

Plagiarism - Report

Originality Assessment

9%



Overall Similarity

Date: Apr 3, 2024

Matches: 283 / 3098 words

Sources: 18

Remarks: Low similarity detected, check with your supervisor if changes are required.

Verify Report:

Eyes of Authenticity: Document Forgery Detection using Siamese and OCR Techniques Samiksha

Chavan , Rajashree Kute , Prathamesh Rathi , Vaishnavi Narde, Prof.Rupal More Artificial

Intelligence And Data Science K. K. Wagh Institute of Engineering Education and Research Nashik,

Maharashtra, India. Email:samikshachavan17@gmail.com,

rkute854@gmail.com,prathameshrathi78@gmail,vaishnavinarde@gmail.com, rd-

more@kkwagh.edu.in February 21, 2024 ABSTRACT In an era marked by the widespread adoption

of numerous digital tools and techniques, combating digital crimes like the fraudulent replication or

forgery of official documents has emerged as a formidable task. This has become feasible due to

technological progress, enabling easy alteration of documents. Every aspect, such as official seals,

signatures, and text, can be tampered with. Consequently, both public and private sectors are

experiencing significant losses in time and resources. In such a situation, it becomes crucial to employ

different methodologies to identify and examine irregularities within the inherent characteristics of the

document image. By using, different image processing approaches designed to identify instances of

document forgery, with the goal of curbing these unlawful practices. The primary objective is forgery

detection to optimize the retrieval of information from manipulated photographs and documents,

especially in cases involving noisy and post-processed image documents. Keywords:DigitalCrimes,

DocumentForgery, OfficialDocuments, TechnologicalProgress, ImageProcessing, Forgery Detection,

Information Retrieval, Fraudulent replication, Tampering. I. INTRODUCTION In today's digital age,

verifying the legitimacy of documents has become crucial due to the prevalence of online transactions

and virtual engagements. The increasing reliance on digital services and electronic documents has

introduced fresh challenges, especially in the context of document falsification. Deceptive practices

like counterfeit signatures and incorrect portrayal of document types of present substantial risks to the

credibility of diverse sectors, including finance, healthcare, and government institutions.[1] In

educational institutions, the transition to the digital era has indeed brought about numerous benefits,

particularly in terms of streamlining administrative procedures through document uploads. However, a

notable challenge that has emerged is the inadvertent or intentional uploading of incorrect documents,

such as using a sibling's Aadhaar or PAN card instead of one's own.This practice can have significant

consequences, compromising the accuracy of student records and introducing risks related to identity

verification, scholarship eligibility, and official documentation[1].Comprehending the motivations and situations that lead to the submission of inaccurate documents is essential for devising effective preventive measures. Errors in document uploads can arise from sources such as confusion, a lack of awareness, or even technological constraints. Aadhaar, a distinct 12-digit identification number **16 provided by the** Government of India, is closely tied to an individual's biometric and demographic data. In contrast, PAN plays a pivotal role as an identifier in financial transactions and matters related to taxation. Implementing robust verification protocols and educating stakeholders about the importance of accurate document submission are critical steps towards safeguarding the integrity of digital records and mitigating the risks associated with fraudulent activities.[11] 1

II. LITERATURE SURVEY Yusuke Kataoka, Takashi Matsubara, Kuniaki Uehara, “A CNN-based Architecture for Forgery Detection in Administrative Documents” at IEEE

This research paper presents a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN)-based architecture tailored for the detection of forgeries in administrative documents, with a focus on enhancing accuracy and efficiency in identifying fraudulent alterations. Algorithms used include KGG, SVM. Pros noticed include robustness to variations, scalability, high potential for automated document screening. Cons include dependency on availability of large and diverse labeled datasets, high computational resources required for training and testing. Misbah Shaikh, Dr. Dipak Patil, “Image Forgery/Tampering Detection Using Deep Learning and Cloud” at IEEE This research paper investigates image forgery and tampering detection using deep learning and cloud-based solutions, focusing on the scalability and real-time capabilities offered by cloud computing. Algorithms used include Azure Form Recognizer, CNN, Deep Learning, etc. Pros noticed include enhanced scalability, enabling analysis of large volumes of images, and real-time processing capabilities. Cons include inaccuracy for on-premise services, low latency or data transfer issues, and significant expenses when handling particularly large datasets. Saleem Summra, Ghani Usman M, Aslam Muhammad, Martinez, “Supervised Neural Network for Offline Forgery Detection of Handwritten Signature” at IEEE This research paper presents a supervised neural network approach for detecting forged handwritten signatures in offline documents, leveraging deep learning techniques to analyze signature features and

