

Plagiarism - Report

Originality Assessment

18%



Overall Similarity

Date: May 27, 2024

Matches: 469 / 2660 words

Sources: 20

Remarks: Low similarity detected, check with your supervisor if changes are required.

Verify Report:

Comparison of Asymmetric and Symmetric RCC Building with Soil Structure Interaction by Dynamic Loading.

Maheshwari Pimpalshende¹, Prof.Priti Rana²

¹M. Tech Student, Structural and Construction Engineering Department, Ballarpur Institute of Technology, Maharashtra, India

Maheshwaripimpalshende01@gmail.com

²Assistant Professor, Structural and Construction Engineering Department, Ballarpur Institute of Technology, Maharashtra, India

Abstract: Multi story building are very much common in the urban area nowadays due to scarcity of land and increase in the cost of land. Most of the structure are not in regular either in shape and mass distribution. But the performance of structure will not be good as regular symmetric type structure. A plan asymmetric having L shaped normally exhibits a complex response under dynamic loads. In this research work, effect of change of height and change of number of bays are studied separately with soil structure interaction. In recent years, due to demand in aesthetical view and architectural design, people want unique in building so the building are designed with irregularities. Using software is used for modelling and studying the various parameter such as displacement, time period , frequency and acceleration

Key Word:Asymmetrical building, Symmetrical building , displacement , acceleration, frequency,software.

I. Introduction

At present people are facing problems of land scarcity, cost of land. The pollution, explosion and industrial revolution the peoples are migrating from villages to urbans.The need of high rise building

and unsymmetrical structure so due to earthquake loading and heavy wind load the structure should have stable. Due to unsymmetric of structure various forces and displacements and vibrations occurring on unsymmetrical structure so its need to analysis for stability purposes. Analysis of L shape plans Unsymmetric and symmetric building with a varying number of bays with different soil condition. response parameters such as base shear, story shear, and natural period and frequency, displacements of Symmetrical and Unsymmetrical building. From the analysis results and compared to the Symmetric structure. comparative study made among the Symmetric and Unsymmetric structures using dynamic earthquake analysis.

1.1 Symmetrical Building: Building is said to be symmetrical if it is regular or symmetric in plan and also symmetrical vertically. It means the building in which the horizontal plan remains same in every direction and remains same vertically on the subsequent above stories.

Fig 1 :- 3D of SYMMETRICAL STRUCTURE

1.2 Asymmetrical Building: The building is said to be asymmetrical when it is irregular in plan or irregular vertically. It means the building in which there is change or discontinuity in the horizontal plan or any changes or discontinuity vertically with respect to the subsequent stories above.

Fig 2 :- 3D OF UNSYMMETRICAL STRUCTURE

2. Problem Statement:

A Comparative analysis of two type of structure Unsymmetric and Symmetric which is Unsymmetric and Symmetric type of structure that lies in earthquake zone IV which is the most active region in the India. and the consideration of unsymmetric plan 16 mX 14m X 4 m and symmetric plan 10.19 mX 10.19.m Calculation of Acceleration , maximum displacement,, frequency, base shear, time period will be calculated.

2.1. Objective of the Study:

1. Comparison of symmetric and unsymmetric RCC Building with hard soil, medium soil and soft soil.
2. Comparison of the displacements of unsymmetric and symmetric structure .
3. The calculated base shear of symmetrical and unsymmetrical structure
4. Comparison of the frequency of symmetrical and unsymmetrical structure.
5. Comparison of the Acceleration of two different structure.

3. LITERATURE REVIEW:

[1] dynamic performance of l shaped asymmetric building with soil Structure interaction. Debi Prasad Das, Diptesh Das, Pijush Topdar and Bibhuti Bhusan Ghosh West Bengal, India The prior research is mainly focused on the analysis of symmetric and asymmetric buildings regarding the percentage of opening, life safety factors, and re-entrained corners of the building having L shaped under static seismic forces. However, analysis of L shape plans asymmetric building with a varying number of bays of one wing where bays of other are unchanged with different soil support conditions was not considered. Also, the literature survey reveals that the effect of change of height of building having L shaped on different support such as fixed base, hard soil, medium soil, and soft soil is very limited. In this paper, twelve, nine, six, and single-storied buildings with different supporting mediums under ground motion have been addressed.

