Linear regression

Machine Learning II (2022-2023) UMONS

1 Exercise 1

Consider the hat matrix $H = X(X^TX)^{-1}X^T$, where X is an n by d+1 matrix, and X^TX is invertible.

- (a) Show that H is symmetric.
- (b) Show that H is a projection matrix, i.e. $H^2 = H$. So \hat{y} is the projection of y onto some space. What is the space?
- (c) Show that $H^k = H$ for any positive integer k.
- (d) If I is the identity matrix of size n, show that $(I H)^k = I H$ for any positive integer k.
- (e) Show that trace(H) = d + 1, where the trace is the sum of diagonal elements. [Hint: trace(AB) = trace(BA)]

Solution

(a) To show H is symmetric, we have to show $H^T = H$.

$$H^{T} = (X(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T})^{T}$$

$$= X(X^{T}X)^{-T}X^{T}$$

$$= X(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}$$

$$= H$$

(b) In the finite-dimensional case, a square matrix P is called a projection matrix if it is equal to its square, i.e., if $P = P^2$.

$$\begin{split} H^2 &= (X(X^TX)^{-1}X^T)(X(X^TX)^{-1}X^T) \\ &= X(X^TX)^{-1}X^TX(X^TX)^{-1}X^T \\ &= X(X^TX)^{-1}X^T \\ &= H \end{split}$$

So, H is a projection matrix. \hat{y} is the projection of y onto the space spanned by X.

(c) We have to show that $H^k = H$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ We will prove that by using induction.

1

- For k = 1, $H^1 = H$.
- For k = 2, $H^2 = H$.
- Consider, it is true for k, $H^k = H$.

• For k = k + 1,

$$H^{k+1} = H^k \cdot H$$

$$= H \cdot H$$

$$= H^2$$

$$= H$$

- (d) If I is the identity matrix of size n, we have to show that $(I H)^k = I H$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$
 - For k = 1, $(I H)^1 = I H$.
 - For k=2,

$$(I - H)^2 = (I - H)(I - H)$$
$$= I - 2H + H^2$$
$$= I - 2H + H$$
$$= I - H$$

- Consider, it is true for k, $(I H)^k = I H$.
- For k+1,

$$(I - H)^{k+1} = (I - H)^k \cdot (I - H)$$

= $(I - H) \cdot (I - H)$
= $(I - H)^2$
= $(I - H)$

(e) We have to prove trace(H) = d + 1,

$$trace(H) = trace(X(X^TX)^{-1}X^T)$$

$$= trace(AB) \quad [where A = X(X^TX)^{-1} \text{ and } B = X^T]$$

$$= trace(BA) \quad [Using Hint]$$

$$= trace(X^TX(X^TX)^{-1})$$

$$= trace(I_{d+1}) \quad [As X is n \times d + 1 matrix]$$

$$= d + 1$$

Consider a noisy target $y = \mathbf{w}^{*T}\mathbf{x} + \epsilon$ for generating the data, where ϵ is a noise term with zero mean and σ^2 variance, independently generated for every example (\mathbf{x}, y) . The expected error of the best possible linear fit to this target is thus σ^2 .

For the data $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_n, y_n)\}$, denote the noise in y_i as ϵ_i and let $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = [\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n]^T$; assume that $X^T X$ is invertible. By following the steps below, show that the expected in-sample error of linear regression with respect to \mathcal{D} is given by

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[E_{in}(\mathbf{w}_{lin})] = \sigma^2 \left(1 - \frac{d+1}{n}\right)$$

- (a) Show that the in-sample estimate of y is given by $\hat{y} = Xw^* + H\epsilon$.
- (b) Show that the in-sample error vector $\hat{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{y}$ can be expressed by a matrix times $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$. What is the matrix?
- (c) Express $E_{in}(\mathbf{w}_{lin})$ in terms of ϵ using (b), and simplify the expression using Exercise 1(d).
- (d) Prove that $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[E_{in}(\mathbf{w}_{lin})] = \sigma^2 \left(1 \frac{d+1}{n}\right)$ using (c) and their independence of $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n$. [**Hint:** The sum of the diagonal elements of a matrix (the trace) will play a role. See Exercise 1(e)]

For the expected out-of-sample error, we take a special case which is easy to analyze. Consider a test data set $\mathcal{D}_{test} = \{(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1'), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_n, y_n')\}$, which shares the same input vector \mathbf{x}_i with \mathcal{D} but with different realization of the noise terms. Denote the noise in y_i' as ϵ_i' and let $\epsilon' = [\epsilon_1', \epsilon_2', \dots, \epsilon_n']^T$. Define $E_{test}(\mathbf{w}_{lin})$ to be the average squared error on \mathcal{D}_{test} .

