



Community characteristics & orientation

| Name: | Carter Brezinski |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Community (UN SD goal): | #4 Quality Education |
| Date: | October 5 th , 2021 |

Instructions

Research the community you are most interested in exploring using links from the UN Sustainable Goals website (https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/) and others. In your exhaustive research, answer the following.

| Community characteristic | CS CS | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| Community life-cycle (current st | ate) | | |
| Where is your community in its life-cycle? | What you need to focus on: | Special needs | |
| ☑ Just forming Need basic tools to connect, but not sure from there | Research and/or discuss the potential of some basic tools with members, explore what ideas it might give them, and see what they might bring in with them. | In our Regina teaching community, so far in my research I haven't been able to find any openly available technology to teachers to express their concerns and frustrations. | |
| ☐ Self-designing Information stage, but with a strong sense of what it wants to accomplish | Contribute ideas to the design. Analyze systematically the implications of their community design for technology, infrastructure, and technology skills. | | |
| ☐ Growing & restless Ready to add new functionality to its tool configuration | Try to make this a community reflection and self-design event. Does their restlessness suggest a major change, such as a transition to a new platform? | | |
| ☐ Stable and adapting Just needing some new tools | How much disruption will the community tolerate? How will the new tools be integrated into or affect existing practices? | | |
| Constitution | | | |
| Diversity: How diverse is the con | nmunity? | | |
| Topic | Your notes | | |





| What are the different to members and what are | | In the community I intend to focus on for my project there is a varying amount of participation amongst the various members in the community. | | | |
|--|------------|--|---|--|--|
| levels of participation? | | There are the teachers who have a close involvement with the students and will ultimately have a very close and active level of participation with the project I plan to build. | | | |
| | | Then there are the parents, who I would assume may not know about this technology that I am building, and thus I feel as though they will have a low level of participation. | | | |
| | | Then there is the community, who have varying levels of participation. For most, the external members of the community have low participation or involvement with inner-city schools, and those who go to these schools or teach at them. There is the chance that these members may use or view the technology I build. | | | |
| How spread apart is it in of location and time zor | | The time zone will mostly be restricted to the Regina area. The location itself is also going to be limited to just the surrounding Regina area, primarily focusing on that of the inner-city schools but also branching out and being available to be viewed by | | | |
| What language(s) do mo speak? | embers | There are many different languages being spoken as this is a diverse mix of backgrounds present in the inner-city school's community. | | | |
| What other cultural or of diversity aspects may af your technology choices | fect | I chose to make this a website in hopes of having it readily available to everyone. The only constraint for viewing my project would be the inability to have access to technology or a stable internet connection. | | | |
| Openness: How connec | ted to the | e outside world | is your community? | | |
| Topic | | Your notes | | | |
| How much do you want to control the boundaries of your community? Does your community need | • | secure n boundaries private & | I want this product to be openly available to all members of the Regina community, focusing and being catered to the teachers in the Regina community. | | |
| How does your communities common tools for sharing them? | ? Do you | need | The hope is that my application operates as a vehicle for sharing and learning. The hope is that if a person wishes to interact with people within or outside of their community using my application, that they create an account and participate, or if it requires real-life interaction, that they do that outside of the bounds of my application. | | |
| Technology aspira | tions | | | | |
| Technology savvy, toler thereof? What are the o | | | nat are your community's technology interests and skills and patience echnology factors? | | |
| Topic | | Your notes | | | |
| How interested is your community in technolog | gy? | | earch that I've done so far, I've heard a few say that they are interested like this, especially since the covid-19 pandemic. | | |
| What is their capacity for learning new tools? | or | | ology that I am looking to create, I am hoping to create a technology r to most people. Not just teachers, but also everyday members of the . | | |