patterns. Algorithms used include Five and Three Layer CNN. Pros found include good accuracy, signature style variability, and multimodal efficiency. Cons found include the requirement for a large amount of labelled data, generalization challenges, and overfitting. Maamouli Khadidja, Benhamza Hiba, Djeflal Abdelhamid, Abbas Cheddad, “Mitigating Digital Signature Forgery Using Machine Learning” at IEEE This research paper investigates image forgery and tampering detection using deep learning and cloud-based solutions, focusing on the scalability and real-time capabilities offered by cloud computing. Algorithm used was CycleGAN. Pros found include the utilization of advanced technology (machine learning) for detection and the potential to reduce the risk of signature forgery. Cons include less accuracy, complexity in implementation. 2

III: PROBLEM FORMULATION AND PROPOSED METHOD To validate document authenticity,

our system verifies separate signature photos for forgery and detects incorrect document types when uploaded. 9 Eg. PAN card instead of Aadhar Card or vice versa. In this section, we discuss the

problem formulation and present the proposed method or system, focusing on the utilization of Siamese Networks for Signature Verification and OCR Techniques for Document Classification.

Additionally, we elaborate on the integration of these components in the forgery detection process.

A. Methodology Siamese Network for Signature Verification Finding instances of signature forgeries is an essential task in a number of domains, such as document verification, legal, and financial.

Because handwriting is so intricate and varied, it might be difficult for traditional methods to discern

between authentic and fake signatures. Neural network architectures called Siamese Networks have

shown promise in the identification of signature forgeries. The purpose of Siamese Networks is 11 to

detect and quantify the similarity between input pair pairs. The network is taught to recognise the

minute distinctions between authentic and falsified signatures in the context of signature forgery

detection. Siamese networks function on the basic principle of mapping two input signatures into a shared feature space and calculating the degree of similarity or dissimilarity between these

representations. [4] Each subnetwork within 2 the Siamese network conducts feature extraction from

input signature images, utilizing convolutional layers to capture hierarchical and spatial features.

Simultaneously, pooling layers may be incorporated to reduce dimensionality while retaining crucial

features. ¹⁷ The Siamese network calculates a similarity metric between the feature representations of two given input signatures, employing common metrics like Euclidean distance or cosine similarity. The primary objective is to minimize ¹² the distance between genuine signatures and maximize the distance between genuine and forged signatures. During the training phase, pairs of genuine signatures and genuine-forged signature pairs are utilized. The network is trained to position genuine signatures closely in the feature space and create a distinct separation for forged signatures. Training batches are curated with ² a combination of genuine and forged pairs, ensuring the network generalizes effectively across diverse signature characteristics. The contrastive loss function is instrumental in training, penalizing similarity between pairs and encouraging dissimilarity. This function incorporates a margin parameter to enforce a minimum separation between feature representations. In the testing phase, a threshold for the similarity score is established, either based on a validation set or domain knowledge. Signatures with similarity scores below the threshold are categorized as genuine, while those above are deemed forged. Siamese networks exhibit adaptability for continuous learning, allowing the ² model to be updated with new genuine and forged signatures over time. This adaptation ensures the model remains robust in dynamic environments.[4]

Figure 1: Siamese Working OCR Technique for Document Classification

OCR techniques for document classification typically begin by identifying relevant regions within the document, focusing on areas likely to contain important ⁵ information, such as names, dates of birth, and identification numbers. The process involves character recognition, where individual characters within the identified regions are recognized and interpreted. This fundamental OCR technique enables accurate character recognition even in the presence of noise or variations. Going beyond mere character recognition, OCR systems also aim to understand the context of the extracted text, interpreting relationships between different pieces of information for coherent and meaningful classification. In the case of specific document types like Aadhar and PAN cards, OCR systems may employ template matching techniques. Template matching involves comparing the extracted text with predefined templates for these cards, facilitating accurate classification based on predefined document structures. To further enhance accuracy and validation, OCR results can be cross-verified with information entered by the user during 3

