#flo #ret #hw

# 1 | Research project

#### 1.1 | thoughts

sputnik? - satellite was launched before, sent this massive wave of panic - because the red was ahead technologically! - led to a huge amount of focus on STEM - turns out, we actully had the satellites the whole time - but eisenhower didnt want to launch them because he didnt want to deal with the fuss about how to manage airspace?

eisenhower didnt want to launch - didnt want to escalate? - was already spying, and got found out

// this entire philosiphy that

the current modern philosiphhy that science and technology should be the main focus of education was built off of a politically self-serving lie. the current modern philosiphy -> the current educational emphasis on sciene and technology, expanded in the post-sputnik era, was built on...

- · sputnik was a thing
- sputnik was a lie (we weren't really behind)
- · that lie was politically motivated
- and yet...
- · sputnik led to an expansion of techno education and social value
- · that persists

politica self serving motivates what is perceived as true has this on both sides: eisenhower and the "the gap"

driving 'truths' are formed from politically self serving lies

the sputnik lie - and its consequent impacts -

-[]— a cultural impact so deep, that the falsehood of its roots has little effect on its adherence — was propogated by aligned political agendas of competing parties

thesis: the Sputnik lie - {} having a cultural impact so deep, that the falsehood of its roots has little effect on its adherence - was

The Sputnik lie, born of political agendas, had a cultural impact so deep that

the need for technological advancment \*

sputnik shocked the country

sputnik launch,

# 1.2 | sources

https://history.nasa.gov/sputnik/sputorig.html good source of qoutes and primaryies

- https://archive.nytimes.com/www.nytimes.com/partners/aol/special/sputnik/main.html all the archived nyts from the period
  - https://archive.nytimes.com/www.nytimes.com/partners/aol/special/sputnik/sput-15.
    html nyt article saying we need to focus on science
  - https://archive.nytimes.com/www.nytimes.com/partners/aol/special/sputnik/sput-20.
    html Vanguard Rocket Burns on Beach; Failure to Launch Test Satellite Assailed as Blow to U.S.
    Prestige
- https://www.pbs.org/wgbh/nova/military/sputnik-declassified.html# the org nova vid
- https://guides.loc.gov/sputnik-and-the-space-race/primary-resources
- https://digitalarchive.wilsoncenter.org/collection/383/space-race

#### 1.3 | qoute bin

## 1.4 | outlinin!

THESIS: The Sputnik lie, and with it massive cultural impacts, was born of a confluence of competing political interests.

- Sputnik had a massive cultural impact
  - hysteria, fear, massive focus on STEM in schools
- · But it was a lie
  - what actually happened was...
- It was a lie because
  - Eisenhower's reasoning (declassified)
    - \* wanted covert info on Russians
    - \* got caught
    - \* didn't want to escalate further
  - Dems reasoning
    - \* wanted to make the reps look bad (had lost previous election due to being portrayed as "soft on communism" lost China etc..
- · conclusion?
  - But nobody questions the emphasis on STEM, even though the original premise has been falsified. Thus, history teaches us, the cultural changes live on well beyond their original purpose.
- 1. the cultural impact of sputnik

# 1.4.1 | rougher?

• intro : 1

· cultural impact

hysteria : 2STEM focus : 3

· what actually happened: 4

• what actually happened pt.2?: 5

• why it was a lie

- eisenhower: 6

- dems: 7

· conclusions?: 8

## 1.5 | f\*ck it. lets write.

{SPUTNIK INTRO AND EXPLANATION} The Sputnik lie, and with it massive cultural impacts, was born of a confluence of competing political interests.

With Sputnik floating amidst the atmosphere, American society was thrown into crisis.

In a period defined by national pride – especially over technological superiority –