[2] A Comparative Study on Analysis of Symmetric and Asymmetric Building Structure. S.M. Hashmi, Mohammed Azeem Uddin Kalaburagi, India In present study a comparison is done between symmetric and asymmetric RC structure. Four models are made, 2 models are six storied in which 1 model is symmetric and other is asymmetric and another 2 models are nine storied in which 1 model is symmetric and other is asymmetric and various parameters are studied. ETABS software is used for modelling and studying the various parameters such as Story drift, displacement, time period and story

shear. R.S Method is used for analyzing of models. Story drift increases in starting, reaches maximum at the Story 3 than it starts decreasing. Torey drift is greater at starting stories in case of symmetrical building, but it becomes less and the drift in asymmetrical building overtakes. Story displacement increases exponentially as height of the building model increases. Torey displacement remains almost same in starting stories of both the models, then displacement of asymmetrical building increases more than symmetrical building.

[3] Seismic Response Analysis of Symmetrical and Asymmetrical High-Rise Structures in SeismicZoneII. G.V.S. Siva Prasad, Dr.P.Jyotsna devi,Manikanta Patnaik Visakhapatnam To ¹⁵ study the effect of story drift for ^{symmetric and asymmetric} multi-storied G+25 high rise R.C building in seismic zone II.To study the response spectrum method for analysis of symmetric and asymmetric building structures. To compare the response parameters such as story drift, base shear, story shear, and natural period of Symmetrical and Asymmetrical building. ⁸ From the analysis results and comparative study made among the Symmetric and Asymmetric structures using equivalent static and dynamic earthquake analysis the following set of conclusions are drawn. An attempt is made in this study to understand and perceive the behaviors of building frame system. The core idea in comparison ⁸ between Symmetric and Asymmetric tall building is to restrict the story drift of the building into something more rigid and stable to limit deformation and enhance stability. ¹⁴ Performance of Symmetrical building is better than Asymmetrical building. The story drift is observed to be more in Asymmetric structure when compared to the Symmetric structure.

[4] Seismic behaviors of Symmetric and Asymmetrical Multi Storied Building: A Review Dipak Pawar¹ ,Asst.Prof.C.M.Deshmukh² , Dr.S.S.Kadam Pandharpur ¹⁰ severe earthquakes concluded that most vulnerable building structures are those, which are symmetrical and a symmetric ³ in nature. Asymmetric-plan buildings, namely buildings with in-plan asymmetric mass and strength distributions, are systems characterized by a coupled torsional- translational seismic response. To study the effect torsional analysis of symmetrical and asymmetrical building, study on the influence of the torsional moment effects on the behaviors of structure is done by using Response spectrum

method. Then [9] simplified nonlinear pushover analysis has been used find structural descriptors required in seismic vulnerability assessment. And how we can avoid torsion by doing structural changes has been carried out.

[5] analysis and design of [1] symmetric and asymmetric Building frame subjected to gravity load. Divya Vishnoi Jaipur, (Rajasthan) India-302022 The behaviors of axial force in column were found similar in magnitude in both Asymmetric and symmetrical frame. The Symmetric frame was found more Cost Effective with respect to Asymmetric frame as the volume of material being used was more in Asymmetric model. The Symmetric model provides more Gross Leasable Area (GLA) as compared to Asymmetric model. Hence, Area Utilization will be more. The Load Distribution in Symmetric model is more uniform as compared to asymmetric model. The requirement of reinforcement is more in asymmetric frame than the symmetric frame. The Symmetric model is more Cost Effective with respect to Asymmetric model as the volume of material being used is more in Asymmetric model.

