

**SE 504 (Formal Methods and Models)**  
**Spring 2017**  
**HW #4: Catenation, Selection, and Invariants**  
**Due: 7:30pm, Monday March 6**

Let  $S$  be a program and  $Q$  be a predicate (over the state space of  $S$ ). The expression  $\text{wp}.S.Q$  (read “weakest precondition of  $S$  with respect to  $Q$ ”) refers to the weakest predicate  $P$  satisfying the Hoare triple  $\{P\} S \{Q\}$ . In other words

$$\{P\} S \{Q\} \equiv [P \Rightarrow \text{wp}.S.Q]$$

Among the laws pertaining to wp are these:

wp skip law:  $[\text{wp}.\text{skip}.Q \equiv Q]$

wp assignment law:  $[\text{wp}.(x := E).Q \equiv Q(x := E)]$

wp catenation law:  $[\text{wp}.(S_1; S_2).Q \equiv \text{wp}.S_1.(\text{wp}.S_2.Q)]$

The wp catenation law says, in effect, that the weakest solution to  $\{?\} S_1; S_2 \{Q\}$  is none other than  $\text{wp}.S_1.R$  (i.e., the weakest solution to  $\{?\} S_1 \{R\}$ ), where  $R$  is  $\text{wp}.S_2.Q$  (i.e., the weakest solution to  $\{?\} S_2 \{Q\}$ ).

That is, to obtain the weakest precondition for the catenation  $S_1; S_2$  (with respect to a post-condition  $Q$ ), we first find the weakest precondition for  $S_2$  (with respect to  $Q$ ), which serves as our “intermediate assertion” between  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ .

In problems 1-3, simplify the given expression as much as possible. Use the wp laws given above, as well as well-known theorems from arithmetic, algebra, and logic. Regarding Problem 2, note that catenation is associative, meaning that  $(S_1; S_2); S_3$  and  $S_1; (S_2; S_3)$  are equivalent programs. Problem 3, despite being worded differently, is the same kind of problem as the ones preceding it.

1. (10 points)  $\text{wp}.(i := i - 2 * j; j := j + i).(2i \geq j)$
2. (10 points)  $\text{wp}.(y := x - y; x := x - y; y := y + x).(x = Y \wedge y = X)$
3. (10 points) Determine the weakest predicate  $P$  that makes this Hoare Triple true:

$$\{P\} i := i - 1; \text{sum} := \text{sum} + b.i \{ \text{sum} = (+j \mid i \leq j < \#b : b.j) \wedge 0 \leq i \leq \#b \}$$

4. (13 points) Calculate an expression  $E$  (containing no occurrences of rigid variable  $\mathbb{C}$ , of course) that makes the given Hoare Triple true.

$$\{\mathbb{C} = km + r \wedge m > 0 \wedge \text{isOdd}.m\} r := E; k, m := 2 * k, (m - 1) \text{ div } 2 \{ \mathbb{C} = km + r \}$$

You should find it necessary to make use of this theorem:

$$(m > 0 \wedge \text{isOdd}.m) \Rightarrow (((m - 1) \text{ div } 2) = (m - 1)/2)$$

Recall that, if **IF** is the program

$$\mathbf{if} \ B_0 \rightarrow S_0 \ \square \ B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \ \mathbf{fi}$$

then  $[\mathbf{wp}.\mathbf{IF}.Q \equiv (B_0 \vee B_1) \wedge (B_0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{wp}.S_0.Q) \wedge (B_1 \Rightarrow \mathbf{wp}.S_1.Q)]$

Using the relationship between wp and Hoare Triples, from this it follows that

$$\{P\} \mathbf{IF} \{Q\} \equiv [P \Rightarrow (B_0 \vee B_1)] \wedge \{P \wedge B_0\} S_0 \{Q\} \wedge \{P \wedge B_1\} S_1 \{Q\}$$

**5.** (23 points) Prove this Hoare Triple:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{P \wedge i < \#b\} \\ &\mathbf{if} \ b.i > 0 \rightarrow \text{sum} := \text{sum} + b.i; \ i := i + 1 \\ &\square \ b.i \leq 0 \rightarrow i := i + 1 \\ &\mathbf{fi} \\ &\{P \wedge i \leq \#b\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $P : 0 \leq i \wedge \text{sum} = (+j \mid 0 \leq j < i \wedge b.j > 0 : b.j)$

**6.** (24 points)

Complete, and narrate, the development of a program that satisfies this Hoare Triple by “solving for”  $E$ , an unknown expression. Note that no single solution works in all cases, so it will be necessary to introduce a selection command. Explain your reasoning, using as a model the *Two Examples of Deriving Selection Commands* web page.

$$\{P \wedge 1 \leq i < \#b\} \ k := E; \ i := i + 1 \ \{P \wedge 1 \leq i \leq \#b\}$$

where  $P : k = (\#j \mid 1 \leq j < i : b.(j-1) > b.j)$

Note that  $(\#x \mid R : Q)$  is an abbreviation for  $(\#x \mid R \wedge Q : 1)$ .

**7.** (10 points) Suppose that you have a chocolate bar similar to the one shown in the image at [www.gettyimages.com/detail/photo/chocolate-bar-with-path-royalty-free-image/157419404](http://www.gettyimages.com/detail/photo/chocolate-bar-with-path-royalty-free-image/157419404). That is, it has “squares” separated by little troughs so as to make it easy to break the bar into rectangular-shaped pieces. Assume that initially the bar is in one piece and has  $n$  squares. You are to keep splitting the bar until you are left with  $n$  pieces, each being one of the original squares. Each time you split a piece, you must split it entirely along one of its troughs, so as to obtain two pieces.

How many times will you perform a split before you end up with  $n$  pieces? Justify your answer by making an argument that is based upon an invariant property/relationship involving quantities that are relevant to the situation.