(e) Prove that
$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}, \epsilon'}[E_{test}(\mathbf{w}_{lin})] = \sigma^2 \left(1 + \frac{d+1}{n}\right)$$
.

The special test error E_{test} is a very restricted case of the general out-of-sample error. Some detailed analysis shows that similar results can be obtained for the general case, as shown in Exercise 3.

Solution

We have,

$$\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)_{i=1}^n \quad [\text{where } \mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \text{ and } y_i \in \mathbb{R}]$$

$$= \{X, \mathbf{y}\} \quad [\text{where } X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d+1} \text{ and } \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}]$$

Then the in-sample error can be written as,

$$E_{in}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - h(\mathbf{x}_i))^2$$
$$= ||\mathbf{y} - X\mathbf{w}||^2$$

Now, for linear regression,

$$\mathbf{w}_{lin} = \hat{\mathbf{w}} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \mathbf{y}$$

Therefore,

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} = X\mathbf{w}_{lin} = X\hat{\mathbf{w}}$$

$$= X((X^TX)^{-1}X^T\mathbf{y})$$

$$= H\mathbf{y}$$

(a) The in-sample error estimate is

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} = H\mathbf{y}$$

$$= H(X\mathbf{w}^* + \boldsymbol{\epsilon})$$

$$= HX\mathbf{w}^* + H\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

$$= (X(X^TX)^{-1}X^T)X\mathbf{w}^* + H\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

$$= X\mathbf{w}^* + H\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

(b) The in-sample error vector $\hat{y} - y$ can be expressed as below.

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} - \mathbf{y} = (X\mathbf{w}^* + H\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) - (X\mathbf{w}^* + \boldsymbol{\epsilon})$$
$$= H\boldsymbol{\epsilon} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$
$$= (H - I)\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

(c)

$$E_{in}(\mathbf{w}_{lin}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \mathbf{w}_{lin}^T \mathbf{x}_i)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} ||\mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{y}}||^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} ||(H - I)\boldsymbol{\epsilon}||^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^T (H - I)^T (H - I) \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^T (H^T - I) (H - I) \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \epsilon^{T} (H - I)(H - I) \epsilon$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \epsilon^{T} (H - I)^{2} \epsilon$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \epsilon^{T} (I - H)^{2} \epsilon$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \epsilon^{T} (I - H) \epsilon \quad \text{[Using Exercise 1(d)]}$$

(d)

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[E_{in}(\mathbf{w}_{lin})] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[\frac{1}{n}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T}(I-H)\boldsymbol{\epsilon}]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}[\frac{1}{n}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T}(I-H)\boldsymbol{\epsilon}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T}\boldsymbol{\epsilon} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T}H\boldsymbol{\epsilon}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n}(\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}] - \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T}H\boldsymbol{\epsilon}])$$

$$= \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}] - \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T}H\boldsymbol{\epsilon}])$$

$$= \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}] - \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}[\text{trace}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T}H\boldsymbol{\epsilon})] \quad [\text{As } \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \text{ is } n \times 1 \text{ matrix and } H \text{ is } n \times n \text{ matrix}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}] - \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}[\text{trace}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T}H)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}] - \frac{1}{n}\text{trace}(\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T}H])$$

$$= \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}] - \frac{1}{n}\text{trace}(\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T}H])$$

