PhD Appraisal Schedule (Full Time)



Version 1.5 (October 18, 2018)

Introduction

The School of Computing & Communications is committed to providing effective PhD supervision and appraisal of PhD progress, leading ultimately to successful completion. The overall target for full-time PhD students is submission of their thesis within 3 years. With the University stipulating a maximum completion deadline of 4 years.

The School's PhD appraisal schedule is designed to assist in the monitoring of progress towards timely submission, and providing feedback and assessment above and beyond that provided through usual supervision.

The appraisal schedule briefly comprises of a series appraisal points, all of which require a minimum of an updated progress report to be submitted beforehand. Some appraisal points require further documents to be submitted, and some require a meeting with the appraisal panel. The appraisal panel will provide feedback at each appraisal point.

This schedule is in line with the Faculty of Science and Technology PhD assessment, and adheres to Lancaster University's Postgraduate Research Regulations and The Code of Practice for Postgraduate Research Programmes.

Appraisal Panel

Each PhD student will be assigned an appraisal panel at the start of the PhD. The panel will consist of:

A Subject specialist(s) A member of academic staff who is relatively close to the PhD research, but not involved in direct supervision. This could be a named supervisor, somebody who might be involved in collaborative work, or somebody from the same research group. In the event of an inter-disciplinary PhD (e.g. with supervisors from multiple departments) it is expected that there will be one panel member from each discipline.

A PGRC member A member of academic staff from the SCC Postgraduate Research Committee, whose responsibility will be to ensure consistency and fairness across appraisals, and feedback issues and concerns to the School. This panel member shall normally be from a different research group to that of the PhD student and subject specialist.

The PhD student should feel welcome to discuss questions and problems with panel members outside of the appraisals. During panel meetings there shall be an explicit discussion about supervision and feedback on School processes. All such discussions are held under the Chatham House Rule.

Where possible, the appraisal panel will remain the same throughout the PhD, but replacements will be made if required (e.g. due to staff departures).

Please note, appraisal panel members are not normally permitted to serve as an examiner for the final PhD submission and viva.

Progress Report

The progress report, to be established in the first 4 months of the PhD, should be a single evolving document for the whole PhD process, and updated regularly. As a minimum, for every appraisal point that follows, an updated copy of the report should be uploaded to the Moodle space, containing the following:

- A brief summary (around half a page) of progress since the last appraisal stage and against the completion timeline, and any problems encountered.
- Updated assessment of training and development of skills needed, and a record of any training or development undertaken, including courses, presentations, teaching, etc.
- An updated completion timeline with appropriate milestones and publication plans for the remainder of the PhD.

A LATEX template is available for the progress report. In addition, extra text or documents will be requested at different appraisal stages. PhD students are also welcome to submit alongside any papers submitted in the appraisal period.

Feedback

At each appraisal stage, the panel shall provide feedback on the PhD research, progress, and planning. The subject specialist shall lead feedback on the research element, with the PGRC member leading feedback on the progress and planning. It is expected that supervisors will provide feedback through the normal supervision process. Supervisors are

also expected to provide feedback on appraisal documents before submission, for which the PhD student and supervisors should agree a timeline in advance.

Feedback from each appraisal stage shall be provided within 4 weeks after the appraisal due date, document submission, or a related panel meeting (whichever is the latest). All written feedback will be provided via the Moodle space.

Appraisal points

The schedule of appraisal points set out below are the default for a full-time PhD student, and are from the PhD start date. Normally the deadline is calculated from the PhD start date, e.g. for a PhD starting in October, the 4-month progress report would be due on 1st February the following year, and the 10-month progress report and research proposal due on 1st August. An individual's schedule may change, for example if there are periods of intercalation. You will receive reminders for each appraisal point a month before the submission deadline.

A summary of the requirements for each appraisal point is provided in Table 1, with further details provided in the sections below. After each appraisal point the details of the next appraisal shall be arranged, with the submission deadline confirmed, and any necessary panel meeting scheduled.

| Appraisal point | Requirements | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| 4 months | First progress report | |
| 10 months (confirmation panel) | Updated progress report Research proposal Panel meeting with research presentation | |
| 16 months | Updated progress report | |
| 22 months (annual review) | Updated progress report Initial thesis structure and plan Panel meeting | |
| 28 months | Updated progress report | |
| 34 months (annual review) | Updated progress report Detailed completion timeline Panel meeting | |

Table 1: Summary of default appraisal point requirements.

4 months

The first review is designed to ensure that good foundations for the PhD have been established and appropriate planning has taken place. To this end, the following should have been completed by this stage:

- 1. Attended compulsory induction with the School, and ideally with the Faculty and the University.
- 2. Discussion with supervisor(s) about required training and development of skills, and an initial plan agreed for addressing these needs.
- 3. Initial research questions and direction developed.
- 4. A presentation to the department, presenting the research challenge and the plans for the PhD. This could be in the form of a poster presentation at the annual department PhD event, but could also be in another form (e.g. department seminar). If no opportunity for this has occurred, please make plans for coming months.
- 5. An agreed initial completion timeline, with appropriate milestones.
- 6. Research profile setup on University research portal (Pure).

The progress report should be started and submitted to Moodle by the first day of the 5th month of registration, confirming the above items have taken place, and providing details where necessary.

