

# The Center for Medical Interoperability Specification ASUM Solution using MEM DMC

# CMI-SP-F-ASUM-MEM-DMC-D02-2019-04-01

# **Draft**

#### Notice

This specification is the result of a cooperative effort undertaken at the direction of the Center for Medical Interoperability™ for the benefit of the healthcare industry and its customers. You may download, copy, distribute, and reference the documents herein only for the purpose of developing products or services in accordance with such documents, and educational use. Except as granted by The Center in a separate written license agreement, no license is granted to modify the documents herein (except via the Engineering Change process), or to use, copy, modify or distribute the documents for any other purpose.

This document may contain references to other documents not owned or controlled by The Center. Use and understanding of this document may require access to such other documents. Designing, manufacturing, distributing, using, selling, or servicing products, or providing services, based on this document may require intellectual property licenses from third parties for technology referenced in this document. To the extent this document contains or refers to documents of third parties, you agree to abide by the terms of any licenses associated with such third-party documents, including open source licenses, if any.

Distribution of this document is restricted pursuant to the terms of separate access agreements negotiated with each of the parties to whom this document has been furnished.

# **CAUTION**

This document contains proprietary, confidential information that is the exclusive property of The Center. If you do not have a valid agreement with The Center for the use of this document or have not signed a non-disclosure agreement with The Center, then you received this document in an unauthorized manner and are not legally entitled to possess or read it.

Use, duplication, and disclosure are subject to restrictions stated in your agreement with The Center.

# **DISCLAIMER**

This document is furnished on an "AS IS" basis and neither The Center nor its members provides any representation or warranty, express or implied, regarding the accuracy, completeness, noninfringement, or fitness for a particular purpose of this document, or any document referenced herein. Any use or reliance on the information or opinion in this document is at the risk of the user, and The Center and its members shall not be liable for any damage or injury incurred by any person arising out of the completeness, accuracy, or utility of any information or opinion contained in the document.

The Center reserves the right to revise this document for any reason including, but not limited to, changes in laws, regulations, or standards promulgated by various entities, technology advances, or changes in equipment design, manufacturing techniques, or operating procedures described, or referred to, herein.

This document is not to be construed to suggest that any company modify or change any of its products or procedures, nor does this document represent a commitment by The Center or any of its members to purchase any product whether or not it meets the characteristics described in the document. Unless granted in a separate written agreement from The Center, nothing contained herein shall be construed to confer any license or right to any intellectual property. This document is not to be construed as an endorsement of any product or company or as the adoption or promulgation of any guidelines, standards, or recommendations.

# **Table of Contents**

1	Sco	pe	5
	1.1	Introduction and Purpose	5
	1.2	Requirements	5
2	Ref	erences	6
	2.1	Normative References	6
	2.2	Informative References	7
	2.3	Reference Acquisition	7
3	Ter	ms and Definitions	7
4	Abb	previations and Acronyms	8
5	Ove	erview	8
6	ASU	JM Solution Using MEM DMC Requirements	10
	6.1	Client and ASUM Management Entity Communications	10
	6.2	Software Update Notification	13
7	Sec	urity Requirements	17
	7.1	Client Secure Transport Mechanism Requirement	17
8	App	oendix A ASUM IHE MEM DMC Message Examples (INFORMATIVE)	18
	8.1	Sample PCD-15 Message with MCCP and Additional Info	18
	8.2	Sample ACK with Update Software Command	18
	8.3	Sample PCD-15 Message with Software Update Success Indication	19
9	Ack	nowledgements	20

# **Document Status Sheet**

**Document Control Number:** CMI-SP-F-ASUM-MEM-DMC

**Document Title:** ASUM Solution for IHE PCD Clients Using MEM DMC

**Revision History:** D02 IPR Review

**Date:** 03/27/2019

Status: Draft

**Distribution Restrictions:** CMI/Member/NDA Vendor

# **Key to Document Status Codes**

**Work in** An incomplete document designed to guide discussion and generate

**Progress** feedback that may include several alternative requirements for

consideration.

**Draft** A document considered largely complete but lacking review by Members and

vendors. Drafts are susceptible to substantial change during the review

process.

