DATA ANALYTICS WITH R, EXCEL AND TABLAEU

ASSIGNMENT Time series forecasting 20.1

ANSWERS

By ASHISH S SHANBHAG

ashishshanbhag108@gmail.com

Question no:

5)

2. Perform the below given activities:

a. Create classification model using different random forest models Ans

```
# parallel processing
registerDoMC(cores = getDoParWorkers())
# 4 fold cross-validation
ctrl <- trainControl(allowParallel=T, method="cv", number=4)
# train the model
model_rf <- train(classe ~ ., data=training_reduced, model="rf", trControl=ctrl)
# make predictions on the validation set
pred_rf <- predict(model_rf, validation_reduced[,-35])</pre>
# confusion matrix
cm_rf <- confusionMatrix(validation_reduced$classe, pred_rf)
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
        Reference
## Prediction A B C D
                             Е
       A 1391 2 2 0 0
##
##
       B 4 942 3 0 0
       C 0 2 846 7 0
##
               0 11 791 1
       D 1
##
##
       E \ 0 \ 0 \ 0
                     2 899
## Overall Statistics
##
##
           Accuracy: 0.9929
##
            95% CI: (0.9901, 0.995)
##
     No Information Rate: 0.2847
    P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16
##
##
##
             Kappa: 0.991
```

```
## Statistics by Class:
##
##
               Class: A Class: B Class: C Class: D Class: E
                   0.9964 0.9958 0.9814 0.9888 0.9989
## Sensitivity
## Specificity
                    0.9989 0.9982 0.9978 0.9968 0.9995
## Pos Pred Value
                      0.9971 0.9926 0.9895 0.9838 0.9978
## Neg Pred Value
                       0.9986 0.9990 0.9960 0.9978 0.9998
## Prevalence
                    0.2847 0.1929 0.1758 0.1631 0.1835
## Detection Rate
                      0.2836 0.1921 0.1725 0.1613 0.1833
## Detection Prevalence 0.2845 0.1935 0.1743 0.1639 0.1837
## Balanced Accuracy
                        0.9976 0.9970 0.9896 0.9928 0.9992
# accuracy
cm_rf$overall['Accuracy']
## Accuracy
## 0.992863
# make predictions on the testing dataset
pred_rf_testing <- predict(model_rf, test)</pre>
pred_rf_testing
## [1] B A B A A E D B A A B C B A E E A B B B
## Levels: A B C D E
b. Verify model goodness of fit
Ans
Load all the required libraries
library(caret)
library(corrplot)
library(rattle)
library(rpart.plot)
library(doMC)
library(randomForest)
Loading the data
We first download and load the datasets into our working directory in R, assigning missing
values to entries that are currently 'NA', blank and "#DIV/0!"
train <- read.csv("pml-training.csv", na.strings = c("NA", "#DIV/0!", ""))
test <- read.csv("pml-testing.csv", na.strings = c("NA", "#DIV/0!", ""))
dim(train)
## [1] 19622 160
dim(test)
## [1] 20 160
Basic pre-processing
We now discard columns which contain more than 90% NA values.
```

Mcnemar's Test P-Value: NA

train <- train[, colMeans(is.na(train)) <= .90]

