

MONASH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

FIT2004 Algorithms and Data Structures

Ian Wern Han Lim lim.wern.han@monash.edu

Referencing materials by Nathan Companez, Aamir Cheema, Arun Konagurthu and Lloyd Allison





Faculty of Information Technology, Monash University

COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA

Copyright Regulations 1969

This material has been reproduced and communicated to you by or on behalf of Monash University pursuant to Part VB of the Copyright Act 1968 (the Act). The material in this communication may be subject to copyright under the Act. Any further reproduction or communication of this material by you may be the subject of copyright protection under the Act. Do not remove this notice



Ready?

Agenda

- Sorting Algorithms
 - Comparison based
 - Selection
 - Insertion
 - Non-comparison based (the IMBA ones)
 - Counting
 - Radix





Let us begin...



- We are back to sorting!
 - Bubble
 - Insertion
 - Selection
 - Merge
 - Quick



- We are back to sorting!
 - Bubble
 - Insertion
 - Selection
 - Merge
 - Quick



Janelle Shane @Janelle CShane · 14 Apr



For example, there was an algorithm that was supposed to sort a list of numbers. Instead, it learned to delete the list, so that it was no longer technically unsorted.



10

↑7 143



635





- We are back to sorting!
 - Bubble
 - Insertion
 - Selection
 - Merge
 - Quick
- All of these are known as comparison based sorting. Why?



- We are back to sorting!
 - Bubble
 - Insertion
 - Selection
 - Merge
 - Quick
- All of these are known as comparison based sorting.
 Why? Because we compare between items to know if a < b or b > a



- We are back to sorting!
 - Bubble
 - Insertion
 - Selection
 - Merge
 - Quick
- All of these are known as comparison based sorting.
 Why? Because we compare between items to know if a < b or b > a
- Now let us analyze them based on what we have learnt!



Questions?



- Correctness
- Complexity



- Correctness
 - Loop invariant
 - Termination
- Complexity
 - Time
 - Space

Selection Sort



Correctness

- Loop invariant something don't to make the list is sorted
- Termination

Complexity

- Time
- Space

loop through list to find the minimum and swap to first position, from then on, find the remaining minimum and swap to position after minimum value position

Selection Sort



- Loop invariant
- Termination

Selection Sort



- Loop invariant
- Termination

Selection Sort



Selection Sort



- Loop invariant
 - my_list[0...i-1] is sorted
 - my_list[0...i-1] <= my_list[i...N]</p>
- Termination

Selection Sort



- Loop invariant
 - my_list[0...i-1] is sorted
 - my_list[0...i-1] <= my_list[i...N]</p>
- Termination
 - i and j always increment and both reach the end of the list

Selection Sort



- Loop invariant
 - my_list[0...i-1] is sorted
 - my_list[0...i-1] <= my_list[i...N]</p>
- Termination
 - i and j always increment and both reach the end of the list
- So why is it working then?

Selection Sort



- Loop invariant
 - my_list[0...i-1] is sorted
 - my_list[0...i-1] <= my_list[i...N]</p>
- Termination
 - i and j always increment and both reach the end of the list
- So why is it working then?
 - i keep increment till n and we know from invariant 0...i-1 is sorted, thus we will sort the entire list!



- Correctness
- Complexity
 - Time
 - Space



- Correctness
- Complexity
 - Time
 - Space



- Correctness
- Complexity
 - Time
 - Best = $O(N^2)$
 - Worst = O(N^2)
 - Space



- Correctness
- Complexity
 - Time
 - Best = O(N^2) because no matter what we have to find the minimum and cant terminate earlier!
 - Worst = $O(N^2)$
 - Space



- Correctness
- Complexity
 - Time
 - Best = O(N^2) because no matter what we have to find the minimum and cant terminate earlier!
 - Worst = $O(N^2)$
 - Space



- Correctness
- Complexity
 - Time
 - Best = O(N^2) because no matter what we have to find the minimum and cant terminate earlier!
 - Worst = $O(N^2)$
 - Space
 - O(N) for the input list
 - Auxiliary?



