

## **Short report:**

### **Network Graph Representation of COVID-19 Scientific Publications to Aid Knowledge Discovery**

George Cernile,<sup>^</sup> Trevor Heritage,<sup>^</sup> Neil J Sebire, Ben Gordon, Taralyn Schwering,<sup>^</sup> Shana Kazemlou,<sup>^</sup> Yulia Borecki<sup>^</sup>

Health Data Research UK (HDR UK) and Inspirata Inc<sup>^</sup>

## **Correspondence**

Prof NJ Sebire

HDRUK, Wellcome Trust, Euston Road, London UK

neil.sebire@hdruk.ac.uk

**Key words:** COVID-19, graph database, graph network, publication

## **Abstract**

**Introduction:** Numerous scientific journal articles have been rapidly published related to COVID-19 making navigation and understanding of relationships difficult.

**Methods:** A graph network was constructed from the publicly available COVID-19 database of COVID-19-related publications using an engine leveraging medical knowledgebases to identify discrete medical concepts and an open source tool (Gephi) used to visualise the network.

**Results:** The network shows connections between disease, medication and procedures identified from title and abstracts of 195,958 COVID-19 related publications (COVID-19 Dataset). Connections between terms with few publications, those unconnected to the main network and those irrelevant were not displayed. Nodes were coloured by knowledgebase and node size related to the number of publications containing the term. The dataset and visualisations made publicly accessible via a webtool.

**Conclusion:** Knowledge management approaches (text mining and graph networks) can effectively allow rapid navigation and exploration of entity interrelationships to improve understanding of diseases such as COVID-19.

**150 words**

## Introduction

There is urgency to accelerate research that can help contain the spread of the COVID-19 epidemic; to ensure that those affected are promptly diagnosed and receive optimal care, and to support research priorities in a way that leads to the development of global research platforms in preparation for the next disease epidemic; thus, allowing for accelerated research, R&D for diagnostics, therapeutics and vaccines and their timely access. In view of the urgency of this outbreak, the international community is mobilising to find ways to significantly accelerate the development of interventions.[1] Experts have identified key knowledge gaps and research priorities and shared scientific data on ongoing research, thereby accelerating the generation of critical scientific information to contribute to control the COVID 19 emergency.[2]

However, the pace and volume of research means that it is hard to stay up to date with the growing body of new scientific papers about the disease and the novel coronavirus that causes it. To mitigate this, many organisations are hosting digital collections holding thousands of freely available papers that can help researchers quickly find the information they seek. By one estimate, the COVID-19 literature published since January has reached more than 200,000 papers and is doubling every 30 days; among the biggest episodes of disease specific publication of scientific literature ever.[3]

One approach to navigating and searching such knowledge collections is through graph databases, which represent the connections between the semantic concepts with nodes, edges and other properties of the data. This allows semantic queries to search across the dataset to find relationships between papers on any set of data points. Such a graph displayed in a visualization tool gives an interactive overview of the nodes and connections between the concepts across the papers and allows one to move around and focus on what is interesting to the researcher.[4]

The aim of this short report is to demonstrate the feasibility of using a network graph approach for rapid navigation of the COVID-19 literature in a publicly available format and to present an openly available tool for exploring a COVID-19 knowledge dataset.

## Methods

The COVID-19 data set is a rapidly increasing open source collection of scholarly articles related to the corona virus.[5] As of the date August 8, 2020, the dataset consists of 207,311 papers from over 16,000 sources. Articles available include title, abstract, authors, source, publication date, and in some cases full text.[6]

We used a proprietary natural language processing (NLP) and artificial intelligence (AI) engine that leverages the approach of heuristic segmentation (a fast heuristic search algorithm) and a knowledge-driven approach for concept identification, context determination, inferencing and extraction of corresponding values and units. The engine works with domain specific knowledgebases of clinical terms, concepts and rules that are tailored to the data to be extracted.[7]

In this study, we used a collection of ten knowledgebases consisting of a core knowledgebase and nine domain specific knowledgebases that were built using UMLS terms and updated with recently added terms specific to COVID-19: Core Oncology knowledgebase, Pharmacological substance (medications) (T121), Virus (T005), Therapeutic or preventative procedure (T061). Sign or symptom (T184), Disease or syndrome (T047), Gene or genome (T028), Immunologic factor (T129), Finding (T033), Body part, organ or organ component (T023).[8]

The title and abstract sections of all papers in the CORD-19 dataset were processed against the various knowledge sources to extract discrete data from each paper and stored in a database. Along with the discrete data, the following metadata was also stored: Cord uid (the unique identifier), Title, Abstract, Body text, Publication date, URL, Authors, Journal, KB – which of the 10 available knowledge sources was used to extract this term, Term category or question; ie: medication, virus, symptom, Paper Id – id of the paper in the CORD-19 dataset from which the term was extracted, Source section – either title or abstract. Generic terms were determined to have little significance for example, “Air”, “Water”, “Virus” and these were removed from the set of extracted concepts.

