

# **AWARENESS, PERCEPTION AND PRACTICE OF COVID 19 PREVENTION AMONG RESIDENTS OF A STATE IN THE SOUTH-SOUTH REGION OF NIGERIA: IMPLICATIONS FOR PUBLIC HEALTH CONTROL EFFORTS**

*Golden Owihonda<sup>1,8</sup>, \*Omosivie Maduka<sup>2,8</sup>, Ifeoma Nwadiuto<sup>1,8</sup>, Charles Tobin-West<sup>2,8</sup>, Esther Azi<sup>3,8</sup>,  
Chibianotu Ojimah<sup>4,8</sup>, Datonye Alasia<sup>5,8</sup>, Ayo-Maria Olofinuka<sup>4,8</sup>, Vetty Agala<sup>6,8</sup>,  
John Nwolim Paul<sup>1,8</sup>, Doris Nria<sup>1,8</sup>, Chinenye Okafor<sup>4,8</sup>, Ifeoma Ndekwu<sup>7,8</sup>, Chikezie Opara<sup>7,8</sup>,  
Chris Newsom<sup>7,8</sup>*

## **Affiliations**

1. Department of Public Health and Disease Control, Rivers State Ministry of Health
2. Department of Preventive and Social Medicine, University of Port Harcourt
3. Department of Community Medicine, Rivers State University
4. World Health Organization, Rivers State Field Office
5. Department of Internal Medicine, University of Port Harcourt
6. Rivers State Hospital Management Board
7. Stakeholder Democracy Network
8. Rivers State Public Health Emergency Operations Centre

## **\*Corresponding Author**

Omosivie Maduka  
Department of Preventive and Social Medicine  
University of Port Harcourt  
PMB 5323  
+234 8033298096  
omosivie.maduka@uniport.edu.ng

## **Acknowledgements**

1. Disease Surveillance and Notification Officers of the Rivers State Primary Health Care Management Board for their role in data collection
2. Stakeholder Democracy Network for funding the survey
3. Technical and Administrative Staff of the Rivers State Public Health Emergency Operations Centre for the key roles they play in the pandemic response

## **Competing Interest**

Authors declare no competing interests

## **Ethics Approval Statement**

Approval to carry out the research was obtained from the ethics review committee of the Rivers State Ministry of Health on the 27<sup>th</sup> of April 2020. Approval document reference Number MH/PRS/391/Vol 2/675

## **Contributorship Statement**

Omosivie Maduka conceptualized the research, wrote the research protocol, the first draft of the data collection tool, and the final manuscript.

Golden Owihonda, Ifeoma Nwadiuto, Omosivie Maduka, Datonye Alasia, Charles Tobin-West, Chinenye Okafor, John Paul Nwolim, and Chibianotu Ojimah reviewed and fine-tuned the research concept, the

research protocol, data collection tools and all drafts of the manuscript.

Omosivie Maduka, Vetty Agala, Esther Azi, Doris Nria, Chibianotu Ojimah, Ifeoma Ndekwu, Chikezie Opara, and Chris Newsom supported the training of the data collectors, supervision of field activities and reviewed the final draft and manuscript.

Omosivie Maduka, Chibianotu Ojimah, Ayo-Maria Olofinuka analyzed the data set, wrote up the results section and critically reviewed the final manuscript.

All authors approved the final draft of the manuscript

## **Funding**

This research was made possible through the collaborative partnership and funding from the Stakeholders Democracy Network, a UK registered Charity. No. 1148143

## **AWARENESS, PERCEPTION AND PRACTICE OF COVID 19 PREVENTION AMONG RESIDENTS OF A STATE IN THE SOUTH-SOUTH REGION OF NIGERIA: IMPLICATIONS FOR PUBLIC HEALTH CONTROL EFFORTS**

## **Abstract**

### **Background**

This research explored awareness, perception, and practice of COVID 19 prevention among residents of communities in all the local government areas (districts) in Rivers State during the early stages of the pandemic response.