| What is the range of skills? If their interests and/or skills are diverse, could it cause conflict or distraction? How tolerant are members of the adoption of a wide variety of tools? In my research and questioning, members would be tolerant to a limited number of tools and utilities. Ideally, they would prefer a singular service where a user only must log in once should they wish to post. Otherwise, they feel like they would benefit from not having to log in and still be able to lurk and experience the content. Referencing the block above, from my research members are willing, so long as there isn't an overload of new tools they would need to access. Should they wish to be contributors to this technology's community they wish to cross minimal technology boundaries to be able to use it. What are your members' technology constraints (e.g., bandwidth, operating systems, etc.)? How much time are members able to be online and from where (office, home, field)? Some people have limited online time, or are able to be online only in specific locations. Others are always on. Very diverse situations can affect participation Community orientation | | |
|--|---|--|
| tools and utilities. Ideally, they would prefer a singular service where a user only must log in once should they wish to post. Otherwise, they feel like they would benefit from not having to log in and still be able to lurk and experience the content. Referencing the block above, from my research members are willing, so long as there isn't an overload of new tools they would need to access. Should they wish to be contributors to this technology's community they wish to cross minimal technology boundaries to be able to use it. Referencing the block above, from my research members are willing, so long as there isn't an overload of new tools they would need to access. Should they wish to be contributors to this technology's community they wish to cross minimal technology boundaries to be able to use it. What are your members' technology constraints (e.g., bandwidth, operating systems, etc.)? How much time are members able to be online and from where (office, home, field)? Some people have limited online time, or are able to be online only in specific locations. Others are always on. Very diverse situations can affect participation This would be a website where the user's interaction is solely based off their own interest and chosen level of interaction. This website will be open to people willing to post their stories, but also those who are interested in just reading and listening to these stories. | their interests and/or skills are diverse, could it cause conflict | understand and comprehend the product I am building. Additionally, many people |
| isn't an overload of new tools they would need to access. Should they wish to be contributors to this technology's community they wish to cross minimal technology boundaries to be able to use it. Isn't an overload of new tools they would need to access. Should they wish to be contributors to this technology's community they wish to cross minimal technology boundaries to be able to use it. Isn't an overload of new tools they would need to access. Should they wish to be contributors to this technology's community they wish to cross minimal technology boundaries to be able to use it. Isn't an overload of new tools they would need to access. Should they wish to be contributors to this technology's community they wish to cross minimal technology boundaries to be able to use it. Isn't an overload of new tools they would need to access. Should they wish to be contributors to this technology's community they wish to cross minimal technology boundaries to be able to use it. Isn't an overload of new tools they would need to access. Should they wish to cross minimal technology on the contributors to this technology sommunity they wish to cross minimal technology on the contributors to this technology's community they wish to cross minimal technology on the contributors to this technology some new to heave the user's interaction only constraints would be their potential lack of access to a phone or computer, and their access to a stable internet connection. It is would be a website where the user's interaction is solely based off their own interest and chosen level of interaction. This website will be open to people willing to post their stories, but also those who are interested in just reading and listening to these stories. | the adoption of a wide variety | tools and utilities. Ideally, they would prefer a singular service where a user only must log in once should they wish to post. Otherwise, they feel like they would |
| technology constraints (e.g., bandwidth, operating systems, etc.)? How much time are members able to be online and from where (office, home, field)? Some people have limited online time, or are able to be online only in specific locations. Others are always on. Very diverse situations can affect participation their potential lack of access to a phone or computer, and their access to a stable internet connection. This would be a website where the user's interaction is solely based off their own interest and chosen level of interaction. This website will be open to people willing to post their stories, but also those who are interested in just reading and listening to these stories. | boundaries are they willing to cross, e.g. sign in to more than one web-based tool, learn to use new tools, or give up old favorites? This helps you understand what level of | isn't an overload of new tools they would need to access. Should they wish to be contributors to this technology's community they wish to cross minimal technology |
| able to be online and from where (office, home, field)? Some people have limited online time, or are able to be online only in specific locations. Others are always on. Very diverse situations can affect participation | technology constraints (e.g., bandwidth, operating systems, | their potential lack of access to a phone or computer, and their access to a stable |
| Community orientation | able to be online and from where (office, home, field)? Some people have limited online time, or are able to be online only in specific locations. Others are always on. Very diverse situations can affect | interest and chosen level of interaction. This website will be open to people willing to post their stories, but also those who are interested in just reading and listening |
| | Community orientation | |