**Issued** A generally public document that has undergone Member and Technology

Supplier review, cross-vendor interoperability, and is for Certification testing

if applicable. Issued Specifications are subject to the Engineering Change

Process.

**Closed** A static document, reviewed, tested, validated, and closed to further

engineering change requests to the specification through The Center.

# 1 Scope

# 1.1 Introduction and Purpose

This document specifies an Automated Secure Update Mechanism (ASUM) solution for clients that support Integrating the Healthcare Enterprise (IHE) Patient Care Device (PCD).

# 1.2 Requirements

Throughout this document, the words that are used to define the significance of particular requirements are capitalized. These words are:

"SHALL"	This word means that the item is an absolute requirement of this specification.
"SHALL NOT"	This phrase means that the item is an absolute prohibition of this specification.
"SHOULD"	This word means that there may exist valid reasons in particular circumstances to ignore this item, but the full implications should be understood and the case carefully weighed before choosing a different course.
"SHOULD NOT"	This phrase means that there may exist valid reasons in particular circumstances when the listed behavior is acceptable or even useful, but the full implications should be understood and the case carefully weighed before implementing any behavior described with this label.
"MAY"	This word means that this item is truly optional. One vendor may choose to include the item because a particular marketplace requires it or because it enhances the product, for example; another vendor may omit the same item.

#### 2 References

#### 2.1 Normative References

In order to claim compliance with this specification, it is necessary to conform to the following standards and other works as indicated, in addition to the other requirements of this specification. Notwithstanding, intellectual property rights may be required to use or implement such normative references.

All references are subject to revision, and parties to agreement based on this specification are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent editions of the documents listed below.

[CMI-SP-F-ASUM] Automated Secure Update and Management Framework

https://medicalinteroperability.org/specifications

[CMI-SP-F-PF] Provisioning Flows

https://medicalinteroperability.org/specifications

[CMI-SP-CDI-IHE-

Clinical Data Interoperability Based on IHE PCD - Identity & Secure

**PCD-IST**] Transport

https://medicalinteroperability.org/specifications

[HL7-FHIR] Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources

https://www.hl7.org/fhir/overview.html

[IEEE-10101a-

**2015**] 10101: Nomenclature – Amendment 1: Additional Definitions

This is a significant extension to the ISO/IEEE 11073-10101:2004 base

Health informatics – Point-of-care medical device communication – Part

nomenclature standard, covering terminology for over a dozen medical devices,

with a strong focus on respiratory, ventilators, and anesthesia.

http://standards.ieee.org/findstds/standard/11073-10101a-2015.html

[IETF-RFC2818] HTTP over TLS

https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2818

**[IETF-RFC5246]** The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.2

https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5246

DMC]

[IHE-PCD-MEM- Integrating the Healthcare Enterprise (IHE) Medical Equipment Management

(MEM) Device Management Communication (DMC)

https://www.ihe.net/uploadedFiles/Documents/PCD/IHE\_Suppl\_PCD\_MEM-

DMC.pdf

[IHE-PCD] Integrating the Healthcare Enterprise (IHE) Patient Care Device (PCD)

https://www.ihe.net/Patient\_Care\_Devices/

[OWASP] Open Web Application Security Project (OWASP)

https://owasp.org/

## 2.2 Informative References

This specification does not provide any informative references.

[CMI-DOC-TD] Terms and Definitions

https://medicalinteroperability.org/specifications

# 2.3 Reference Acquisition

Center for Medical Interoperability, 8 City Boulevard, Suite 203 | Nashville, TN 37209; Phone +1-615-257-6410; <a href="http://medicalinteroperability.org/">http://medicalinteroperability.org/</a>

#### 3 Terms and Definitions

This specification uses the terms and definitions in [CMI-DOC-TD].

# 4 Abbreviations and Acronyms

This specification uses the following abbreviations:

ASUM	Automated Secure Update Mechanism
СМЕ	Client Management Entity
CMI	Center For Medical Interoperability
IP	Internet Protocol
URL	Uniform Resource Locator

#### 5 Overview

The foundational ASUM framework specification ([CMI-SP-F-ASUM]) illustrates the technology-independent components, requirements, and recommendations that are used to specify ASUM solutions. The rationale for separating the ASUM framework and ASUM solutions is to leverage a multitide of protocols for specific client types and the protocols they support. Accordingly, this document specifies the ASUM solution for clients that support [IHE-PCD].

