# The Characteristics of Health Care Workers with COVID-19 and Relationship Between COVID-19 Mortality and BCG/Tuberculosis History: a multi-center study

#### **Authors**

Torun  $\S^1$ , Özkaya  $\S^2$ ,  $\S$ en  $N^3$ , Kanat  $F^4$ , Karaman  $I^5$ , Yosunkaya  $\S^6$ , Dikiş Ö  $\S^7$ , Asan  $A^8$ , Eroğlu S  $A^9$ , Atal S  $S^{10}$ , Ayten Ö $^{10}$ , Aksel  $N^{11}$ , Ermiş  $H^{12}$ , Özçelik  $N^{13}$ , Demirelli  $M^{14}$ , Kara İ $^{15}$ , Sümer  $\S^{16}$ , Marakoğlu  $K^{17}$ , Üzer  $F^{18}$ , Uyar  $Y^{19}$ , Çiçek  $T^{20}$ , Ünsal Z  $E^3$ , Vatansev  $H^{21}$ , Yıldırım B  $B^1$ , Kuruoğlu  $T^{22}$ , Atilla  $A^{22}$ , Ersoy  $Y^{23}$ , Kandemir  $B^{24}$ , Durduran  $Y^{25}$ , Cihan F  $G^{26}$ , Demirbaş  $N^{26}$ , Yıldırım  $F^{27}$ , Akcay M  $S^{28}$ 

## 1. Şerife Torun, Berna Botan Yıldırım

Department of Pulmonary Diseases, Baskent University Faculty of Medicine, Konya, Turkey.

2. Sevket Özkaya

Department of Pulmonary Diseases, Bahcesehir University Faculty of Medicine, İstanbul, Turkey.

3. Nazan Şen, Zuhal Ekici Ünsal

Department of Pulmonary Diseases, Baskent University Faculty of Medicine, Adana, Turkey.

4. Fikret Kanat

Department of Pulmonary Diseases, Selcuk University Faculty of Medicine, Konya, Turkey.

5. Irem Karaman

Bahcesehir University School of Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey

6.Şebnem Yosunkaya

Department of Pulmonary Diseases, Necmettin Erbakan University Faculty of Medicine, Konya, Turkey.

7. Özlem Sengören Dikis

Department of Pulmonary Diseases, Yuksek Ihtisas Education and Research Hospital, Bursa, Turkey

8. Ali Asan

Department of Infection Diseases, Yuksek Ihtisas Education and Research Hospital, Bursa, Turkey

9. Selma Aydoğan Eroğlu

Sureyyapasa Research and Training Center for Pulmonary Diseases, Health Sciences University, Ministry of Health, Istanbul, Turkey.

10. Sefa Semih Atal, Ömer Ayten

Abdülhamid Han Research and Training Center for Pulmonary Diseases, Health Sciences University, Ministry of Health, Istanbul, Turkey.

## 11. Nimet Aksel

Department of Pulmonary Diseases, Dr Suat Seren Chest Diseases and Thoracic Surgery Training and Research Hospital, Izmir, Turkey.

12. Hilal Ermiş

Department of Pulmonary Diseases, Inonu University Faculty of Medicine, Malatya, Turkey

13. Neslihan Özçelik

Department of Pulmonary Diseases, Recep Tayyip Erdoğan University Faculty of Medicine,

Rize, Turkey

14. Meryem Demirelli

Department of Pulmonary Diseases, State Hospital, Bafra, Turkey.

15. İskender Kara

Department of Anesteziyology and Reanimation, Selçuk University Faculty of Medicine, Konya,

Turkey.

16. Şua Sümer

Department of Infection Diseases, Selçuk University Faculty of Medicine, Konya, Turkey.

17. Kamile Marakoğlu

Department of Family Medicine, Selcuk University Faculty of Medicine, Konya, Turkey

18. Fatih Üzer

Department of Pulmonary Diseases, State Hospital, Kastamonu, Turkey

19. Yasin Uyar

Department of Pulmonary Diseases, State Hospital, Merzifon, Turkey

20. Tuba Çiçek

Department of Pulmonary Diseases, Numune Hospital, Konya, Turkey

21. Hüsamettin Vatansev

Department of Biochemistry, Selcuk University Faculty of Medicine, Konya, Turkey

22. Tuba Kuruoğlu, Aynur Atilla

Department of Infection Diseases, Ondokuz Mayıs University Faculty of Medicine, Samsun, Turkey.