- Correctness
- Complexity
 - Time
 - Best = O(N^2) because no matter what we have to find the minimum and cant terminate earlier!
 - Worst = $O(N^2)$
 - Space
 - O(N) for the input list
 - Auxiliary? O(1)



- Correctness
- Complexity
 - Time
 - Best = O(N^2) because no matter what we have to find the minimum and cant terminate earlier!
 - Worst = O(N^2)
 - Space
 - O(N) for the input list
 - Auxiliary? O(1) in place constant space of array



- Correctness
- Complexity
 - Time

 i loop through whole list to be pilot to compare in j loop with rest of the list
 - Best = O(N^2) because no matter what we have to find the minimum and cant terminate earlier!
 - Worst = $O(N^2)$
 - But what if I tell you comparing the items have a cost of O(k)
 - Like comparing between words, you need to compare the alphabets

Selection Sort



- Correctness
- Complexity
 - Time
 - Best = O(N^2) because no matter what we have to find the minimum and cant terminate earlier!
 - Worst = O(N^2)
 - But what if I tell you comparing the items have a cost of O(k)
 - Like comparing between words, you need to compare the alphabets
 - We know complexity is based on comparison O(N^2) comparisons...

loop through list to find the minimum and swap to first position, from then on, find the remaining minimum and swap to position after minimum value position



- Correctness
- Complexity
 - Time
 - Best = O(N^2) because no matter what we have to find the minimum and cant terminate earlier!
 - Worst = $O(N^2)$
 - But what if I tell you comparing the items have a cost of O(k)
 - Like comparing between words, you need to compare the alphabets
 - We know complexity is based on comparison O(N^2) comparisons...
 - So our final complexity is O(kN^2)



- Correctness
- Complexity
- Stable?



- Correctness
- Complexity
- Stable?
 - Relative ordering doesn't change



- Correctness
- Complexity
- Stable?
 - Relative ordering doesn't change
 - Is it stable?



- Correctness
- Complexity
- Stable?
 - Relative ordering doesn't change
 - Is it stable? No! but why?



- Correctness
- Complexity
- Stable?
 - Relative ordering doesn't change
 - Is it stable? No! but why?
 - [4a, 2, 3, 4b, 1]



- Correctness
- Complexity
- Stable?
 - Relative ordering doesn't change
 - Is it stable? No! but why?
 - [4a, 2, 3, 4b, 1]
 - Minimum is 1, so we swap



- Correctness
- Complexity
- Stable?
 - Relative ordering doesn't change
 - Is it stable? No! but why?
 - [4a, 2, 3, 4b, 1] 4a used to be in front of 4a, now 4a after 4b
 - Minimum is 1, so we swap
 - [1, 2, 3, 4b, 4a]



- Correctness
- Complexity
- Stable?
 - Relative ordering doesn't change
 - Is it stable? No! but why?
 - [4a, 2, 3, 4b, 1] during swaping, relative order does not maintain
 - Minimum is 1, so we swap
 - [1, 2, 3, 4b, 4a]
 - Now we see that 4a is behind 4b!



Questions?



- Correctness
- Complexity

Insertion Sort



- Correctness
- Complexity

Problem 1. Write psuedocode for insertion sort, except instead of sorting the elements into non-decreasing order, sort them into non-increasing order. Identify a useful invariant of this algorithm.

Insertion Sort



- Correctness
- Complexity

every time new i comes would compare all the value of the sorted list infront

```
def insertion_sort(my_list):
    for i in range(l, len(my_list)):
        key = my_list[i]
        j = i - 1
        # keep shifting to left if left is greater
        while j >= 0 and key < my_list[j]:
            my_list[j+1] = my_list[j] my_list[1] = my_list[0]
            j = j - 1
        my_list[j+1] = key
            original value of my_list[0] = key</pre>
```



- Correctness
 - Loop invariant
 - Termination
- Complexity

```
def insertion_sort(my_list):
    for i in range(l, len(my_list)):
        key = my_list[i]
        j = i - 1
        # keep shifting to left if left is greater
        while j >= 0 and key < my_list[j]:
            my_list[j+1] = my_list[j]
            j = j - 1
        my_list[j+1] = key</pre>
```