[6] Seismic Performance of Symmetric and Asymmetric Multi-Storeyed Buildings. Sammelan Pokharel, S. Lakshmi Ganesh, G. Sabarish The [4] objectives of this study are as follows: To model a symmetric structure and its equivalent asymmetric structures in Etabs and perform seismic analysis by static and dynamic methods of analysis. To compare the [20] seismic response of symmetric and asymmetric structures. To compare the structural response from the static and dynamic methods of seismic analysis. [4] To determine a suitable method to reduce the structural response parameters in the asymmetric building. Asymmetric buildings are more susceptible to damage during earthquakes. The extent of damage will increase with the height of the structure. The seismic coefficient method is found to be more conservative than the response spectrum method for all the shapes of the building although the IS code recommends the use of response spectrum method in asymmetric buildings with a height greater than 12 sm. Shear walls can be used in order to decrease lateral loads in columns, but the selection of the position of the shear wall has to be done carefully. Shear walls do not reduce axial load carried by columns.

4. [11] General Information About Building Model

Table 1:- General Information About Building Model

Symmetrical structure

Unsymmetrical structure

No's of storey

13

13

Storey height

39 m

39 m

Plan Dimension

10.19x10.19 m

16x14x4 m

Area

104 sq.m

104 sq.m

Column dimension

0.30x0.23 m

0.30x0.23m

Beam dimension

0.23x0.23 m

0.23x0.23 m

Slab Thickness

0.10m

0.10 m

Bays dimension

No.of bays along length-3m

No.of bays along width-3m

No.of bays along length-4m

No.of bays along width-3m

Table 2 :- Seismic Parameters

Symmetric structure

Unsymmetric structure

Response Reduction factor

5

5

Importance factor

1

1

Types of soil

Hard soil, Medium Soil, Soft soil.

Hard soil, Medium Soil, Soft soil.

Zone factor

0.24

0.24

5. Methodology

- ☐ Give the brief idea about the project and the methodology adopted for execution of the research work.
- ☐ Considering L Shaped Asymmetric and Symmetric structure plan.
- ☐ Considering 3 x 3 and 3 x 4 bays of different width of 4m & 3.397m .
- ☐ Plan Asymmetric and Symmetric building is modeled by using software

- Response Spectrum Method.
- Then performing analysis on symmetric building plan 10.19x10.19 and asymmetric building plan 16 x 14 x 4 with height 39 m .
- Comparison of the fundamental frequency, displacement, acceleration, base shear, and time period.
- To calculate the results from using software and Thus comparison of result from both the Asymmetric and Symmetric RCC Building with Soil Structure Interaction by Dynamic Loading will takes place and the conclusion will be drawn.
- Gives an idea about the future scope for research in this area.

5.1 METHOD FOR ANALYSIS

- The project is carried out by dynamic analysis by the Linear response spectrum method.
 - 7 The response spectrum analysis is used to evaluate the dynamic effect of ground motion. In this method, the base shear is calculated based on the time period, and mass participation of the structure.
- As an engineer, we need to check the base shear for static seismic as well as dynamic seismic
- Response spectrum analysis is a linear dynamic statistical analysis method which measure the combination from each natural mode of vibration to indicate 12 the likely maximum seismic response of an essentially elastic structure.
 - 5 A response spectrum is a plot of the peak or steady-state response (displacement, velocity, or acceleration) of a series of oscillators of varying natural frequency , that are forced into motion by the same base vibration or shock.
 - 2 The main limitation of response spectra is that they are only universally applicable for linear systems. Response spectra can be generated for non- linear systems, but are only applicable to systems with the same non-linearity, although attempts have been made to develop non-linear seismic design spectra with wider structural application. The results of this cannot be directly combined for multi-mode response.