### **Design**

This was a descriptive cross-sectional survey which employed an interviewer-administered four-page questionnaire built into the Open Data Kit application for android phones. Knowledge and practice scores were computed by scoring every correct response/action as 1 and wrong responses as 0. Knowledge was graded as excellent for scores of  $\geq 80\%$ , good for scores of 50-79% and poor for scores of  $< 50\%$ . Respondents who washed all critical parts of the hand were categorized as having correct handwashing practice.

### **Setting**

Rivers State in the South-South region of Nigeria had recorded over 2000 cases of COVID 19 as of 18<sup>th</sup> August 2020, ranking 5<sup>th</sup> among the high burden states in Nigeria. As with any epidemic of an infectious nature, panic, fear, and misconceptions are rife. Risk communication utilizes multi-faceted activities geared towards facilitating correct and consistent knowledge and prevention practice.

### **Participants**

Study involved 1,294 adult community residents in the 23 districts of the state.

### **Results**

The respondents were aged between 18 and 80 years with average age of 39.6 years (SD = 11.9 years). A total of 710 (54.9%) were male, 476 (36.8%) were unemployed with 685 (52.9%) having secondary education. Almost all respondents 1,271 (98.2%) had heard about COVID 19. The three most common sources of information about COVID 19 were radio jingles 1102 (86.7%), television adverts 940 (74.0%) and announcements in Church 612 (48.2%). Overall, 608 (47.0%) of the respondents had poor knowledge of COVID 19. About 1167 (90.2%) of the respondents who were aware of COVID 19 acknowledged that COVID 19 is a problem in the state while 443 (34.9%) respondents believed they were unlikely contract the virus. Only 505 (39.0%) of the respondents washed all critical parts of the hand correctly.

## Conclusions

Risk communication interventions during pandemics need to be based on an understanding of the gaps in knowledge, attitude, perceptions, and practice. Broadcast media has a pivotal role to play in risk communication for behaviour change for the control of current and future epidemics in this population.

## Keywords

Covid-19 prevention, knowledge, attitude, practice, public health control

## Introduction

The novel coronavirus disease (COVID 19) caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS – Cov 2) was first found in Wuhan, China in 2019.<sup>1</sup> The disease spread quickly in epic proportions to over 26 countries within eight weeks, prompting the World Health Organization (WHO) to declare it a pandemic on the 11<sup>th</sup> of March 2020.<sup>2</sup> The pandemic has had its toll on virtually every country in the world, including Nigeria, which recorded its 1<sup>st</sup> case in February 2020 and has since gone on to record over 50 000 cases as at 18<sup>th</sup> of August 2020.<sup>3</sup> Rivers State is in the South-South region and a major economic city known for crude oil exploration in the country has had its share of the pandemic, with close to over 2000 cases as at 18<sup>th</sup> of August 2020, following its index case identified on the 25<sup>th</sup> of March 2020 ranking 5<sup>th</sup> among the high burden states in Nigeria.<sup>4</sup>

As with any epidemic of an infectious nature, panic, fear, and misconceptions are rife. This is more so because COVID 19 does not presently have a vaccine or a specific cure.<sup>1</sup> Coronavirus disease is known to be highly infectious but with a low National case fatality rate of 2.1% compared to other epidemic diseases like Ebola virus disease (42.1%) and Lassa Fever disease (20.9%) that have hit the country.<sup>5</sup> A similar picture of misinformation, poor knowledge on disease outbreak and negative behaviours was observed during the Ebola epidemic of 2014, which led to a lot of harmful practices carried out by people to remain safe.<sup>6,7</sup> A study done in China in the early stages of the pandemic showed good knowledge and practice of preventive measures against COVID 19 with 98% of respondents wearing a facemask when going out.<sup>8</sup> However, in Nigeria, while most people identified radio as their main source of information on COVID 19, they attributed the disease to one affecting only the affluent and could not be bothered with the practice of preventive measures in the face of economic hardship during the lockdown.<sup>9,10</sup>

The risk communication pillar of the public health emergency operations centre (PHEOC) is responding to the pandemic through multi-faceted activities relating to community mobilization, mass media,

training of message multipliers, information education and communication (IEC) materials etc. These activities are geared towards facilitating correct and consistent information from experts to communities at risk to enable them to adopt behaviours to prevent and control COVID 19.<sup>12</sup> The efforts at flattening the pandemic curve in the South-South region will ultimately depend on the willingness of people to adopt and maintain public health preventive health practices as advocated during community engagements.

It is therefore critical that a risk assessment is carried out to explore awareness, perception, and practice of COVID 19 prevention among residents of communities in Rivers State. This would advise risk communication activities to facilitate desirable behaviour change for COVID 19 and address rumours and misconceptions gotten as feedback from the survey.

## Methods

This was a descriptive cross-sectional survey which took place among community residents in all 23 Local Government Areas (LGAs) of Rivers State between 18<sup>th</sup> May to 10<sup>th</sup> June 2020. Data was collected by disease surveillance and notifications officers (DSNOs) who are familiar with the terrain and in each LGA and are trained in data collection techniques. A sample size of 1,186 adult respondents was calculated based on the sample size formula for single proportions where the prevalence of good knowledge about COVID 19 was set at 50% (since there is no previously established prevalence), degree of accuracy set at 3% and 10% non-response rate.

The data collection tool was an interviewer-administered four-page questionnaire built into the Open Data Kit application for android phones with GPS tracking. A training was done for the DSNOs on the objectives and tools for the study. Data was exported to Microsoft Excel and analysed with SPSS version 23.

Major outcome variables studied were knowledge of COVID 19, attitude towards COVID 19 and practice of COVID 19 prevention methods. Knowledge score was computed by scoring every correct answer to the knowledge questions as 1 and wrong answers as 0. The total knowledge score was computed, and the average score calculated by dividing it by the total number of knowledge question. Knowledge was then graded as excellent for scores of  $\geq 80\%$ , good for scores of 50-79% and poor for scores of  $< 50\%$ . To compute for handwashing practice, respondents who washed all critical parts of the hand were categorized as having correct handwashing practice, while those who did not were classified as having incorrect handwashing practice. Descriptive statistics was done, and results presented in tables.

## Results

A total of 1,294 persons consented to participate in the study. The respondents aged between 18 and 80 years with 422 (32.6%) aged between 35 and 44 years. The average age was 39.6 years with a standard deviation of 11.9 years. More than half of the respondents 710 (54.9%) were male, more than a third were unemployed 476 (36.8%) with more than half having secondary education as their highest level of education 685 (52.9%). **Table 1**

**Table 1: Socio-demographics characteristics of Study participants**

Variables	Frequency (n=1294)	Percentage (%)
<b>Age Group</b>		
Less than 25 years	110	8.5
25-34 years	347	26.8
35-44 years	422	32.6
45-54 years	260	20.1
55-64 years	120	9.3
65 years and above	35	2.7
<b>Mean±SD</b>	39.6±11.9 years	
Median	38 years	
Mode	36 years	
Range	18-80 years	
<b>Gender</b>		
Male	710	54.9
Female	584	45.1
<b>Occupation</b>		
Senior public servants; professional; manager; large scale traders; businessman; contractors	235	18.2
Intermediate grade public servants and senior schoolteachers	117	9.0
Junior schoolteachers; drivers; artisans	107	8.3
Petty traders; labourers; messengers and similar	359	27.7
Unemployed	476	36.8
<b>Religion</b>		

Christian	1246	96.3
Islam	19	1.5
Traditional	23	1.8
Others	6	0.5
<b>Highest Level of Education</b>		
No formal education	38	2.9
Primary education	120	9.3
Secondary education	685	52.9
Tertiary education	451	34.9

