

Semantic Classification of 3D point clouds with multiscale spherical neighborhoods

Ines VATI^{1,2}

¹École des Ponts ParisTech, Champs-sur-Marne, France

²MVA, ENS Paris-Saclay, Cachan, France

^{1,2}Email ines.vati@eleves.enpc.fr

Abstract

Keywords. 3D point clouds, semantic classification, multiscale, spherical neighborhoods, Random Forest Classifier

1 Introduction

2 Proposed method

3 Experiments and results

3.1 Influence of neighborhood definition

3.2 Influence of scales

3.3 Additional features and feature importance comparasion

3.4 NPM3D dataset


3.5 Paris-rue-cassette dataset

4 Improvement proposals


4.1 Speed up attempts

I tried to compute the features on multiple CPU processes using multiprocessing package to speed for loops. However, objects placed on multiprocessing queues are pickled, transferred over the queue, and then unpickled. The pickling and unpickling steps add overhead, and for large objects this overhead can be significant. This is because large objects require more data to be pickled and transferred, and the unpickling step requires reconstructing the entire object.


Then, I tried Numba which is a just-in-time compiler for Python that works best on code that uses NumPy arrays and functions. Computing the features of 40 points with 4 scales on the subsampled points (about 1,288,215 points) took 54 seconds. I computed and saved the features of all points, excluding the points with label 0. It took in [time] hours.




(a) $s = 0, r = 0.5m$



(b) $s = 1, r = 1m$



(c) $s = 2, r = 2m$



(d) $s = 3, r = 4m$

Figure 1: Histogram of the number of points in the neighborhood of each point for different scales s on the subsampled Paris-rue-Cassette Dataset.

4.2 Active learning

4.3 Classification methods

Random Forest classifier is usually used for semantic classification of 3D point clouds [1, 2]. Indeed, it is directly applicable to multi-class problems and has been shown to yield good results in reasonable time on large point clouds [3, 4]. I use Gini index as splitting criterion.

However, other classification methods could be considered [4].

Boosting is an ensemble machine learning approach. Boosting algorithms combine multiple low accuracy or weak models to create high accuracy or strong model. Among the most popular boosting algorithms are AdaBoost, Gradient Boosting, and XGBoost.

5 Conclusion

In 2019, Thomas et al. [5] propose a deep learning approach for semantic segmentation using convolutions on point clouds.

References

- [1] H. Thomas, J.-E. Deschaud, B. Marcotegui, F. Goulette, Y. L. Gall, Semantic Classification of 3D Point Clouds with Multiscale Spherical Neighborhoods, **2018**.
- [2] T. Hackel, J. D. Wegner, K. Schindler, *ISPRS Annals of the Photogrammetry Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences* **2016**, III-3, 177–184.
- [3] M. Weinmann, B. Jutzi, S. Hinz, C. Mallet, *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing* **2015**, 105, 286–304.
- [4] M. E. Atik, Z. Duran, D. Z. Seker, *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information* **2021**, 10, DOI [10.3390/ijgi10030187](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi10030187).
- [5] H. Thomas, C. R. Qi, J.-E. Deschaud, B. Marcotegui, F. Goulette, L. J. Guibas, KPConv: Flexible and Deformable Convolution for Point Clouds, **2019**.
- [6] M. Mohamed, S. Morsy, A. El-Shazly, *Geocarto International* **2022**, 37, 1–22.

A Multiscale features computation

Sum of eigenvalues	$\sum \lambda_i$
Omnivariance	$(\prod \lambda_i)^{(1/3)}$
Eigenentropy	$-\sum \lambda_i \ln(\lambda_i)$
Linearity	$\frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{\lambda_1}$
Planarity	$\frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_3}{\lambda_1}$
Sphericity	$\frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_1}$
Change of curvature	$\lambda_1 - \lambda_3$
Verticality ($\times 2$)	$ \arcsin(\langle e_i, e_z \rangle) _{i=1,3}$
Absolute moment ($\times 6$)	$\frac{1}{ \mathcal{N} } \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}} \langle p_j - \mathbf{p}, e_i \rangle^k _{k=1,2; i=1,2,3}$
Vertical moment ($\times 2$)	$\frac{1}{ \mathcal{N} } \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}} \langle p_j - \mathbf{p}, e_z \rangle^k _{k=1,2}$
Number of points	$ \mathcal{N} $

Table 1: Geometric features [1] of point \mathbf{p} whose neighborhood is \mathcal{N} .

Vertical range	$z_{max} - z_{min}$
Height below	$\mathbf{p}_z - z_{min}$
Height above	$z_{max} - \mathbf{p}_z$

Table 2: Additional height feature from [2] and [6], where $z_{max} = \max_{j \in \mathcal{N}} p_{j,z}$ and $z_{min} = \min_{j \in \mathcal{N}} p_{j,z}$