
Informatie Vlaanderen Java Security Design

Highlights the architecture and design of the Informatie Vlaanderen Java Security framework.
Version 1.0.2;

Frank Cornelis <info@e-contract.be>
Jaan Claeys <informatie.vlaanderen@vlaanderen.be>

28 April 2013

Copyright © 2011-2018 Informatie Vlaanderen

Abstract

In this document we describe the architectural choices behind the Informatie Vlaanderen Java Security framework.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Dependencies	1
1.2. Token Caching	2
1.3. Multi-threading	2
1.4. STS Clients	2
2. Informatie Vlaanderen Security Protocol	3
2.1. R-STS with certificate credentials	3
2.2. Secure Conversation	4
2.3. SAML secured web services	4
2.4. WS-Addressing	5
2.5. WS-Security timestamp	5
A. Informatie Vlaanderen Java Security Design License	5
B. Revision history	5

1. Introduction

The `InformatieVlaanderenSecurity` component plays a central role within the framework. An `InformatieVlaanderenSecurity` instance corresponds with a certain user credential (e.g. certificate). This component basically decorates vanilla JAX-WS stubs with different JAX-WS SOAP handlers to add the required Informatie Vlaanderen security behavior. Each provided JAX-WS SOAP handler implements a different part of a WS-* specification. The framework comes with different clients for the STS and secure conversations that are required by the `InformatieVlaanderenSecurity` component for acquiring the security tokens. The `InformatieVlaanderenSecurity` component can also handle HTTP/SOCKS network proxies.

1.1. Dependencies

During the Informatie Vlaanderen Java Security framework development we tried to use as less dependencies as possible. This in order to avoid runtime conflicts with the different web service stacks as much as possible. We could have used for example the Apache CXF STSClient, but this would have introduced

Apache CXF as dependency. Basically we only want to depend on the JAX-WS API. Of course certain operations, like the creation of the different WS-Security XML signatures, require us to include specific dependencies like Apache WSS4J. All used dependencies also run on Java 5.

For logging we use Apache Commons Logging.

The Informatie Vlaanderen Java Security framework has been tested under Apache WSS4J version 1.6.4, 1.6.5, 1.6.6, 1.6.7, 1.6.8, 1.6.9 and 1.6.10. Apache WSS4J version 1.6.5 and higher is using Apache XML Security 1.5.1 which has a much stricter Id resolution policy. This might conflict at run-time with other libraries that use Apache XML Security to create/verify XML signatures. For this reason we bundle the Informatie Vlaanderen Java Security framework with Apache WSS4J version 1.6.4.

1.2. Token Caching

The `InformatieVlaanderenSecurity` component takes care of the caching of the different tokens. A security token is represented in Java using the `SecurityToken` class.

Each `InformatieVlaanderenSecurity` instance caches its IP-STs token.

Each `InformatieVlaanderenSecurity` instance caches the R-STs tokens on a per web service location basis.

Each `InformatieVlaanderenSecurity` instance caches the secure conversation tokens (if applicable) on a per web service location basis.

The `InformatieVlaanderenSecurity` component will reacquire a token at a certain time before it expires. This time before expiration is configurable at the `InformatieVlaanderenSecurity` level.

1.3. Multi-threading

JAX-WS is inherently not multi-threaded by specification. However, an `InformatieVlaanderenSecurity` component instance can be shared between different threads. The multi-threaded support has been achieved without the usage of synchronization monitors by using `ConcurrentHashMap` as much as possible for the implementation of the different token caches.

The STS clients use JAX-WS themselves to request security tokens from the different STS based services. As such the STS clients are not thread-safe as per the JAX-WS specification. The `InformatieVlaanderenSecurity` component itself thus uses different STS client instances when acquiring a certain security token.

1.4. STS Clients

There is an STS client for each STS service:

- R-STs to acquire security tokens based on certificate security tokens that apply to a certain Informatie Vlaanderen web service.
- Secure conversation contexts that are required by WS-SecureConversation enabled Informatie Vlaanderen web service.

Although JAX-WS 2.1 comes with WS-Addressing support, the different STS clients have their own WS-Addressing implementation. This was done in order to avoid a runtime conflict when Apache Axis2 is used on a Java 6 JRE.

Each STS client is specifically tailored for its corresponding Informatie Vlaanderen security policy. The STS clients do not interpret the WS-Policy and WS-SecurityPolicy at runtime. As such the STS client im-

plementation is strongly linked with this Informatie Vlaanderen security policy. Changes in the Informatie Vlaanderen security policy will most likely require changes within the different STS clients.

2. Informatie Vlaanderen Security Protocol

In this section we analyze the Informatie Vlaanderen Security Protocol. The analysis only highlights the most relevant cryptographic properties of the protocol. As such protocol details that do not impact the security properties are not mentioned.

We first define a simple formal protocol notation. The syntax is similar to that of the BAN logic. We do not formalize the believes and possessions of each party participating in a protocol run.

Principal A sends to principal B a message M:

$$A \rightarrow B : M$$

Principal A sends to principal B messages M_1 and M_2 :

$$A \rightarrow B : M_1, M_2$$

Principal A sends to principal B a message M over an SSL secured connection:

$$A \rightarrow_{\text{SSL}} B : M$$

Message M is encrypted with symmetric key K:

$$\{M\}_K$$

Message M is encrypted with public key K^+ of principal A :

$$\{M\}_{K^+_A}$$

Message M is signed with symmetric key K:

$$\text{sign}_K(M)$$

The algorithm for such symmetric key signatures is HMAC-SHA1.

