

# INFOSYS SPRINGBOARD INTERNSHIP



**Predicting Obesity Levels using ML**

**Under The Mentorship of Mr. Narrendra Kumar**

**Group:4**

Group member's Name::
Rishi Kumar Sah
Rehan Abdul Nayeem
Gurralla Nandhikar
Bejjam Charitha
Hemanth Sri Surya
T.Manikanta
Jafrash

**Abstraction:**

Obesity, a complex and prevalent global health issue, is influenced by various lifestyle and physical factors. This project leverages machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) models to predict obesity levels based on individuals' eating habits and physical conditions using a dataset from Kaggle. The models classify individuals into obesity categories and compare their performance through key evaluation metrics like accuracy, precision, and recall. Additionally, the project explores the most influential lifestyle factors driving obesity, providing actionable insights for healthcare professionals to design targeted interventions and promote healthier lifestyles. The results emphasize the potential of predictive modeling in preventive healthcare strategies.

**Introduction:**

we use the "Obesity based on eating habits and physical conditions" dataset from Kaggle to develop a predictive model for obesity levels. The dataset contains various attributes related to eating behaviors, physical activity, and demographic information, which serve as inputs to our models. Our approach includes both traditional machine learning techniques, such as decision trees and support vector machines (SVM), as well as more advanced deep learning models like neural networks.


**Objective:**

The goal of this project is to develop predictive models that can accurately classify individuals into various obesity categories based on their eating habits and physical conditions. By leveraging both machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) techniques, the project aims to identify patterns in lifestyle data that correspond to different obesity levels. Additionally, the performance of these models will be compared using evaluation metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, and others to determine which approach is more effective. Another key objective is to provide insights into the lifestyle factors that contribute the most to obesity prediction, allowing healthcare professionals to design more targeted and personalized interventions for individuals at risk of obesity. This comprehensive analysis not only enhances the predictive power of the models but also offers valuable information for promoting healthier lifestyle choices.

**Methodology:**

1. Data Exploration
2. Data Preprocessing
3. Splitting Data
4. Feature Selecting
5. Model Emplementation
6. Model comparision
7. Hyperparameter Tuning
8. Final Model Selection

Flow chart



### Data Exploration

#### **Dataset Overview:**

The dataset, titled "Obesity based on eating habits and physical conditions" which prepared by merging two different dataset and latter remaned as final\_dataset.csv, contains information about individuals' physical conditions and eating habits to predict obesity levels.

#### **1. Dataset Dimensions**

After merging and renaming columns, the final dataset consists of 22,869 rows and 18 columns. These columns represent a mix of numerical and categorical variables that capture various lifestyle factors, such as eating habits and physical activity, and their relationship with obesity.

#### **2. Renaming Columns for Better Understanding**

To improve clarity, the original column names were renamed as follows:

FAVC → FCOHCF (Frequent consumption of high-calorie food)

FCVC → FCOV (Frequent consumption of vegetables)

NCP → NMM (Number of main meals)

CAEC → COFBM (Consumption of food between meals)

CH2O → CH2O (Daily water consumption)

SCC → Calorie\_Consump\_Monitoring (Monitoring calorie consumption)

FAF → Physical\_Activity\_F (Physical activity frequency)

TUE → Time\_using\_techno\_D (Time using technological devices)

CALC → Consumption\_Alc (Alcohol consumption)

MTRANS → MTRANS (Mode of transportation)

NObeyesdad → NObesity (Obesity levels)

These changes make it easier to interpret and analyze the dataset by reflecting the meaning of each variable.

### **3. Data Types**

The dataset contains a mixture of categorical and numerical variables:

Categorical Variables: Gender, Family history of overweight, FCOHCF, COFBM, Smoking habits, Calorie consumption monitoring, Alcohol consumption, MTRANS, and NObesity (target variable).

Numerical Variables: Age, Height, Weight, FCOV (vegetable consumption), NMM (number of main meals), CH2O (daily water consumption), Physical Activity Frequency, and Time using technology.

### **4. Statistical and individual variable exploration**

#### **4.1 Statistical Summary of Numerical Columns**

Age, Height, Weight: These variables show significant variance, with potential outliers identified, particularly in the weight and height columns. These outliers will be handled during the preprocessing stage.

#### **4.2 Statistical Summary of Categorical Columns**

Categorical Variables: Each categorical feature shows a proper arrangement of data, with no missing values. They capture lifestyle habits such as smoking, calorie consumption, and transportation modes.

#### **4.3 Gender Distribution**

Male: 11404

Female: 11465 The gender distribution is almost equal, which ensures that the dataset is well-balanced for this feature.

#### **4.4 Family History of Overweight**

Yes: 18740


No: 4129 A significant number of individuals have a family history of being overweight, indicating a potential genetic or lifestyle link to obesity.

#### **4.5 Obesity Levels (NObesity)**

The dataset includes multiple obesity categories. The "Normal Weight" category is the most frequent, followed by various overweight and obesity types.

#### 4.6 Target Variable Distribution


A pie chart was generated to visualize the distribution of obesity levels. The plot highlights that "Normal Weight" and "Obesity Type I" are the dominant categories, giving insight into class distribution.



## 5. Data Visualization


### 5.1 Histograms for Numerical Variables

Histograms were plotted for numerical features such as age, height, weight, and physical activity frequency to explore their distributions. The distributions reveal normal patterns in some features but skewness and outliers in others, such as weight and height.




## 5.2 Boxplots for Outlier Detection

Boxplots were used to identify potential outliers. Features like Age, Weight, Height, and CH2O (daily water consumption) exhibited outliers, which were addressed during preprocessing.