10 months (Confirmation Panel)

The second review includes the Confirmation Panel for the PhD, this is an important formal assessment of the viability of the PhD, and initial progress. The assessment is based upon a **Research Proposal**, the updated Progress Report, and an appraisal panel meeting with a **Research Presentation**. The research proposal and updated progress report must be submitted via Moodle by the first day of the 11th month of registration. The Confirmation Panel meeting will be scheduled as soon as possible after this (expected within one month).

Research Proposal

An 8–10 page document which sets out the research to be carried out during the PhD. The precise structure of the proposal can differ between PhD students, but it should normally contain as a minimum:

- A proposed title for the PhD.
- A section introducing the research topic, motivating the research, and setting out the research questions to be addressed.
- A substantial literature review, critically analysing related work, and clearly highlighting the novel aspects of the proposed research.
- A proposed methodology, describing how the research will be undertaken.
- Ethical considerations.
- A bibliography, listing references cited in the proposal (not included in page count).

Confirmation Panel meeting

A 10-minute **Research Presentation** should be prepared for the meeting, this will summarise the research proposal. The panel will ask questions arising from the presentation, research proposal and progress report. The PhD student will be asked to step out of the room whilst the panel discusses their assessment. The PhD student will be invited to return to discuss the outcome of the confirmation panel. The meeting should not last more than 1 hour in total.

Outcomes

Confirm as PhD If the panel is satisfied that the foundations exist for a viable PhD and satisfactory progress has been made, a recommendation will be made to confirm as PhD.

Defer decision If after the first meeting the panel have reservations about the viability of the PhD, or major concerns about the quality of the work submitted, it will be recommended that a reassessment takes place within 4 months. The reassessment will be in the same form as the initial assessment, with both the Research Proposal and Progress Report resubmitted, addressing the feedback received in the first confirmation panel. The date of the resubmission and second confirmation panel will be agreed at the time of the first confirmation panel.

There will only be one opportunity for reassessment. Following the second confirmation panel, the following options are available:

- Confirm as PhD If the appraisal panel is satisfied that the foundations exist for a viable PhD and the concerns raised have been addressed, a recommendation will be made to confirm as PhD.
- Confirm as MPhil If the concerns raised in the first confirmation panel have not been addressed to a satisfactory level, and the panel have significant concerns about the viability of the PhD, but believe the work could be completed as an MPhil, it can be recommended that the student transfer to an MPhil.
- Recommend exclusion If the concerns raised in the first confirmation panel have not been addressed to a satisfactory level, and the panel have significant concerns about the viability of the PhD, and believe the work could not be completed as an MPhil, it can be recommended that the student does not continue their studies.

Feedback

After the first confirmation panel, the appraisal panel shall produce a report (1–2 pages) containing feedback and recommendations, and detailing any concerns raised. The subject specialist shall lead the writing of this report. If a second confirmation panel is held, further feedback and the final recommendation shall be added to the report

In addition, a confirmation panel form (available from http://www.lancaster.ac.uk/current-staff/registry/student-forms/) must be submitted to the Postgraduate Teaching Office, along with a copy of the feedback report. This should be submitted after the first confirmation panel, and the second panel if applicable. These are required for student registry to confirm the continuation of the PhD.

16 months

An updated progress report, including a brief reflection on the confirmation panel feedback, to be submitted via Moodle by the first day of the 17th month of registration.

22 months (annual review)

An updated progress report, including a summary of the state of the research, to be submitted via Moodle by the first day of the 23rd month of registration, alongside a second document containing an initial thesis structure and plan.

A subsequent meeting will be arranged between the PhD student and appraisal panel members (expected within 1 month). Progress will be discussed along with plans for the third year of the PhD.

28 months

An updated progress report, including a brief reflection on the annual review feedback, to be submitted via Moodle by the first day of the 29th month of registration.

34 months (annual review)

An updated progress report should be submitted to Moodle, this should include a summary of the state of the research and thesis. The completion timeline should be specific and detailed at this stage, with clear milestones and a proposed submission date. This should include planned and agreed periods for supervisor feedback on thesis chapters.

A subsequent meeting will be arranged between the PhD student and appraisal panel members (expected within 1 month), with a focus on the completion timeline. As necessary, a series of further appraisal points will be scheduled and agreed to check on progress towards completion. These could include progress reports, further panel meetings, research talks to the School, a mock viva, amongst other items useful towards completion.

Version History

| Version | Date | Detail | Author |
|---------|------------|--|----------------|
| 1.5 | 18/10/2018 | Clarified feedback deadlines, removed repeated feedback details, added sentence about appraisal panel members being available outside of appraisals. | Alistair Baron |
| 1.4.1 | 26/06/2018 | Clarified submission of confirmation panel form. | Alistair Baron |
| 1.4 | 23/05/2018 | Slight restructuring with brief summary in intro, and summary of appraisal points table. Section and Moodle links added. | Alistair Baron |
| 1.3 | 30/04/2018 | Clarified when panel meetings should take place, and about supervisor feedback. | Alistair Baron |
| 1.2 | 24/04/2018 | Added note about Chatham House Rule for panel discussion about supervision and department issues. Added note in Introduction about next appraisals being arranged. | Alistair Baron |
| 1.1 | 16/02/2018 | Change of 30 months to 28 months in line with FST guidance, and minor clarifications. | Alistair Baron |
| 1.0 | 10/02/2018 | Finalised first version. | Alistair Baron |