Now,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon}[\epsilon^{T} \epsilon] = \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon}[\left(\epsilon_{1} \quad \epsilon_{2} \quad \cdots \quad \epsilon_{n}\right) \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{1} \\ \epsilon_{2} \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{n} \end{pmatrix}]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon}[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_{i}^{2}] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon}[\epsilon_{i}^{2}] = n\sigma^{2}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T}] = \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon}\begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{1} \\ \epsilon_{2} \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{1} & \epsilon_{2} & \cdots & \epsilon_{n} \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon}\begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{1}^{2} & \cdots & \epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \epsilon_{n} \epsilon_{n} & \cdots & \epsilon_{n}^{2} \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^{2} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \sigma^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$=\sigma^2 I_n$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[E_{in}(\mathbf{w}_{lin})] = \frac{1}{n}n\sigma^2 - \frac{1}{n}\mathrm{trace}(\sigma^2 I_n H)$$

$$= \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{n}\mathrm{trace}(\sigma^2 H)$$

$$= \sigma^2 - \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\mathrm{trace}(H)$$

$$= \sigma^2 - \frac{\sigma^2}{n}(d+1) \quad \text{[Using Exercise 1(e)]}$$

$$= \sigma^2 (1 - \frac{(d+1)}{n})$$

(e)

$$\mathcal{D}_{test} = \{ (\mathbf{x}_i, y_i')_{i=1}^n \quad \text{[where } \mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \text{ and } y_i' \in \mathbb{R} \}$$
$$= \{ X, \mathbf{y}' \} \quad \text{[where } X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d+1} \text{ and } \mathbf{y}' \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1} \}$$

So, we have

- For \mathcal{D} , $\mathbf{y} = X\mathbf{w}^* + \epsilon$
- For \mathcal{D}_{test} , $\mathbf{y}' = X\mathbf{w}^* + \epsilon'$

Now,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D},\mathcal{D}_{test}}[E_{test}(\mathbf{w}_{lin})] = \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D},\mathcal{D}_{test}}[||\mathbf{y}' - \hat{\mathbf{y}}||^{2}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}'}[||\mathbf{y}' - \hat{\mathbf{y}}||^{2}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}'}[||X\mathbf{w}^{*} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}' - (X\mathbf{w}^{*} + H\boldsymbol{\epsilon})||^{2}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'}[||\boldsymbol{\epsilon}' - H\boldsymbol{\epsilon}||^{2}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'}[(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}' - H\boldsymbol{\epsilon})^{T}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}' - H\boldsymbol{\epsilon})]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'}[(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'^{T} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T} H^{T})(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}' - H\boldsymbol{\epsilon})]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'}[(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'^{T} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}' - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T} H^{T} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}' - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}'^{T} H\boldsymbol{\epsilon} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T} H^{T} H\boldsymbol{\epsilon})]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} (\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'}[(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'^{T} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}'] - \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T} H^{T} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}'] - \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'^{T} H\boldsymbol{\epsilon}] + \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T} H^{T} H\boldsymbol{\epsilon})])$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} (\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'}[(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'^{T} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}'] + \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T} H\boldsymbol{\epsilon})])$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} (n\sigma^{2}) + \frac{1}{n} (\sigma^{2}(d+1)) = \sigma^{2} \left(1 + \frac{d+1}{n}\right)$$

Using the fact that $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'$ are independent of each other and ϵ_i and ϵ_i' are independent among themselves. Therefore, $\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^T H^T \boldsymbol{\epsilon}'] = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon},\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}'^T H \boldsymbol{\epsilon}] = 0$ and $H^T H = H$ from Exercise 1(c)

Consider the linear regression problem setup in Exercise 2, where the data comes from a genuine linear relationship with added noise. The noise for the different data points is assumed to be iid with zero mean and variance σ^2 . Assume that the 2^{nd} moment matrix $\Sigma = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}}[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T]$ is non-singular. Follow the steps below to show that, with high probability, the out-of-sample error on average is

$$E_{out}(\mathbf{w}_{lin}) = \sigma^2 \left(1 + \frac{d+1}{n} + o(\frac{1}{n}) \right).$$

(a) For a test point \mathbf{x} , show that the error $y - g(\mathbf{x})$ is

$$\epsilon - \mathbf{x}^T (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \epsilon$$

where ϵ is the noise realization for the test point and ϵ is the vector of noise realizations on the data.

(b) Take the expectation with respect to the test point, i.e., \mathbf{x} and ϵ , to obtain an expression for E_{out} . Show that

$$E_{out} = \sigma^2 + \operatorname{trace}(\Sigma(X^T X)^{-1} X^T \epsilon \epsilon^T X (X^T X)^{-1})$$

[Hint: a = trace(a) for any scalar a; trace(AB) = trace(BA); expectation and trace commute.]

