# Plagiarism - Report

Originality Assessment

**27%** 

**Overall Similarity** 

**Date:** Apr 1, 2024

**Matches:** 950 / 2201 words

Sources: 17

**Remarks:** High similarity detected, you may improve the

document.

**Verify Report:** 

A STUDY ON A STUDY ON THE QUALITY OF WORK LIFE AMONG EMPLOYEES IN RAPID DATA

NISHA R, SIVAKANNI S

(MBA STUDENT JERUSALEM COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, CHENNAI

Email: rajanisha121@gmail.com)

(ASSISTANT PROFESSOR MBA, JERUSALEM COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, CHENNAI

Email: sivakanni@jerusalemengg.ac.in)

ABSTRACT

This study focuses on the quality of work life of employees working in Information Technology based Companies. 2 It tries to determine the role played by the methods and procedures of Work Life Balance in organization success. It is now generally accepted that quality of human capital and its capabilities is critical to the success of an organization. An individual's role in the family has undergone radical change and hence it is imperative that work and life be balanced accordingly. Thus, Quality of work life has assumed much significance. Thus, it is in the interest of organizations to include work-life balance programs as an organizational initiative. Organizations are open to embracing such initiatives to enhance the capabilities of their employees.

This study attempts to interpret the Work life balance program being followed in a selected IT company ion order to determine further improvement in aspects of working conditions so as to

company ion order to determine further improvement in aspects of working conditions so as to enhance flexibility in work. The study was conducted using primary and secondary data sources which include questionnaire, interview for primary data and company website, company manuals, journals, records and books. The analysis concluded that the organization needs of further adopt employee-friendly procedures and facilities and provides the same to its employees in order to enhance the Quality of work life balance.

Key words: 12 Quality of Work Life, Flexible working Hours, Job satisfaction, Family Satisfaction, Turbulent Business Conditions & Software Companies.

**INTRODUCTION** 

In 5 simple terms QWL refers to the extent which the members of an organization find the work environment conductive. It is concerned with improving labor - management co-operation to solve many organizational problems, achieving the desired level of performance 16 and securing greater employee satisfaction. QWL means "The degree to which members of a work organization are able to satisfy important personal needs through their experience in the organization". QWL 3 has gained deserved prominence in the Organizational Behavior as an indicator of the overall of human experience in the work place. It plays a key role in any organization and has an effect on the people, their work, performance and self-development as well as organization's development. It basically refers to 1 relationship between the employees and the ecosystem in which he works. 9 It focuses on creating a working environment where employees work co – operatively and achieve results collectively. QWL 5 refers to the degree of which work provides an opportunity for individuals to satisfy the need to survive with some security to the need to interact with others, to have a sense of personal usefulness, to be recognize for achievement and to have an opportunity to improve one's skill and knowledge. 3 Quality of work life refers to the favorableness (or) unfavourableness of job enrichment for people. If refers to the quality of relationship between employees and the total working environment.

It 8 believes that people perform better when they are allowed to participate in managing their work and make decisions

## **OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY**

### PRIMARY OBJECTIVE

A study on the quality of work life among employees in Rapid data

### SECONDARY OBJECTIVES

|    | To study 3 the factors that influence QWL.   |
|----|--|
|    | To identify the effects of quality of work-life initiatives on employees.                            |
|    | To identify the nature of relationship exist between the demographic variables of the respondent and |
| qι | uality of work life.   |

| ☐ To suggest suitable measures for improving Quality of Work Life.                                   |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| NEED OF THE STUDY  |  |  |  |
| ☐ Quality of Work Life is to help people towards achieving the aim of the organization.              |  |  |  |
| ☐ This study is needed to find out the employee opinion about the Quality of Work Life.              |  |  |  |
| $\Box$ The study was conducted to know the employees perception towards their work environment, the  |  |  |  |
| level of job satisfaction of the employees towards the benefits and facilities provided by the       |  |  |  |
| organization.  |  |  |  |
| ☐ This study is needed to spot out the areas for improvement to the management for modification so   |  |  |  |
| that the employees will be provided with the improved working condition which will lead to higher    |  |  |  |
| productivity and greater organizational effectiveness.   |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| SCOPE OF THE STUDY   |  |  |  |
| ☐ The term Quality of work life in its broader sense covers various aspects of employment and non-   |  |  |  |
| employment conditions of work.   |  |  |  |
| ☐ This study covers the overall quality of work life of employees, i.e. their job satisfaction, work |  |  |  |
| environment, working hours, work stress, their relation with their colleagues, work assignments,     |  |  |  |
| infrastructure provided etc.   |  |  |  |
| ☐ 3 The present study aims at measuring the level of satisfaction of employees and to know about     |  |  |  |
| the various welfare activities and benefits provided for the employees.                              |  |  |  |
| $\Box$ The study is dependent on the opinion expressed by all the employees of all the departments.  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY   |  |  |  |
| ☐ The study has not confined to entire population.   |  |  |  |
| ☐ Lack of time allotted for the study act as a major constrain in the study.                         |  |  |  |
| ☐ The result of study is only valid to Domain2Host, it can't the extended for the similar kind of    |  |  |  |
| industry.  |  |  |  |