23. Yasemin Ersoy

Department of Infection Diseases, Inonu University Faculty of Medicine, Malatya, Turkey

24. Bahar Kandemir

Department of Infection Diseases, Necmettin Erbakan University Faculty of Medicine, Konya, Turkey

25. Yasemin Durduran

Department of Public Health, Necmettin Erbakan University Faculty of Medicine, Konya, Turkey 26. Fatma Gökşin Cihan, Nur Demirbaş

Department of Family Medicine, Necmettin Erbakan University Faculty of Medicine, Konya, Turkey

27. Fatma Yıldırım

Clinic of Intensive Care Unit, Dışkapı Yıldırım Beyazıt Training and Research Hospital, Ankara, Turkey

28. M Şule Akçay

Department of Pulmonary Diseases, Baskent University Faculty of Medicine, Ankara, Turkey

\*Corresponding Author; Sevket OZKAYA, Associate Professor, Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Bahcesehir University

Mailing Adress; Sahrayı Cedit Mahallesi, Batman Sk. No:66, 34734 Kadıköy/İstanbul, Turkey Phone: +90 532 474 13 09 E-mail; ozkayasevket@yahoo.com

Funding: None Acknowledgment: None Declaration of conflict of interest: None

#### Abstract

**Background:** Today, COVID-19 pandemic has brought countries' health services into sharp focus. Despite the low incidence of cases(1.2%) and high mortality rate(2.4%) among Turkish population, the low mortality rate(0.3%) despite the high incidence(11.5%) declared in healthcare workers drew our group's attention. Therefore, we aimed to report the characteristics of infected health-care workers and investigate the relationship between BCG vaccine and tuberculosis history with COVID-19 mortality in infected health-care worker population.

**Method:** This study was conducted in three hospitals to assess the clinical presentations, disease severity and correlation with BCG vaccine and tuberculous history in COVID-19 positive health-care workers by an online questionnaire platform. The relationship between characteristics and tuberculosis history were investigated according to hospitalization status of the patients.

**Result:** Total of 465 infected healthcare workers included in the study. The rate of history of direct care and contact to tuberculosis patient, presence of previous tuberculosis treatment and BCG scar, presence of radiological infiltrations was significantly higher in hospitalized healthcare workers. The ratio of direct care and direct contact to the patient with tuberculosis, and presence of family history of tuberculosis were statistically significantly higher in patients with radiological infiltrations.

**Conclusion:** Although COVID-19 risk and incidence are higher among healthcare workers compared to the normal population due to higher virus load, this study brings evidence for the fact that the lower mortality rate seen in infected healthcare workers might be due to healthcare workers' frequent exposure to tuberculosis bacillus and the mortality-reducing effects of BCG vaccine, despite the higher hospitalization rate and radiological infiltrations due to over-triggered immune system.

**Keywords:** COVID-19, tuberculosis, BCG, healthcare workers, pneumonia, mortality

#### Introduction

The novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) was first reported in Wuhan, China, in late December 2019. (1,2). On 30 January 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared a public health emergency for novel COVID $\Box$ 19 disease, which is caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS $\Box$ CoV $\Box$ 2). Since then, the entire world is battling with COVID $\Box$ 19 pneumonia that can be dreadfully lethal in high $\Box$ risk population. One of the most concerning aspects of COVID-19 is the risk for infection among frontline healthcare workers.