Figure 2: OCR Working 4

document upload. This additional step ensures consistency between the extracted document details and userprovided information, adding an extra layer of verification [2 to the classification](#) process.[11]

Integration [of Siamese Network](#) and OCR in Forgery Detection After [the Siamese network](#) completes signature verification, the OCR components are seamlessly incorporated into the forgery detection system. This integration is carefully designed to leverage the strengths of both systems, creating a unified and synergistic operation. [2 The Siamese network](#) excels in assessing visual similarities in signatures, while OCR specializes in extracting and comprehending textual content. By collaborating, the system can harness the complementary strengths of each component, resulting in a more comprehensive forgery detection capability. OCR plays a vital role in validating the accuracy of the text extracted from the document. For example, it verifies that the extracted name corresponds with the name entered by the user during document upload, ensuring precise identification of individuals. Utilizing OCR, the system verifies specific document details such as identification numbers, addresses, or dates. This verification process adds an extra layer of scrutiny, confirming that the content extracted from the document aligns with the expected and user-provided information. The fusion enables the forgery detection system to adapt to a wide range of forgery techniques. While [2 the Siamese network](#) excels in recognizing visual inconsistencies in signatures, OCR contributes by revealing discrepancies in textual content, making the system resilient against various forgery attempts. B. Proposed System

The methodology of this project encompasses two primary components:

Signature Verification and Document Type Identification and Classification, each addressing distinct challenges in document authenticity assessment. The review paper identifies the escalating complexities associated with detecting fraudulent documents and proposes a comprehensive [4 approach for detecting](#) signature forgery. Integrating multiple modalities such as text recognition and image analysis, the suggested methodology [1 aims to enhance the precision and reliability of the detection](#) process. [In addition to the](#) technical aspects, the project emphasizes the importance of an intuitive user interface to streamline the document verification process for

users. **5** By providing a user-friendly design with clear instructions, feedback mechanisms, and intuitive navigation, users can easily upload documents and signatures. Concise and unambiguous **feedback on the** verification outcomes, including document type and signature authenticity, is crucial for enhancing user confidence. **2** To further improve user comprehension, the interface incorporates status indicators to provide visual cues throughout the verification process. Moreover, ensuring **5** the **effectiveness of the** document forgery detection system requires a rigorous validation process encompassing feature extraction, machine learning model training, and comprehensive data gathering. The methodology prioritizes these validation steps **6** to validate the accuracy and robustness of the system. Additionally, ongoing refinement and optimization of the system components are integral to continuously improving its performance and adaptability to evolving fraudulent techniques. Expanding on **1** the two main components of the approach, the project delves deeper into each stage, including advanced feature extraction techniques tailored to signature analysis and document layout, **as well as** the development of sophisticated machine learning models **4** capable of detecting subtle patterns indicative of forgery. Furthermore, the integration of cutting-edge technologies such **18** as optical character recognition (OCR) and deep learning algorithms enriches the system's capabilities, enabling it to handle a diverse range of document types and signature styles with **2** high accuracy and efficiency.[9] Signature Verification To achieve a thorough depiction of signature styles and variants, a broad dataset of real and fraudulent signatures was painstakingly created throughout the data collecting phase. Sincere signatures from people in a range of demographics were collected, capturing differences in writing velocities, styles, and angles. To test the system's resilience, **1** a variety of **forgery** methods were used on faked signatures, including freehand, traced, and simulated forgeries. Next, different techniques were investigated to objectively quantify signatures through the extraction of signature features. This required examining relevant properties, curves, and stroke patterns. **1** The **need for** carefully choosing characteristics that capture the distinctive and differentiating qualities of each trademark was underlined. Using cutting-edge image processing algorithms, both static and dynamic elements were extracted, guaranteeing a thorough depiction of the inherent qualities of the signatures.[6] Siamese Network: The **10** offline signature verification system leverages a Siamese network architecture to enhance its resilience and accuracy. Siamese networks, characterized

