. $(\frac{2}{3}n^3 + O(n^2) \text{ flops})$
- 2. Solve Ly = b for y. $(n^2 \text{ flops})$
- 3. Solve Ux = y for x. $(n^2 \text{ flops})$

Total flops: $\frac{2}{3}n^3 + O(n^2)$ flops.

First step need NOT be repeated for solving other systems with same *A*.

Pseudocode for solving $n \times n$ system Ax = b:

- 1. Find *LU* decomposition of *A*. $(\frac{2}{3}n^3 + O(n^2) \text{ flops})$
- 2. Solve Ly = b for y. $(n^2 \text{ flops})$
- 3. Solve Ux = y for x. $(n^2 \text{ flops})$

Total flops: $\frac{2}{3}n^3 + O(n^2)$ flops.

First step need NOT be repeated for solving other systems with same *A*.

But the algorithm does not always work!

Pseudocode for solving $n \times n$ system Ax = b:

- 1. Find LU decomposition of A. $(\frac{2}{3}n^3 + O(n^2) \text{ flops})$
- 2. Solve Ly = b for y. $(n^2 \text{ flops})$
- 3. Solve Ux = y for x. $(n^2 \text{ flops})$

Total flops: $\frac{2}{3}n^3 + O(n^2)$ flops.

First step need NOT be repeated for solving other systems with same *A*.

But the algorithm does not always work!

Theorem: A nonsingular square matrix has an *LU* decomposition if and only if all its leading principal submatrices are nonsingular.

Additionally for such matrices, the *LU* decomposition is unique.



- 1. Checking A for existence of LU decomposition is not possible in practice.
 - (i) Numerically it is only possible to ascertain how close A and its leading principal submatrices are to being singular.
 - (ii) Ascertaining the proximity of *A* and its leading principal submatrices to a singular matrix will cost more flops than finding the *LU* factors.

- 1. Checking *A* for existence of *LU* decomposition is not possible in practice.
- 2. Even if A has an LU decomposition, computing it is a numerically unstable process.
 - Small pivots can lead to large multipliers and result in instability in finite precision arithmetic.

- 1. Checking A for existence of LU decomposition is not possible in practice.
- 2. Even if A has an LU decomposition, computing it is a numerically unstable process.

Any remedies?

- 1. Checking *A* for existence of *LU* decomposition is not possible in practice.
- 2. Even if A has an LU decomposition, computing it is a numerically unstable process.

Any remedies?

Try Gaussian Elimination with row exchanges also called **Gaussian Elimination with Partial Pivoting (GEPP)**!

- 1. Checking *A* for existence of *LU* decomposition is not possible in practice.
- 2. Even if A has an LU decomposition, computing it is a numerically unstable process.

Any remedies?

Try Gaussian Elimination with row exchanges also called **Gaussian Elimination with Partial Pivoting (GEPP)**!

What is this?

- 1. Checking *A* for existence of *LU* decomposition is not possible in practice.
- 2. Even if A has an LU decomposition, computing it is a numerically unstable process.

Any remedies?

Try Gaussian Elimination with row exchanges also called **Gaussian Elimination with Partial Pivoting (GEPP)**!

What is this?

For each k = 1 : n - 1

- 1. Find $a_{pk}^{(k-1)}$ such that $|a_{pk}^{(k-1)}| = \max_{k \le j \le n} |a_{jk}^{(k-1)}|$.
- 2. If $p \neq k$ interchange rows k and p.
- 3. Perform the usual GE steps to create zeros in column *k*.



GEPP

$$A^{(k-1)} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{1k} & a_{1,k+1} & \cdots & a_{1k} \\ a_{22}^{(1)} & \cdots & a_{2k}^{(1)} & a_{2,k+1}^{(1)} & \cdots & a_{2n}^{(1)} \\ & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ & & a_{kk}^{(k-1)} & a_{k,k+1}^{(k-1)} & \cdots & a_{kn}^{(k-1)} \\ & & & a_{k+1,k}^{(k-1)} & a_{k+1,k+1}^{(k-1)} & \cdots & a_{k+1,n}^{(k-1)} \\ & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & & a_{n,k}^{(k-1)} & a_{n,k+1}^{(k-1)} & \cdots & a_{nn}^{(k-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

Permutation Matrices: An $n \times n$ permutation matrix P is obtained by interchanging rows and/or columns of I_n .

Permutation Matrices: An $n \times n$ permutation matrix P is obtained by interchanging rows and/or columns of I_n .

