

# Prop. Logic II

## Proofs

A common proof type is showing that one statement is equivalent to another.

This *can* sometimes be done using a truth table.

Truth tables run out of usefulness very quickly when working with many simple statements.

Formal methods will demonstrate equivalence using substitution and well known equivalent statements.

## Proof Tools - Laws Of Logic (LOL)

In many formal math classes a list of equivalent statements is understood to exist.

### Definition

A Law of Logic is a named commonly used equivalent statement.

A Law of Logic is not typically expected to be proved in a proof.

Laws of Logic substitutions can be done as long as the correct format is met.

For our class you will be able to use any [law of logic](#) listed in this document.

## Laws Of Logic - List

- ▶ Double Negation Law
- ▶ Commutative Law
- ▶ Associative Law
- ▶ Distributive Law
- ▶ DeMorgan's Law
- ▶ Absorption Law
- ▶ Idempotent Law
- ▶ Identity Law
- ▶ Inverse Law
- ▶ Domination Law
- ▶ Implication Identity

## Double Negation Law

If we negate a negation, the two negations will cancel out.

$$\neg\neg p \equiv p$$

Can be used to remove or create negations.

### Reasoning/Proof

| $p$   | $\neg p$ | $\neg\neg p$ |
|-------|----------|--------------|
| True  | False    | True         |
| False | True     | False        |

“ $p$ ” has the same truth value as “ $\neg\neg p$ ” in all assignments.

## Double Negation Law - Example

### Example

Prove that " $p \vee \neg \neg \neg q$ "  $\equiv$  " $p \vee \neg q$ "

### Proof.

$$p \vee \neg \neg \neg q \equiv p \vee \neg q \quad \text{by Double Negation}$$



The square at the end of the proof is a stand in for Q.E.D.  
Q.E.D. roughly translates to "we have demonstrated what was to be shown".

[Back to LOL List](#)

## Commutative Law

For “or” and “and” the order of the two parts does not matter.

$$p \vee q \equiv q \vee p$$

$$p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$$

### Reasoning/Proof

| <i><b>p</b></i> | <i><b>q</b></i> | <i><b>p</b></i> $\vee$ <i><b>q</b></i> | <i><b>q</b></i> $\vee$ <i><b>p</b></i> |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| True            | True            | True                                   | True                                   |
| True            | False           | True                                   | True                                   |
| False           | True            | True                                   | True                                   |
| False           | False           | False                                  | False                                  |

“ $p \vee q$ ” has the same truth value as “ $q \vee p$ ” in all assignments.

## Commutative Law - Example

### Example

Prove that " $p \vee (\neg q \wedge r)$ "  $\equiv$  " $(r \wedge \neg q) \vee p$ "

### Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} p \vee (\neg q \wedge r) &\equiv p \vee (r \wedge \neg q) && \text{by Commutative Law} \\ &\equiv (r \wedge \neg q) \vee p && \text{by Commutative Law} \end{aligned}$$



[Back to LOL List](#)



## Associative Law

For the “or” of 3 statements or the “and” of 3 statements the order in which the “or”s or the “and”s does not matter.

$$p \vee (q \vee r) \equiv (p \vee q) \vee r$$

$$p \wedge (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \wedge r$$

### IMPORTANT!!!

Associative cannot be used on a mixed symbols. [Distributive Law](#) does that.

Associative cannot be used if a negation exists on the inner connective. [DeMorgan's](#) needs to be used first.

$$p \vee \neg(q \vee r) \not\equiv \neg(p \vee q) \vee r$$

$$p \wedge (q \vee r) \not\equiv (p \wedge q) \vee r$$

## Associative Law - Reasoning

### Reasoning/Proof

Let  $S_1 \equiv p \vee (q \vee r)$  and  $S_2 \equiv (p \vee q) \vee r$

| $p$   | $q$   | $r$   | $p \vee q$ | $q \vee r$ | $S_1$ | $S_2$ |
|-------|-------|-------|------------|------------|-------|-------|
| True  | True  | True  | True       | True       | True  | True  |
| True  | True  | False | True       | True       | True  | True  |
| True  | False | True  | True       | True       | True  | True  |
| True  | False | False | True       | False      | True  | True  |
| False | True  | True  | True       | True       | True  | True  |
| False | True  | False | True       | True       | True  | True  |
| False | False | True  | False      | True       | True  | True  |
| False | False | False | False      | False      | False | False |

$S_1$  has the same truth value as  $S_2$  in all assignments.

