

1 | Chapter 0: Algebraic Type Theory

1.1 | Semi-Groups

- Elements
- Operator
- Identity
- Associativity
- Commutativity

So its a field with one operator

1.2 | Semi-Rings

Groups with two operators.

1.3 | Types

Define two types:

- `()`: Unit
- `Option<Unit>`: Bool

1.4 | Tuples are Multiply

1.4.1 | The "Tuple" Operator

These are isomorphic:

```
fn SOME () => true | None => false;
fn true => SOME () | false => None |
```

(elements proven)

$a * b = a * b$ (closed under operator. Operator shown.)

1.4.2 | Proving Properties

- $| \text{unit} * a | = 1 * | a | = | a |$ (operator identity exists. Identity proven)

Operator is associative

```
fn ((x,y),z) => (x,(y,z))
```

(associativity proven)

The Reverse Function Exists.

(commutativity proven) Proof left for the reader, or Axler.

1.5 | Datatypes are Add

1.5.1 | The "Add" Operator

$a + b = a + b$ (you are having a value that has EITHER type a or b. Closed under operator.)

(Operator 2 shown.)

1. Relationship between Operators

$$a + a = 2 * a = \text{bool} * a = \text{bool} * a$$

We could show this:

```
datatype ('a, 'b) either = Left of 'a | Right of 'b;
fn Left x => (false, x) | Right x => (true x);
```

Therefore "either" is a union (OR) of two types.

(map between operators?)

1.5.2 | Proving Properties

Also, we show that this second operator has an identity

```
datatype void = .
```

$$a + \text{void} = a$$

because nothing could possibly have the type of void, so if we have a Either 'void 'a, it could only be Right a.

(identity proven.)

Commutivity and associativity is left for the reader. Or anyone else. I didn't catch it.

1.6 | Proving Distribution

```
fn (y, Left y) => Left (x,y) | (x, Right z) => Right (x,z)
```

Yeah.

1.7 | Functions as a Type

How many functions does the following thing?

'a -> 1?

One. The following thing:

```
fn _ => ()
```

How many functions does the following thing?

1 -> 'a

A.

fn _ => 1

fn _ => 2

etc. etc. etc.

So! We understand the following things:

- $| 'a \rightarrow 1 | = | 1 |$
- $| 1 \rightarrow 'a | = | 'a |$
- $| 2 \rightarrow 'a | = | 'a |^2$ (true, alpha. False, alpha. etc. etc.)
- $| 'a \rightarrow 2 | = 2^{(| 'a |)}$

Hence, "function" is the exponent operator.

1.8 | Currying and Uncurrying form an Isomorphism

So:

Blue box:

'a x 'b -> 'c (uncurried tuple input) is isomorphic to 'a -> 'b -> 'c

Proof:

$$(a * b) \rightarrow c = a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c)$$

Rewriting in Exponent form, as established above

$$c ^ { (a * b) } = (b \rightarrow c) ^ a$$

Because of that property I dm'd zach

$$c ^ { (a) * (b) } = (b \rightarrow c) ^ a$$

Expanding the right function into exponent form

$$c ^ { (a) * (b) } = (c ^ b) ^ a$$

$$a^{bc} = a * bc$$

qed, ig?

1.9 | Lists

Linked lists!

$$L('a) = 1 + 'a * L('a)$$

We could do some things on it, by moving things around

- $L('a) - 'a * L('a) = 1$
- $L('a) (1 - 'a) = 1$
- $L('a) = 1/(1-'a)$

Wait wait wait

$$L('a) = 1/(1-'a)$$

that's an infinite series! Let's taylor expand it

$$L('a) = 1/(1-'a) = 1 + a + a^2 \dots$$

And, indeed. A list is a datatype of empty, OR linked to one element, OR linked by 2 elements (all possibilities of first element, times all the second, so $'a^2$), OR linked by 3 etc.

1.10 | And now, Calculus.

So what exactly is: $d/d'a L('a)$?

Expanding the definition and taking low 'd high, high'd low:

$$d/da L('a) = d/da 1/(1-'a) = ((1-'a)(0) - 1(d/d'a (1 - 'a)))/(1-'a)^2$$

$$d/da L('a) = 1/(1-'a)^2 = (1/(1-'a))^2$$

So we know that:

$$d/da L('a) = (1/(1-'a))^2 = (L('a))^2$$

Therefore: the derivative of a list is two lists! Or a tuple of lists.

