

Cast expression (S) e: when is it type correct?

Simplified static semantics where e has type T

- all casts among primitive types allowed, except for `boolean` that can only be cast to itself (not so usefull!)
Remark: numeric conversions with cast are efficient, but inaccurate; for accuracy better using the conversion methods of `Math`
- boxing +(optionally) widening reference conversion
example: `T=int`, `S=Number`
- unboxing +(optionally) widening primitive conversion
example: `T=Integer`, `S=long`
- narrowing reference conversion +(optionally) unboxing
example: `T=Number`, `S=int`
- a reference type `T` can be cast to another reference type `S` if there can exist `T'` s.t. $T' \leq S$ and $T' \leq T$
 - ▶ `T` and `S` are both classes, and $T \leq S$ or $S \leq T$;
 - ▶ `S` and `T` are both interfaces;
 - ▶ ...

Cast expression (S) e: what is its behavior?

Simplified dynamic semantics where e has type T

There are 3 most common cases:

- 1 widening/narrowing primitive conversion
T and S different primitive numeric types
action: the value of type T is converted into a value of type S
- 2 widening reference conversion
T and S reference types, $T \leq S$
action: no action is performed
- 3 narrowing reference conversion
T and S reference types, $T \not\leq S$
action:
 - (1) the dynamic type T' of e is tested to be a subtype of S
 - (2) if the test succeeds no other action is performed
otherwise an exception of type **ClassCastException** is thrown

Remarks:

- the dynamic type T' of e is the type of the value of e
- T' is usually different from T, but always $T' \leq T$

Example of use of cast expressions

The most useful cast is widening reference conversion to [select overloaded methods](#)

Example

```
// String contains both valueOf(char[]) and valueOf(Object)  
char[] c = {'a','b','c'};  
String.valueOf(c);           // valueOf(char[]) is called  
String.valueOf((Object) c);  // valueOf(Object) is called  
String.valueOf((Object) null); // valueOf(Object) is called
```

Remark: the type of `null` is subtype of any reference type

Since Java 16 casts for narrowing reference conversion are seldom needed (see next slides)

Java `instanceof` (new version since Java 16)

Syntax

- `e instanceof T x`
- `T x` **pattern** with `T` type and `x` variable

Dynamic semantics

If the value v of `e` is different from `null` and has type $T' \leq T$, then `true` is returned and **variable `x` is declared of type `T` and initialized with `v`** otherwise `false` is returned and no variable is declared

Static semantics

- the static type of `e` must be a reference type
- the cast `(T) e` must be type correct

Java `instanceof` (new version since Java 16)

The use of `instanceof` is useful in conjunction with the [conditional statement](#)

Example

```
public boolean equals(Object otherTimer) {  
    if (otherTimer instanceof Timer t) // t is a local variable in the then-branch  
        return time == t.getTime();  
    return false;  
}
```

Remark: before Java 16 no variable could be used in `instanceof`

Generic types: motivations

Example of bad class definition

```
import java.awt.Color;
public class Pair { // bad definition!
    private final Object fst;
    private final Object snd;

    public Pair(Object fst, Object snd) {
        this.fst = fst;
        this.snd = snd;
    }

    public Object getFst() { return fst; }
    public Object getSnd() { return snd; }
}

...
Pair p = new Pair("a string", Color.RED);
String s = (String) p.getFst(); // reference narrowing needed!
Color c = (Color) p.getSnd();   // reference narrowing needed!
```

Fix: use **parametric polymorphism** instead of subtyping polymorphism

Generic types: motivations

Better solution: `Pair` is a generic class

```
import java.awt.Color;
public class Pair<T1,T2> { // T1, T2 type parameters
    private final T1 fst;
    private final T2 snd;
    public Pair(T1 fst, T2 snd) { this.fst = fst; this.snd = snd; }
    public T1 getFst() { return fst; }
    public T2 getSnd() { return snd; }
}

...
Pair<String,Color> p = new Pair<String,Color>("a string", Color.RED);
String s = p.getFst();
Color c = p.getSnd();
Pair<Color,String> p2 = new Pair<Color,String>(Color.RED,"a string");
p=p2; // type error!!
```

Diamond notation

with **new**, type arguments can be inferred in many cases

```
Pair<String,Color> p = new Pair<String,Color>("a string", Color.RED);
```

can be rewritten in this simpler way:

```
Pair<String,Color> p = new Pair<>("a string", Color.RED);
```

Generic types

- classes/interfaces with **type parameters** are called **generic**
- `Pair` is a generic class with two type parameters `T1` and `T2`
- `Pair<String, Color>/Pair<Color, String>` are **parameterized types**
- `String/Color` are the **argument types** in `Pair<String, Color>`
- parameters `T1` and `T2` are **instantiated** with `String` and `Color`
- type arguments **can only be reference types**
- examples of incorrect uses of `Pair`:

```
Pair<int, String>  
Pair<String>  
Pair<String, String, String>
```

- generic classes support **parametric polymorphism**

Example with `p` of type `Pair<String, Color>`

- `p.getFst()` has static type `String`
- `p.getSnd()` has static type `Color`

Use case for generic types

Basic Java API interfaces for object containers

```
package java.util;

public interface Collection<E> extends Iterable<E> {
    int size();
    boolean isEmpty();
    boolean add(E e);
    ...
}

public interface List<E> extends Collection<E> {
    E get(int index);
    E set(int index, E element);
    void add(int index, E element);
    E remove(int index);
    ...
}
```