Networks created with the entire set of results and all the knowledge sources are very large with too many terms to visualize details in the data. For this reason, a subset of the data was selected to enable meaningful visual exploration by selecting a subset of the knowledge sources, paper sections and publication year for each network based on specific medical themes, e.g. treatments, cardiology etc. Duplicate terms (same term found in multiple knowledge sources) were consolidated to remove redundant data. For example, “obesity” is included in both the “Symptoms and Side Effects” and the “Disease or Syndrome” knowledge sources.

For each term found in a paper, a link was created to every other term in the same paper. The culmination of these links for all papers resulted in the network structure where the weight of a connection between any two terms was determined by the number of papers linking the terms. Additional filtering was performed to refine the scope of the network and removal of noise; links with low weights were removed, as were links with terms that were disconnected from the rest of the network.

The open-source software tool Gephi was used to create a visualisation of the network using the collections of terms and connections that made up the network structure.[9] Network nodes were coloured based on the knowledge source, node sizes are proportional to the frequency of each term, the connection weight (edge thickness) was based on the number of associated papers. The networks were exported and visualised in an HTML website using the Sigma JS JavaScript library.

## Results

A total of 207,311 publications from the CORD-19 dataset were processed using the NLP engine. In total 3,357,328 total entities were extracted from 195,958 of these papers consisting of 44,494 unique terms. Four network graphs were generated using this extracted data: Cardiological Diseases, Lung Diseases, Title Network, Treatment Network.(Figure; <https://nlp.inspirata.com/networkvisualisations/treatmentnetwork/#>). The filters applied to

create each of the networks and the number of terms, edges and papers involved in each network is displayed in the Table.

## Discussion

Recently there have been several initiatives to explore knowledge graphs in medical data and with some applied to aspects of COVID-19 associated published literature.[10,11] This study has demonstrated the feasibility of using a graph database approach to create a targeted concept association networks as an interactive way to allow users to easily navigate the rapidly growing COVID-19 related literature, and particularly as a way of understanding and exploring the relationships between the key concepts within this corpus of literature articles.

This approach is applicable to any collection of scientific literature such as PubMed or Clinical Trials.gov, or proprietary document management systems. Specific lexical terms and knowledge sources can be utilized from the UMLS collection or other publicly available sources and imported for use with NLP/AI Engines.

One constraint is that the network size increases as more knowledge sources are added. As a consequence, methods for pruning the network to enable visual exploration are required. As the density of the network increased it becomes more difficult to interactively explore without a-priori knowledge of the specific knowledge sources. Another limitation is that the network only shows the first level connections or the direct connection between papers and concepts. It does not find connections between concepts that span several papers – although this can be achieved by traversing the network visually.

We addressed these limitations of network size and the search for deep connections by implementing a breadth-first search on the network structure. The search is efficient and can be applied across very large networks, even when all the knowledge sources are used simultaneously. This approach can find the shortest path connections (the trail of papers) between any concepts.

This study has demonstrated that an approach using graph databases and network analysis can be developed rapidly and is a useful approach for understanding large volumes of medical literature, quickly grasping the current state of our knowledge, and discovering previously unknown or unnoticed relationships between emerging medical concepts. The unusual circumstances of a global pandemic have given rise to assembly of an unprecedented volume of medical literature and this work demonstrates a powerful approach to condensing the literature into insights that help us fight this disease. Further development of this approach will enable ongoing analysis and deep searching of large collections of literature, such as PubMed, and application to other disease areas, as well as for target or biomarker discovery.[12–14]

