#flo

1 | Polynomials

· See KBrefPolynomial

1.1 | 0 polynomial

- Has degree -infty
- Degrees are usually positive, except for the 0 degree
- "that's too hard, and we're not going to do it here"

1.2 | Identically zero

- Like 0 or $0x^0$
- Most polynomials are sometimes zero, but polynomials that are "identically zero" means that it's always zero (instead of just sometimes zero)

1.3 | $\mathcal{P}_m(F)$

- Polynomials with coefficients in F whose highest degree is m
- It can't be "whose degree is exactly m" because otherwise you won't have the identity and it won't be closed under addition (in the case where coefficient sum $a_m + b_m = 0$)

1.3.1 | It's a finite dimensional vector space

 $a_0 z^0 + \dots + a_m z^m + b_0 z^0 + \dots + b_m z^m = (a_0 + b_0) z^0 + \dots + (a_m + b_m) z^m$

1.4 | **Proof of 2.16**

· Structure: proof by contradiction

2 | Linear Independence

- "non-trivial" means "simplest possible", which has usually got the most zeros
- See KB20math530refLinearIndependence

Exr0n • 2021-2022 Page 1

2.1 | 2.21 Linear Dependence Lemma 2.21

#toexpand - it's saying that any linearly independent list has a vector inside that doesn't "contribute anything", and that if you remove it you'l have the same span. Implicitly, maybe through induction?) if you remove a dependent vector enough times then you get a linearly independent list. - The list (1,1,1),(2,2,2),(3,3,3) is really dependent, but (0),(0),(0) is the most dependent (you have to remove all to get independence).

- how
- to
- pagebreak

3 | Exercise 2.A.1

3.1 | Lemma

If vectors v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 span V, then the list

$$v_1 - v_2, v_2 - v_3, v_3 - v_4, v_4$$

also spans V.

3.2 | **Proof**

We prove the lemma by showing that any vector $v \in V$ can be written in the form $a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + a_3 + v_3 + a_4v_4$ can also be written as a linear combination of the form

$$b_1(v_1-v_2) + b_2(v_2-v_3) + b_3(v_3-v_4) + b_4v_4$$

If we set
$$\begin{aligned} b_1&=a_1\\b_2&=a_1+a_2\\b_3&=a_1+a_2+a_3\\b_4&=a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4 \end{aligned}$$
 \] then the two combinations will be equivalent:

$$a_1(v_1 - v_2) + (a_1 + a_2)(v_2 - v_3) + (a_1 + a_2 + a_3)(v_3 - v_4) + (a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4)v_4$$

= $a_1v_1 - a_1v_2 + a_1v_2 + a_2v_2 - (a_1 + a_2)v_3 + (a_1 + a_2)v_3 + a_3v_3 - (a_1 + a_2 + a_3)v_4 + (a_1 + a_2 + a_3)v_4$

 $= a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + a_3v_3 + a_4v_4$

ExrOn • 2021-2022 Page 2