Specifically, this ASUM solution leverages the device management communications provided via [IHE-PCD-MEM-DMC] to establish communications between the ASUM Management Entity and the Client. When directed by the Client Management Entity (CME), the Client sends the [IHE-PCD-MEM-DMC] PCD-15 message containing current device information (e.g. status / software version) to the ASUM Management Entity. The Management Entity returns an acknowledgement, which has been extended to notify the client of the availability of updated software and associated information. After an update attempt, the Client sends the ASUM Management Entity another PCD-15 message containing a CMI-defined status code indicating update success, failure, or other errors. As with the ASUM framework, this document allows Clients to use an ASUM framework compliant secure transport mechanism or use the one specified by the ASUM framework. Finally, the client provides the status of update via an [IHE-PCD-MEM-DMC] PCD-15 message immediately after the update succeeds or fails.

The benefits of re-using [IHE-PCD-MEM-DMC] are:

- no open port requirement on the Client which eliminates certain security concerns,
- eliminates the need for unspecified or new messages specific for ASUM.

Figure 1 summarizes the high-level logical flows associated with this ASUM solution.




Figure 1: Architecture showing periodic MEM-DMC message

# 6 ASUM Solution Using MEM DMC Requirements

This document adheres to the requirements laid out in [CMI-SP-F-ASUM] and specifies the [IHE-PCD-MEM-DMC] based ASUM solution requirements within this section.

# 6.1 Client and ASUM Management Entity Communications

# 6.1.1 Client MEM DMC PCD-15 Requirement

The Client SHALL use the [IHE-PCD-MEM-DMC] PCD-15 message to communicate with the ASUM Management Entity.

# **6.1.2 Client IST Requirement**

All communications with the ASUM Management Entity SHALL comply with [CMI-SP-CDI-IHE-PCD-IST].

## 6.1.3 Discovery

#### **6.1.3.1 ASUM Management Entity Discovery**

Clients SHALL communicate with the ASUM Management Entity only when directed by the CME. When ready, the CME SHALL notify the Client of the ASUM Management Entity's address.

#### **6.1.4 Client Information**

#### 6.1.4.1 Client Information Requirement

When directed by the Client Management Entity, Clients SHALL report the Minimum Connected Component Profile (MCCP) as specified in [CMI-SP-CDI-IHE-PCD-IST] in the PCD-15 message. Clients SHALL report any additional information needed to perform the update, such as known software or hardware dependencies.

#### **6.1.4.2** IHE PCD Infrastructure Attributes

The Reference IDs shown in Table 5, from [IEEE-10101a-2015] (Table A.11.2.1), may be used in the PCD-15 message when the Client reports additional system and version information beyond the MCCP.

Table 1 - Reference IDs for Client to Report System and Version Information

Reference ID	Description/Definition	Part::Code	CF_CODE10
MDC_ID_MODEL_NUMBER	The <b>model number</b> sub- element of the MDC_ATTR_ID_MODEL attribute.	8::7681	531969
MDC_ID_MODEL_MANUFACTURER	The <b>manufacturer</b> sub- element of the MDC_ATTR_ID_MODEL attribute.	8::7682	531970
MDC_ID_PROD_SPEC_SERIAL	The <b>serial-number</b> component id group of the MDC_ATTR_ID_PROD_SPECN attribute.	8::7684	531972
MDC_ID_PROD_SPEC_PART	The <b>part-number</b> component id group of the MDC_ATTR_ID_PROD_SPECN attribute.	8::7685	531973
MDC_ID_PROD_SPEC_HW	The hardware-revision component id group of the MDC_ATTR_ID_PROD_SPECN attribute.	8::7686	531974
MDC_ID_PROD_SPEC_SW	The <b>software-revision</b> component id group of the MDC_ATTR_ID_PROD_SPECN attribute.	8::7687	531975
MDC_ID_PROD_SPEC_FW	The <b>firmware-revision</b> component id group of the MDC_ATTR_ID_PROD_SPECN attribute.	8::7688	531976
MDC_ID_PROD_SPEC_PROTOCOL_REV	The <b>protocol-revision</b> component id group of the MDC_ATTR_ID_PROD_SPECN attribute.	8::7689	531977
MDC_ATTR_SYS_ID	System ID	1::2436	67972

Informative note: In addition to the IHE PCD infrastructure attributes noted above, the ASUM solution based on IHE PCD MEM-DMC will use elements from HL7 V2.8.2 regarding the use of the PRT segment to convey the FDA-defined 'Universal Device Identifier' (UDI).