Since the beginning of the pandemic, several statistical analysis and reports were published to prove the hypothesis about potential protective role of BCG vaccine against COVID-19. Data regarding number of COVID-19 patients from countries with universal BCG vaccination and those who discontinued vaccination showed that the disease mortality is reduced in countries with universal BCG (3,4). As of September 12 2020, the mortality rate in Turkey was reported as 2.4%, compared to 3.1% global mortality rate (2). According to the recent statement by the Ministry of Health of Turkish Republic, the current mortality rate for healthcare workers has been declared as 0.3%. Despite the low incidence of cases(1.2%) and high mortality rate(2.4%) among public, the low mortality rate(0.3%) despite the high incidence(11.5%) declared in healthcare workers in Turkey drew our group's attention. Also, data regarding the characteristics of front-line health-care workers and their risk of COVID-19 is limited. Therefore, we aimed to report the characteristics of infected health-care workers and investigate the relationship between BCG vaccine and tuberculosis history with COVID-19 mortality in infected health-care worker population.

## **Material and Methods**

The online platform of Google documents was used as a platform to create online questionnaires that were automatically hosted via a unique URL. Password protected access to the URL link and a unique study ID gave patients around the clock access from anywhere in the Turkey. Unique study ID ensured confidentially of all self-reported data. Patient responses were secured using a "Cloud" database where the data was automatically sorted, scaled and scored by custom Excel formulas. Researchers downloaded real-time questionnaire responses in multiple formats (e.g. excel) which was then analyzed with a statistical software of choice. This study was conducted in three hospitals to assess the clinical presentations, disease severity and correlation with BCG

vaccine and tuberculous history in COVID-19 positive health-care workers. Confidentiality of the study participants' information was maintained throughout the study by making the participants' information anonymous and asking the participants to provide honest answers. Informed consent was obtained from each participant prior to participation. This protocol approved by the applicable IRBs/ECs [institutional review boards/ethical committees of Baskent University, Ankara, Turkey; approval number: KA20/171-20/64, July 1, 2020] with respect to its scientific content.

#### **Results**

Total of 465 infected healthcare workers included in the study. Demographic characteristics of the patients according to their hospitalization status was shown in Table I. 58.9% of the patients who were hospitalized and 53.9% of the patients who were not hospitalized were women, and the differences between two groups were not statistically significant (p> 0.05). The mean age of the non-hospitalized patients (34.66  $\pm$  8.93) was lower than the mean age of the hospitalized patients (39.59  $\pm$  46.17), however the difference was not statistically significant (p> 0.05). Working time in the unit was statistically significantly higher in the hospitalized group (p <0.05). Chronic disease was present in 18.1% of patients who were not hospitalized and 28.7% of patients who were hospitalized, and the differences between groups were statistically significant (p <0.05).

The relationship between tuberculosis history and characteristics of the patients according to hospitalization status was described in Table II. 64.5% of the patients who did not receive hospitalization and 66.0% of the patients who were hospitalized had a history of direct care to a tuberculosis patient, and the difference according to hospitalization status was not statistically significant (p> 0.05). A tuberculosis unit in the institution was present in 58.4% of patients who did not receive hospitalization, and 53.0% of patients who were hospitalized, and the difference was not significant (p> 0.05). The rate of direct care for patients with tuberculosis was 24.7% in non-hospitalized patients and 35.5% in hospitalized patients, and the differences between groups were statistically significant (p <0.05). Direct contact with tuberculosis patients was found in 27.6% of non-hospitalized patients and 37.2% of hospitalized patients, and the differences between groups were statistically significant (p <0.05). 6.5% of the hospitalized patients had previously received tuberculosis treatment (p <0.05). BCG scar was statistically significantly higher in patients with hospitalization, since 216 of the patients with scar were not hospitalized and 205 were hospitalized (p <0.05). The presence of radiological pneumonia was statistically significantly higher in hospitalized patients (p <0.05).

According to the radiological pneumonia status (Table III), the differences between the hospitalized and non-hospitalized groups in terms of care to patients with tuberculosis in the institution, presence of tuberculosis unit in the institution, tuberculosis treatment history status, BCG scar, PPD, BCG scar number and PPD results were not statistically significant (p > 0.05). On the other hand, the ratio of direct care to the patient with tuberculosis, direct contact with the patient with tuberculosis, and presence of family history of tuberculosis were statistically significantly higher in the group with radiological pneumonia (p < 0.05). We noted that the only one(0.2%) healthcare worker died from COVID-19 pneumonia.