## Associative Law - Example

### Example

Prove that " $p \vee (\neg q \vee r)$ "  $\equiv$  " $(p \vee \neg q) \vee r$ "

### Proof.

$$p \vee (\neg q \vee r) \equiv (p \vee \neg q) \vee r \quad \text{by Associative Law}$$



[Back to LOL List](#)

## Practice Proof 1

### Example

Prove that " $p \vee (\neg\neg q \vee r)$ "  $\equiv$  " $(q \vee p) \vee r$ "

Answer

## Distributive Law

If we have an “and” on the outside of an “or”, then the “and” can be distributed into the “or”, and vice versa.

$$p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$$

$$p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$$

### IMPORTANT!!!

Distributive cannot be used if a negation exists on the inner connective. DeMorgan's needs to be used first.

$$p \vee \neg(q \wedge r) \not\equiv (p \vee \neg q) \wedge (p \vee \neg r)$$

## Distributive Law - Reasoning

### Reasoning/Proof

Let  $S_1 \equiv p \vee (q \wedge r)$  and  $S_2 \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$

| $p$   | $q$   | $r$   | $q \wedge r$ | $p \vee q$ | $p \vee r$ | $S_1$ | $S_2$ |
|-------|-------|-------|--------------|------------|------------|-------|-------|
| True  | True  | True  | True         | True       | True       | True  | True  |
| True  | True  | False | False        | True       | True       | True  | True  |
| True  | False | True  | False        | True       | True       | True  | True  |
| True  | False | False | False        | True       | True       | True  | True  |
| False | True  | True  | True         | True       | True       | True  | True  |
| False | True  | False | False        | True       | False      | False | False |
| False | False | True  | False        | False      | True       | False | False |
| False | False | False | False        | False      | False      | False | False |

$S_1$  has the same truth value as  $S_2$  in all assignments.

## Distributive Law - Example

### Example

Prove that " $p \vee (\neg q \wedge r)$ "  $\equiv$  " $(p \vee \neg q) \wedge (p \vee r)$ "

### Proof.

$$p \vee (\neg q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee \neg q) \wedge (p \vee r) \quad \text{by Distributive Law}$$



[Back to LOL List](#)

## DeMorgan's Law

DeMorgan's is a distribution rule for negations.

If we know that I did not “eat and swim”, then either “I did not eat” or “I did not swim”.

Negations, when distributed into a connective, invert the sign.

$$\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$$

$$\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$$

### IMPORTANT!!!

Do not remove the parenthesis, if combined with other connectives!

$$p \wedge \neg(q \wedge r) \not\equiv p \wedge \neg q \vee \neg r$$

instead

$$p \wedge \neg(q \wedge r) \equiv p \wedge (\neg q \vee \neg r)$$



## DeMorgan's Law - Reasoning

### Reasoning/Proof

| $p$   | $q$   | $p \wedge q$ | $\neg p$ | $\neg q$ | $\neg(p \wedge q)$ | $\neg p \vee \neg q$ |
|-------|-------|--------------|----------|----------|--------------------|----------------------|
| True  | True  | True         | False    | False    | False              | False                |
| True  | False | False        | False    | True     | True               | True                 |
| False | True  | False        | True     | False    | True               | True                 |
| False | False | False        | True     | True     | True               | True                 |

Because the last two columns are identical for every row, it is the case that  $\neg(p \wedge q)$  is equivalent to  $\neg p \vee \neg q$ .