Message M is signed with the private key of principal A:

$$\text{sign}_{K^-_A}(M)$$

2.1. R-STs with certificate credentials

The relying party (RP) starts by sending a message to the R-STs. This message contains the certificate and a signature using the corresponding private key.

$$RP \rightarrow_{\text{SSL}} \text{R-STs} : \text{Cert}_{RP}, \text{Ent}_{RP}, \text{AppliesTo}_{SP}, \text{sign}_{K^-_{RP}}(L_{\text{R-STs}}, T_{RP})$$

The signature signs the location of the R-STs service and a timestamp generated by the relying party. The message also contains some entropy value generated by the relying party.

The R-STs answers with a token and some entropy value:

$$\text{R-STs} \rightarrow_{\text{SSL}} RP : \text{Ent}_{\text{R-STs}}, \text{Token}_{\text{R-STs}}$$

2.2. Secure Conversation

For Informatie Vlaanderen web services that use WS-SecureConversation the relying party needs to create a secure conversation before being able to invoke a business web service.

2.2.1. Acquiring a secure conversation token

The relying party sends the following message to a service provider SP:

$$RP \rightarrow_{SSL} SP : \text{Token}_{R-STS}, T_{RP}, \text{sign}_{K_{R-STS}}(T_{RP}), \text{Ent}_{RP}$$

Where Ent_{RP} is a new entropy value generated by the relying party and T_{RP} is also a new timestamp value generated by the relying party.

The service provider answers with:

$$SP \rightarrow_{SSL} RP : \text{Token}_{SP}, \text{Ent}_{SP}$$

Where Token_{SP} is the secure conversation token.

Both relying party and service provider calculate a secret out of the entropy values:

$$K_{POP\ SP} = \text{PSHA1}(\text{Ent}_{RP}, \text{Ent}_{SP})$$

This secret is later used as proof-of-possession (POP) for the acquired secure conversation token.

2.2.2. Using a secure conversation token

The relying party can invoke a business web service using the secure conversation token.

$$RP \rightarrow_{SSL} SP : M, \text{Token}_{SP}, T_{RP}, \text{sign}_{K_{POP\ SP}}(T_{RP})$$

Where M is the payload for the business web service request and T_{RP} is a new timestamp generated by the relying party.

The business web service answers with some response message M' .

$$SP \rightarrow_{SSL} RP : M'$$

2.2.3. Cancelling a secure conversation token

After invoking the business web service the relying party can cancel the secure conversation token.

$$RP \rightarrow_{SSL} SP : \text{Token}_{SP}, T_{RP}, \text{sign}_{K_{POP\ SP}}(T_{RP})$$

Where T_{RP} is a new timestamp generated by the relying party.

The service provider acknowledges the operation.

$$SP \rightarrow_{SSL} RP : \text{ack}$$

2.3. SAML secured web services

Not every Informatie Vlaanderen web service requires a WS-SecureConversation to operate. In this case the web service simply requires the R-STS SAML token for authentication/authorization.

The relying party sends the following message to a service provider SP:

$RP \rightarrow_{SSL} SP : M, \text{Token}_{R\text{-STS}}, T_{RP}, \text{sign}_{K_{R\text{-STS}}}(T_{RP})$

Where M is the payload for the business web service request and T_{RP} is a new timestamp value generated by the relying party.

The business web service answers with some response message M' .

$SP \rightarrow_{SSL} RP : M'$

2.4. WS-Addressing

The WS-Addressing adds the following to each request message:

$RP \rightarrow_{SSL} SP : N_{RP}, A_{SP}, L_{SP}, \dots$

Where N_{RP} is a nonce generated by the relying party, A_{SP} is the action that the relying party wants to invoke on the service provider, and L_{SP} is the location of the service provider.

The service provider relates the response with the request by echoing the nonce N_{RP} in the response message.

$SP \rightarrow_{SSL} RP : N_{RP}, \dots$

This mechanism prevents replay attacks and ensures recognizability of the messages towards the service provider.

2.5. WS-Security timestamp

The service provider adds a timestamp to each response message.

$SP \rightarrow_{SSL} RP : T_{SP}, \dots$

Besides message freshness, the yielded cryptographic properties of this timestamp are less clear given the replay attack countermeasure provided by the WS-Addressing and the fact that the security tokens have a limited lifetime anyway.

A. Informatie Vlaanderen Java Security Design License



This document has been released under the Creative Commons 3.0 [<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/>] license.

B. Revision history

Table B.1. Revision history

Date	Author	Description
8 Jan 2012	Frank Cornelis	Initial version.

Date	Author	Description
29 Jan 2012	Frank Cornelis	X509 credentials.
24 Mar 2012	Frank Cornelis	Optional WS-SecureConversation.
26 Aug 2012	Frank Cornelis	Apache WSS4J tests.
28 Apr 2013	Frank Cornelis	Apache WSS4J tests.
9 Jan 2018	Jaan Claeys	Informatie Vlaanderen