- (c) What is $\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon}[\epsilon \epsilon^T]$?
- (d) Take the expectation with respect to ϵ to show that, on average,

$$E_{out} = \sigma^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \operatorname{trace}(\Sigma(\frac{1}{n}X^TX)^{-1}).$$

Note that $\frac{1}{n}X^TX = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i\mathbf{x}_i^T$ is an n-sample estimate of Σ . So $\frac{1}{n}X^TX \approx \Sigma$. If $\frac{1}{n}X^TX = \Sigma$, then what is E_{out} on average?

(e) Show that (after taking the expectation over the data noise) with high probability,

$$E_{out} = \sigma^2 (1 + \frac{d+1}{n} + o(\frac{1}{n})).$$

[Hint: By the law of large numbers $\frac{1}{n}X^TX$ converges in probability to Σ , and so by continuity of the inverse at Σ , $(\frac{1}{n}X^TX)^{-1}$ converges in probability to Σ^{-1} .]

Solution

(a) For a test point \mathbf{x}_i ,

$$y_{i} - g(\mathbf{x}_{i}) = \mathbf{x}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{w}^{*} + \epsilon_{i} - \mathbf{x}_{i}^{T} \hat{\mathbf{w}}$$

$$= \mathbf{x}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{w}^{*} + \epsilon_{i} - \mathbf{x}_{i}^{T} (X^{T}X)^{-1} X^{T} y$$

$$= \mathbf{x}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{w}^{*} + \epsilon_{i} - \mathbf{x}_{i}^{T} (X^{T}X)^{-1} X^{T} (X\mathbf{w}^{*} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon})$$

$$= \mathbf{x}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{w}^{*} + \epsilon_{i} - \mathbf{x}_{i}^{T} (X^{T}X)^{-1} X^{T} X \mathbf{w}^{*} - \mathbf{x}_{i}^{T} (X^{T}X)^{-1} X^{T} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

$$= \mathbf{x}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{w}^{*} + \epsilon_{i} - \mathbf{x}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{w}^{*} - \mathbf{x}_{i}^{T} (X^{T}X)^{-1} X^{T} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

$$= \epsilon_{i} - \mathbf{x}_{i}^{T} (X^{T}X)^{-1} X^{T} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

(b) We can compute E_{out} by taking expectation of $(y_i - g(\mathbf{x}_i))^2$ w.r.t. \mathbf{x}_i and ϵ_i .

$$E_{out} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{i},\epsilon_{i}}[(y_{i} - g(\mathbf{x}_{i}))^{2}]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{i},\epsilon_{i}}[(\epsilon_{i} - \mathbf{x}_{i}^{T}(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}\boldsymbol{\epsilon})^{2}]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{i},\epsilon_{i}}[\epsilon_{i}^{2} - 2\epsilon_{i}\mathbf{x}_{i}^{T}(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}\boldsymbol{\epsilon} + (\mathbf{x}_{i}^{T}(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}\boldsymbol{\epsilon})^{2}]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{i},\epsilon_{i}}[\epsilon_{i}^{2}] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{i},\epsilon_{i}}[2\epsilon_{i}\mathbf{x}_{i}^{T}(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{i},\epsilon_{i}}[(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{T}(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}\boldsymbol{\epsilon})^{2}]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{i},\epsilon_{i}}[\epsilon_{i}^{2}] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{i},\epsilon_{i}}[(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{T}(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}\boldsymbol{\epsilon})^{2}] \qquad [\text{As } \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon_{i}}[\epsilon_{i}] = 0]$$

$$= \sigma^{2} + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{i}}[(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{T}(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}\boldsymbol{\epsilon})^{2}]$$

$$= \sigma^{2} + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{i}}[\text{trace}((\mathbf{x}_{i}^{T}(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}\boldsymbol{\epsilon})^{2})] \qquad [\text{As } (\mathbf{x}^{T}(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}\boldsymbol{\epsilon})^{2} \text{ is a scalar}]$$

$$= \sigma^{2} + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{i}}[(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{T}(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}\boldsymbol{\epsilon})(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T}X(X^{T}X)^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{i})]$$

$$= \sigma^{2} + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{i}}[\text{trace}(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{T}(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T}X(X^{T}X)^{-1}\mathbf{x}_{i})]$$