by **11** two identical sub-networks sharing 5

Figure 3: Proposed System parameters and architecture, specialize in tasks requiring similarity comparison, such as signature verification. **1** During training, this network learns to discern meaningful features from pairs of input samples, calculating their similarity or dissimilarity. By minimizing **13** the distance between similar pairs and maximizing the distance between dissimilar ones within a learned feature space, the Siamese network adeptly distinguishes between genuine and fraudulent signatures. Notably, this architecture excels in scenarios with limited labeled data, effectively generalizing from small training sets. Moreover, the Siamese network's capability to capture both static and dynamic signature elements facilitates comprehensive analysis and comparison of signature styles, bolstering the system's resilience against fraudulent attempts. This integration of Siamese network design significantly strengthens the **10** offline signature verification system's capacity to identify subtle differences and similarities, particularly valuable in situations with minimal labeled data, thereby advancing its effectiveness in ensuring document authenticity.[5] Document Classification This study proposes a complete approach for an integrated multi-modal biometric verification system that combines document type identification **6** with signature verification. To determine the document type (such as **16** a PAN card or Aadharcard),text-based categorization,document layout analysis,and optical character recognition(OCR)are used in conjunction with text extraction.

To reliably identify document kinds,a specialized document matching algorithm is designed that considers many factors such as document format, text patterns, and keywords. Carefully chosen datasets are used to train **8** machine learning models for both document type detection and signature verification. The system architecture is built for smooth integration, enabling the components for document type identification and **11** signature verification to function together. To make user interactions easier, an intuitive interface is designed, assisting users in navigating the signing and uploading documents, all the while giving concise feedback on the verification outcomes. **5** To ensure that the system is accurate and reliable, extensive testing is carried out on a wide range of datasets that include counterfeit and authentic documents and signatures. With the help of this technique, a reliable and

user-friendly biometric verification system that can simultaneously validate signatures and check document types for increased security applications will be developed. Expanding upon the system's ¹⁴ capabilities, OCR technology is employed to extract PAN and Aadhar numbers from the uploaded documents, thereby enabling more granular classification based on extracted information. Additionally, users are afforded the convenience of inputting their name, which the system autonomously extracts from the uploaded document, subsequently crossreferencing it with the user-input name to ensure consistency and accuracy. In summary, through the amalgamation of advanced technologies such as OCR, machine learning, and meticulous attention to user experience, this study endeavors to develop a robust, reliable, and user-friendly biometric verification system. This system not only verifies signatures but also adeptly classifies document types, thereby catering to the heightened security demands ⁶

of modern applications.[11] IV. CONCLUSION In conclusion, this research has presented a comprehensive methodology for document forgery detection, focusing on two main components: ¹⁰ Signature Verification and Document Classification. The integration of Siamese networks for signature analysis and OCR techniques for document classification has been proposed ⁴ to enhance the overall efficiency and accuracy of the forgery detection system. The Signature Verification component leverages ² a Siamese network architecture, which proves to be robust in discerning between genuine and fraudulent signatures. Through meticulous data collection and advanced image processing techniques, the system achieves a thorough understanding of signature styles, making it resilient against various forgery attempts. The adaptability of ⁷ Siamese networks for continuous learning ensures the system remains effective in dynamic environments. ⁸ On the other hand, the Document Classification component integrates OCR techniques, document layout analysis, and machine learning models to identify and classify document types. This multi-modal biometric verification system not only validates signatures but also checks document types, offering enhanced security applications. The incorporation ¹⁴ of OCR technology for text extraction from documents further improves the system's granularity in document classification. The proposed methodology emphasizes user experience by incorporating ⁶ a user-friendly interface with clear

instructions and feedback mechanisms. The validation process ensures the accuracy and reliability of the system, with ongoing refinement to adapt to evolving fraudulent techniques. Through the amalgamation of advanced technologies, including Siamese networks, OCR, and machine learning, this study strives to develop a robust, reliable, and user-friendly biometric verification system capable of handling a diverse range of document types and signature styles. In summary, the proposed methodology holds promise in addressing the challenges associated with document forgery detection, offering a holistic approach that combines visual and textual analysis for enhanced authenticity assessment. The continuous improvement and adaptation of the system contribute to its effectiveness in real-world scenarios, catering to the heightened security demands of modern applications. 7

