#flo #ret #hw

1 | Research project

1.1 | thoughts

sputnik? - satellite was launched before, sent this massive wave of panic - because the red was ahead technologically! - led to a huge amount of focus on STEM - turns out, we actully had the satellites the whole time - but eisenhower didnt want to launch them because he didnt want to deal with the fuss about how to manage airspace?

eisenhower didnt want to launch - didnt want to escalate? - was already spying, and got found out

// this entire philosiphy that

the current modern philosiphhy that science and technology should be the main focus of education was built off of a politically self-serving lie. the current modern philosiphy -> the current educational emphasis on sciene and technology, expanded in the post-sputnik era, was built on...

- · sputnik was a thing
- sputnik was a lie (we weren't really behind)
- · that lie was politically motivated
- and yet...
- · sputnik led to an expansion of techno education and social value
- · that persists

politica self serving motivates what is perceived as true has this on both sides: eisenhower and the "the gap"

driving 'truths' are formed from politically self serving lies

the sputnik lie - and its consequent impacts -

-[]— a cultural impact so deep, that the falsehood of its roots has little effect on its adherence — was propogated by aligned political agendas of competing parties

thesis: the Sputnik lie - {} having a cultural impact so deep, that the falsehood of its roots has little effect on its adherence - was

The Sputnik lie, born of political agendas, had a cultural impact so deep that

the need for technological advancment *

sputnik shocked the country

sputnik launch,

1.2 | sources

https://history.nasa.gov/sputnik/sputorig.html good source of qoutes and primaryles

- https://archive.nytimes.com/www.nytimes.com/partners/aol/special/sputnik/main.html all the archived nyts from the period
 - https://archive.nytimes.com/www.nytimes.com/partners/aol/special/sputnik/sput-15.
 html nyt article saying we need to focus on science
 - https://archive.nytimes.com/www.nytimes.com/partners/aol/special/sputnik/sput-20.
 html Vanguard Rocket Burns on Beach; Failure to Launch Test Satellite Assailed as Blow to U.S.
 Prestige
- https://www.pbs.org/wgbh/nova/military/sputnik-declassified.html# the org nova vid
- https://guides.loc.gov/sputnik-and-the-space-race/primary-resourceshttps://digitalarchive.wilsoncenter.org/collection/383/space-race

1.3 | qoute bin

1.4 | outlinin!

THESIS: The Sputnik lie, and with it massive cultural impacts, was born of a confluence of competing political interests.

- Sputnik had a massive cultural impact
 - hysteria, fear, massive focus on STEM in schools
- · But it was a lie
 - what actually happened was...
- · It was a lie because
 - Eisenhower's reasoning (declassified)
 - * wanted covert info on Russians
 - * got caught
 - * didn't want to escalate further
 - Dems reasoning
 - * wanted to make the reps look bad (had lost previous election due to being portrayed as "soft on communism" lost China etc..
- · conclusion?
 - But nobody questions the emphasis on STEM, even though the original premise has been falsified. Thus, history teaches us, the cultural changes live on well beyond their original purpose.
- 1. the cultural impact of sputnik

1.4.1 | rougher?

- intro : 1
- cultural impact
 - hysteria : 2
 - STEM focus: 3
- what actually happened: 4
- what actually happened pt.2? : 5
- why it was a lie
 - eisenhower: 6
 - dems: 7
- conclusions?: 8