# 1 REVIEW OF LITERATURE □ Payne and Pheysey (2021) in the light of an interesting study conducted on organizational climate came to conclusion that job satisfaction is an indicative of positive Quality of Work Life. This was to highlight qualities of employee's work life. Job satisfaction is an indicative of positive quality of working life. Hence, whatever studies will be put forth on job satisfaction would be determining relationship of some variable as its important determinants. ☐ Kumar and Bohra (2020), studied relationship of workers job satisfaction with their perception about existing organizational climate. Results revealed that perceived organizational climate effects workers job satisfaction significantly. Workers perceiving organizational climate as democratic had increase in job satisfaction as compared to those who perceived organizational climate as autocratic or undecided. ☐ Rice (2020), found out the relationship between work satisfaction and quality of life. Work experience and outcomes can affect person's general quality of life both directly or indirectly through their effects on family interaction, leisure activities and levels of health and energy. Modification in workplace can have their effect by changing environment or changing worker's own class and they can affect his quality and family life. □ Venkatachalam et. al (2019), studied that production increased with change adapted by increased Quality of Work Life. Perception of employees, several other factors like security, autonomy, equity of pay and rewards help to increase Quality of Work Life, satisfaction, involvement, work environment and so on. Some finding showed significant positive relationship between Quality of Work Life and Organizational Commitment. ☐ Linda K. Johnsrud (2018)studied on Quality of faculty work life: the University of Hawaii to describe the changes in QWL from 1998 to now. The 7 objective of the study was to find out the current level of satisfaction. Variables were used Relations with the department chair, campus service, community service, faculty relation, salary and demographic factor.

# RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

RESEARCH DESIGN

"Descriptive research is used to describe characteristics of a population or phenomenon being

studied. It does not answer questions about how/ when/why the characteristics occurred."

The purpose of descriptive research is to "Observe, Describe, Document", aspects of the

situation as it naturally occurs. Instruments we use to obtain data in descriptive studies include

"questionnaires, interviews, observation" the sample size for this study is fixed at 100 employees.

6 A sample design is a definite plan for obtaining a sample from a sampling frame. It refers to the

technique or the procedure the researcher would adopt in selecting some sampling units from which

inferences about the population is drawn.

**COLLECTION OF DATA** 

PRIMARY DATA

For this purpose, questionnaires were prepared in such that all necessary data would be collected.

Sampling method used for data collection is systematic method, the size of the sample is 107

respondents.

SECONDARY DATA

Information regarding the project, secondary data was also required. These data were collected from

various source of research journals, books, magazines and internet.

VIII. DATA ANALYSIS & INTERPRETATION

PERCENTAGE ANALYSIS OF THE RESPONDENTS

Table no: 1

Gender

Gender

| Frequency          |
|--------------------|
| Percent            |
| Valid Percent      |
| Cumulative Percent |
| Valid              |
| male               |
| 54                 |
| 54.0               |
| 54.0               |
| 54.0               |
| female             |
| 46                 |
| 46.0               |
| 46.0               |
| 100.0              |
| Total              |
| 100                |
| 100.0              |
| 100.0              |

# Interpretation:

10 The above table and chart represents that 54 % is of male and 46% is of female.

| Table no: 2        |
|--------------------|
| Years              |
|                    |
| Frequency          |
| Percent            |
| Valid Percent      |
| Cumulative Percent |
| Valid              |
| 0-5 yrs            |
| 54                 |
| 54.0               |
| 54.0               |
| 54.0               |
| 5-10 yrs           |
| 46                 |
| 46.0               |
| 46.0               |
| 100.0              |
| Total              |
| 100                |
| 100.0              |
| 100.0              |
|                    |

| Interpretation:   |
|---|
| The above table and chart found that the employees are working in the organization for 0-5 yrs. |
| Table no: 3   |
| QWL   |
|   |
| 13 Frequency  |
| Percent   |
| Valid Percent   |
| Cumulative Percent  |
| Valid   |
| Very good   |
| 46  |
| 46.0  |
| 46.0  |
| 46.0  |
| Good  |
| 41  |
| 41.0  |
| 41.0  |
| 87.0  |
| Neutral   |