Almost all respondents 1,271 (98.2%) had heard about COVID 19. The three most common sources of information about COVID 19 were radio jingles 1102 (86.7%), television adverts 940 (74.0%) and announcements in Church 612 (48.2%). Only 441 (34.7%) of those who had heard of COVID 19 were aware that the disease was caused by a virus, 299 (23.5%) wrongly associated COVID 19 to causes other than a virus, while 531 (41.8%) reported that they do not know the cause of COVID 19. **Table 2.**

**Table 2: Awareness of COVID 19 in the Rivers State**

Heard of COVID 19 (n=1294)	Frequency (n=1271)	Percentage (%)
No	23	1.8
Yes	1271	98.2
<b>Source of information about COVID 19 (Multiple responses)</b>		
Radio	1102	86.7
Television	940	74.0
Church	612	48.2
Social Media (Facebook, Twitter, WhatsApp)	607	47.8
GSM/SMS	568	44.7
Peers/Friends	523	41.1
Family Member	512	40.3
Town Announcer	501	39.4
Health Facility	490	38.6
Newspaper	410	32.3
Flyer	400	31.5
Health Educator	371	29.2
Neighbourhood	340	26.8
Internet Sites	336	26.4
Market	315	24.8
Journal	222	17.5
Mosque	76	5.9



Others	2	0.2
<b>Causes of COVID 19</b>		
Virus	441	34.7
Causes other than a virus	299	23.5
Do not know	531	41.8

About 1,112 (87.5%) of the respondents who were aware of COVID 19 reported that the virus spreads through contact with a person who is sick of coronavirus disease, 743 (58.5%) reported that it spreads through contact with contaminated surfaces, beddings, or clothing of a person who is sick of coronavirus disease, 727 (57.2%) reported that it spreads through hugging and kissing, 718 (56.5%) reported that it spreads through touching blood, urine, stool or saliva from a person who is sick of coronavirus disease, and 698 (54.9%) reported that it spreads through participation in burial rites of a person who has died from coronavirus disease. Only 36 (2.8%) of the respondents reported that they do not know how COVID 19 spreads.

The three most frequent symptoms of COVID 19 identified by the respondents were fever 1,187 (93.4%) cough 1,134 (89.2%) and difficulty in breathing 1,010 (79.5%). A total of 834 (66.4%) of respondents were aware that the signs and symptoms of COVID 19 manifest in an infected person between 2 to 14 days after contracting the virus, 967 (76.1%) were aware that there is no specific drug treatment for COVID 19, and 1006 (70.2%) were aware that there was no specific vaccine for COVID 19. Overall, 608 (47.0%) of the respondents had poor knowledge of COVID 19, 587 (45.4%) had good knowledge, while only 99 (7.7%) had excellent knowledge about COVID 19. **Table 3**

**Table 3: Respondents knowledge of COVID 19 across the 23 LGAs of Rivers State**

	Frequency (n=1271)	Percentage (%)
<b>How COVID 19 spreads (Multiple responses)</b>		
Contact with a person who is sick of coronavirus disease	1112	87.5
Contact with contaminated surfaces, beddings, clothing of a person who is sick of coronavirus disease	743	58.5
Hugging, kissing	727	57.2
Touching blood, urine, stool, or saliva from a person who is sick of coronavirus disease	718	56.5
Participating in burial rites of a person who has died from coronavirus disease	698	54.9
Sharing sharp objects such as razors, needles e.t.c with a person who has coronavirus disease	562	44.2
Through the air	467	36.7
Through sexual intercourse	331	26.0
From infected animals to man	247	19.4
I do not know	36	2.8

Others	23	1.8
<b>Signs and symptoms of COVID 19 (Multiple responses)</b>		
Fever	1187	93.4
Cough	1134	89.2
Difficulty in breathing	1010	79.5
Sore throat	734	57.7
Weakness	587	46.2
Headache	584	45.9
A general feeling of unwell	442	34.8
Body pain	243	19.1
Diarrhoea	165	13.0
Vomiting	158	12.4
Rash on the body	107	8.4
Sneezing	34	2.7
Others	2	0.2
<b>When signs and symptoms of COVID 19 manifest</b>		
In less than 2 days	39	3.1
Between 2 and 14 days	844	66.4
After 14 days	142	11.2
I do not know	246	19.4
<b>There is specific drug treatment for COVID 19</b>		
No	967	76.1
Yes	47	3.7
I do not know	257	20.2
<b>There is a specific vaccine for COVID 19</b>		
No	1006	79.2
Yes	15	1.2
I do not know	250	19.7
<b>Overall knowledge score</b>		
Poor knowledge	608	47.7
Good knowledge	567	45.5
Excellent knowledge	99	7.8

About 1167 (90.2%) of the respondents who were aware of COVID 19 acknowledged that COVID 19 is a problem in the state because; It has no cure 817 (70.0%), it's highly infectious 797 (68.3%), It's a deadly disease 788 (67.5%), and creates a lot of panic 779 (66.8). Those who did not consider COVID 19 a problem reported that it is just being exaggerated 64 (50.4%), that people just want to make money with coronavirus intervention 52 (40.9%), and that they do not believe that there are cases of coronavirus 47 (37.0%).

Four hundred and forty-three (34.9%) of the respondents believed they cannot contract the virus, while

801 (63.0%) acknowledged that the government is doing enough to contain the virus. Most respondents would go to the hospital 905 (71.2%) or call the coronavirus help number 868 (68.3%) if they develop signs and symptoms of COVID 19. A total of 743 (58.5%) of the respondents had heard of the coronavirus helplines but only 116 (15.6%) of them could make it readily available when requested for. Also, 522 (41.1%) had heard of the NCDC coronavirus information website/social media account, but only 53 (10.2%) of them could make it readily available when requested for. A total of 833 (65.5%) of the respondents claimed they were comfortable living or working with a person treated for COVID 19. **Table 4**

**Table 4: Attitude of respondents towards the COVID 19 outbreak in Rivers State**

	Frequency (n=1271)	Percentage (%)
<b>COVID 19 is a problem</b>		
No	127	9.8
Yes	1167	90.2
<b>Reasons why COVID 19 is not a problem (n=127; Multiple responses)</b>		
It is just being exaggerated	64	50.4
People just want to make money with coronavirus intervention	52	40.9
I do not believe that there are cases of coronavirus	47	37.0
There are only a few cases	30	23.6
Others	8	6.3
<b>Reasons why COVID 19 is a problem (n=1167; Multiple responses)</b>		
It has no cure	817	70.0
It is highly infectious	797	68.3
It is a deadly disease	788	67.5
It creates a lot of panic	779	66.8
It is an attack from the Western world	288	24.7
Others	125	10.7
<b>I can contract COVID 19</b>		
No	443	34.9
Yes	676	53.2
I do not know	152	12.0
<b>Government is doing enough to contain the COVID 19 outbreak</b>		
No	258	20.3
Yes	801	63.0
I do not know	212	16.7
<b>What I will do when I develop signs and symptoms of COVID 19 (Multiple responses)</b>		
Go to the hospital	905	71.2
Call the coronavirus help number	868	68.3
Stay at home	328	25.8
Pray	141	11.1

Treat myself	52	4.1
Go to a traditional healer	47	3.7
Go to religious centre	32	2.5
Hide	15	1.2
Do nothing	8	0.6
Others	10	0.8
<b>What I will advise a family member, relative or neighbour who develops signs and symptoms of COVID 19 (Multiple responses)</b>		
Go to the hospital	892	70.2
Call the coronavirus help number	869	68.4
Stay at home	324	25.5
Pray	153	12
Go to a traditional healer	55	4.3
Treat myself	47	3.7
Go to religious centre	28	2.2
Hide	17	1.3
Do nothing	5	0.4
Others	6	0.5
<b>I would live or work with a person with COVID-19 who has been treated, tested negative and discharged</b>		
No	437	34.4
Yes	833	65.5
<b>Heard of the coronavirus helpline(s)</b>		
No	528	41.5
Yes	743	58.5
<b>Correctly gave the helpline(s) (n=743)</b>		
No	627	84.4
Yes	116	15.6
<b>Heard of NCDC coronavirus information, website, or social media account</b>		
No	749	58.9
Yes	522	41.1
<b>Correctly gave the website (n=522)</b>		
No	469	89.8
Yes	53	10.2

Among the respondents who had heard of COVID 19, 1150 (90.5%) reported that they prevent COVID 19 by practising regular handwashing with soap and water, 1081 (85.1%) by maintaining physical distance, 857 (67.4%) by regular use of hand sanitizer, 815 (64.1%) by avoiding crowded places/events. During the demonstration of handwashing, only 505 (39.0%) of the respondents washed all critical parts of the hand correctly. **Table 5**

**Table 5: Practice for prevention of COVID 19 transmission**

	Frequency (n=1271)	Percentage (%)
<b>How you prevent yourself from getting COVID 19 (Multiple responses)</b>		
Regular handwashing with soap and water	1150	90.5
By keeping your distance from any person with suspected coronavirus disease	1081	85.1
Regular use of hand sanitizer	857	67.4
By avoiding crowded places/events	815	64.1
By staying at home	681	53.6
Regular handwashing with water only	105	8.3
Regular gargling/drinking of water	66	5.2
By drinking concoctions (lime, ginger, garlic, etc)	65	5.1
Taking chloroquine	59	4.6
Going for special prayers	49	3.9
Eating bitter kola	42	3.3
Avoid eating bushmeat	35	2.8
Bathing with saltwater	25	2.0
Others	16	1.3
I do not know	14	1.1
<b>Washed all critical parts of the hand (n=1294)</b>		
No	789	61.0
Yes	505	39.0

## Discussion

It was heart-warming that awareness about COVID 19 infection among community-based residents in the State was high, a few months after the index case of the disease was recorded. This was an affirmation of broadcast media (radio and television) as the most viable channel for risk communication within the State. Radio and Television as potent sources of information for the people and stand out as channels for epidemic response efforts. They should therefore be engaged early and effectively. The high level of awareness of COVID 19 found in this study, was similar to that obtained in China early in the outbreak,<sup>8</sup> but contrasted significantly from other studies in Nigeria, where COVID 19 awareness remained poor several weeks after the pandemic.<sup>9,10</sup> This observed awareness was closely followed by good knowledge scores on COVID 19 transmission, signs, and symptoms of the disease such as fever, cough and breathing difficulties in more than half of study respondents. This is also commendable

because knowledge of modes of disease transmission and signs and symptoms of a disease is the first proactive step in understanding the measures of disease prevention and control, otherwise known as primary prevention. Nevertheless, the good knowledge was not observed for slightly less than half of respondents and did not sufficiently translate to good prevention practices among the people. This was because while regular hand washing was reported to have been practised by most respondents, only a third of the respondents satisfactorily demonstrated all handwashing steps. This supports the assertion that knowledge does not always automatically translate into good practice without going through the structured stages of declarative, procedural, and autonomous processes involved in the transition from knowledge to practice.<sup>11</sup> The finding has huge implications for the prevention and control of the epidemic in the state and therefore cannot be glossed over by the Risk Communication Pillar of the State Public Health Emergency Response. It suggests a paradigm shift in the risk communication process in reprogramming its communication strategies to include skills based packages, in order to make any significant, meaningful impacts in healthful behaviour change among the people concerning the COVID 19 virus transmission truncation in the state.

Also, found was the disturbing fact that a third of participants responded with a stigmatizing attitude towards persons who have been treated for COVID 19. This was not peculiar to our study alone as other researchers have reported similar occurrences in their environments.<sup>12,13</sup> The fact that the disease is new, with many unknowns and the fact people are often afraid of the unknown, evokes the fear factor among individuals, including community dwellers. It is therefore understandable why there is confusion, anxiety, and fear among the public. Unfortunately, however, these factors are also fuelling harmful stereotypes and labels of individuals, which in turn drive discrimination and loss of status because of a perceived link with the disease.<sup>12</sup> The aftermath of which can drive the epidemic underground by making people hide the illness to avoid discrimination, prevent people from seeking health care immediately and discourage them from adopting healthy behaviours. All of these can result in more severe health problems in the State by increasing the difficulties of controlling the disease outbreak.