#### 6.1.5 Normal Flow ACK

#### 6.1.5.1 Management Entity ACK Requirement

The ASUM Management Entity SHALL send an ACK for each PCD-15 message.

## 6.1.5.2 Normal Flow HL7 Ack Requirement

Normal flow SHALL consist of HL7 ACKs.

#### 6.1.6 ACK with Command Extension

## 6.1.6.1 Management Entity Send Command as Extensions Requirement

The ASUM Management Entity SHALL send commands as extensions in the content of the ACK. The ASUM Management Entity SHALL use the following informational ERR segment structure for the command:

- ERR5 contains 126980^MDCC4MI\_ATTR\_CMI\_ASUM\_CMD^MDC
- ERR7 contains the command

#### 6.1.6.2 Management Entity Multiple Command Requirement

Multiple commands MAY be sent in a single ACK by utilizing ERR segment repetition.

#### 6.1.6.3 Management Entity Command Structure Requirement

Each ERR segment SHALL only include one command. The identifier in ERR-6 consists of a unique identifier for the command, and the ERR-7 text consists of simple key value pairs separated by spaces. The notation is described below in simplified BNF:

```
<syntax> ::= <cmd> <parameters>
<cmd> ::= "CMD"= "DOWNLOAD_SW" | "UPDATE_SW" |
<parameters> ::= <parameter> | <parameters>
<parameter> ::= <key> "=" <value>
<key> ::= text
<value> ::= text
```

Restrictions beyond normal HL7 restrictions on ERR-7:

```
<key> cannot contain '=' char
<value> cannot contain '=' char unless it is escaped as %3D
<value> cannot contain '' char unless it is escaped as %20
```

Note that ERR-7 has a maximum length of 2048.

12

# **6.1.7 Command Status Report**

#### 6.1.7.1 Client Report Status Requirement

The Client SHALL report success or failure of all commands as follows:

- An OBX with the 'ST' datatype and the identifier OBX-3 = |0^MDC\_NOTI\_CMD\_STATUS^MDC|
- Unique command identifier followed by hyphen, and string values specified in [CMI-SP-F-ASUM].

For example: OBX-5 = |123456-CMI-S-ASUM-00000|

# **6.2 Software Update Notification**

In order to provide flexibility in health system deployments, both Client-based and Management Entity-based update scheduling can be used. In Client-based update scheduling, the update command includes information on when the Client should attempt the update, so the Client is fully responsible for monitoring the current time and performing the download/update as requested. In Management Entity-based update scheduling, the update command directs the Client to immediately begin download/update, with the expectation that the Management Entity can send this command at a scheduled time.

# **6.2.1** Management Entity Update Scheduling Requirement

ASUM Management Entities SHALL support both client-based and management entity-based update scheduling.

# 6.2.2 Client Update Scheduling Requirement

ASUM Clients SHALL support at least one update scheduling mechanism.

# **6.2.3 Client Update Mechanism Indication**

Clients SHALL indicate which update scheduling mechanism they support using a flag in the OPT field of the MCCP as follows:

- For Clients that support Management Entity Based Scheduling, no value is required (default)
- For Clients that support Client Based Scheduling, ASUM\_SCHEDULER\_CBS is added to the OPT field

#### 6.2.4 Client-based Update Scheduling

#### 6.2.4.1 Management Entity Update Software Requirement

If a software update is ready for the Client, the ASUM Management Entity SHALL include an UPDATE\_SW command in the ERR segment of the ACK with the parameters shown in the table below:

**ERR Segment Parameters for Software Update Table** 

Name	Description	HL7 Type	Length (characters)	Example	Required/Optional
URI	Software Download URL/URI	ST	130	/some/download/uri	Required; value is not further constrained
AUTH	Authentication Method & Related Information	ST	20	CVC	Required; value is not further constrained
DST	Start of timeframe to attempt download	TS	17	201803260100-0000	Optional; in minutes. If not specified, download may occur any time before DownloadEndTime or UpdateStartTime
DET	End of timeframe to attempt download	TS	17	201803260159-0000	Optional; in minutes. If not specified, download may occur any time after DownloadStartTime and before UpdateStartTime
UST	Start of timeframe to attempt update	TS	17	201803260500- 0000	Optional; in minutes. If not specified, update may occur any time before UpdateEndTime
UET	End of timeframe to attempt update	TS	17	201803260559- 0000	Optional; in minutes. If not specified, update may occur any time after UpdateStartTime

# 6.2.4.2 Timestamp Offset Requirement

Timestamps SHALL include a valid time-zone offset. If the civil time zone offset is unknown, then UTC time SHALL be specified using a time zone offset of "-0000".

Note: The IETF RFC 3339 "Unknown Local Offset Convention" convention is used to distinguish between the case where UTC is the preferred reference point for the specified time, denoted with +0000, and the case where the UTC time is known, but the offset to local civil time is unknown, denoted with -0000. This distinction is in some cases important to represent in device data, and in all cases, to

provide a computable date/time value traceable to UTC, including any leap seconds up to the time the ASUM command was sent.

# 6.2.5 Management entity-based Update Scheduling

For Management Entity-based update scheduling, the ASUM Management Entity can send a single software update command (directing the client to download then update), or separate download and update commands.

## 6.2.5.1 Software Download Command

# 6.2.5.1.1 Management Entity Download Software Requirement

In cases where the Client is directed to download a new software image but not update until requested, the ASUM Management Entity SHALL include a DOWNLOAD\_SW command in the ERR segment of the ACK with the parameters shown in the table below:

<b>ERR Segment Parameters</b>	for Software	<b>Update Table</b>
-------------------------------	--------------	---------------------

Name	Description	HL7	Length	Example	Required/Optional
		Type	(characters)		
URI	Software Download URL/URI	ST	130	/some/download/uri	Required; value is not further constrained
AUTH	Authentication Method & Related Information	ST	20	CVC	Required; value is not further constrained
MAX_DURATION	Maximum Duration	NM	4	6	Optional; in minutes.

#### 6.2.5.1.2 Client Download Software Requirement

In response to the DOWNLOAD\_SW command, the Client SHALL attempt to perform the download as requested. If the Client cannot perform the download as requested and within the maximum duration (if applicable), the Client SHALL report this as a failure condition as specified in section 6.2.1.

#### 6.2.6 Software Download Command Status

#### 6.2.6.1 Client Download Process Outcome Requirement

Clients SHALL indicate success or failure of the download process to the Management Entity in the first IHE PCD MEM DMC PCD-15 message after the download completes. The Client SHALL use the codes corresponding to the specified conditions as provided in [CMI-SP-F-ASUM].

#### 6.2.6.2 Software Update Command

#### 6.2.6.2.1 Management Entity UPDATE\_SW Requirement

If a software update is ready for the Client, the ASUM Management Entity SHALL include an UPDATE\_SW command in the ERR segment of the ACK with the parameters shown in the table below.

ERR Segment Parameters	for Software	upaate

Name	Description	HL7 Type	Length (characters)	Example	Required/Optional
URI	Software Download URL/URI	ST	130	/some/download/uri	Required; value is not further constrained
AUTH	Authentication Method & Related Information	ST	20	CVC	Required; value is not further constrained
MAX_DURATION	Maximum Duration	NM	4	6	Optional; in minutes.

#### 6.2.6.2.2 Client Response to UPDATE\_SW Requirement

In response to the UPDATE\_SW command, the Client SHALL attempt to perform the update as requested. If the software image has not already been downloaded using the command specified in section 6.2, the Client SHALL attempt to download the software image and perform the update immediately thereafter. If the Client could not perform the download (if applicable) and update as requested (e.g. performing therapy) and within the maximum duration (if applicable), the Client SHALL report this as a failure condition as specified in section 6.3.1.