#### **Discussion**

Today, COVID-19 pandemic has brought countries' health services into sharp focus. While governments move to slow the spread of the virus, health workers, especially women, are on the front lines of the epidemic. Health-care workers are at increased risk of being exposed to SARS-CoV-2 and could potentially have a role in hospital transmission. Turkey is one of the largest populations with health workers as employing 173 health care workers per 10,000 population. After the first case announced on March 11, until September 12, total of 29,065,028 patients and 291,162 patients were documented in all over the world and in Turkey, respectively. The global mortality rate was reported as 3.1%(926,283 patients) compared to 2.4%(7056 patients). in Turkey.

According to the recent statement by the Ministry of Health of Turkish Republic, approximately 30.000 health workers were infected with SARS-CoV-2 and approximately 100 employees were died of COVID-19, including 33 doctors and 66 other health workers in Turkey. Therefore, the current mortality rate in Turkey for healthcare workers has been declared as 0.3%. In our study, among 465 infected healthcare workers, only 1(0.2%) healthcare worker died from COVID-19. Despite the high number of cases and mortality rate among public, the low mortality rate declared in healthcare workers drew our group's attention. We thought that the low mortality rate can be explained by the fact that healthcare workers are exposed more frequently to bacterial and viral agents such as *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* than the society, therefore, their immune system are constantly active. Supportively, in our study, contact with tuberculosis and the history of BCG were investigated and exposure was noted over 80s%.

A study with 2.135.190 people in the UK and USA using the COVID-19 Symptom Study app between March-April, 2020 noted that front-line health-care workers had at least a three-fold increased risk of reporting a positive COVID-19 test and COVID-19 infection, compared with the general community. Also, public health authorities suggested that 10–20% of SARS-CoV-2 infections occur among health-care workers (5,6). However, the positivity in screening results of asymptomatic healthcare workers has been reported lower than this rate. The rate of asymptomatic infections among healthcare workers was reported as 3% in the United Kingdom (UK) (7). In a study from the Netherlands, after screening with PCR, 1% of health care workers were found as infected with SARS-CoV-2 (8). An early, single □ center, retrospective analysis from Zhongnan Hospital, Wuhan, of 138 patients with COVID□19 pneumonia showed that 41% of infections were thought to be hospital acquired. Approximately 70% of these patients were healthcare workers, with one individual requiring intensive care and zero deaths. The study showed that infected hospital staff worked mostly on general wards (77.5%) followed by the emergency department (17.5%) and critical care (5%) (9). A study of more than 72,000 patients with COVID-19 by the Chinese Centre for Disease Control and Prevention showed that by early February around 3000 healthcare workers had become infected, accounting for 3.8% of all cases of COVID-19. There were five deaths in this cohort giving a case fatality ratio of 0.3%; this is approximately one sixth of the overall case fatality rate(2.3%) in the study, half of which occurred in patients aged 20–59 years (0.6%). In that study, early January and through February 2020, the proportion of confirmed cases in healthcare workers graded severe or critical decreased from 45% to 8.7% (10). According to Ministry of Health of Turkish Republic, 29865 health workers were infected, while the rate of getting the infection for the whole society was 1.2%, this rate reached 11.5% for healthcare workers.

In this study, the rate of history of direct care and direct contact to tuberculosis patient, previous tuberculosis treatment, presence of BCG scar, was significantly higher in hospitalized healthcare workers. The presence of radiological infiltrations was also significantly higher in hospitalized group. In the group with radiological infiltrations, the ratio of direct care to the patient with tuberculosis, direct contact with the patient with tuberculosis, and presence of family history of tuberculosis were statistically significantly higher. Therefore, we concluded that a history of tuberculosis contact might worsen the clinical picture of COVID-19 course by triggering immune system and causing further radiological infiltrations, however, it also decreases the mortality rate. To the authors' knowledge, this is the first clinical study that investigates the characteristics of infected healthcare workers in Turkey. However, we are aware that this study is limited to fully

support the beforementioned conclusion. Further in-depth studies are needed to provide evidence for such relation.