V. REFERENCES

References [1] Yang, Piaoyang, Wei Fang, Feng Zhang, Lifei Bai, and Yuanyuan Gao. "Document Image Forgery Detection Based on Deep Learning Models." Proceedings of the [Conference Name], 2022: [Page Range].ry Detection Based on Deep Learning Models." [2] Y. Qi, Y. Z. Song, H. Zhang, J. Liu, "Sketch-based image retrieval via siamese convolutional neural network," in: ICIP, 2016, pp. 2460–2464. [3] G. Koch, R. Zemel, R. Salakhutdinov, "Siamese neural networks for one-shot image recognition," in: ICML, 2015, pp. 1–8. [4] Bayar, Belhassen, and Matthew C. Stamm. "A deep learning approach to universal image manipulation detection using a new convolutional layer." Proceedings of the 4th ACM workshop on information hiding and multimedia security. 2016: 5-10. [5] Subramaniam, Manjula, Teja E, and N Arpith Mathew. "Signature Forgery Detection Using Machine Learning." Proceedings of the , 2018. [6] A Krizhevsky, A., Sutskever, I., Hinton, G. E. "Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks." In: Proceedings of the Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS), 2012: 1097–1105. [7] Haziq Idrose, Nouar AlDahoul, Hezerul Abdul Karim, Rehan Shahid, and Manish Kumar Mishra, "An Evaluation of Various Pre-trained Optical Character Recognition Models for Complex License Plates," 2023. [8] A Text Recognition Data Generator, April 2022, [online] Available: <https://github.com/Belval/TextRecognitionDataGenerator>. [9] Mr. Ramdas Bagawade, Rohit Kharat, Kishor Matsagar, Shravani Kharade, "AI BASED OCR FOR TEXT RECOGNITION FROM HANDWRITTEN DOCUMENTS," Volume:05/Issue:05/May-2023. [10] M. Sonkusare and N. Sahu, "A survey on handwritten character

recognition (hcr) techniques for English alphabets,” [15 Advances in Vision Computing: An International Journal](#), vol. 3, pp. 1–12, March 2016. [11] T. K. Hazra, D. P. Singh and N. Daga, “Optical character recognition using KNN on custom image dataset,” 2017 8th Annual Industrial Automation and Electromechanical Engineering. [12] [3 A Detailed Review on Text Extraction Using Optical Character Recognition, ICT Analysis and Applications - Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems](#), 2022, pp. 719-728. [Author\(s\): Chhanam Thorat, Aishwarya Bhat, Padmaja Sawant, Isha Bartakke](#), Swati Shirsath. DOI: 10.1007/978-981-16-5655-2 69. 8

Sources

1	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC10817326 INTERNET 1%
2	www.nature.com/articles/s41598-024-55487-7 INTERNET 1%
3	link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-981-16-5655-2_69 INTERNET 1%
4	www.researchgate.net/publication/370506175_Image_For... INTERNET 1%
5	creately.com/guides/process-documentation-guide INTERNET 1%
6	https://www.process.st/how-to/verify-a-docusign-signature INTERNET 1%
7	link.springer.com/protocol/10.1007/978-1-0716-0826-5_3 INTERNET <1%
8	https://www.nature.com/articles/s42003-023-05310-2 INTERNET <1%
9	bing.com/videos INTERNET <1%
10	link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-86334-0_22 INTERNET <1%
11	https://www.baeldung.com/cs/siamese-networks INTERNET <1%
12	https://hpi.de/.../tele-task/papers/rantzsche_signature_emb... INTERNET <1%
13	https://arxiv.org/pdf/1802.06014v1.pdf INTERNET <1%
14	https://www.baeldung.com/cs/ocr INTERNET <1%

15

www.researchgate.net/profile/Manoj-Sonkusare/p...
INTERNET
<1%

16

scroll.in/article/929839/explainer-can-you-now-use-aadhaar-in-place-of-a-pan-card
INTERNET
<1%

17

<https://arxiv.org/pdf/1707.02131.pdf>
INTERNET
<1%

18

https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-66519-7_3
INTERNET
<1%

EXCLUDE CUSTOM MATCHES OFF

EXCLUDE QUOTES ON

EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON