

---

*Note:* This document is a part of the lectures given during the Jan-May 2020 Semester.

---

Theorem:

Consider the binomial model with  $N$  periods. Let  $\Delta_0, \Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{N-1}$  be an adapted portfolio process, let  $X_0$  be a real number, and let the wealth process  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N$  be generated recursively by

$$X_{n+1} = \Delta_n S_{n+1} + (1+r)(X_n - \Delta_n S_n), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1.$$

Then the discounted wealth process  $\frac{X_n}{(1+r)^n}$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$ , is a martingale under the risk-neutral measure, *i.e.*,

$$\frac{X_n}{(1+r)^n} = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_n \left[ \frac{X_{n+1}}{(1+r)^{n+1}} \right], \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1.$$

Proof:

We compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_n \left[ \frac{X_{n+1}}{(1+r)^{n+1}} \right] &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_n \left[ \frac{\Delta_n S_{n+1}}{(1+r)^{n+1}} + \frac{X_n - \Delta_n S_n}{(1+r)^n} \right] \\ &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_n \left[ \frac{\Delta_n S_{n+1}}{(1+r)^{n+1}} \right] + \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_n \left[ \frac{X_n - \Delta_n S_n}{(1+r)^n} \right] \quad (\text{linearity}) \\ &= \Delta_n \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_n \left[ \frac{S_{n+1}}{(1+r)^{n+1}} \right] + \frac{X_n - \Delta_n S_n}{(1+r)^n} \quad (\text{taking out what is known}) \\ &= \Delta_n \frac{S_n}{(1+r)^n} + \frac{X_n - \Delta_n S_n}{(1+r)^n} \quad (\text{Martingale condition of discounted stock prices}) \\ &= \frac{X_n}{(1+r)^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary:

Under the conditions of the above Theorem, we have

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_n \frac{X_n}{(1+r)^n} = X_0, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N.$$

Proof:

The corollary follows from the fact that the expected value of a martingale cannot change with time and so must always be equal to the time-zero value of the martingale. Applying this fact to the  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -martingale  $\frac{X_n}{(1+r)^n}$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$ , we obtain the Corollary.

There are two consequences of the Theorem, one which leads to the *First Fundamental Theorem of Asset Pricing* (to be discussed in a subsequent course) and another is the following version of the *risk-neutral pricing formula*:

Theorem:

Consider a  $N$ -period binomial asset-pricing with  $0 < d < 1+r < u$  and with the risk-neutral probability measure  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ . Let  $V_N$  be a random variable (a derivative security paying off at time  $N$ ) depending on the

coin tosses. Then, for  $n$  between 0 and  $N$ , the price of the derivative security at time  $n$  is given by the risk-neutral pricing formula

$$V_n = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_n \left[ \frac{V_N}{(1+r)^{N-n}} \right].$$

Furthermore, the discounted price of the derivative security is a martingale under  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ , i.e.,

$$\frac{V_n}{(1+r)^n} = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_n \left[ \frac{V_{n+1}}{(1+r)^{n+1}} \right], \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1.$$

Theorem (Cash Flow Valuation):

Consider an  $N$ -period binomial asset pricing-model with  $0 < d < 1+r < u$ , and with risk-neutral probability measure  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ . Let  $C_0, C_1, \dots, C_N$  be a sequence of random variables such that each  $C_n$  depends only on  $\omega_1 \omega_2 \dots \omega_n$ . The price at time  $n$  of the derivative security that makes payments  $C_n, C_{n+1}, \dots, C_N$  at times  $n, n+1, \dots, N$ , respectively, is

$$V_n = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}_n \left[ \sum_{k=n}^N \frac{C_k}{(1+r)^{k-n}} \right], \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N.$$

The price process  $V_n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$  satisfies

$$C_n(\omega_1 \omega_2 \dots \omega_n) = V_n(\omega_1 \omega_2 \dots \omega_n) - \frac{1}{1+r} [\tilde{p}V_{n+1}(\omega_1 \omega_2 \dots \omega_n H) + \tilde{q}V_{n+1}(\omega_1 \omega_2 \dots \omega_n T)].$$

We define

$$\Delta_n(\omega_1 \omega_2 \dots \omega_n) = \frac{V_{n+1}(\omega_1 \omega_2 \dots \omega_n H) - V_{n+1}(\omega_1 \omega_2 \dots \omega_n T)}{S_{n+1}(\omega_1 \omega_2 \dots \omega_n H) - S_{n+1}(\omega_1 \omega_2 \dots \omega_n T)},$$

where  $n$  ranges between 0 and  $N-1$ . If we set  $X_0 = V_0$  and define recursively forward in time, the portfolio values  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N$  by

$$X_{n+1} = \Delta_n S_{n+1} + (1+r)(X_n - C_n - \Delta_n S_n),$$

then we have

$$X_n(\omega_1 \omega_2 \dots \omega_n) = V_n(\omega_1 \omega_2 \dots \omega_n),$$

for all  $n$  and all  $\omega_1 \omega_2 \dots \omega_n$ .

Markov Process:

Definition:

Consider the binomial asset-pricing model. Let  $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_N$  be an adapted process. If, for every  $n$  between 0 and  $N-1$  and for every function  $f(x)$ , there is another function  $g(x)$  (depending on  $n$  and  $f$ ) such that

$$\mathbb{E}_n[f(X_{n+1})] = g(X_n),$$

we say that  $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_N$  is a Markov process.

By definition,  $\mathbb{E}_n[f(X_{n+1})]$  is random and depends on the first  $n$  coin tosses. The *Markov property* says that this dependence on the coin tosses occurs through  $X_n$ . In other words, the information about the coin tosses that one needs in order to evaluate  $\mathbb{E}_n[f(X_{n+1})]$  is summarized by  $X_n$ . We are not so concerned with determining a formula for the function  $g$  right now as we are with asserting its existence because its mere existence tells us that if the payoff of a derivative security is random only through its dependence on  $X_N$ , then there is a version of the derivative security pricing algorithm in which we do not need to store path information.