$$= \sigma^{2} + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{i}}[\text{trace}(\mathbf{x}_{i}\mathbf{x}_{i}^{T}(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T}X(X^{T}X)^{-1})]$$

$$= \sigma^{2} + \text{trace}(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{i}}[\mathbf{x}_{i}\mathbf{x}_{i}^{T}(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T}X(X^{T}X)^{-1}])$$

$$= \sigma^{2} + \text{trace}(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{i}}[\mathbf{x}_{i}\mathbf{x}_{i}^{T}]\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{i}}[(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T}X(X^{T}X)^{-1}])$$

$$= \sigma^{2} + \text{trace}(\Sigma(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T}X(X^{T}X)^{-1})$$

(c)

$$\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^T] = \sigma^2 \times I_n$$

(d) By taking expectation w.r.t. ϵ , we obtain,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon}[E_{out}] = \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon}[\sigma^{2} + \operatorname{trace}(\Sigma(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}\epsilon\epsilon^{T}X(X^{T}X)^{-1})]$$

$$= \sigma^{2} + \operatorname{trace}(\Sigma(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon}[\epsilon\epsilon^{T}]X(X^{T}X)^{-1})$$

$$= \sigma^{2} + \operatorname{trace}(\Sigma(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}\sigma^{2}I_{n}X(X^{T}X)^{-1})$$

$$= \sigma^{2} + \sigma^{2}\operatorname{trace}(\Sigma(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}X(X^{T}X)^{-1})$$

$$= \sigma^{2} + \sigma^{2}\operatorname{trace}(\Sigma(X^{T}X)^{-1})$$

$$= \sigma^{2} + \sigma^{2}\frac{n}{n}\operatorname{trace}(\Sigma(X^{T}X)^{-1})$$

$$= \sigma^{2} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}\operatorname{trace}(\Sigma(X^{T}X)^{-1})$$

$$= \sigma^{2} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}\operatorname{trace}(\Sigma(X^{T}X)^{-1})$$

$$= \sigma^{2} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}\operatorname{trace}(\Sigma(X^{T}X)^{-1})$$

$$= \sigma^{2} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}\operatorname{trace}(\Sigma(X^{T}X)^{-1})$$

$$= \sigma^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \operatorname{trace}(I_{d+1})$$
$$= \sigma^2 + \frac{\sigma^2(d+1)}{n}$$
$$= \sigma^2 \left(1 + \frac{(d+1)}{n}\right)$$

(e)

$$\frac{X^T X}{n} \xrightarrow{P} \Sigma$$
$$(\frac{X^T X}{n})^{-1} \xrightarrow{P} \Sigma^{-1}$$
$$(\frac{X^T X}{n})^{-1} = \Sigma^{-1} + o(1)$$

Now,

$$E_{out} = \sigma^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \operatorname{trace} \left(\Sigma \left(\frac{X^T X}{n} \right)^{-1} \right)$$

$$= \sigma^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \operatorname{trace} \left(\Sigma (\Sigma^{-1} + o(1)) \right)$$

$$= \sigma^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \left[\operatorname{trace} (I_{d+1}) + \operatorname{trace} (\Sigma o(1)) \right]$$

$$= \sigma^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \left[(d+1) + o(1) \right]$$

$$= \sigma^2 (1 + \frac{d+1}{n} + o(\frac{1}{n}))$$

In a regression setting, assume the target function is linear, so $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{w}^*$, and $\mathbf{y} = Z\mathbf{w}^* + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, where the entries in $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ are zero mean, iid with variance σ^2 . In this problem derive the bias and variance as follows.

- (a) Show that the average function is $\bar{g}(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x})$, no matter what the size of the data set. What is the bias?
- (b) What is the variance? [Hint: Exercise 3]

