## 1 | Problem

$$\dim(U_1+U_2+U_3)$$
 =dim  $U_1$  + dim  $U_2$  + dim  $U_3$  - dim $(U_1\cap U_2)$  - dim $(U_1\cap U_3)$  - dim $(U_1\cap U_2\cap U_3)$  + dim $(U_1\cap U_2\cap U_3)$ 

## 2 | Reasoning

By Axler2.41 we know that

$$\dim(U_1 + U_2) = \dim U_1 + \dim U_2 - \dim(U_1 \cap U_2)$$

By applying this formula to itself, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(U_1+U_2+U_3) &= \dim((U_1+U_2)+U_3) \\ &= \dim(U_1+U_2)+\dim U_3-\dim((U_1+U_2)\cap U_3) \\ &= \dim U_1+\dim U_2-\dim(U_1\cap U_2)+\dim U_3-\dim((U_1+U_2)\cap U_3) \end{aligned}$$
 \$\$

To show that the lemma is true, we would have to show that

\$\$ 
$$\dim U_1 + \dim U_2 + \dim U_3 - \dim(U_1 \cap U_2) - \dim(U_1 \cap U_3) - \dim(U_2 \cap U_3) + \dim(U_1 \cap U_2 \cap U_3)$$

$$= \dim U_1 + \dim U_2 - \dim(U_1 \cap U_2) + \dim U_3 - \dim((U_1 + U_2) \cap U_3)$$
and to provide a counterexample, we just need to find some  $U_1, U_2, U_3$  such that


$$\dim(U_1 \cap U_3) + \dim(U_2 \cap U_3) - \dim(U_1 \cap U_2 \cap U_3) \neq \dim((U_1 + U_2) \cap U_3)$$

## 3 | Counterexample

If we choose

$$U_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} : x \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$
\$\$  $U_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ x \end{pmatrix} : x \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$ \$\$
$$U_3 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ x \end{pmatrix} : x \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

then the graph of the subspaces looks like this:



and the dimesion of each intersection is 0 while the dimension of  $(U_1+U_2)\cap U_3=2$ . Thus, we have

In summary, the sum of these subpsaces is  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and the dimension of the sum is 2, but \$\$ dim $(U_1+U_2+U_3)=2\neq 3=1+1+$ \$\$