Examples:
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Permutation Matrices: An $n \times n$ permutation matrix P is obtained by interchanging rows and/or columns of I_n .

Examples:
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Permutation Matrices: An $n \times n$ permutation matrix P is obtained by interchanging rows and/or columns of I_n .

Examples:
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Transposition: A transposition is a permutation matrix obtained by interchanging only two rows or two columns of an identity matrix.

1. Permutations are orthogonal matrices.

Permutation Matrices: An $n \times n$ permutation matrix P is obtained by interchanging rows and/or columns of I_n .

Examples:
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- 1. Permutations are orthogonal matrices.
- 2. Transpositions are symmetric matrices.

Permutation Matrices: An $n \times n$ permutation matrix P is obtained by interchanging rows and/or columns of I_n .

Examples:
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- 1. Permutations are orthogonal matrices.
- 2. Transpositions are symmetric matrices.
- 3. Transpositions are there own inverses.

Permutation Matrices: An $n \times n$ permutation matrix P is obtained by interchanging rows and/or columns of I_n .

Examples:
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- 1. Permutations are orthogonal matrices.
- 2. Transpositions are symmetric matrices.
- 3. Transpositions are there own inverses.
- 4. Every permutation is a finite product of transpositions.
- 5. A product of permutation matrices is a permutation matrix.

Permutation Matrices: An $n \times n$ permutation matrix P is obtained by interchanging rows and/or columns of I_n .

Examples:
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Transposition: A transposition is a permutation matrix obtained by interchanging only two rows or two columns of an identity matrix.

- 1. Permutations are orthogonal matrices.
- 2. Transpositions are symmetric matrices.
- 3. Transpositions are there own inverses.
- 4. Every permutation is a finite product of transpositions.
- 5. A product of permutation matrices is a permutation matrix.

Theorem Given any $n \times n$ matrix A, there exists a permutation P such that PA has an LU decomposition.



Recall that GENP requires multiplier matrices M_1, \ldots, M_{n-1} such that

$$U=M_{n-1}M_{n-2}\cdots M_1A.$$

Recall that GENP requires multiplier matrices M_1, \ldots, M_{n-1} such that

$$U=M_{n-1}M_{n-2}\cdots M_1A.$$

Now GEPP requires finding transpositions $P_1, \dots P_{n-1}$ and multipliers matrices M_1, \dots, M_{n-1} , such that

$$U = \left\{ M_{n-1}P_{n-1} \underbrace{\left(M_{n-2}P_{n-1} \cdots \underbrace{\left(M_{k}P_{k} \cdots \underbrace{\left(M_{2}P_{2}\underbrace{\left(M_{1}P_{1}A\right)}_{=A^{(1)}}\right)}_{=A^{(n)}}\right)} \right\}$$

$$= A^{(n-1)}$$

Here for k = 1, ..., n - 1,

1.
$$P_k = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} I_{k-1} & \\ \hline & \widehat{P}_k \end{array}\right], \widehat{P}_k \text{ being a } (n-k+1) \times (n-k+1)$$
 transposition.

Here for
$$k = 1, \ldots, n-1$$
,

1.
$$P_k = \begin{bmatrix} I_{k-1} & \\ & \widehat{P}_k \end{bmatrix}$$
, \widehat{P}_k being a $(n-k+1) \times (n-k+1)$ transposition.

2.
$$M_k = I_n - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ m_{k+1,k} \\ \vdots \\ m_{nk} \end{bmatrix} e_k^T$$
, with $m_{jk} = a_{jk}^{(k-1)} / a_{kk}^{(k-1)}$, $j = k+1:n$.

1. Let $\mathcal{P}_k = P_{k+1} \cdots P_{n-1}, k = 1, \dots, n-2$. Then,

$$\mathcal{P}_k = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} I_k & \\ \hline & \widetilde{P}_{k+1} \cdots \widetilde{P}_{n-1} \end{array} \right]$$

where for all j = k + 1, ..., n - 1, \widetilde{P}_j are transpositions of size $n - k \times n - k$.

1. Let $\mathcal{P}_k = P_{k+1} \cdots P_{n-1}, k = 1, \dots, n-2$. Then,

$$\mathcal{P}_k = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} I_k & \\ \hline & \widetilde{P}_{k+1} \cdots \widetilde{P}_{n-1} \end{array} \right]$$

where for all j = k + 1, ..., n - 1, \widetilde{P}_j are transpositions of size $n - k \times n - k$.