dim(train)

```
## [1] 19622 60
We also discard variables that contain timestamp and date information
train<- subset(train, select = -c(1,2,3,4,5,6,7))
dim(train)
## [1] 19622 53
Partitioning the data
As a next step, we partition the training dataset into a training set (75%) and a validation set
(25\%).
set.seed(1)
inTrain = createDataPartition(y=train$classe, p=0.75, list=FALSE)
training = train[inTrain,]
validation = train[-inTrain,]
dim(training)
## [1] 14718 53
dim(validation)
## [1] 4904 53
c. Apply all the model validation techniques
Ans
Model 1: Decision Trees
# train the model
model_rpart <- train(classe~., data=training_reduced, method = "rpart")
```

```
# make predictions for the validation set
pred_rpart <- predict(model_rpart, validation_reduced[,-35])</pre>
# print the confusion matrix
cm_rpart <- confusionMatrix(validation_reduced$classe, pred_rpart)</pre>
cm_rpart
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
        Reference
## Prediction A B C D E
        A 846 270 207 72 0
        B 150 599 177 23 0
##
##
        C 21 157 637 39 1
        D 45 278 204 221 56
##
##
        E 17 376 161 35 312
##
## Overall Statistics
##
##
           Accuracy: 0.5332
            95% CI: (0.5192, 0.5473)
##
     No Information Rate: 0.3426
##
##
     P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16
##
##
             Kappa: 0.4129
## Mcnemar's Test P-Value : < 2.2e-16
```

```
##
## Statistics by Class:
##
               Class: A Class: B Class: C Class: D Class: E
## Sensitivity
                   0.7841 0.3565 0.4596 0.56667 0.84553
## Specificity
                   0.8565 0.8914 0.9380 0.87085 0.87012
## Pos Pred Value
                      0.6065  0.6312  0.7450  0.27488  0.34628
## Neg Pred Value
                      0.9336  0.7267  0.8150  0.95878  0.98576
## Prevalence
                    0.2200 0.3426 0.2826 0.07953 0.07524
## Detection Rate
                     0.1725  0.1221  0.1299  0.04507  0.06362
## Detection Prevalence 0.2845 0.1935 0.1743 0.16395 0.18373
## Balanced Accuracy
                        0.8203  0.6240  0.6988  0.71876  0.85782
# accuracy
cm_rpart$overall['Accuracy']
## Accuracy
## 0.5332382
Model 2: Random Forests
# parallel processing
registerDoMC(cores = getDoParWorkers())
# 4 fold cross-validation
ctrl <- trainControl(allowParallel=T, method="cv", number=4)
# train the model
model_rf <- train(classe ~ ., data=training_reduced, model="rf", trControl=ctrl)
# make predictions on the validation set
pred_rf <- predict(model_rf, validation_reduced[,-35])</pre>
# confusion matrix
cm rf <- confusionMatrix(validation reduced$classe, pred rf)
cm rf
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
        Reference
## Prediction A B C D
                              Ε
##
        A 1391
                 2 2 0 0
        B 4 942 3
##
                       0 \quad 0
           0 2 846 7 0
##
        C
##
        D
           1
               0 11 791
        E = 0
              0 0 2 899
##
## Overall Statistics
##
##
           Accuracy: 0.9929
##
            95% CI: (0.9901, 0.995)
##
     No Information Rate: 0.2847
##
     P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16
##
             Kappa: 0.991
##
## Mcnemar's Test P-Value: NA
```

```
##
## Statistics by Class:
##
               Class: A Class: B Class: C Class: D Class: E
## Sensitivity
                   0.9964 0.9958 0.9814 0.9888 0.9989
## Specificity
                   0.9989 0.9982 0.9978 0.9968 0.9995
## Pos Pred Value
                      0.9971 0.9926 0.9895 0.9838 0.9978
## Neg Pred Value
                      0.9986 0.9990 0.9960 0.9978 0.9998
## Prevalence
                    0.2847 \quad 0.1929 \quad 0.1758 \quad 0.1631 \quad 0.1835
## Detection Rate
                     0.2836 0.1921 0.1725 0.1613 0.1833
## Detection Prevalence 0.2845 0.1935 0.1743 0.1639 0.1837
## Balanced Accuracy
                        0.9976 0.9970 0.9896 0.9928 0.9992
# accuracy
cm_rf$overall['Accuracy']
## Accuracy
## 0.992863
# make predictions on the testing dataset
pred rf testing <- predict(model rf, test)</pre>
pred rf testing
## [1] B A B A A E D B A A B C B A E E A B B B
## Levels: A B C D E
Model 3: Gradient Boost Machine
# train the model
model_gbm <- train(classe ~ ., data=training_reduced, model="gbm", trControl=ctrl)
pred_gbm <- predict(model_gbm, validation_reduced[,-35])</pre>
# confusion matrix
cm_gbm <-confusionMatrix(validation_reduced$classe, pred_gbm)</pre>
cm gbm
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
        Reference
## Prediction A B C
                             Е
                          D
        A 1389 3 2
                       0 1
##
##
        В
          4 941 4 0 0
        C 0 4 844 7
##
##
        D
           1
               0 11 791
##
       E = 0
              0 0 2 899
##
## Overall Statistics
##
##
           Accuracy: 0.9918
##
            95% CI: (0.9889, 0.9942)
##
     No Information Rate: 0.2843
     P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16
##
##
##
             Kappa: 0.9897
```

