Environment	BC	BEAR	EMAQ	CQL	CQL+OPAL (ours)
antmaze medium (diverse)	0.0	8.0	0.0	53.7 ± 6.1	$\mathbf{81.1 \pm 3.1}$
antmaze large (diverse)	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.9 ± 3.2	$\mathbf{70.3 \pm 2.9}$
kitchen mixed	47.5	47.2	$\mathbf{70.8 \pm 2.3}$	52.4 ± 2.5	$\mathbf{69.3 \pm 2.7}$
kitchen partial	33.8	13.1	74.6 ± 0.6	50.1 ± 1.0	$\mathbf{80.2 \pm 2.4}$

Table 1: Average success rate (%) (over 4 seeds) of offline RL methods: BC, BEAR (Kumar et al., 2019), EMAQ (Ghasemipour et al., 2020), CQL (Kumar et al., 2020) and CQL+OPAL (ours).