

# Working Group Position Paper

## on mixed-mode data collection in household surveys

### Conclusions of the 9<sup>th</sup> meeting

### September 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2021

Participants: Nadja Lamei (Austria), Thomas Burg (Austria), Fiona O'Callaghan (Ireland), Fiona O'Riordan (Ireland), Clelia Romano (Italy), Claudia de Vitiis (Italy), Gwennaëlle Brillhault (France), Patrick Sillard (France), Zoltán Vereczkei (Hungary), Ferenc Mújdricza (Hungary), Petra Fekete-Nagy (Hungary), Andreja Smukavec (Slovenia), Martina Stare (Slovenia)

The following points were discussed by the group and led to these decisions.

## Annex

The following rules were adopted (with reference to the document [PSillard]Agreg\_V1.odt distributed before the meeting):

- table x.4.2 (x in {1,2,3,4,5,6}): add two columns consistently with what was done By C. Romano and C. de Vitiis and already implemented in table 5.4.2 for HBS. Modify the reading note accordingly (see the example of table 5.4.2).
- table x.2.3 (x in {1,2,3,4,5,6}): recompute (if necessary) the combinations up to a maximum of three modes; add the following note at the bottom of the table [seen with F. O'Callaghan]: *“In case of a combination of modes, we consider here only the main modes, in terms of actual number of responses, up to a maximum of three, provided that each of these modes accounts for more than 5% of the country's actual responses. ”*
- tables x.1.1 (x in {1,2,3,4,5,6}): the percentage should be removed
- table 5.2.2: the case other, as described in the note, should be integrated in the CATI numbers and the sentence in comment should be kept, explaining that although this is not a pure CATI, it was included in the CATI count. The same rule should be applied for tables x.2.2 (x in {1,2,3,4,5,6}) when relevant.
- In open questions: we leave the comment as it is, even if the English is approximate. And we remove anything that identifies the country or the NSI.
- The level of detail of the comments is left to the penholders of each part. We accept that it may be different, depending on the wishes of the penholders.

## Position paper

- We will add an executive summary of 1 to 1,5 page in front of the Position paper. It will be prepared when the PP will be finished.
- The current organization of the position paper is adopted (adding the executive summary part at the beginning of the document).
- Part 5 should start with concrete measures, such as a research program, and end with the proposed implementation in the usual framework of Eurostat funding (workshop, training, task forces, ESSnets, center of excellence, etc.). P. Sillard will make a proposal to the group accordingly, integrating the current Z. Vereczkei's contribution. Proposal of concrete measures can be made by the members to P. Sillard at any time.
- Proposal of modifications of the part 1 to 4 should be made, especially the ones who were responsible of the MIMOD reviews (for part 4) or the questionnaire (part 3). These proposals should be sent to P. Sillard, and reported directly in the Word/Office document **using the Track changes and display the changes options.**
- The document is expected to be modified over the next couple of weeks through email exchanges. P. Sillard will send each Friday the new consolidated version of the document.
- The next meeting of the group (11<sup>th</sup> Oct), which should also be the last before the draft position paper is sent to SSD and DIME, will be devoted to reviewing the latest version. A few small changes may still be made during the week of 11<sup>th</sup> Oct. The document should be sent to DSS by 15<sup>th</sup> Oct.