Relevance to community: Use the range from 0 (no relevance) to 5 (high relevance) to determine what matters most to the community. Look at these from the perspectives of the different types of members (under "constitution"). Also discuss the "value-added" to each member group

| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Orientations | Variants | Key activities/your notes |
|---|---|---|---|---|-------------|--|---|--|
| | | | | | | Meetings Many communities place a great emphasis on regular meetings where members engage in shared activities for a specific time. Meetings, and the visible participation of members, assert the community's existence | ☐ Face-to-face/blended ☐ Online synchronous ☐ Online asynchronous | I would say that regular meetings wouldn't exactly be relevant to the current project and community that I am focusing on. |
| | | | | | \boxtimes | Open-ended conversation Some communities maintain ongoing conversations as their | ⊠ Single-stream discussions | I'm hoping that my application will spark those open-ended conversations and stories to be |





| | | | primary vehicles for learning. Open-ended conversations are common when a community is co- located and people keep the conversation going as they "bump" into each other. | ✓ Multi-topic conversations✓ Distributed conversations | shared with other members of the community. I would say that getting information out into the community is the most important part of my project. |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|
| | | | In some communities' members want to focus on particular topics, go deep, and collaborate on projects to solve problems or produce useful artifacts. Learning is not just a matter of sharing knowledge or discussing issues. Members need to do things together in order to develop their practice. Projects usually involve a subgroup within the community | □ Practice groups□ Project teams□ Instruction | I would say that there is the potential for my application to spark outside conversation and action in the community. That is my eventual hope, but I don't see it happening immediately. |
| | | | Content Some communities are primarily interested in creating, sharing, and providing access to documents, tools, and other content. Valuable and wellorganized content is a useful resource for members | ☐ Library ☐ Structured self- publish ☐ Open self- publish ☒ Content integration | I would like to see my project expand into also being a support and content-sharing resource for teachers, but at this current time I only see it acting as a discussion and story board. |
| | | | Access to expertise Some communities create value by providing focused and timely access to expertise in the community's domain, whether internally or externally. Communities with this orientation focus on answering questions, fulfilling requests for advice, or engaging in collaborative, just-intime problem solving | ☑ Questions & requests ☐ Access to experts ☑ Shared problem solving ☑ Knowledge validation ☐ Apprenticeship & mentoring | Due to it being an online discussion and story board, members will be able to answer questions, share their opinions, and assist in providing support and answers for these teachers and these schools. |
| | | | Relationships Some communities focus on relationship building among members as the basis for both ongoing learning and being available to each other. This orientation emphasizes the interpersonal aspect of learning together. Communities with this orientation place a high value on knowing each other personally. | ☐ Connecting☒ Knowing about people☐ Interacting informally | One of the most important parts of my project is passing on that knowledge of inner-city schools' inequalities to that of other people. Many people simply don't know about it and thus they don't do anything about it. I'm hoping to create that relationship and make people care through my technology. |





| | | | | | | emphasizing networking, trust building, and mutual discovery | | |
|-----|------|-------------|-------|-----|------|---|--|---|
| | | | | | | Individual participation Learning together happens in the context of a group, but it is realized in the experience of individuals. People bring different backgrounds, communication styles, and aspirations to their participation in a community. People have different levels of commitment, they take on different roles, and they use tools differently | ☑ Levels of participation ☐ Personalization ☐ Individual development ☐ Multimembership | It will take the input and individual participation of teachers from across Regina to be able to make this application operational and worth it. |
| | | | | | | Community cultivation Some communities are happy with loose self-organization and unplanned evolution, while others thrive on attention to community cultivation. They have a need to reflect on the effectiveness and health of the community to make things better, joined with a willingness to work on it | □ Democratic governance ☑ Strong core group □ Internal coordination □ External facilitation | I think this technology will thrive and evolve if it has a strong core group of initial posters. It can't solely thrive on just lurkers. |
| | | \boxtimes | | | | Service context In some cases, serving a specific context becomes central to the community's identity and the | ☐ Organization as context☒ Cross- | I would say that my hope is that this project inspires future service in the community. But I think due to the lack of |
| | | | | | | ways it operates. They may live inside an organization, whose charter their practice needs to serve. They may have a mission to provide learning resources to the world or to recruit members widely. Or they may seek interactions with other communities whose domain complements their own | organizational ☐ Other related communities ☑ Public mission | knowledge from the city of Regina as a whole, I would say there is very minimal service present for these communities I am hoping to support. |
| Scr | atch | прас | l (ot | her | inte | inside an organization, whose charter their practice needs to serve. They may have a mission to provide learning resources to the world or to recruit members widely. Or they may seek interactions with other communities whose domain | ☐ Other related communities ☑ Public mission | Regina as a whole, I would say there is very minimal service present for these communities I |