The parameter `E` corresponds to the type of the elements of the object containers

Use case for generic types

Basic Java API classes for object containers

```
package java.util;

public abstract class AbstractCollection<E> extends Object implements
    Collection<E> {...}

public abstract class AbstractList<E> extends AbstractCollection<E>
    implements List<E> {...}

// implementation with arrays
public class ArrayList<E> extends AbstractList<E> {
    public ArrayList() {...}
    ...
}

// implementation with doubly-linked lists
public class LinkedList<E> extends AbstractList<E> {
    public LinkedList() {...}
    ...
}
```

Problem: iterating over object containers

A standard programming problem

Iterating over the elements of an object container to perform some operation

Simple examples:

- find the first elements in a list satisfying a given property
- compute the sum of all elements in a list/set
- compute the max element in a list/set

Is there a general way to solve this problem?

Desiderata:

- efficient time complexity (ideally linear)
- same code for different object containers
 - ▶ different implementation of the same Abstract Data Type: e.g. array lists, linked lists
 - ▶ different Abstract Data Types: lists, sets, maps, ...

Iteration on object containers

A first unsatisfactory attempt

```
// requirement: works on both ArrayList and LinkedList
public static int search(int e, List<Integer> ls) {
    for (int i = 0; i < ls.size(); i++)
        if (ls.get(i) == e) // element at index i, implicit unboxing
            return i;
    return -1;
}
```

Example with array lists

```
List<Integer> list = new ArrayList<Integer>();
for (int i = 1; i <= 6; i++)
    list.add(i); // appends i to the end of list
assert search(5, list) == 4; // linear time complexity
```

Iteration on object containers

A first unsatisfactory attempt

```
// requirement: works on both ArrayList and LinkedList
public static int search(int e, List<Integer> ls) {
    for (int i = 0; i < ls.size(); i++)
        if (ls.get(i) == e) // element at index i, implicit unboxing
            return i;
    return -1;
}
```

Example with linked lists

```
List<Integer> list = new LinkedList<Integer>();
for (int i = 1; i <= 6; i++)
    list.add(i); // appends i to the end of list
assert search(5, list) == 4; // quadratic time complexity!
```

Iterator design pattern

Problems

- `List<E>` interface is not **abstract** enough
- no **separation** between iteration and element processing
- not as **efficient** as it could be
- code specific for lists, not **general** enough

Solution

Implement **external iterators** with the **iterator design pattern**

What is a design pattern?

A general and principled solution to some kind of programming problem

External vs internal iterators

- iterator pattern: purely o.-o. approach based on external iterators
- internal iterators: another pattern inspired by functional programming

Iterator design pattern

Iterable and iterator objects

- **Iterable** objects: the objects for which it is possible to iterate over their elements with an iterator
- **Iterator** objects: the objects used to iterate over iterable objects
- with objects of type `Iterable` it is possible to use the **enhanced-for** to iterate over their elements
- more iterators may be active at the same time on the same iterable object

Iterator design pattern

`java.lang.Iterable<E>` and `java.util.Iterator<E>`

```
public interface Iterable<E> {  
    Iterator<E> iterator(); // factory method  
}  
  
public interface Iterator<E> {  
    boolean hasNext(); // true iff the iteration has more elements  
    E next(); // the next element, may throw NoSuchElementException  
    ...  
}
```


Iterator design pattern

Implementation of search with the iterator pattern

```
public static int search(int e, List<Integer> ls) {  
    int res = 0;  
    Iterator<Integer> it = ls.iterator(); // returns a new iterator on ls  
    while (it.hasNext()) {  
        if (it.next() == e) return res;  
        res++;  
    }  
    return -1;  
}  
  
// more concise version with the enhanced for (for-each)  
public static int search(int e, List<Integer> ls) {  
    int res = 0;  
    for (int el : ls) { // for-each with iterable objects or arrays  
        if (el == e) return res;  
        res++;  
    }  
    return -1;  
}
```

Remark: List<E> implements Iterable<E>

Iterator design pattern

Another example

Sum all the integers contained in a collection of integers

Solution

```
public static int sumAll(Collection<Integer> col) {  
    int res = 0;  
    Iterator<Integer> it = col.iterator();  
    while (it.hasNext())  
        res += it.next();  
    return res;  
}  
  
// more concise version with the enhanced for (for-each)  
public static int sumAll(Collection<Integer> col) {  
    int res = 0;  
    for (int i : col)  
        res += i;  
    return res;  
}
```

Remark: `Collection<E>` implements `Iterable<E>`

Iterator design pattern

Demo

```
public class ArrayIterator implements Iterator<Integer> {
    private final int[] array;
    private int nextIndex;

    public ArrayIterator(int[] array) {this.array = requireNonNull(array);}

    public boolean hasNext() { return nextIndex < array.length; }

    public Integer next() {
        if(!hasNext())
            throw new NoSuchElementException();
        return array[nextIndex++];
    }

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        int[] a = new int[]{1, 2, 3};
        Iterator<Integer> it = new ArrayIterator(a);
        Iterator<Integer> it2 = new ArrayIterator(a);
        var el = 1;
        while (it.hasNext()) {
            assert it.next() == el;
            el++;
        }
        assert !it.hasNext() && it2.hasNext();
    }
}
```