Solution

(a)

$$y_{n} = f(\mathbf{x}) + \epsilon_{n} = \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{w}^{*} + \epsilon$$

$$\mathbf{y} = X \mathbf{w}^{*} + \epsilon$$

$$g^{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^{T} \hat{\mathbf{w}}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{w}} = (X^{T} X)^{-1} X^{T} \mathbf{y}$$

$$\bar{g}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[g^{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[\mathbf{x}^{T} \hat{\mathbf{w}}]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[\mathbf{x}^{T} (X^{T} X)^{-1} X^{T} \mathbf{y}]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[\mathbf{x}^{T} (X^{T} X)^{-1} X^{T} (X \mathbf{w}^{*} + \epsilon)] \quad [\text{where } \mathbf{y} = X \mathbf{w}^{*} + \epsilon]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{w}^{*} + \mathbf{x}^{T} (X^{T} X)^{-1} X^{T} \epsilon)]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon}[\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{w}^{*} + \mathbf{x}^{T} (X^{T} X)^{-1} X^{T} \epsilon)]$$

$$= \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{w}^{*}$$

$$= f(\mathbf{x})$$
Bias
$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}}[(\mathbb{E}_{\epsilon_{i}}[y_{i}] - \bar{g}(\mathbf{x}))^{2}]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}}[(f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}))^{2}]$$

$$= 0$$

(b)

Variance =
$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},\mathcal{D}}[(g^{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[g^{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x})])^{2}]$$

= $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},\mathcal{D}}[(\mathbf{x}^{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{g}(\mathbf{x}))^{2}]$
= $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}[(\mathbf{x}^{T}(\mathbf{x}^{T}\mathbf{x})^{-1}X^{T}\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}^{T}\mathbf{w}^{*})^{2}]$
= $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{e}}[(\mathbf{x}^{T}(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}(X\mathbf{w}^{*} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}) - \mathbf{x}^{T}\mathbf{w}^{*})^{2}]$
= $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{e}}[(\mathbf{x}^{T}(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}X\mathbf{w}^{*} + \mathbf{x}^{T}(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}\boldsymbol{\epsilon} - \mathbf{x}^{T}\mathbf{w}^{*})^{2}]$
= $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{e}}[(\mathbf{x}^{T}(\mathbf{x}^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}\boldsymbol{\epsilon})^{2}]$
= $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{e}}[(\mathbf{x}^{T}(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}\boldsymbol{\epsilon})^{2}]$ [As $(\mathbf{x}^{T}(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}\boldsymbol{\epsilon})^{2}$ is a scalar]
= $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{e}}[\text{trace}((\mathbf{x}^{T}(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}\boldsymbol{\epsilon})(\mathbf{x}^{T}(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}\boldsymbol{\epsilon})^{T})]$
= $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{e}}[(\text{trace}(\mathbf{x}^{T}(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}\boldsymbol{\epsilon})(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T}X(X^{T}X)^{-1}\mathbf{x}))]$
= $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{e}}[\text{trace}((\mathbf{x}^{T}(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}\boldsymbol{\epsilon})(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{T}X(X^{T}X)^{-1}\mathbf{x}))]$

$$\begin{split} &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}[\operatorname{trace}(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^TX(X^TX)^{-1})] \\ &= \operatorname{trace}(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x},\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^TX(X^TX)^{-1}]) \\ &= \operatorname{trace}(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}}[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}[(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^TX(X^TX)^{-1}]]) \\ &= \operatorname{trace}(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}}[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T\sigma^2(X^TX)^{-1}]) \quad [\text{where } \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\boldsymbol{\epsilon}] = \sigma^2I] \\ &= \operatorname{trace}(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}}[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T]\sigma^2(X^TX)^{-1}) \\ &= \sigma^2\operatorname{trace}(\Sigma(X^TX)^{-1}) \\ &= \sigma^2\frac{n}{n}\operatorname{trace}(\Sigma(X^TX)^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\operatorname{trace}(\Sigma(\frac{X^TX}{n})^{-1}) \\ &= \sigma^2\Big(\frac{d+1}{n} + o(\frac{1}{n})\Big) \quad [\text{from Exercise 3(e)}] \end{split}$$

In the text we derived that the linear regression solution weights must satisfy $X^TX\mathbf{w} = X\mathbf{y}$. If X^TX is not invertible, the solution $\mathbf{w}_{lin} = (X^TX)^{-1}X^T\mathbf{y}$ won't work. In this event, there will be many solutions for \mathbf{w} that minimize E_{in} . Here, you will derive one such solution. Let ρ be the rank of X. Assume that the singular value decomposition (SVD) of X is $X = U\Gamma V^T$, where $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times \rho}$ satisfies $U^TU = I_{\rho}$, $V \in \mathbb{R}^{(d+1) \times \rho}$ satisfies $V^TV = I_{\rho}$, and $\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{\rho \times \rho}$ is a positive diagonal matrix.