| 13.0   |
|--|
| 13.0   |
| 100.0  |
| Total  |
| 100  |
| 100.0  |
| 100.0  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| Interpretation:  |
|  |
| <u> </u>   |
| The above table and chart found that the Quality 3 of work life is very good in the organization.              |
| The above table and chart found that the Quality 3 of work life is very good in the organization.              |
| The above table and chart found that the Quality 3 of work life is very good in the organization.              |
| The above table and chart found that the Quality 3 of work life is very good in the organization.  Table no: 4 |
|  |
|  |
| Table no: 4  |
| Table no: 4  |
| Table no: 4  |
| Table no: 4 Working conditions   |
| Table no: 4 Working conditions   |
| Table no: 4  Working conditions  14 Frequency Percent  |
| Table no: 4  Working conditions  14 Frequency Percent Valid Percent  |

| 32           |
|--------------|
| 32.0         |
| 32.0         |
| 32.0         |
| Satisfied    |
| 45           |
| 45.0         |
| 45.0         |
| 77.0         |
| Neutral      |
| 18           |
| 18.0         |
| 18.0         |
| 95.0         |
| Dissatisfied |
| 5            |
| 5.0          |
| 5.0          |
| 100.0        |
| Total        |
| 100          |
| 100.0        |
| 100.0        |
|              |
|              |

The above table and chart 1 found that the working conditions in the organization is highly satisfied. CHI – SQUARE TEST BETWEEN GENDER AND THE WORKING CONDITIONS: Null Hypothesis: H0: There is no significance difference between gender and the working conditions in the organization. Alternative Hypothesis: H1: There is a significance difference between gender and the working conditions in the organization. **Chi-Square Tests** Value df Asymp. 11 Sig. (2-sided) Pearson Chi-Square 1.718a 3 .633 Likelihood Ratio 1.747 3 .627 Linear-by-Linear Association .077

1

| × ' | r .    | CX | 7 1 |    |       |      |
|-----|--------|----|-----|----|-------|------|
|     | $\cap$ | ŀΛ | /   | 10 | l ( ' | ases |
| т,  | · •    | LV | a   | шч |       | aoco |

100

Interpretation:

P>0.05

DF – Degrees of freedom

The results indicated that the probability values were higher than 0.633 (P> 0.05). Hence, the established null hypothesis is accepted and 10 it is inferred that 'there is no significance difference between gender and the working conditions in the organization'.

Correlation:

Correlation 17 between Performance appraisal system and cordial relationship between employees in the organization:

Correlations

Performance appraisal

Relationship

Performance appraisal

Pearson Correlation

1

-.142

Sig. (2-tailed)

| .159   |
|--|
| N  |
| 100  |
| 100  |
| Relationship   |
| Pearson Correlation  |
| 142  |
| 1  |
| Sig. (2-tailed)  |
| .159   |
|  |
| N  |
| 100  |
| 100  |
|  |
|  |
| Interpretation:  |
|  |
| The above table depicts that 4 the main diagonal are all equal to 1. It clearly shows that the Pearson |
| correlation coefficient for performance appraisal system of the respondents and cordial relationship   |
| among employees is -0.142 which is significant (p>.001 for a two-tailed test), based on 100            |
| respondents observation.   |
|  |
| ONE WAY ANOVA BETWEEN CURRENT 3 JOB AND CAREER IN THE ORGANIZATION:                                    |
|  |
| Hypothesis:  |
| H0: The awareness level of respondents towards the current job does not vary with career.              |