## DeMorgan's Law - Example

### Example

Prove that " $\neg(p \wedge (q \vee r))$ "  $\equiv$  " $\neg p \vee (\neg q \wedge \neg r)$ "

### Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\neg(p \wedge (q \vee r)) &\equiv \neg p \vee \neg(q \vee r) && \text{by DeMorgan's Law} \\ &\equiv \neg p \vee (\neg q \wedge \neg r) && \text{by DeMorgan's Law}\end{aligned}$$



[Back to LOL List](#)

## Practice Proof 2

### Example

Prove that " $\neg(\neg p \vee \neg q)$ "  $\equiv$  " $p \wedge q$ "

Answer

## Absorption Law

If a statement is or with itself and something else, we can ignore the something else.

$$p \vee (p \wedge q) \equiv p$$

$$p \wedge (p \vee q) \equiv p$$

### Reasoning/Proof

| $p$   | $q$   | $p \wedge q$ | $p \vee (p \wedge q)$ |
|-------|-------|--------------|-----------------------|
| True  | True  | True         | True                  |
| True  | False | False        | True                  |
| False | True  | False        | False                 |
| False | False | False        | False                 |

Because the last column is identical to the first column, the two statements are equivalent.

## Absorption Law - Example

### Example

Prove that " $p \wedge (p \vee (p \wedge q))$ "  $\equiv$  " $p$ "

### Proof.

$$p \wedge (p \vee (p \wedge q)) \equiv p \quad \text{by Absorption Law}$$



[Back to LOL List](#)

## Idempotent Law

If a statement or/and with itself is just the statement.

$$p \vee p \equiv p$$

$$p \wedge p \equiv p$$

### Reasoning/Proof

| $p$   | $p \wedge p$ |
|-------|--------------|
| True  | True         |
| False | False        |

Because the two columns are identical, the two statements are equivalent.

## Idempotent Law - Example

### Example

Prove that " $(p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge q)$ "  $\equiv$  " $p \wedge q$ "

### Proof.

$$(p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge q) \equiv p \wedge q \quad \text{by Idempotent Law}$$



[Back to LOL List](#)

## Identity Law

The identity law is like multiplying by 1 or adding 0.

Applying the identity means that the statement does not change.

The identity for **True** and **False** is not 1 or 0, but  $\mathbb{T}$  and  $\mathbb{F}$ .

$$p \vee \mathbb{F} \equiv p$$

$$p \wedge \mathbb{T} \equiv p$$

### Reasoning/Proof

| $p$   | $\mathbb{T}$ | $p \wedge \mathbb{T}$ |
|-------|--------------|-----------------------|
| True  | True         | True                  |
| False | True         | False                 |

Because the first and last columns are identical, the two statements are equivalent.



## Identity Law - Example

### Example

Prove that " $(p \wedge q) \wedge \mathbb{T}$ "  $\equiv$  " $p \wedge q$ "

### Proof.

$$(p \wedge q) \wedge \mathbb{T} \equiv p \wedge q \quad \text{by Identity Law}$$



[Back to LOL List](#)

## Inverse Law

The Inverse Laws are simple examples of Tautology or Contradiction.

$$p \vee \neg p \equiv \mathbb{T}$$

$$p \wedge \neg p \equiv \mathbb{F}$$

### Reasoning/Proof

| $p$   | $\mathbb{T}$ | $p \wedge \mathbb{T}$ |
|-------|--------------|-----------------------|
| True  | True         | True                  |
| False | True         | False                 |

Because the first and last columns are identical, the two statements are equivalent.

## Inverse Law - Example

### Example

Prove that " $p \vee \mathbb{F}$ "  $\equiv$  " $p \vee (\neg q \wedge \neg\neg q)$ "

### Proof.

$$p \vee \mathbb{F} \equiv p \vee (\neg q \wedge \neg\neg q) \quad \text{by Inverse Law}$$



[Back to LOL List](#)

## Domination Law

Domination occurs when a statement is removed by Tautologies or Contradictions.

$$p \vee \mathbb{T} \equiv \mathbb{T}$$

$$p \wedge \mathbb{F} \equiv \mathbb{F}$$

### Reasoning/Proof

| $p$   | $\mathbb{T}$ | $p \vee \mathbb{T}$ |
|-------|--------------|---------------------|
| True  | True         | True                |
| False | True         | True                |

Because the last two columns are identical, the two statements are equivalent.

## Domination Law - Example

### Example

Prove that  $T \vee F \equiv T$

### Proof.

$T \vee F \equiv T$  by Domination Law



[Back to LOL List](#)

## Practice Proof 3

### Example

Prove that  $\neg \mathbb{T} \equiv \mathbb{F}$

Answer

## Implication Identity

Implication Identity is used to convert Implications into standard and/or/negation symbols.

