#ret #hw

## 1 | 2.A Exercises

Please reconsider the questions from Friday now that we have discussed them and part of Chapter 2.A. Do

Be sure to try a few problems, so you have some ideas to share with your classmates on Thursday! Ideall

And if you haven't brought in your old quizzes, please be sure to do so!

### 1.0.1 | Linear Dependence Lemma

- Why do we care that j is the largest element? #question
  - So we can add up everything before it? Just arbitrary?
  - Oh, so we can cancel everything after it.
  - Can also choose the smallest, it's just about segmenting
- · How does 2.22 work? #question
  - To get to 2.22, subtract everything but  $a_i v_i$  from both sides of  $a_1 v_1 + ... + a_m v_m = 0$
  - Everything past  $v_i$  has to equal 0.
  - So we get  $a_i v_i = -a_1 v_1 \dots a_{i-1} v_{i-1}$
  - Divide by  $a_j$  and we get 2.22
  - Thus,  $v_i$  is a linear combination of the other vectors
  - And in the  $span(v_1, ..., v_i 1)$
- What  $v_i$  is it replacing? #question
  - It's replacing what's in the "...", which is unclear.. is  $v_j$  actually in the equation then? Or just in the value? #question
  - Now, we can remove the  $j^{th}$  finally, and represent it as the linear combination of the previous elements
  - $\therefore$  any element of the span can be represented without  $v_j$  This is called a direct proof! Also, we can iterate this process until we get a linearly independent list.

### 1.0.2 | A few problems

~Fibonacci!

1. excr. 3 Find a number t such that (3,1,4),(2,-3,5),(5,9,t) is not linearly independent in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  \* Set up system of equations, 3a+2b=5 a-3b=9 4a+5b=t

```
solve, get b=-2 and a=3 plug it back in, 4(3)+5(-2)=2=t
```

answer: 2

ah, 2.2 != 2.20 - nice.

- 2. excr. 5
  - (a) show that if we think of C as a vector space over **R**, then the list (1+i, 1-i) is linearly **independent.**
  - (b) show that if we think of C as a vector space over C, then the list (1+i, 1-i) is linearly dependent.

Means: use scalars from R in the vector space C? \*

a+b cannot have a complex component.  $\therefore a$  and b must =0

(a) 
$$a(1+i) + b(1-i) = 0$$

prove that the only values of a and b are 0, thus satisfying the linear independence definition. move i to only one side, a+b=i(b-a) since a+b comes from R, and R is closed under addition,

(a) 
$$a(1+i) = b(1-i)$$

let b = i let a = 1

 $i(1-i)=i-i^2=1+i$  ... we can represent (1-i) in terms of (i+1) with scalars from C, and thus, it is linearly dependent.

3. excr. 8 prove or give a counterexample: If  $v_1, v_2, ..., v_m$  is a linearly independent list of vectors in V and  $\lambda \in F$  with  $\lambda ! = 0$ , then  $\lambda v_1, \lambda v_2, ..., \lambda v_m$  is linearly independent. \*

 $a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + ... + a_mv_m = 0$  only if all scalars are equal to 0, as given in the definition

 $\lambda(a_1v_1+a_2v_2+...+a_mv_m)=0$   $\lambda\cdot 0=0$   $\lambda a_1v_1+\lambda a_2v_2+...+\lambda a_mv_m=0$  only if all scalars are equal to  $0:\lambda v_1,\lambda v_2,...,\lambda v_m$  is linearly independent.

Draws from: KBxLinearIndependence KBxSpansLinAlg

In class review #extract

# 2 | In class after

#### 2.1 | Direct sums

Don't have the same rules when you add two together and many together! Intersection of zero != direct sum when you are adding more than one.

$$u_1 + u_2 + ... + u_n = \{u_1 + u_2 + ... + u_n \mid u_1 \in U_1, ..., u_n \in U_n\}$$

If the direct sum requirements are not true, then it's just a sum.

Direct sum is all possible combos?

When all the vectors inside the direct sum are linearly dependent, then you can't make a direct sum with them? Because really,  $u_1 \in u_2$  Because the sums will not be unique. **Redundancy!** 

$$U_1 = \{\begin{bmatrix}1\\0\end{bmatrix}\}$$
 \$\$  $U_2 = \{\begin{bmatrix}2\\0\end{bmatrix}\}$ \$ doesn't work, cus you can just multiply all in  $U_1$  by 2 to get things in  $U_2$ 

#question how do finite fields work? field is just 0 and 1? but what about being closed under addition?

Trivial: the simplest one? how do you quantify that? #question Just about zeros?

Interesting concept: Step 1, to Step J. Represent algo's as an example first, then the final iteration? Instead of just one generalized loop.