2. Let $\widetilde{M}_k = \mathcal{P}_k^T M_k \mathcal{P}_k$, k = 1, ..., n-2. Then,

$$\widetilde{M}_k = I_n - \left[egin{array}{c} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \widetilde{m}_{k+1,k} \\ \vdots \\ \widetilde{m}_{n+k} \end{array}
ight] e_k^T,$$

where

$$\left[\begin{array}{c}\widetilde{m}_{k+1,k}\\\vdots\\\widetilde{m}_{nk}\end{array}\right]=\widetilde{P}_{n-1}\cdots\widetilde{P}_{k+1}\left[\begin{array}{c}m_{k+1,k}\\\vdots\\m_{nk}\end{array}\right].$$

1. Let $\mathcal{P}_k = P_{k+1} \cdots P_{n-1}, k = 1, \dots, n-2$. Then,

$$\mathcal{P}_k = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} I_k & \\ \hline & \widetilde{P}_{k+1} \cdots \widetilde{P}_{n-1} \end{array} \right]$$

where for all j = k + 1, ..., n - 1, \widetilde{P}_j are transpositions of size $n - k \times n - k$.

2. Let $\widetilde{M}_k = \mathcal{P}_k^T M_k \mathcal{P}_k$, $k = 1, \dots, n-2$. Then,

$$\widetilde{M}_k = I_n - \left[egin{array}{c} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \widetilde{m}_{k+1,k} \\ \vdots \\ \widetilde{m}_{r^k} \end{array} \right] e_k^T,$$

where

$$\left[\begin{array}{c}\widetilde{m}_{k+1,k}\\\vdots\\\widetilde{m}_{n-k}\end{array}\right]=\widetilde{P}_{n-1}\cdots\widetilde{P}_{k+1}\left[\begin{array}{c}m_{k+1,k}\\\vdots\\m_{n-k}\end{array}\right].$$

3. $U = M_{n-1}\widetilde{M}_{n-2}\cdots\widetilde{M}_1P_{n-1}P_{n-2}\cdots P_1A$.



1. Let $\mathcal{P}_k = P_{k+1} \cdots P_{n-1}, k = 1, \dots, n-2$. Then,

$$\mathcal{P}_k = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} I_k & \\ \hline & \widetilde{P}_{k+1} \cdots \widetilde{P}_{n-1} \end{array} \right]$$

where for all j = k + 1, ..., n - 1, \widetilde{P}_i are transpositions of size $n-k\times n-k$. Prove this!

2. Let $\widetilde{M}_k = \mathcal{P}_k^T M_k \mathcal{P}_k$, $k = 1, \dots, n-2$. Then,

$$\widetilde{M}_k = I_n - \left| egin{array}{c} 0 \ dots \ \widetilde{m}_{k+1,k} \ dots \ \widetilde{m}_{nk} \end{array}
ight| egin{array}{c} e_k^{\mathsf{T}}, \end{array}$$

where
$$\begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{m}_{k+1,k} \\ \vdots \\ \widetilde{m}_{nk} \end{bmatrix} = \widetilde{P}_{n-1} \cdots \widetilde{P}_{k+1} \begin{bmatrix} m_{k+1,k} \\ \vdots \\ m_{nk} \end{bmatrix}$$
. Prove this!

3. $U = M_{n-1}\widetilde{M}_{n-2}\cdots\widetilde{M}_1P_{n-1}P_{n-2}\cdots P_1A$. Prove this!



Theorem Gaussian Elimination with Partial Pivoting (GEPP) on an $n \times n$ matrix A that transforms it to an upper triangular matrix U also finds a permutation matrix P and a lower triangular matrix L such that PA = LU. Moreover if P_k be the transposition used in step k, $1 \le k \le n-1$, then $P = P_{n-1} \cdots P_1$ and

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \widetilde{m}_{21} & 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \widetilde{m}_{31} & \widetilde{m}_{32} & \ddots & & & & & & & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & & & & & & & & & \\ \widetilde{m}_{k1} & \widetilde{m}_{k2} & \cdots & \cdots & 1 & & & & & & \\ \widetilde{m}_{k+1,1} & \widetilde{m}_{k+1,2} & \cdots & \cdots & \widetilde{m}_{k+1,k} & \ddots & & & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & & \vdots & & & 1 & & \\ \widetilde{m}_{n1} & \widetilde{m}_{n2} & \cdots & \cdots & \widetilde{m}_{nk} & \cdots & m_{n,n-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where \widetilde{m}_{ik} , $k+1 \le i \le n$, $1 \le k \le n-2$ and $m_{n,n-1}$ are as described earlier.



GEPP

Exercise: Prove the theorem in the previous slide.

Use it to write a Matlab program [L, U, P] = gepp(A) that execute GEPP on A to find a permutation P, a unit lower triangular matrix L and an upper triangular matrix U such that PA = LU.

Your program should make only the most essential modifications to [L,U] = genp(A) and retain all major features essential for efficiency.

Exercise: The flop count of GEPP on an $n \times n$ matrix A, or equivalently the flop count of finding the permutation P such that PA = LU is $\frac{2}{3}n^3 + O(n^2)$ flops.

Solving a system of equations via GEPP

Pseudocode for solving Ax = b via GEPP:

- 1. Find a permutation P a unit lower triangular matrix L and an upper triangular matrix U via GEPP such that PA = LU. $(\frac{2}{3}n^3 + O(n^2))$ flops)
- 2. Solve Ly = Pb for y. $(n^2 \text{ flops})$
- 3. Solve Ux = y for x. $(n^2 \text{ flops})$

Total flop count: $\frac{2}{3}n^3 + O(n^2)$.



Gaussian Elimination with Complete pivoting (GECP)

The following alternative strategy may be used to find a largest possible pivot:

For each k = 1 : n - 1

1. Find $a_{pm}^{(k-1)}$ such that

$$|a_{pm}^{(k-1)}| = \max_{k \le j \le n} \max_{k \le i \le n} |a_{ij}^{(k-1)}|.$$

- 2. If $p \neq k$ interchange rows p and k and if $m \neq k$ interchange columns m and k.
- 3. Perform the usual GE steps to create zeros in column k.

Gaussian Elimination with Complete pivoting (GECP)

The following alternative strategy may be used to find a largest possible pivot:

For each k = 1 : n - 1

1. Find $a_{pm}^{(k-1)}$ such that

$$|a_{pm}^{(k-1)}| = \max_{k \le j \le n} \max_{k \le i \le n} |a_{ij}^{(k-1)}|.$$

- 2. If $p \neq k$ interchange rows p and k and if $m \neq k$ interchange columns m and k.
- 3. Perform the usual GE steps to create zeros in column k.

This is Gaussian Elimination with Complete Pivoting (GECP).

Gaussian Elimination with Complete pivoting (GECP)

The following alternative strategy may be used to find a largest possible pivot:

For each k = 1 : n - 1

1. Find $a_{pm}^{(k-1)}$ such that

$$|a_{pm}^{(k-1)}| = \max_{k \le j \le n} \max_{k \le i \le n} |a_{ij}^{(k-1)}|.$$

- 2. If $p \neq k$ interchange rows p and k and if $m \neq k$ interchange columns m and k.
- 3. Perform the usual GE steps to create zeros in column k.

This is Gaussian Elimination with Complete Pivoting (GECP).

Theorem GECP is equivalent to finding permutation matrices P and Q, a unit lower triangular matrix L and an upper triangular matrix U such that PAQ = LU.



GECP

Flop Count: Pivoting costs an additional $(n - k + 1)^2 - 1$ comparisons in step k. This raises the total flop count by $n^3/3$. Thus GECP (or equivalently) finding PAQ = LU costs $n^3 + O(n^2)$ flops.

Exercise: Find a pseudocode for solving an $n \times n$ system of equations Ax = b via GECP.

Decompositions related to A = LU.

Exercise: Let A be an $n \times n$ nonsingular matrix with nonsingular leading principal submatrices. Prove the following:

 There exists a unique unit lower triangular matrix L, a unique unit upper triangular matrix V and a unique diagonal matrix D such that A = LDV.

Decompositions related to A = LU.

Exercise: Let A be an $n \times n$ nonsingular matrix with nonsingular leading principal submatrices. Prove the following:

- There exists a unique unit lower triangular matrix L, a unique unit upper triangular matrix V and a unique diagonal matrix D such that A = LDV.
- 2. If A is symmetric, then there exists a unique unit lower triangular matrix L, and a unique diagonal matrix D such that $A = LDL^T$.

Decompositions related to A = LU.

Exercise: Let A be an $n \times n$ nonsingular matrix with nonsingular leading principal submatrices. Prove the following:

- There exists a unique unit lower triangular matrix L, a unique unit upper triangular matrix V and a unique diagonal matrix D such that A = LDV.
- 2. If A is symmetric, then there exists a unique unit lower triangular matrix L, and a unique diagonal matrix D such that $A = LDL^T$.
- 3. Additionally the decomposition $A = LDL^T$ in part 2 has the property that $x^TAx > 0$ for all nonzero $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ if and only if D has positive diagonal entries.