#### **6.2.7 Software Update Command Status**

#### 6.2.7.1 Client MEM DMC Initialization Requirement

Clients SHALL indicate success or failure of the download/update process to the Management Entity upon (re)initialization of the MEM DMC subsystem for both manual and automated updates

in the first IHE MEM DMC PCD-15 message after the update was attempted. The Client SHALL use the codes corresponding to the specified conditions as provided in [CMI-SP-F-ASUM].

#### 6.2.7.2 Client Maintain Operability Requirement

The Client SHALL NOT become inoperable if the update is unsuccessful. The Client SHALL retain all configuration information necessary prior to update to reconfigure and be functional after the update.

# **6.2.7.3 Client Manual Intervention Requirement**

If a software update requires manual intervention, Clients SHALL notify the Management Entity using the codes specified in [CMI-SP-F-ASUM].

# 7 Security Requirements

# 7.1 Client Secure Transport Mechanism Requirement

The Client SHALL implement the secure software transport mechanism, including software image verification, as specified in [CMI-SP-F-ASUM].

# 8 Appendix A ASUM IHE MEM DMC Message Examples (INFORMATIVE)

This Appendix provides examples of ASUM IHE MEM DMC PCD-15 messages.

# 8.1 Sample PCD-15 Message with MCCP and Additional Info

Once the Client is directed by the CME to communicate with the ASUM Management Entity, the Client sends a PCD-15 message containing its MCCP (with scheduling modality indicated in the OPT field), plus any additional information in separate OBXs needed to determine update availability. Note that per the requirement, if this Client supported Management Entity Based Scheduling instead, it would not include ASUM\_SCHEDULER\_CBS in the OPT field, thus indicating the default scheduling modality to the ASUM Management Entity).

```
MSH|^~\&|CMI^001A01000000001^EUI-64||CMI HOSPITAL||20150119221713-
0000||ORU^R01^ORU R01||1421727433||P||2.6|||AL||NE||UNICODE UTF-
8|en^English^ISO639||IHE PCD 015^IHE
PCD^1.3.6.1.4.1.19376.1.6.1.15.1^ISO
PID|1||||||Y
PV1 | 1 | N
OBR|1|2000101^CMI 4000^001A01000000001^EUI-64|2000101^CMI
4000^001A01000000001^EUI-
64|126979^MDCC4MI EVT DEVICE INFO^MDC|||20150119221713-0000
OBX|1|ST|126976^MDCC4MI ATTR CMI MCCP^MDC|1.0.0.1|MCCP VER=001
CCID=[Version]:[VendorID]:[Type]:[ComponentID] RBV=1.0.0
CCS=Operational SWV=1.0.0 MM=CMI%204000
FCCP=https://medicalinteroperability.org/make model xyz
CONFIG=https://medicalinteroperability.org/make model xyz/config
OPT=ASUM SCHEDULER CBS|||||X
OBX|2|ST|67972^MDC PROD SPEC HW^MDC|1.0.0.2|2000101^Medfusion
4000^001A01000000001^EUI-64||20150119221713-0000||||F
```

#### 8.2 Sample ACK with Update Software Command

The MSA-1 code 'AA' is used to acknowledge acceptance by the ASUM Management Entity. An ERR segment is present and ERR-3.1 has a value of 0 and ERR-4 has a value of 'I' indicating "Informational'. ERR-5.2 contains the MDC code specifying an ASUM command, ERR-6 contains the unique command identifier, and ERR-7 contains the structured command.

```
MSH|^~\&|MgmtEntityABC||VendorXYZ ^001A01000000001^EUI-64||20150119221714-0000||ACK^015^ACK|1421727433|P|2.6|||NE|NE||UNICODEUTF-8|en^English^ISO639||IHE_PCD_015^IHEPCD_1.3.6.1.4.1.19376.1.6.1.15.1^ISOMSA|AA|1421727433

ERR||0^MessageAccepted^HL70357|I|126980^MDCC4MI_ATTR_CMI_ASUM_CMD^MDC|123456|CMD=UPDATE_SWURI=/some/uri AUTH=CVC DST=201803260100-0000 DET=201803260159-0000 UST=201803260500-0000 UET=201803260559-0000
```