Another salient issue that might have promoted stigma and discrimination was the unprofessional manner the print and broadcast media portrayed the disease at inception, as a highly infectious and deadly disease. And this created a lot of panic in the minds of the people as many persons developed the perception that the disease as a huge problem. This thus created a paradox in the COVID 19 risk communication in the State and further underscores the importance of periodic media engagement and capacity building in ethical reporting and accurate information dissemination since the media are critical

partners in disease outbreak response.<sup>14</sup>

This study brings to the fore the relevance of adopting skills-based approaches in health risk communication and the timely and effective media engagement on ethical reporting of health matters during disease outbreaks to mitigate stereotyping, stigmatization and discrimination, all which have severe implications for epidemic prevention and control.<sup>15</sup> It is therefore recommended that the Risk Communication Pillar of the Public Health Emergency Operations Centre for COVID 19 in Rivers State reprogramme its communication strategy to include sufficient practice elements that demonstrate the correct COVID 19 prevention methods like hand hygiene, social distancing and appropriate use of face masks.

The study was of a cross-sectional design which was based on self-reporting and therefore subject to information bias, whereby respondents might choose not to give information as required. However, the large sample size and spread of respondents across all the LGAs of the state make this a relevant piece of research.

## Conclusion

Risk communication interventions during pandemics need to be based on an understanding of the gaps in knowledge, attitudes, perceptions, and practice. Patronised communication channels such as broadcast media should be maximally utilized to foster behaviour change communication for the control of current and future epidemics.

## References

1. World Health Organization; Coronavirus [Internet]. Health Topics. 2020 [cited 2020 Sep 4]. Available from: [https://www.who.int/health-topics/coronavirus#tab=tab\\_1](https://www.who.int/health-topics/coronavirus#tab=tab_1)
2. World Health Organization; WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19 [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Sep 4]. Available from: <https://www.google.com/search?client=firefox-b-d&q=Organization+WH.+WHO+Director->

General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-

19%5BInternet%5D.+%5Bcited+2020+Aug+19%5D.+Available+from%3A+https%3A%2F%2Fwww.who.int%2Fdg%2Fspeeches%2Fdetail%2Fwh