## References

- 1 Kambhampati SBS, Vaishya R, Vaish A. Unprecedented surge in publications related to COVID-19 in the first three months of pandemic: A bibliometric analytic report. *J Clin Orthop Trauma* Published Online First: 2020. doi:10.1016/j.jcot.2020.04.030
- 2 Coronavirus disease 2019. <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019> (accessed 3 May 2020).
- 3 Brainard J. Scientists are drowning in COVID-19 papers. Can new tools keep them afloat? *Science* (80- ) Published Online First: 13 May 2020. doi:10.1126/science.abc7839
- 4 Fensel D, Şimşek U, Angele K, et al. *Knowledge Graphs*. 2020. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-37439-6
- 5 COVID-19 Open Research Dataset Challenge (CORD-19) | Kaggle. <https://www.kaggle.com/allen-institute-for-ai/CORD-19-research-challenge> (accessed 12 Oct 2020).
- 6 [PDF] CORD-19: The Covid-19 Open Research Dataset | Semantic Scholar. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/CORD-19%3A-The-Covid-19-Open-Research-Dataset-Wang-Lo/4a10dffca6dcce9c570cb75aa4d76522c34a2fd4> (accessed 12 Oct 2020).
- 7 Inspirata Launches Cloud-Based Cancer and Clinical Data Extraction Software Service. <https://www.inspirata.com/inspirata-launches-nlp-on-demand/> (accessed 12 Oct 2020).
- 8 Unified Medical Language System (UMLS). <https://www.nlm.nih.gov/research/umls/index.html> (accessed 12 Oct 2020).
- 9 Gephi - The Open Graph Viz Platform. <https://gephi.org/> (accessed 12 Oct 2020).
- 10 Domingo-Fernández D, Baksi S, Schultz B, et al. COVID-19 Knowledge Graph: a computable, multi-modal, cause-and-effect knowledge model of COVID-19 pathophysiology. *Bioinformatics* Published Online First: 2020. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa834
- 11 Das D, Katyal Y, Verma J, et al. Information Retrieval and Extraction on COVID-19 Clinical Articles Using Graph Community Detection and Bio-BERT Embeddings. *ACL 2020 Work NLP-COVID* 2020.
- 12 Shi L, Li S, Yang X, et al. Semantic Health Knowledge Graph: Semantic Integration of Heterogeneous Medical Knowledge and Services. *Biomed Res Int* Published Online First: 2017. doi:10.1155/2017/2858423
- 13 Sharma S, Santosh TYSS, Santra B, et al. Incorporating domain knowledge into medical NLI using knowledge graphs. In: *EMNLP-IJCNLP 2019 - 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing, Proceedings of the Conference*. 2020. doi:10.18653/v1/d19-1631

- 14 Xu J, Kim S, Song M, *et al.* Building a PubMed knowledge graph. *Sci Data* Published Online First: 2020. doi:10.1038/s41597-020-0543-2

## Tables

**Table 1:** Extracted concepts from CORD-19 dataset by Knowledgebase (semantic type) showing the number of unique terms found and the total number of extracted values from each knowledge base, as well as the number of papers containing terms from that knowledge base, and percentage coverage across the entire dataset.

KB	Unique Terms	Extracted Concepts	Papers	Coverage
Body parts	1,332	172,438	77,400	37%
Core KB	1,434	338,552	102,037	49%
Disease or Syndrome	7,195	507,819	152,402	73%
Finding	5,580	526,433	145,504	70%
Genome	9,395	419,413	86,073	41%
Immunologic factor	1,845	130,996	45,912	22%
Pharmacological Substance	2,599	58,308	30,494	15%
Symptoms and Side Effects	8,883	630,116	144,063	69%
Therapeutic or Preventive Procedure	4,923	332,260	111,277	54%
Virus	1,308	240,993	84,325	41%
<b>Total</b>	<b>44,494</b>	<b>3,357,328</b>	<b>195,958</b>	<b>94%</b>



**Table 2:** Filters applied to four networks with links to visualizations showing the filters and the number of terms, edges and papers involved in each network.

	Title Network	Treatment Network	Lung Diseases	Cardio Diseases
<b>Knowledge Baes</b>	ALL	Therapeutic or prevention procedure; Pharmacological Substances; Virus	Symptoms and Side Effects; Disease or Syndrome; Virus	Symptoms and Side Effects; Disease or Syndrome; Virus
<b>Sections</b>	Title	Title; Abstract	Title; Abstract	Title; Abstract
<b>Publication Years</b>	2020	2015-2020	2015-2020	2015-2020
<b>Edge Weight cutoff</b>	5	5	10	25
<b>Manual Filtering</b>	no	yes	yes	yes
<b># papers</b>	34,032	36,801	52,258	35,282
<b># nodes (terms)</b>	2,586	206	86	49
<b># edges (connections)</b>	16,735	1,739	994	277
<b>Link</b>	<a href="https://nlp.inspirata.com/NetworkVisualisations/TitleNetwork/">https://nlp.inspirata.com/NetworkVisualisations/TitleNetwork/</a>	<a href="https://nlp.inspirata.com/NetworkVisualisations/TreatmentNetwork/">https://nlp.inspirata.com/NetworkVisualisations/TreatmentNetwork/</a>	<a href="https://nlp.inspirata.com/NetworkVisualisations/LungNetwork/">https://nlp.inspirata.com/NetworkVisualisations/LungNetwork/</a>	<a href="https://nlp.inspirata.com/NetworkVisualisations/CardioNetwork/">https://nlp.inspirata.com/NetworkVisualisations/CardioNetwork/</a>

**Figure.** Example network graphs including High density network showing all concepts associated with COVID-19 (Top) and treatment map for COVID-19 (Bottom)