Since implication is **True** in 3 of 4 possible outcomes, it is similar to the “or” logic.

For this reason the Identity maps implication into “or”.

$$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$$

### IMPORTANT!!!

For this reason it can be shown that implication is neither commutative or associative.

## Implication Identity - Reasoning

### Reasoning/Proof

| $p$   | $q$   | $\neg p$ | $p \rightarrow q$ | $\neg p \vee q$ |
|-------|-------|----------|-------------------|-----------------|
| True  | True  | False    | True              | False           |
| True  | False | False    | False             | False           |
| False | True  | True     | True              | True            |
| False | False | True     | True              | True            |

Because the last two columns are identical for every row, it is the case that the two statements are identical.



## Implication Identity - Example

### Example

Prove that " $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$ "  $\equiv$  " $\neg p \vee (\neg q \vee r)$ "

### Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) &\equiv \neg p \vee (q \rightarrow r) && \text{by Implication Identity} \\ &\equiv \neg p \vee (\neg q \vee r) && \text{by Implication Identity} \end{aligned}$$



[Back to LOL List](#)

## Practice Proof 4

### Example

Prove that " $\neg(p \rightarrow q)$ "  $\equiv$  " $p \wedge \neg q$ "

Answer

## Disproof

Statements might not be equivalent.

How do we show that statements are not equivalent?

To be equivalent every assignment of simple truth-values needs to have identical outcomes.

To disprove equivalence find a single truth-value assignment of the simple statement(s) that have different resulting truth-values for the non-equivalent statements.

### Example

Prove that " $p \wedge \neg(q \wedge r)$ "  $\not\equiv$  " $p \wedge \neg q \vee \neg r$ "

One possible answer

## Practice Proof 1 Answer

### Example

Prove that " $p \vee (\neg\neg q \vee r)$ "  $\equiv$  " $(q \vee p) \vee r$ "

### Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} p \vee (\neg\neg q \vee r) &\equiv p \vee (q \vee r) && \text{by Double Negation Law} \\ &\equiv (p \vee q) \vee r && \text{by Associative Law} \\ &\equiv (q \vee p) \vee r && \text{by Commutative Law} \end{aligned}$$



[Back to Question](#)

## Practice Proof 2 Answer

### Example

Prove that " $\neg(\neg p \vee \neg q)$ "  $\equiv$  " $p \wedge q$ "

### Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\neg(\neg p \vee \neg q) &\equiv \neg\neg p \wedge \neg\neg q && \text{by DeMorgan's Law} \\ &\equiv p \wedge \neg\neg q && \text{by Double Negation Law} \\ &\equiv p \wedge q && \text{by Double Negation Law}\end{aligned}$$



[Back to Question](#)

## Practice Proof 3 Answer

### Example

Prove that " $\neg \mathbb{T}$ "  $\equiv$  " $\mathbb{F}$ "

### Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\neg \mathbb{T} &\equiv \neg(\mathbf{p} \vee \neg \mathbf{p}) && \text{by Inverse Law} \\ &\equiv \neg \mathbf{p} \wedge \neg \neg \mathbf{p} && \text{by Demorgan's Law} \\ &\equiv \mathbb{F} && \text{by Inverse Law}\end{aligned}$$



[Back to Question](#)

## Practice Proof 4 Answer

### Example

Prove that " $\neg(p \rightarrow q)$ "  $\equiv$  " $p \wedge \neg q$ "

### Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\neg(p \rightarrow q) &\equiv \neg(\neg p \vee q) && \text{by Implication Identity} \\ &\equiv \neg\neg p \wedge \neg q && \text{by Demorgan's Law} \\ &\equiv p \wedge \neg q && \text{by Double Negation Law}\end{aligned}$$



[Back to Question](#)

## Disproof Answer

### Example

Prove that " $p \wedge \neg(q \wedge r)$ "  $\not\equiv$  " $p \wedge \neg q \vee \neg r$ "

### Proof.

Let  $p$  be **False**,  $q$  be **True**, and  $r$  be **False**.

The left hand side is **False**, but the right hand side is **True**.

The statements cannot be equivalent, because they can have different truth values.



[Back to Slide](#)