3. Nigeria Centre for Disease Control. Daily Covid 19 Situation Report for Nigeria [Internet]. Situation Reports. 2020 [cited 2020 Sep 4]. Available from: [https://www.google.com/search?client=firefox-b-d&ei=QppSX47dA8HdtAa78L\\_YCQ&q=Control+NC+for+D.+Daily+Covid+19+Situation+Report+for+Nigeria+%5BInternet%5D.+2020+%5Bcited+2020+Aug+21%5D.+Available+from%3A+https%3A%2F%2Fncdc.gov.ng%2Fdiseases%2Fsitreps%2F%3F](https://www.google.com/search?client=firefox-b-d&ei=QppSX47dA8HdtAa78L_YCQ&q=Control+NC+for+D.+Daily+Covid+19+Situation+Report+for+Nigeria+%5BInternet%5D.+2020+%5Bcited+2020+Aug+21%5D.+Available+from%3A+https%3A%2F%2Fncdc.gov.ng%2Fdiseases%2Fsitreps%2F%3F)
4. Rivers Public Health Emergency Operations Centre. <https://twitter.com/riverspheoc> - Google Search [Internet]. Situation Reports. [cited 2020 Sep 4]. Available from: [https://www.google.com/search?client=firefox-b-d&ei=uJpSX7DIEZbWtAav9p-oDQ&q=https%3A%2F%2Ftwitter.com%2Friverspheoc&oq=https%3A%2F%2Ftwitter.com%2Friverspheoc&gs\\_lcp=CgZwc3ktYWlQA1DAcljAcMDdeGgAcAB4AlABYQKIackCkgEDMy0xmAEDoAEBqgEHZ3dzLXdpesABAQ&sclient=p](https://www.google.com/search?client=firefox-b-d&ei=uJpSX7DIEZbWtAav9p-oDQ&q=https%3A%2F%2Ftwitter.com%2Friverspheoc&oq=https%3A%2F%2Ftwitter.com%2Friverspheoc&gs_lcp=CgZwc3ktYWlQA1DAcljAcMDdeGgAcAB4AlABYQKIackCkgEDMy0xmAEDoAEBqgEHZ3dzLXdpesABAQ&sclient=p)
5. Nigeria Centre for Disease Control. Weekly Situation Reports [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Sep 4]. Available from: <https://ncdc.gov.ng/reports/weekly>
6. Iliyasu G, Ogoina D, Otu AA, Dayyab FM, Ebenso B, Otokpa D, et al. A Multi-Site Knowledge Attitude and Practice Survey of Ebola Virus Disease in Nigeria. Chowell G, editor. PLoS One [Internet]. 2015 Aug 28 [cited 2020 Sep 4];10(8):e0135955. Available from: <https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0135955>
7. Buli BG, Mayigane LN, Oketta JF, Soumouk A, Sandouno TE, Camara B, et al. Misconceptions about Ebola seriously affect the prevention efforts: KAP related to Ebola prevention and treatment in Kouroussa Prefecture, Guinea. Pan Afr Med J. 2015;22(Suppl 1):11.
8. Zhong BL, Luo W, Li HM, Zhang QQ, Liu XG, Li WT, et al. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices towards COVID-19 among Chinese residents during the rapid rise period of the COVID-19 outbreak: A quick online cross-sectional survey. Int J Biol Sci. 2020;16(10):1745–52.
9. Edet CK, Wegbom AI, Kiri VA. Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of Clients towards COVID-19 at



- Primary Healthcare Facilities in Rivers State, Nigeria. Res Sq. 2020;
10. Bedrosian SR, Young CE, Smith LA, Cox JD, Manning C, Pechta L, et al. Lessons of Risk Communication and Health Promotion — West Africa and United States. MMWR Suppl [Internet]. 2016 Jul 8 [cited 2020 Sep 4];65(3):68–74. Available from: [http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/65/su/su6503a10.htm?s\\_cid=su6503a10\\_w](http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/65/su/su6503a10.htm?s_cid=su6503a10_w)
  11. Green LA, Seifert CM. Translation of research into practice: Why we can't "just do it." J Am Board Fam Pract. 2005;18(6):541–5.
  12. Ramaci T, Barattucci M, Ledda C, Rapisarda V. Social Stigma during COVID-19 and its Impact on HCWs Outcomes. Sustainability [Internet]. 2020 May 8 [cited 2020 Sep 4];12(9):3834. Available from: <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/12/9/3834>
  13. Bruns DP, Kraguljac NV, Bruns TR. COVID-19: Facts, Cultural Considerations, and Risk of Stigmatization. J Transcult Nurs. 2020 Jul 1;31(4):326–32.
  14. Maduka O, Ikpe A, Tella A, Onyekwere N, Tobin-West C, Ywoga D. Partnering with broadcast and print media for risk communication: EVD control in Rivers State, Nigeria. Perspect Public Health [Internet]. 2015 Nov 5;135(6):282–3. Available from: <http://rsh.sagepub.com/cgi/doi/10.1177/1757913915606662>
  15. Maduka O, Maleghemi S, Komakech W, Nwaduito I, Green P, Ikpe A, et al. Effective risk communication and contact tracing for Ebola virus disease prevention and control – Experiences from Port Harcourt, Nigeria. Public Health [Internet]. 2016 Jun 11 [cited 2016 Mar 15];135:140–3. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26976486>