6.MODELLING:

Fig 4:- Plan of model of Unsymmetrical satructure

7. Results

7.1:- Displacement

Table 3:- **6 Displacement of symmetrical structure** and unsymmetrical structure with different soil interaction

Soil Type

Symmetrical structure

Unsymmetrical structure

Displacement increases in %

X- direction

Y-direction

Z-direction

X- direction

Y- direction

Z- direction

Hard soil

807.25

20.58

878.03

970.56

21.59

1035.69

17.85%

Medium Soil

1097.86

22.43

1194.11

1319.97

29.36

1408.53

17.95%

Soft Soil

1348.11

34.39

1466.31

1659.08

39.46

1733.48

18.22%

Fig 5 :- Displacement of symmetrical structure 6 and unsymmetrical structure with different soil interaction

Fig 6 :- % of Displacement of symmetrical structure and unsymmetrical structure with different soil interaction

7.2 :- ACCELERATION

Table 4:- Acceleration of symmetrical structure and unsymmetrical structure with different soil interaction

Soil Types

Symmetrical structure

Unsymmetrical structure

Period in sec

Acceleration in mm/sec²

Period in sec

Acceleration in mm/sec²

Hard Soil

0.8173

31.1

0.8586

40.2

Medium Soil

0.8173

42.3

0.8586

49.4

Soft Soil

0.8173

51.9

0.8586

59.8

Fig 7:- % of Acceleration of symmetrical structure and unsymmetrical structure with different soil interaction

7.3 FREQUENCY :

Table 5:- Frequency of symmetrical structure and unsymmetrical structure with different soil interaction

Soil Type

Symmetric Structure

Unsymmetric Structure

Period in sec

Frequency in cycle/sec

Period in sec

Frequency in cycle/sec

Hard Soil

0.816

1.176

0.859

1.325

Medium Soil

0.816

1.198

0.859

1.354

Soft Soil

0.816

1.223

0.859

1.388

Fig 8:- Frequency of symmetrical structure **6** and unsymmetrical structure with different soil interaction

7.4 BASE SHEAR

Table 6:- Base Shear of symmetrical structure and unsymmetrical structure with different soil interaction

Soil Types

Symmetrical structure

Unsymmetrical structure

Base Shear increases in %

Hard Soil

113.78

115.7

1.68%

Medium Soil

154.1

160.65

4.25%

Soft Soil

175.12

188.87

7.84%

Fig 9:- Base shear of symmetrical structure and unsymmetrical structure with different soil interaction

Fig 10 :- % **16 of Base shear of** symmetrical structure and unsymmetrical structure with different soil interaction

8. CONCLUSION

In this project, a comparative Analysis between symmetrical structure and unsymmetrical structure with different soil interaction has been performed. The following are the conclusion of this project.

- **18 From the study**, conclude that the unsymmetrical hard soil structure has more displacement as compared to symmetrical hard soil structure by 17.87%. unsymmetrical medium soil structure has more displacement **19 as compared to** symmetrical medium soil structure by 17.95%. unsymmetrical soft soil structure has more displacement **as compared to** symmetrical soft soil structure by 18.22%.
- Maximum displacement is obtained in unsymmetrical structure by 18.22% with soft soil interaction
- In unsymmetrical structure has more Maximum Acceleration as compared to symmetrical structure with soft soil interaction.
- In unsymmetrical structure has more Maximum Frequency as compared to symmetrical structure with soft soil interaction.
- **18 From the study**, conclude that the unsymmetrical hard soil structure has more base shear as compared to symmetrical hard soil structure by 1.68%. unsymmetrical medium soil structure has more **13 base shear as compared to** symmetrical medium soil structure by 4.25%. unsymmetrical soft soil structure has more **base shear as compared to** symmetrical soft soil structure by 7.84%.
- Maximum **16 Base Shear is** obtained in unsymmetrical structure by 7.84 % with soft soil interaction

REFERENCES

[1] Dynamic performance of L shaped asymmetric building with soil Structure interaction by
Debi Prasad Das^{1*}, Diptesh Das², Pijush Topdar³ and Bibhuti Bhusan Ghosh.