### 5.3 Countplots for Categorical Variables

Countplots were generated to visualize categorical variables like Gender, FCOHCF (frequent consumption of high-calorie food), COFBM (food consumption between meals), and smoking habits. These plots give insights into the distribution and possible imbalance of categories within the dataset.



## Data preprocessing

### 6.1 Handling Missing Values

The dataset had no missing values. This was confirmed by checking for NaN values and plotting a heatmap, which showed no missing data points.

### 6.2 Duplicate Records


Duplicate records were detected, and they were removed to ensure the integrity of the dataset. This step reduced the dataset to 22,845 rows and 17 columns, with all unique entries ready for analysis.

### 6.3 Handling Outliers

Outliers in numerical columns such as Age, Height, Weight, and NMM were addressed using the Interquartile Range (IQR) method. Outliers were replaced with the median of the respective columns to maintain the distribution without skewing the data.


After Outlier Removed:





## 6.4 Standard Scaling

To normalize numerical features and reduce the effect of differences in scale, StandardScaler was applied. The scaler transformed the data, and scatter plots were used to visually compare the dataset before and after scaling. For example, Age and Height showed significant transformations, confirming that scaling effectively handled these discrepancies.



## 7. Encoding Categorical Variables

### 7.1 Label Encoding

The target variable NObesity and other categorical variables such as COFBM (consumption of food between meals), MTRANS (mode of transportation), and Consumption\_Alc (alcohol consumption) were label-encoded to convert them into numerical formats suitable for machine learning models.

### 7.2 One-Hot Encoding

For other categorical variables such as Gender, Family history with overweight, and FCOHCF, one-hot encoding was applied to preserve relationships without introducing bias through label encoding. This method ensures that the machine learning model interprets these variables correctly.

## 9. Final Prepared Data

After preprocessing, the dataset consists of 22,845 rows with fully cleaned and encoded numerical and categorical variables. This dataset is now ready for machine learning model development, with each feature properly scaled and all outliers addressed.

## 10. Data Splitting

To ensure robust model evaluation, the data is split into training, validation, and test sets. The train set is used for model training, the validation set for tuning hyperparameters and avoiding overfitting, and the test set for final performance evaluation.

1. separating independent(feature) variables and independent(target) variable
2. Train, Test, and Validation Split (60,20,20)

## 11. Feature Selection Using Decision Tree

A Decision Tree classifier is used to determine the importance of each feature. By examining the importance scores, we can select the top features that significantly contribute to predicting obesity levels.

We fit a Decision Tree on the training data and use its built-in feature importance scores to rank the features.

1. Weight
2. Height
3. Gender
4. Age
5. Consumption\_Alc (Alcohol Consumption)
6. FCOHCF (Frequent Consumption of High Caloric Food)
7. FCOV (Frequent Consumption of Vegetables)
8. COFBM (Consumption of Food Between Meals)
9. Physical\_Activity\_F (Frequency of Physical Activity)
10. MTRANS (Mode of Transportation)
11. CH2O (Daily Water Consumption)
12. Time\_using\_techno\_D (Time Using Technology Daily)

## 12. Model Implementation

### 12.1 Random Forest Classifier

The Random Forest model is an ensemble learning method that uses multiple decision trees to improve classification performance.

Results:

model	accuracy	precision	recall	f1-score
Randomforest	90	91	91	90

### 12.2 Logistic Regression

Logistic Regression is a linear model for binary and multiclass classification. It assumes a linear relationship between features and the log-odds of the target class.

Results:

model	accuracy	precision	recall	f1-score
Logistic Regression	87	86	86	87

### 12.3 XGBoost Classifier

XGBoost is a powerful gradient boosting algorithm known for its high performance on structured/tabular data

Results:

model	accuracy	precision	recall	f1-score
-------	----------	-----------	--------	----------

XGBoost Classifier	91	90	90	91
--------------------	----	----	----	----

Training: 1.0 , validation = 0.91 : overfitting seen

#### 12.4 Decision Tree Classifier

A Decision Tree is a simple and interpretable model that splits data into branches based on feature values.

Results:

model	accuracy	precision	recall	f1-score
DecisionTree Classifier	76	77	76	76

#### 12.5 LightGBM

LightGBM (Light Gradient Boosting Machine) is a fast, efficient gradient boosting framework based on decision tree algorithms, designed for high performance and accuracy in large datasets

model	accuracy	precision	recall	f1-score
LightGBM	90	90	91	90

### 13. Model Comparison

Model	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1-Score
Random Forest Classifier	89	89	89	90
Logistic Regression	87	86	87	87
XGBoost Classifier	90	90	90	91
Decision Tree Classifier	76	77	76	76
LightGBM	90	91	90	90
KNN	84	84	85	85

### 14.Hyperparameter Tuning

Hyperparameter tuning involves adjusting parameters to improve the model's performance and prevent overfitting.

Model	Hyperparameters	Training Accuracy	Validation Accuracy	Test Accuracy
XGBoost	{'objective': 'multi:softprob', 'base_score': ...}	0.926972	0.910265	0.909389
LightGBM	{'boosting_type': 'gbdt', 'class_weight': ...}	0.940104	0.912235	0.913767

	None...}			
KNN	{'algorithm': 'auto', 'leaf_size': 30, 'metric': ...}	0.840155	0.774787	0.755526
Logistic Regression	{'C': 1.0, 'class_weight': None, 'dual': False...}	0.862406	0.862114	0.866492

### 15.Final model selection

From the above hyperparameter tuning result we conclude that 'LightGBM' is the best fit model for our obesity level prediction. so we selected LightGBM model.

### References::

<https://www.kaggle.com/code/ddolddi/eda-with-plotly-ml-obesity-risk/input>

<https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/ankurbajaj9/obesity-levels?resource=download>