Mcnemar's Test P-Value: NA

##

```
## Statistics by Class:
##
##
              Class: A Class: B Class: C Class: D Class: E
## Sensitivity
                  0.9964 0.9926 0.9803 0.9888 0.9978
## Specificity
                  0.9983 0.9980 0.9973 0.9968 0.9995
## Pos Pred Value
                     0.9957 0.9916 0.9871 0.9838 0.9978
## Neg Pred Value
                     0.9986 0.9982 0.9958 0.9978 0.9995
## Prevalence
                   ## Detection Rate
                    0.2832 0.1919 0.1721 0.1613 0.1833
## Detection Prevalence 0.2845 0.1935 0.1743 0.1639 0.1837
## Balanced Accuracy
                       0.9974 0.9953 0.9888 0.9928 0.9986
# accuracy
cm_gbm$overall['Accuracy']
## Accuracy
## 0.9918434
# make predictions on the testing set
pred_gbm_testing <- predict( model_gbm, test)</pre>
pred gbm testing
## [1] B A B A A E D B A A B C B A E E A B B B
## Levels: A B C D E
Model 4: Linear Discriminant Analysis
model_lda <- train(classe ~ ., data=training_reduced, model="lda", trControl=ctrl)
pred lda <- predict(model lda, validation reduced[,-35])
# confusion matrix
cm_lda <- confusionMatrix(validation_reduced$classe, pred_lda)
cm lda
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
        Reference
## Prediction A B C D
                            Ε
       A 1390 2 2
##
                      0 1
##
       B 4 942 3
                      0 0
##
       C
          0 4 845
                      6 0
##
       D
           1
              0 11 791
       E \ 0 \ 0 \ 0
                    1 900
##
##
## Overall Statistics
##
##
           Accuracy: 0.9927
            95% CI: (0.9899, 0.9949)
##
##
    No Information Rate: 0.2845
##
    P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16
##
##
            Kappa: 0.9907
## Mcnemar's Test P-Value: NA
##
## Statistics by Class:
```

##

```
##
              Class: A Class: B Class: C Class: D Class: E
## Sensitivity
                   0.9964 0.9937 0.9814 0.9912 0.9978
## Specificity
                   0.9986 0.9982 0.9975 0.9968 0.9998
## Pos Pred Value
                     0.9964 0.9926 0.9883 0.9838 0.9989
## Neg Pred Value
                      0.9986 0.9985 0.9960 0.9983 0.9995
## Prevalence
                   0.2845 0.1933 0.1756 0.1627 0.1839
                     0.2834 0.1921 0.1723 0.1613 0.1835
## Detection Rate
## Detection Prevalence 0.2845 0.1935 0.1743 0.1639 0.1837
                       0.9975 \ 0.9960 \ 0.9895 \ 0.9940 \ 0.9988
## Balanced Accuracy
# accuracy
cm_lda$overall['Accuracy']
## Accuracy
## 0.9926591
pred_lda_testing <- predict( model_lda, test)</pre>
pred_lda_testing
## [1] B A B A A E D B A A B C B A E E A B B B
## Levels: A B C D E
Model 5 : Support Vector Machines
system.time(model_svm <- train(classe ~ ., data=training_reduced, model="svm",
trControl=ctrl))
## user system elapsed
## 537.656 5.240 542.984
pred svm <- predict(model svm, validation reduced[,-35])
# confusion matrix
cm_svm <- confusionMatrix(validation_reduced$classe, pred_svm)
cm_svm
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
        Reference
## Prediction A B C D
                             Ε
       A 1389 3 2 0 1
##
##
       B 4 942 3
                      0 0
##
       C 0 2 848 5 0
##
       D
          2
              0 10 791
       E 0 0 0 2 899
##
##
## Overall Statistics
##
           Accuracy: 0.9929
            95% CI: (0.9901, 0.995)
##
##
     No Information Rate: 0.2845
##
    P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16
##
```

##

##

Kappa: 0.991

Mcnemar's Test P-Value: NA

Statistics by Class:

```
##
              Class: A Class: B Class: C Class: D Class: E
## Sensitivity
                   0.9957 \ 0.9947 \ 0.9826 \ 0.9912 \ 0.9978
## Specificity
                   0.9983 0.9982 0.9983 0.9968 0.9995
## Pos Pred Value
                      0.9957 0.9926 0.9918 0.9838 0.9978
## Neg Pred Value
                      0.9983 0.9987 0.9963 0.9983 0.9995
## Prevalence
                    0.2845 0.1931 0.1760 0.1627 0.1837
## Detection Rate
                     0.2832 0.1921 0.1729 0.1613 0.1833
## Detection Prevalence 0.2845 0.1935 0.1743 0.1639 0.1837
                        0.9970 \ 0.9965 \ 0.9904 \ 0.9940 \ 0.9986
## Balanced Accuracy
# accuracy
cm_svm$overall['Accuracy']
## Accuracy
## 0.992863
pred_svm_testing <- predict( model_svm, test)</pre>
pred_svm_testing
## [1] B A B A A E D B A A B C B A E E A B B B
## Levels: A B C D E
```

d. Make conclusions

Ans

Using Decision trees results in poor performance with an accuracy of just about 50%. Random forests, linear discriminant analysis, gradient boosted machine and support vector machines fare very well with all of them yielding an out of sample accuracy of about 99% on the validation set. Hence the out of sample error rate with five fold cross-validation is about 1%.

We then use rf, lda, lda and svm models to make predictions on the testing dataset. All these four models correctly predict the class (A,B,C,D,E) for all the 20 test cases.

e. Plot importance of variables Ans