- (a) Show that $\rho < d + 1$.
- (b) Show that $\mathbf{w}_{lin} = V\Gamma^{-1}U^T\mathbf{y}$ satisfies $X^TX\mathbf{w}_{lin} = X^T\mathbf{y}$, hence is a solution.
- (c) Show that for any other solution that satisfies $X^T X \mathbf{w} = X^T \mathbf{y}$, $\| \mathbf{w}_{lin} \| < \| \mathbf{w} \|$. That is, the solution we have constructed is the minimum norm set of weights that minimize E_{in} .

Solution

(a) We know that, $RANK(X) = \rho$. Now by the property of rank we can write, $RANK(X) = RANK(X^TX)$. X^TX is a $(d+1) \times (d+1)$ matrix and X^TX is not invertible. Therefore,

$$RANK(X^{T}X) < d + 1$$

$$RANK(X) < d + 1$$

$$\rho < d + 1$$

(b) We have $X = U\Gamma V^T$ and $\mathbf{w}_{lin} = V\Gamma^{-1}U^T\mathbf{y}$, then,

$$X^{T}X\mathbf{w}_{lin} = V\Gamma U^{T}U\Gamma V^{T}V\Gamma^{-1}U^{T}\mathbf{y}$$

$$= V\Gamma^{2}\Gamma^{-1}U^{T}\mathbf{y}$$

$$= V\Gamma U^{T}\mathbf{y}$$

$$= (U\Gamma V^{T})^{T}\mathbf{y}$$

$$= X^{T}\mathbf{y}$$

Hence, \mathbf{w}_{lin} is a possible solution.

(c) Let, w be any solution and we can write,

$$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}_{lin} + (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_{lin}) = \mathbf{w}_{lin} + \delta$$

Now,

$$||\mathbf{w}||^{2} = ||\mathbf{w}_{lin} + \delta||^{2}$$

$$= (\mathbf{w}_{lin} + \delta)^{T} (\mathbf{w}_{lin} + \delta)$$

$$= (\mathbf{w}_{lin}^{T} + \delta^{T}) (\mathbf{w}_{lin} + \delta)$$

$$= \mathbf{w}_{lin}^{T} \mathbf{w}_{lin} + \delta^{T} \mathbf{w}_{lin} + \mathbf{w}_{lin}^{T} \delta + \delta^{T} \delta$$

$$= ||\mathbf{w}_{lin}||^{2} + ||\delta||^{2} + \delta^{T} \mathbf{w}_{lin} + \mathbf{w}_{lin}^{T} \delta$$

Now, \mathbf{w} and \mathbf{w}_{lin} both are possible solutions. Therefore,

$$X^{T}X(\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_{lin}) = X^{T}\mathbf{y} - X^{T}\mathbf{y} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow V\Gamma U^T U\Gamma V^T (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_{lin}) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow V\Gamma^2 V^T (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_{lin}) = 0 \quad [\text{As } U^T U = I_{\rho}]$$

$$\Rightarrow \Gamma^{-2} V^T V\Gamma^2 V^T (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_{lin}) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow V^T (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_{lin}) = 0 \quad [\text{As } V^T V = I_{\rho}]$$

Again,

$$\mathbf{w}_{lin}^{T} \delta = \mathbf{w}_{lin}^{T} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_{lin})$$

$$= (V \Gamma^{-1} U^{T} \mathbf{y})^{T} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_{lin})$$

$$= \mathbf{y}^{T} U \Gamma^{-1} V^{T} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_{lin}) \quad [\text{As } V^{T} (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_{lin}) = 0]$$

$$= 0$$

Hence,

$$||\mathbf{w}||^{2} = ||\mathbf{w}_{lin}||^{2} + ||\delta||^{2} + 0 + 0$$
$$= ||\mathbf{w}_{lin}||^{2} + ||\delta||^{2}$$
$$> ||\mathbf{w}_{lin}||^{2}$$

So, \mathbf{w}_{lin} is minimum norm set of weights that minimizes E_{in}

Note: This lab is based on Abu-Mostafa et al., 2012.

References

Abu-Mostafa, Y. S., Magdon-Ismail, M., & Lin, H.-T. (2012). Learning from data. AMLBook.