TTK4130 - Modeling and Simulation Assignement 6 Ingebrigt Stamnes Reinsborg

1a)  $\dot{X}_1 = X_1 + X_2 + Z$   $\dot{X}_2 = Z + U$   $O = \frac{1}{2} \left( X_1^2 + X_2^2 - 1 \right)$ 

We can tell that this is not an ODE by observing that the six of E (time-diff form of E) is not given. This is therefore a DAE.

b) We set:  $g(x, z, u) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 1) = 0$   $g(x, z, u) = \dot{x}_1 x_1 + \dot{x}_2 x_2 = 0$   $= x_1(x_1 + x_2 + z) + x_2(z + u) = 0$ This delivers  $\dot{x}$  and  $\dot{z}$ , so this we wrears that the differential index of (1) was  $\mathbf{2}$ .

$$\dot{X} = -\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \times - Z$$

$$\xi \dot{Z} = \frac{1}{10} \times - AZ$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^2 & x_2 \\ 0 & x_2^2 \end{bmatrix} + \propto I$$

We start by remiting  $\dot{z}$  as

$$\dot{Z} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{E}} \left( \frac{1}{10} \times - \begin{bmatrix} X_1^2 + \alpha & X_2 \\ 0 & X_2^2 + \alpha \end{bmatrix} Z \right)$$

$$\dot{Z} = A^*Z + Bu$$

$$1$$

$$\frac{1}{E} \left[ -(X^2 + \alpha) - X^2 \right] - (X^2 + \alpha)$$

This have only negative eig-values, so  $\dot{z}$  is stable  $\forall$   $\times$ 

$$\dot{Z} = g(X, Z)$$
 $\frac{\partial g}{\partial Z}$  has full vank everywhere

$$F(\dot{x}, x, z, u, t)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \times + Z \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \dot{z} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \times + AZ \end{bmatrix}$$

$$det(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x \partial z}) \neq 0$$

- b) See the added plots. We see that decreasing E->0 makes them more similar.
- C) Done
- d) This makes sense, since

  Tikhonors theorem states that

  the ODE sol. will be equal to

  the DAE as E-> O

  In C, A becomes singular

  when  $\alpha = 0$ , and then the

  DAE wash. (Around the 1.2-mark)

$$\dot{X}_1 + \mathcal{U}_1 + \dot{X}_1 + \dot{X}_2 = 0$$

$$\mathcal{U}_1 + \dot{X}_2 + \dot{X}_2 \dot{X}_1 + \dot{X}_2 \mathcal{U}_1 + \dot{X}_2 \dot{X}_1$$

$$+ \dot{X}_2 \dot{X}_2 + \mathcal{U}^2 = 0$$

$$f$$

3a)

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \dot{x}_1 & \dot{x}_1 + x_2 + u \end{bmatrix}$$

$$det(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}) = \dot{X}_1 + \dot{X}_1 + \dot{X}_2 + \mathcal{U}$$
$$= O((\delta a))$$

Jacobian vank-deticient. => DAE

6a) 
$$\dot{X}_1 = -X_1 - X_2 - U$$
  
1 insert into 6b)

$$U + X_2 + \dot{X}_2(-X_1 - X_2 - u) + \dot{X}_2(-X_1 - X_2 - u) + \dot{X}_2(-X_1 + X_2 + u) + u^2 = 0$$

$$X_2 = -U - U^2$$

$$\dot{X}_1 = -X_1 - X_2 - \mathcal{U}$$

$$X_2 = -\mathcal{U} - \mathcal{U}^2$$

X.: ditt. state. Xz: Alg. State

b) 
$$U + \dot{X}_1 \underbrace{\partial x_1}_{X_1} + \dot{X}_2 X_2 = 0$$

$$U \dot{X}_1 X_1 + \dot{X}_2 U X_2 = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \dot{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \\ U X_1 & U X_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$det(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}) = 0 \Rightarrow DAE$$

Not possible to determine algebraic or detterential states.

$$4a) \quad \dot{x} + u + tanh(\dot{x}) + xz = 0$$

$$tanh(2u - z) = 0$$

$$(=0)$$

$$tanh(x) + xz = 0$$

$$f(x, v, u, z)$$

$$g(X,V,u,Z) = Zu - Z = 0$$

$$b) \left[ \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} \right] = \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{\cosh^2(u\dot{x})} \times \frac{7}{\cosh^2(2u-z)} \right]$$

Well-defined since this Stud has full vank (IFT)

c) We pull a fast  $\frac{d}{dt}$  on the system from a)  $i + ii + \frac{iiv + vii}{\cosh^2(uv)} + xz + xz = 0$  2ii - z = 0

$$\dot{V} = -\frac{\dot{u}(1+2x\dot{u}+\frac{x}{\cosh^2(uv)}+vz}{1+\frac{u}{\cosh^2(uv)}}$$

$$\dot{\chi} = V$$