[2] A Comparative Study on Analysis of Symmetric and Asymmetric Building Structure by S.M.
Hashmi, Mohammed Azeem Uddin

[3] Seismic Response Analysis of Symmetrical and Asymmetrical High-Rise Structures in Seismic
Zone II by G.V.S. Siva Prasad¹ Dr.P. Jyotsna devi, Manikanta Patnaik,

[4] Seismic behaviors of Symmetric and Asymmetrical Multi Storied Building: A Review by Dipak
Pawar¹, Asst.Prof.C.M. Deshmukh² , Dr.S.S.Kadam

[5] analysis ¹ and design of symmetric and asymmetric Building frame subjected to gravity load by
Divya Vishnoi

[6] Seismic Performance of Symmetric and Asymmetric Multi-Storied Buildings by Sammelan
Pokharel, S. ⁴ Lakshmi Ganesh, G. Sabarish

Review Paper on Comparison of Asymmetric and Symmetric RCC Building with Soil Structure Interaction by Dynamic Loading.

17 International Journal of Recent Trends in Multidisciplinary Research

Volume X, Issue X (XXXX-XXXX 2018), PP XX-XX

www.ijrtmr.com

2 | Page

1 | Page

Review Paper on Comparison of Asymmetric and Symmetric RCC Building with Soil Structure Interaction by Dynamic Loading.

International Journal of Recent Trends in Multidisciplinary Research

Volume X, Issue X (XXXX-XXXX 2018), PP XX-XX

www.ijrtmr.com

2 | Page

Sources

1	https://www.ijamtes.org/gallery/69.may ijmte - 04.pdf INTERNET 3%
2	https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Response_spectrum INTERNET 2%
3	https://www.irjmets.com/uploadedfiles/paper/issue_7_july_2022/28507/final/fin_irjmets1659638835.pdf INTERNET 2%
4	https://www.ijrte.org/wp-content/uploads/papers/v8i1S3/A10660681S319.pdf INTERNET 2%
5	http://www.geol.wvu.edu/rjmitch/spectrum.pdf INTERNET 1%
6	https://ijrpr.com/uploads/V3ISSUE12/IJRPR8840.pdf INTERNET 1%
7	https://www.midasbridge.com/en/blog/project_tutorial/response-spectrum-analysis INTERNET 1%
8	https://ijcrt.org/papers/IJCRT2405872.pdf INTERNET 1%
9	https://www.iitk.ac.in/nicee/wcee/article/13_1478.pdf INTERNET 1%
10	https://ijaem.net/issue_dcp/Seismic behaviour of Symmetricand Asymmetrical Multi StoriedBuilding A Review.pdf INTERNET <1%
11	https://ijrpr.com/uploads/V3ISSUE4/IJRPR3507.pdf INTERNET <1%
12	https://wiki.csiamerica.com/display/kb/Response-spectrum analysis INTERNET <1%
13	https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Nitish-Sharma-13/publication/333234133_Comparison_between_Symmetrical_and_Unsymmetrical_Building_under_Seismic_Load_Using_Bracing_and_Shear_Wall/links/5ce37f90299bf14d95abb97a/Comparison-between-Symmetrical-and-Unsymmetrical-Building-under-Seismic-Load-Using-Bracing-and-Shear-Wall.pdf INTERNET <1%
14	https://www.irjmets.com/uploadedfiles/paper//issue_10_october_2023/45054/final/fin_irjmets1696497694.pdf INTERNET <1%

15	https://www.ijera.com/papers/vol10no10/Series-3/F1010033649.pdf INTERNET <1%
16	https://www.thestructuralworld.com/2018/09/15/scaling-of-base-shear-results-of-static-and-dynamic-analysis/ INTERNET <1%
17	https://www.ijera.com/pages/v10no10.html INTERNET <1%
18	https://www.projectguru.in/scope-further-research/ INTERNET <1%
19	https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-981-99-4045-5_25 INTERNET <1%
20	https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/235196308.pdf INTERNET <1%

EXCLUDE CUSTOM MATCHES	OFF
EXCLUDE QUOTES	ON
EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY	ON