1.11 | Formalizing a Derivatives

Say we want to remove an 'a from a list:

- $'a * 'a = 2$ ways of removing an element of 'a. The result of this is bool (which one punched), val
- $'a * 'a * 'a = 3$ ways of removing an element of 'a
- $'a * 'b = 1$ way of removing an element of 'a

This all makes sense. But its not motivated.

1.11.1 | Statements from the utterly deranged

- What if we have an 'b, and punch out alpha? We get Void.
- What if we have an (), and punch out alpha? We get Void.
- Why are these impossible?

1.11.2 | So this is a derivative

Think:

'a * 'a = 2 ways of removing an element of 'a. The result of this is bool (which one punched) + val = 'a * 2
 $a^2 = 2a$

This is also known as "one-hole context."

1.12 | Revisiting Lists with One-Hole Context on Context

From above:

$$d/da L('a) = (L('a))^2$$

We could see: if we "punch" (create a hole) in a linked list, we create TWO linked lists. A tuple with everything before the punched element, and everything after the punched element.

Also, you will realize that one-hole contexts allow fast access of things near holes

1.13 | More with One-Hole Contexts

A tree is defined as...

$$T('a) = 1 + T('a) * a * T('a)$$

Let's find its one-hole context:

We are just believing Avery.

$$T'('a) = T('a) * T('a) * L('a * 2 * T('a))$$

This tells us that, for any given hole, we have

- $T('a)$: a left tree
- $T('a)$: a right tree
- L : a list of parent nodes
 - 'a: the current value
 - 2: a boolean of whether to go right or left
 - $T('a)$: a tree that diverged from that point

2 | Chapter 1: Church's Lambda Calculus

EVERYTHING IS A FUNCTION!

2.1 | Expressions

An expression should look like one of three things:

- $\text{lambda } x . \text{exp}$ (a function)
- $\text{exp}(\text{exp})$ (a compound expression)

- x (a value)

Congratulations, you made a turing machine (the simplest one, in fact). Now let's just put some meaning on things:

2.2 | Basic Functions

- $\text{lambda } x . x$
- $\text{lambda } x . \text{lambda } f . fx$

2.3 | Booleans

- $\text{true} = \text{lambda } x . \text{lambda } y . x$ (curred function returns first)
- $\text{false} = \text{lambda } x . y \text{ lmbda } y . y$ (curred function returns second)
- $\text{if } a \text{ then } b \text{ else } c = a \ b \ c$
 - a is a boolean, as defined above
 - $\text{true } bc \Rightarrow (\text{lambda } x . \text{lambda } y . x) \ bc = b$ (the "then" case")
 - $\text{false } bc \Rightarrow (\text{lambda } x . \text{lambda } y . y) \ bc = c$ (the "else" case")

2.4 | Numbers

- $0 = \text{lambda } f . \text{lambda } x . x$ ("run the function on base case times")
- $1 = \text{lambda } f . \text{lambda } x . fx$ ("run the function on base case one times")
- $2 = \text{lambda } f . \text{lambda } y . f(fx)$ ("run the function on base case two times")

2.5 | Numerical Operators

- $\text{succ} (\text{succ} = \text{fn } x \Rightarrow x+1) = \text{lambda } n . \text{lambda } f . \text{lambda } x . f(nfx)$ (remember that n , a number, is a function too per above)
- $\text{add} = \text{lambda } a . \text{lambda } b . \text{lambda } f . \text{lambda } x . b \ f(afx)$
- $\text{mult} = \text{lambda } a . \text{lambda } b . \text{lambda } f . \text{lambda } x . a(bf)x$ (run it a times b times)

2.6 | Y-Combinator and Recursion

Y is a recursion helper with the following property:

$$Y \ f \ x = f \ (Y \ f) \ x$$

" Y " passes itself to the inner function as a parametre. It is defined as follows:

$$Y = \text{lambda } f . (\text{lambda } x . f(x \ x))(\text{lambda } x . f(x \ x))$$

With this, we could now have the factorial function

$$Y(\text{lambda } f . \text{lambda } n . \text{if } n=0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } \text{mult } n(f(\text{pred } x)))$$