

An Event-based Approach for Querying Graph-Structured Data Using Natural Language



GraphQ 2014

Richard Frost , Wale Agboola, Eric Matthews and Jon Donais
School of Computer Science
University of Windsor



Natural-Language Interfaces to triplestores

Translate NL to SPARQL – Problem 1

“Who married Al Capone in 1918?” prepositional phrase

↓ translation

SPARQL QUERY

↑ SPARQL endpoint

```
<http://dbpedia.org/resource#Al_Capone>  
  <http://dbpedia.org/ontology/spouse  
    <http://dbpedia.org/resource#Mae_Capone> .
```

How do we add the data representing the prepositional phrase?

```
<....Al_Capone> <year_married> <...1918> .
```

Not adequate as Capone could have married twice.

ANSWER: Use some version of reification

BUT complicates translation from NL to SPARQL

Natural-Language Interfaces to triplestores

Translate NL to SPARQL – Problem 2

**“Who joined every gang that was joined by Torrio and stole
a car in 1918 or 1920 in a borough of New York?”**



SPARQL QUERY

**Too complicated – chained complex prepositional phrases with
arbitrarily-nested quantifiers?**

We are unaware of any system that can do this.

ANSWER: Do not use SPARQL

Evaluate the NL queries directly w.r.t. the triplestore

A Solution to Problem 1

Event-Based Triplestores – a form of reification

```
[("event1000", "type", "born_ev"), ("event1005", "type", "smoke_event"),
 ("event1000", "subject", "capone"), ("event1006", "type", "membership"),
 ("event1000", "year", "1899"), ("event1006", "subject", "car_1"),
 ("event1000", "location", "brooklyn"), ("event1006", "object", "car"),
 ("event1001", "type", "join_ev"), ("event1007", "type", "membership"),
 ("event1001", "subject", "capone"), ("event1007", "subject", "fpg"),
 ("event1001", "object", "fpg"), ("event1007", "object", "gang"),
 ("event1002", "year", "1908"), ("event1005", "subject", "capone"),
 ("event1004", "type", "steal_ev"), ("event1010", "subject", "capone"),
 ("event1004", "subject", "capone"), ("event1010", "object", "person"),
 ("event1004", "object", "car_1"), ("event1010", "type", "membership"),
 ("event1004", "year", "1908"), ("event1011", "subject", "torrio"),
 ("event1004", "location", "brooklyn"), etc.]
```

“Who stole a car in 1918 or 1920 in a borough of New York?”

↓ parser ↓

“Who (stole (a car) [(in (1918 or 1920), in (a (borough (located_in New_York))))])?”

↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓

$\lambda \dots (\lambda \dots (\lambda \dots \lambda \dots) [(\lambda \dots (\lambda \dots \lambda \dots \lambda \dots), \lambda \dots (\lambda \dots (\lambda \dots (\lambda \dots \lambda \dots))))])$

↑

↑

↑

↑

TRIPLESTORE

Basic Triplestore Retrieval Functions

getts_1 (**ANY**, REL “subject”, ENT “capone”)

=> {(1000, REL “subject”, ENT “capone”),
(1001, REL “subject”, ENT “capone”), etc.

getts_3 similar.

getts_1 and getts_3 are used to define other basic retrieval operators.

Defs in the paper..

Example uses of other retrieval operators:

get_subjs_for_events {EV 1000, EV 1009} => {ENT “capone”, ENT “torrio”}

get_members “thief_set” => {ENT “capone”}

get_subjs_of_event_type “born_ev” => {ENT “capone”}

We can now define semantics using these basic operators

Definitions of the denotations of Words

We use the notation of set theory in place of lambda expressions

thief = get_members "thief_set"

e.g. **thief** => {ENT "capone"}

smokes = get_subjs_of_event_type "smoke_ev"

e.g. **smokes** => {ENT "capone"}

capone setofents = (ENT "capone") ∈ setofents

e.g. **capone** **smokes** => True

a **nph** **vbph** = #(**nph** ∩ **vbph**) ~ = 0

term_and tmph1 tmph2 = f where

f setofevs = (tmph1 setofevs) & (tmph2 setofevs)

e.g. ((**a thief**) \$**term_and capone**) **smokes** => True

Our new semantics – major contribution 1

An explicit definition of the denotation of transitive verbs

`join` `tmph`

$= \{ \text{subj} \mid (\text{subj}, \text{evs}) \in (\text{make_image} \quad \text{join_event})$