- Correctness
 - Loop invariant
 - Termination
 - Simple, I skip this
- Complexity

```
def insertion_sort(my_list):
    for i in range(l, len(my_list)):
        key = my_list[i]
        j = i - 1
        # keep shifting to left if left is greater
        while j >= 0 and key < my_list[j]:
            my_list[j+1] = my_list[j]
            j = j - 1
        my_list[j+1] = key</pre>
```



- Correctness
 - Loop invariant
 - my_list[0...i-1] sorted
 - Termination
 - Simple, I skip this
- Complexity

```
def insertion_sort(my_list):
    for i in range(l, len(my_list)):
        key = my_list[i]
        j = i - 1
        # keep shifting to left if left is greater
        while j >= 0 and key < my_list[j]:
            my_list[j+1] = my_list[j]
            j = j - 1
        my_list[j+1] = key</pre>
```



- Correctness
- Complexity
 - Best
 - Worst

```
def insertion_sort(my_list):
    for i in range(1, len(my_list)):
        key = my_list[i]
        j = i - 1
        # keep shifting to left if left is greater
        while j >= 0 and key < my_list[j]:
            my_list[j+1] = my_list[j]
            j = j - 1
        my_list[j+1] = key</pre>
```



- Correctness
- Complexity
 - Best O(N) comparison
 - Each loop only look and compare with left item once
 - Worst

```
def insertion_sort(my_list):
    for i in range(l, len(my_list)):
        key = my_list[i]
        j = i - 1
        # keep shifting to left if left is greater
no this step while j >= 0 and key < my_list[j]:
if the list is in order my_list[j+1] = my_list[j]
        j = j - 1
        my_list[j+1] = key</pre>
```



- Correctness
- Complexity
 - Best O(N) comparison
 - Each loop only look and compare with left item once
 - Worst O(N^2)
 - Each loop keep look left, compare and swap till beginning of list

```
def insertion_sort(my_list):
    for i in range(l, len(my_list)):
        key = my_list[i]
        j = i - l
        # keep shifting to left if left is greater
        while j >= 0 and key < my_list[j]:
            my_list[j+l] = my_list[j]
            j = j - l
        my_list[j+l] = key</pre>
```



- Correctness
- Complexity
 - Best O(N) comparison
 - Each loop only look and compare with left item once
 - Worst O(N^2)
 - Each loop keep look left, compare and swap till beginning of list
 - So if O(k) is the comparison cost, when we have O(kN^2) worst case!

```
def insertion_sort(my_list):
    for i in range(l, len(my_list)):
        key = my_list[i]
        j = i - 1
        # keep shifting to left if left is greater
        while j >= 0 and key < my_list[j]:
            my_list[j+1] = my_list[j]
            j = j - 1
        my_list[j+1] = key</pre>
```



- Correctness
- Complexity
 - Best O(N) comparison
 - Each loop only look and compare with left item once
 - Worst O(N^2)
 - Each loop keep look left, compare and swap till beginning of list
- def insertion_sort(my_list):
 for i in range(l, len(my_list)):
 key = my_list[i]
 j = i l
 # keep shifting to left if left is greater
 while j >= 0 and key < my_list[j]:
 my_list[j+l] = my_list[j]
 j = j l
 my_list[j+l] = key</pre>

- So if O(k) is the comparison cost, when we have O(kN^2) worst case!
- What about space?



- Correctness
- Complexity
 - Best O(N) comparison
 - Each loop only look and compare with left item once
 - Worst O(N^2)
 - Each loop keep look left, compare and swap till beginning of list

```
def insertion_sort(my_list):
    for i in range(1, len(my_list)):
        key = my_list[i]
        j = i - 1
        # keep shifting to left if left is greater
        while j >= 0 and key < my_list[j]:
            my_list[j+1] = my_list[j]
            j = j - 1
        my_list[j+1] = key
        compare key with my_list[j] backward
        until a my_list[j] <= key, then insert key my_list[j+1]
        which maintain array is sorted for array[:i]</pre>
```

- So if O(k) is the comparison cost, when we have O(kN^2) worst case!
- What about space?
 - O(N) for the input list
 - O(1) auxiliary cause it is in-place