In conclusion, although COVID-19 risk and incidence are higher among healthcare workers compared to the normal population due to higher virus load, this study brings evidence for the fact that the lower mortality rate seen in infected healthcare workers might be due to healthcare workers' frequent exposure to tuberculosis bacillus and the mortality-reducing effects of BCG vaccines. Further descriptive research can enable the conduction of larger studies that might document this potential association.

## **Data Availability**

The research article data that used to support the findings of this study is available from the corresponding author upon request.

## Acknowledgments

None.

## **Author Contributions**

Guarantors of integrity of entire study; all authors, Study concepts/study design or data acquisition or data analysis/interpretation; all authors; manuscript drafting or manuscript revision for important intellectual content; all authors; approval of final version of submitted manuscript; all authors.

## **Declaration of Competing Interest**

All authors have contributed significantly, and that all authors are in agreement with the content and honesty of the manuscript. All authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## References

1.Wu, F. et al. A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in China. Nature 579, 265–269(2020).

- 2. Worldmeter of COVID-19 statistics at August 29, 2020. https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/
- 3. Sevket Ozkaya, Tugce Uzar. Is Difference in Coronavirus Mortality Can Be Explained by BCG Vaccine? Could the expected vaccine for Coronavirus be BCG? Home [LinkedIn page]. LinkedIn. Retrieved March 17, 2020, from https://www.linkedin.com/posts/%C5%9Fevket-%C3%B6zkaya-29a37412\_coronavirus-activity-6645704838841798656-1Xlj
- 4.Zwerling A, Behr MA, Verma A, Brewer TF, Menzies D, Pai M (2011) The BCG World Atlas: A Database of Global BCG Vaccination Policies and Practices. PLoS Med 8(3): e1001012. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001012
- 5. CDC COVID-19 Response Team. Characteristics of health care personnel with COVID-19: United States, February 12–April 9, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2020; 69: 477-481.
- 6. Lazzerini M, Putoto G. COVID-19 in Italy: momentous decisions and many uncertainties. *Lancet Glob Health.* 2020; 8: 641-642.
- 7.Rivett L, Sridhar S, Sparkes D, Routledge M, Jones NK, Forrest S, et al. Screening of healthcare workers for SARS-CoV-2 high- lights the role of asymptomatic carriage in COVID-19 trans- mission. Elife 2020; 9: e58728.
- 8. Kluytmans-van den Bergh MFQ, Buiting AGM, Pas SD, Bent- velsen RG, van den Bijllaardt W, van Oudheusden AJG, et al. Prevalence and clinical presentation of health care workers with symptoms of coronavirus disease 2019 in 2 Dutch hospi- tals during an early phase of the pandemic. JAMA Netw Open 2020; 3: e209673.
- 9. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus ☐ infected pneumonia in Wuhan. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 2020; 323: 1061–9.
- 10. The Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia Emergency Response Epidermiology Team. The epidemiological characteristics of an outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus diseases (COVID□19) China, 2020. *China CDC Weekly* 2020; 2: 113–22.

Table I. Demographic characteristics of the patients according to their hospitalization status

	Covid-19 Hospitalization		
	No	Yes (n=217; %46.7)	p
	(n=248; %53.3)		
Gender, n (%)			
Female	146 (58.9)	117 (53.9)	$0.282^{a}$
Male	102 (41.1)	100 (46.1)	
$Age(Mean \pm SD)$	34.66±8.93	$39.59\pm46.17$	$0.056^{\mathrm{b}}$
Working time in the unit(Mean $\pm SD$ )	$6.42 \pm 5.64$	$8.15 \pm 7.49$	$0.046^{b}$
Working place, n (%)			
Inpatient service	63 (25.4)	76 (35.0)	
Outpatient clinic	29 (11.7)	27 (12.4)	
Intensive care unit	33 (13.3)	34 (15.7)	$0.097^{a}$
Emergency	16 (6.5)	13 (6.0)	
Other	34 (13.7)	25 (11.5)	
More than one unit	73 (29.4)	42 (19.4)	
Job, n (%)			
Doctor	92 (37.1)	70 (32.3)	
Nurse	95 (38.3)	84 (38.7)	$0.441^{a}$
Other	61 (24.6)	63 (29.0)	
Presence of chronic diseases, n (%)			
No	203 (81.9)	154 (71.3)	$0.007^{a}$
Yes	45 (18.1)	62 (28.7)	

a. Chi-square test, b. Mann Whitney U Test, SD: Standard Deviation

Table II. The relationship between tuberculosis history and characteristics of the patients according to hospitalization status