$\&$

$\text{tmph} (\bigcup \{ \text{map thirds (getts (ev, REL "object", ANY))} \mid \text{ev} \in \text{evs} \})$

where, for example: `make_image` “join_ev”

$\Rightarrow \{ (\text{ENT “capone”, } \{ \text{EV 1001, EV 1003} \}), (\text{ENT “torrio”, } \{ \text{EV 1009} \}) \}$

e.g. `join (a gang)` $\Rightarrow \{ \text{ENT “capone”, ENT “torrio”} \}$

Major contribution 2: Prepositional phrases

Simplified example – a single **prepositional phrase**

steal_with_time tmph **date**

= {subj | (subj, evs) ∈ image_steal &
tmph (∪ {thirds (getts (ev,REL"object",ANY))
| ev ∈ evs
&
date(thirds (getts (ev,REL "date", ANY))))}}

The date argument is used to “filter” the events.

e.g. **steal_with_time (a car) (date_1918) => {ENT "capone"}**

The result: A wide range of English NL queries

We have implemented simple case of prepositional phrases in Haskell – with an in-program triplestore.

e.g. “Which gangster who stole a car in 1915 or 1918 joined a gang that was joined by Torrio?”

⇓ manual insertion of brackets

**which (gangster \$that (steal_with_time (a car)
(date_1915 \$term_or date_1908))
(join (a (gang \$that (joined_by torrio))))**

⇓

{ENT “capone”}

Next steps

1. Extend implementation to include **chained** prepositional phrases such as: “**who stole a car in Brooklyn in 1908 or 1915**” (our solution is briefly described in the paper) **(have done this)**

2. Deploy our triples on the semantic web and access them through a SPARQL endpoint using the basic retrieval operators (which only use basic SPARQL SELECT operations) **(have done this)**

```
SELECT ?first WHERE {?first, <given_second>, <given-third>} .
```

```
SELECT ?third WHERE {<given_first>, <given_second>, ?third} .
```

3. Integrate the semantics with our X-SAIGA NL parser. **(have nearly completed this)**

4. Interface our query processor with our speech browser. **(have nearly completed this)**



An NL speech query interface to semantic web data

GraphQ 2014

References to our previous work

SEMANTICS: R. A. Frost, B. S. Amour, and R. Fortier. An event based denotational semantics for natural language queries to data represented in triple stores. *IEEE ICSC*, 2013. IEEE, 142-145.

Frost, R. A. and Fortier, R. (2007) An efficient denotational semantics for natural language database queries, *NLDB 07*, LNCS 4592, 12-24.

Frost, R. A. (2006) Realization of natural language interfaces using lazy functional programming. *ACM Comp. Surv.* 38 (4) Article 11.

PARSING: Hafiz, R. and Frost, R. (2010) Lazy combinators for executable specifications of general attribute grammars, *Proc. of the 12th International Symposium on Practical aspects of declarative languages (PADL)*, LNCS 5937, 167-182.

Frost, R., Hafiz, R., Callaghan, P., (2007) Modular and efficient top-down parsing for ambiguous left-recursive grammars. In *10th ACL, IWPT*, 109–120.

SPEECH RECOGNITION: Frost, R. A., Ma, X. and Shi, Y. (2007) A browser for a public-domain SpeechWeb. *WWW 2007*, 1307-1308.

Frost, R. A. (2005). A call for a public-domain SpeechWeb. *CACM* 48 (11) 45-49.

Acknowledgements

Rahmatullah Hafiz

Paul Callaghan

Nabil Abdullah

Ali Karaki

Paul Meyer

Matthew Clifford

Shane Peelar

Stephen Karamatos

Walid Mnaymneh

Rob Mavrinac

Cai Filiault

NSERC – Natural Science and Engineering Council of Canada

Research Services - University of Windsor