- Correctness
- Complexity
- Stability

```
def insertion_sort(my_list):
    for i in range(1, len(my_list)):
        key = my_list[i]
        j = i - 1
        # keep shifting to left if left is greater
        while j >= 0 and key < my_list[j]:
            my_list[j+1] = my_list[j]
            j = j - 1
        my_list[j+1] = key</pre>
```



- Correctness
- Complexity
- Stability
 - Yes

```
def insertion_sort(my_list):
    for i in range(l, len(my_list)):
        key = my_list[i]
        j = i - 1
        # keep shifting to left if left is greater
        while j >= 0 and key < my_list[j]:
            my_list[j+1] = my_list[j]
            j = j - 1
        my_list[j+1] = key</pre>
```



- Correctness
- Complexity
- Stability
 - Yes
 - Don't swap if value is the same

```
def insertion_sort(my_list):
    for i in range(l, len(my_list)):
        key = my_list[i]
        j = i - 1
        # keep shifting to left if left is greater
        while j >= 0 and key < my_list[j]:
            my_list[j+1] = my_list[j]
            j = j - 1
        my_list[j+1] = key</pre>
```



- Correctness
- Complexity
- Stability
 - Yes
 - Don't swap if value is the same
 - Most shifting will ensure stability

```
def insertion_sort(my_list):
    for i in range(l, len(my_list)):
        key = my_list[i]
        j = i - l
        # keep shifting to left if left is greater
        while j >= 0 and key < my_list[j]:
            my_list[j+l] = my_list[j]
            j = j - l
        my_list[j+l] = key</pre>
```



Questions?

Sorting



	Best	Worst	Average	Stable?	In- place?
Selection Sort	O(N ²)	$O(N^2)$	O(N ²)	No	Yes
Insertion Sort	O(N)	$O(N^2)$	O(N ²)	Yes	Yes
Heap Sort	O(N log N)	O(N log N)	O(N log N)	No	Yes
Merge Sort	O(N log N)	O(N log N)	O(N log N)	Yes	No
Quick Sort	O(N log N)	O(N ²) – can be made O(N log N)	O(N log N)	Depends	No

Sorting



	Best	Worst	Average	WA	T
Selection Sort	O(N ²)	$O(N^2)$	O(N ²)		
Insertion Sort	O(N)	O(N ²)	O(N ²)		
Heap Sort	O(N log N)	O(N log N)	O(N log N)	WHAT THE	menneyenerator.net
Merge Sort	O(N log N)	O(N log N)	O(N log N)	Yes	No
Quick Sort	O(N log N)	O(N ²) – can be made O(N log N)	O(N log N)	Depends	No

Auxiliary for Recursion



The recursion stack takes up memory!!!

Auxiliary for Recursion



- The recursion stack takes up memory!!!
 - So that is why it isn't in place!

Auxiliary for Recursion



- The recursion stack takes up memory!!!
 - So that is why it isn't in place!
 - If I have recursion log N times, then I take O(log N) space for the recursion alone!
 - If each recursion is k, then my total space is O(k log N)!!!

Auxiliary for Recursion



- The recursion stack takes up memory!!!
 - So that is why it isn't in-place!
 - Iterative is easier to get in-place
 - If I have recursion log N times, then I take O(log N) space for the recursion alone!
 - If each recursion is k, then my total space is O(k log N)!!!

Sorting



	Best	Worst	Average	Stable?	In- place?
Selection Sort	O(N ²)	O(N ²)	O(N ²)	No	Yes
Insertion Sort	O(N)	O(N ²)	O(N ²)	Yes	Yes
Heap Sort	O(N log N)	O(N log N)	O(N log N)	No	Yes
Merge Sort	O(N log N)	O(N log N)	O(N log N)	Yes	No
Quick Sort	O(N log N)	O(N ²) – can be made O(N log N)	O(N log N)	Depends	No

Complexity

Time – Lower Bound



- So... what is the lower bound for the sorting algorithms that we have learnt?
 - Bubble
 - Insertion
 - Selection
 - Quick
 - Merge
- These are all comparison based
- Ω(N log N)
- We will see more of this later



Questions?



Thank you