# 8.3 Sample PCD-15 Message with Software Update Success Indication

After the Client attempts an update, it sends its newly updated MCCP, and the last OBX returns a Software Update Success Indication of |123456-CMI-S-ASUM-00000|, indicating that the software update succeeded as requested.

```
MSH|^~\&|CMI^001A01000000001^EUI-64||CMI HOSPITAL||20150119221713-
0000||ORU^R01^ORU R01||1421727433||P||2.6|||AL||NE||UNICODE UTF-
8|en^English^ISO639||IHE PCD 015^IHE
PCD^1.3.6.1.4.1.19376.1.6.1.15.1^ISO
PID|1|||||||Y
PV1 | 1 | N
OBR|1|2000101^CMI 4000^001A01000000001^EUI-64|2000101^CMI
4000^001A01000000001^EUI-
64|126979^MDCC4MI EVT CMI DEVICE INFO^MDC|||20150119221713-0000
OBX|1|ST|126976^MDCC4MI ATTR CMI MCCP^MDC|1.0.0.1|MCCP VER=001
CCID=[Version]:[VendorID]:[Type]:[ComponentID] RBV=1.0.0
CCS=Operational SWV=1.0.0 MM=CMI%204000
FCCP=https://medicalinteroperability.org/make model xyz
CONFIG=https://medicalinteroperability.org/make model xyz/config
OPT=ASUM SCHEDULER CBS||||X
OBX|2|ST|0^MDC NOTI CMD STATUS^MDC|1.0.0.6|123456-CMI-S-ASUM-
00000||20150119221713-0000|||F
```

# 9 Acknowledgements

The Center and its member companies would like to extend a heartfelt thanks to all those who participated in the development of this document.

**Eldon Metz, Paul Schluter** and **Steve Goeringer** authored the original version of this document; with technical and editorial contributions from **Sumanth Channabasappa**. Special thanks to those who were directly involved via a variety of discussions, reviews and input: **Ken Fuchs, Kai Hassing** and **Jeffrey Brown.** 

**Jacob Chadwell** authored this D02 version of this document, with technical input from **Eldon Metz** and **Sumanth Channabasappa**. **Jacob Chadwell** is the CMI Lead for this document

This effort was conducted within The Center's Architecture and Requirements, Security, and Connectivity Working Groups, and was reviewed by the Security Working Group whose members have included the following part-time and full-time participants during the time period that we discussed this version of the document:

<b>Working Group Participants</b>	Company Affiliation
Aishwarya Muralidharan	vTitan
Alex Poiry	Cerner
Ali Nakoulima	Cerner
Andrew Meshkov	86Borders
Brian Long	Masimo
Brian Scribner	CableLabs
Bruce Friedman	GE Healthcare
Corey Spears	Infor
Darshak Thakore	CableLabs
David Hatfield	Becton Dickenson
David Niewolny	RTI
Eldon Metz	Innovision Medical
George Cragg	Draeger

<b>Working Group Participants</b>	Company Affiliation
Guy Johnson	Zoll
Ian Sherlock	Texas Instruments
James Surine	Smiths-Medical
Jason Mortensen	Bernoulli Health
Jay White	Laird
Jay White	Laird
Jeffrey Brown	GE
JF Lancelot	Airstrip
John Barr	CableLabs
John Hinke	Innovision Medical
John Williams	FortyAU
Kai Hassing	Philips
Ken Fuchs	Draeger
Logan Buchanan	FortyAU
M Prasannahvenkat	vTitan
Massimo Pala PhD	CablelLabs
Mike Krajnak	GE
Milan Buncick	Aegis
Neil Puthuff	RTI
Neil Seidl	GE
Ponlakshmi G	vTitan
Scott Eaton	Mindray
Stefan Karl	Philips
Steven Goeringer	CableLabs

<b>Working Group Participants</b>	Company Affiliation
Travis West	Bridge Connector

• Sumanth Channabasappa (Chief Architect), Steve Goeringer (Chief Security Architect), Chris Riha (Working Groups Lead), Paul Schluter, Bowen Shaner, Jacob Chadwell, David Fann, Spencer Crosswy, Dr. Richard Tayrien, Trevor Pavey; and, Ed Miller (CTO) - The Center