

Exercise6

Mohammad Imtiaz Nur

3/12/2021

ID: 1878074

```
data <- read.table("./Geese.txt", header=TRUE)
model <- lm(time~temp, data = data)
```

```
summary(model)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = time ~ temp, data = data)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -24.9462  -4.8035   0.9442   4.9256  16.2635
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) -19.6668     2.6052  -7.549 6.34e-09 ***
## temp         1.6806     0.2325   7.228 1.65e-08 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 9.959 on 36 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.592, Adjusted R-squared:  0.5807
## F-statistic: 52.24 on 1 and 36 DF, p-value: 1.653e-08
```

2. Computing the LM coefficients:

```
coefs = coefficients(model)
coefs
```

```
## (Intercept)      temp
##   -19.66676    1.68065
```

3. Regression Equation:

$\text{Time} = \text{coefs}[1] + \text{coefs}[2] * \text{Temp}$

Or

$\text{Time} = -19.66676 + 1.68065 * \text{Temp}$

4. The confidence interval for β_1 :

```
##confint  
confint(model)
```

```
##              2.5 %      97.5 %  
## (Intercept) -24.950311 -14.383214  
## temp         1.209066   2.152233
```

From the above result, we can be 95% confident about the slope of the regression line that it is between 1.209 and 2.153 minutes for increase of temperature per degree.

5. Correlation check

```
cor.test(data$temp, data$time)
```

```
##  
## Pearson's product-moment correlation  
##  
## data: data$temp and data$time  
## t = 7.2278, df = 36, p-value = 1.653e-08  
## alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0  
## 95 percent confidence interval:  
##  0.5964657 0.8741080  
## sample estimates:  
##      cor  
## 0.7694334
```

Since $p\text{-value}(1.653e-08) < 0.05$, we can reject the null hypothesis. Hence, there is a significant relationship between the time and temperature.

1. Necessary Plots

```
plot(model)
```







