

HWS

Qiaowei Li

qxell61930

 \neg Goal

$$\neg (\exists x \exists y N(x) \wedge F(x) \wedge D(y) \wedge L(y) \wedge IMT(x, y) \Rightarrow PTBK(y, x))$$

$$1. \exists x \exists y L(y) \wedge G(y) \wedge N(x) \wedge IMT(x, y) \wedge W(x) \Rightarrow PTBK(y, x)$$

$$2. \exists x \exists y \text{ man}(x) \wedge \text{woman}(y) \wedge IMT(x, y) \wedge (T(x) \Rightarrow W(x)) \\ \wedge (D(y) \Rightarrow T(x))$$

$$3. \exists x \exists y \text{ man}(x) \wedge \text{woman}(y) \wedge (F(y) \Rightarrow G(x))$$

$$4. \forall x \text{ Lady}(x) \Rightarrow \text{woman}(x)$$

5

CNF: $L(x) \wedge G(y) \wedge N(x) \wedge \neg \text{IMT}(x, y) \wedge$

$\neg G: \forall x \forall y \quad N(x) \wedge F(x) \wedge D(y) \wedge L(y) \wedge \text{IMT}(x, y) \wedge \neg \text{PTBK}(y, x)$

$\neg G:$
 $N(x)$

$F(x)$

$D(y)$

$L(y)$

$\text{IMT}(x, y)$

$\neg \text{PTBK}(y, x)$

$\neg \exists x \exists y (L(y) \wedge G(y) \wedge N(x) \wedge \text{IMT}(x, y) \wedge W(x)) \vee \text{PTBK}(y, x)$

$\exists x \exists y \neg L(y) \vee \neg G(y) \vee \neg N(x) \vee \neg \text{IMT}(x, y) \vee \neg W(x) \vee \text{PTBK}(y, x)$

$\neg L(b) \vee \neg G(b) \vee \neg N(a) \vee \neg \text{IMT}(a, b) \vee \neg W(a) \vee \text{PTBK}(b, a)$

2. $\exists x \exists y \quad \text{man}(x) \wedge \text{woman}(y) \wedge \text{IMT}(x, y) \wedge (\neg T(x) \vee W(x)) \wedge (\neg D(y) \vee T(x))$

$\text{man}(c) \wedge \text{woman}(d) \wedge \text{IMT}(c, d) \wedge (\neg T(c) \vee W(c)) \wedge (\neg D(d) \vee T(c))$

$\text{man}(c)$

$\text{woman}(d)$

$\text{IMT}(c, d)$

$\neg T(c) \vee W(c)$

$\neg D(d) \vee T(c)$

$$3. \exists x \exists y \text{ man}(x) \wedge \text{woman}(y) \wedge (\neg F(y) \vee G(x))$$

$$\text{man}(e) \wedge \text{woman}(f) \wedge (\neg F(f) \vee G(e))$$

$$\text{man}(e)$$

$$\text{woman}(f)$$

$$\neg F(f) \vee G(e)$$

$$4. \left. \begin{array}{l} \neg L(x) \vee \text{Woman}(x) \\ \text{we have } L(x) \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \text{woman}(x)$$

From 1. we and $\neg G$:

and $\neg G$

$$\neg G(b) \vee \neg W(d)$$

— a

From 2 and $\neg G$

$$W(c) \vee \neg D(c)$$

$$D(y)$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} W(c) \vee \neg D(c) \\ D(y) \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{y/d} W(c) \text{ — b}$$

From 3 and $\neg G$

$$\neg F(f) \vee G(e)$$

$$F(x)$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \neg F(f) \vee G(e) \\ F(x) \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{x/f} G(e) \text{ — c}$$

From a, b, c.

we have when $\text{sub}[b/e, a/c]$, the result $\begin{cases} \neg G(e) \vee \neg W(c) \\ W(c) \\ G(e) \end{cases}$ is contradictory, we can have the conclusion the lady persuade the noble to want to be king.

otherwise the lady may not persuade the noble want to be the king.