	Covid-19 Hospitalization		
-	No	Yes (n=217; %46.7)	p
	(n=248; %53.3)		
Patient with tuberculosis in the			
institution, n (%)			
No	78 (35.5)	65 (34.0)	$0.763^{a}$
Yes	142 (64.5)	126 (66.0)	
Tuberculosis area in the institution, n (%)			
No	96 (41.6)	95 (47.0)	$0.253^{a}$
Yes	135 (58.4)	107 (53.0)	
Tuberculosis direct care, n (%)			
No	174 (75.3)	127 (64.5)	$0.014^{a}$
Yes	57 (24.7)	70 (35.5)	
Tuberculosis direct contact, n (%)			
No	157 (72.4)	118 (62.8)	$0.039^{a}$
Yes	60 (27.6)	70 (37.2)	
Tuberculosis treatment, n (%)			
No	74 (100.0)	58 (93.5)	$0.027^{a}$
Yes	-	4 (6.5)	
BCG Scar, n (%)			
No	32 (12.9)	12 (5.5)	$0.007^{a}$
Yes	216 (87.1)	205 (94.5)	
PPD, n (%)			
No	191 (77.0)	178 (82.0)	0.183 <sup>a</sup>
Yes	57 (23.0)	39 (18.0)	
Tuberculosis family history, n (%)			
No	209 (88.9)	162 (82.7)	0.061 <sup>a</sup>
Yes	26 (11.1)	34 (17.3)	
Existence of radiological pneumonia, n			
(%)			
No	194 (89.8)	69 (37.3)	$0.000^{a}$
Yes	22 (10.2)	116 (62.7)	

## a. Chi-square test

Table III. The relationship between tuberculosis history and characteristics of the patients according to existence of radiological pneumonia in patients

	Radiological pneumonia*		
-	No	Yes (n=138; %29.7)	p
	(n=263; %65.6)		
Patient with tuberculosis in the			
institution, n (%)			
No	81 (35.1)	39 (32.5)	$0.631^{a}$
Yes	150 (64.9)	81 (67.5)	
Tuberculosis area in the institution, n (%)			
No	113 (45.9)	62 (47.0)	$0.847^{a}$
Yes	133 (54.1)	70 (53.0)	
Tuberculosis direct care, n (%)			
No	175 (72.9)	79 (60.8)	$0.016^{a}$
Yes	65 (27.1)	51 (39.2)	
Tuberculosis direct contact, n (%)			
No	153 (69.5)	73 (57.9)	$0.029^{a}$
Yes	67 (30.5)	53 (42.1)	
Tuberculosis treatment, n (%)			
No	71 (98.6)	40 (93.0)	$0.114^{a}$
Yes	1 (1.4)	3 (7.0)	
BCG Scar, n (%)			
No	31 (11.8)	8 (5.8)	$0.054^{a}$
Yes	232 (88.2)	130 (94.2)	
PPD, n (%)			
No	205 (77.9)	108 (78.3)	$0.942^{a}$
Yes	58 (22.1)	30 (21.7)	
Tuberculosis family history, n (%)			
No	221 (89.5)	97 (77.6)	$0.002^{a}$
Yes	26 (10.5)	28 (22.4)	
Number of BCG Scar, Average ± SD	1.37±0.64	1.46±0.65	$0.079^{b}$
PPD Result, Average ± SD	9.51±7.87	10.31±9.33	$0.835^{b}$

\*Since radiological pneumonia information was not available in 13.8% of the patients, the rates are given according to the ones whose information can be accessed.