# ANOVA Current job Sum of Squares Df Mean Square F Sig. Between Groups 2.134 3 .711 1.645 .184 Within Groups 41.506

Total

96

.432

43.640

99

| Interpretation:  |
|--|
| It is obvious from the table above $1$ that there is no significant difference (sig = 0.184) in        |
| awareness level of respondents towards the current job among the respondents. This finding             |
| indicates 15 the awareness level of respondents towards the current job vary with career.              |
|  |
| FINDINGS   |
| $\Box$ The above table and chart represents that 54 % is of male and 46% is of female.                 |
| ☐ The above table and chart ☐ found that the employees are working in the organization for             |
| 0-5 yrs.   |
| ☐ The above table and chart found that the Quality of work life is very good in the organization.      |
| ☐ The above table and chart found that the working conditions in the organization is highly satisfied. |
| $\Box$ The results indicated that the probability values were higher than 0.633 (P> 0.05). Hence, the  |
| established null hypothesis is accepted and it is inferred that 'there is no significance difference   |
| between gender and the working conditions in the organization'.  |
| ☐ The above table depicts that 4 the main diagonal are all equal to 1. It clearly shows that the       |
| Pearson correlation coefficient for performance appraisal system of the respondents and                |
| cordial relationship among employees is -0.142 which is significant (p>.001 for a two-tailed test),    |
| based on 100 respondents observation.  |
| $\Box$ It is obvious from the table above 1 that there is no significant difference (sig = 0.184) in   |
| awareness level of respondents towards the current job among the respondents. This finding indicates   |
| the awareness level of respondents towards the current job vary with career.                           |
|  |
| SUGGESTIONS  |
| ☐ Policies can be reframed and some good entertainment and relaxation programs can be organized        |
| for employees.   |
|  |

| ☐ Improving good relationship with employees and providing friendly environment 1 in the            |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| organization.   |  |  |
| ☐ Workers may be encouraged to offer suggestions for making improvements in the organization.       |  |  |
| This makes them feel their importance in the company.   |  |  |
| ☐ Sufficient training programs can be arranged so 3 that the worker productivity could be improved. |  |  |
|   |  |  |
| CONCLUSION  |  |  |
| Social security scheme as well as welfare measures that are undertaken by the company are           |  |  |
| appreciable. These measures are not only for the company but also for the respondents through       |  |  |
| satisfaction levels a company can ascertain whether an employee has shown his/her best performance  |  |  |
| on given job.   |  |  |
| Welfare measures of the respondents should be taken seriously by the top management 1 to            |  |  |
| improve the satisfaction level by providing various benefits and facilities to them.                |  |  |
|   |  |  |
| REFERENCE   |  |  |
| ☐ Research Methodology – C.R. Kothari   |  |  |
| □ www.ejournals.com   |  |  |
| □ www.humanresource.com   |  |  |
|   |  |  |

# Sources

| 1  | https://www.academia.edu/32009295/REVIEW_OF_LITERATURE_2_1_Quality_of_Work_Life  |
|----|--|
|    | 8%   |
| 2  | https://scholar.archive.org/work/l43u2xu4ongzfd5gfqv5p6pwza INTERNET 4%  |
| 3  | https://www.academia.edu/35917282/QUALITY_OF_WORK_LIFE_AN_OVERVIEW INTERNET 2%   |
| 4  | https://libguides.library.kent.edu/spss/pearsoncorr<br>INTERNET<br>1%  |
| 5  | https://www.ijirmps.org/papers/2018/5/290.pdf<br>INTERNET<br>1%  |
| 6  | https://www.mbaknol.com/research-methodology/sample-design/INTERNET  |
| 7  | https://www.iosrjournals.org/iosr-jhss/papers/Vol19-issue9/Version-5/L019957180.pdf INTERNET 1%  |
| 8  | https://www.academia.edu/41485441/QUALITY_OF_WORK_LIFE_AMONG_EMPLOYEES_IN_TAMILNADU_STATE_TRA<br>NSPORT_CORPORATION_WITH_REFERENCE_TO_TUTICORIN_DIVISION<br>INTERNET<br>1%   |
| 9  | https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Cross-<br>Daniel/publication/338690054_Analysis_of_Quality_Work_Life_on_Employees_Performance/links/5e25789092851caf c39360a1/Analysis-of-Quality-Work-Life-on-Employees-Performance.pdf INTERNET  1% |
| 10 | https://1library.net/article/percentage-analysis-data-analysis-amp-interpretation.yj5lmlmq INTERNET  1%  |
| 11 | http://websites.uwlax.edu/storibio/math145_spr08/reading_spss_output.pdf INTERNET <1%  |
| 12 | https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2023/01/flexible-working-benefits-ilo-report/ INTERNET <1%  |
| 13 | https://www.studocu.com/in/document/kalinga-institute-of-industrial-technology/organizational-behavior/data-for-interpretation/25862766  |
| 14 | http://inet.vidyasagar.ac.in:8080/jspui/bitstream/123456789/6277/13/13_Chapter4.pdf INTERNET <1%   |

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/372628371\_A\_STUDY\_ON\_INVESTORS'\_LEVEL\_OF\_AWARENESS\_TOWARD
S\_VARIOUS\_INNOVATIVE\_FINANCIAL\_INSTRUMENTS
INTERNET
<1%

https://zenodo.org/records/7197643/files/1.pdf
INTERNET
<1%

https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11846-023-00680-7
INTERNET
<1%

EXCLUDE CUSTOM MATCHES OFF

EXCLUDE QUOTES ON

EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON