

①

We study the case $X = L_i$,

$\rightarrow GL(L_i)$ is one dim tori in Ver_p .

By prop 3.5 ($gl(x) = sc(gl(x)) \oplus sl(x)$)

$$gl(L_i) = 1 \oplus sl(L_i) \text{ where } 1 = \text{im}(\text{coev}_{L_i}): 1 \rightarrow L_i \otimes L_i^*$$

From Harish-Chandra pairs paper

Prop 7.20: ~~$U(G) \cong g, U(g) = \text{sl}(g) \oplus \text{U}(g)^*$~~ ~~$U(G) \cong U(g)^*$~~
when G_0 trivial.

Prop 7.20: G an AGS of fin. type in Ver_p w/ $\text{Lie}(G) = g$,
then $U(G) \cong U(g)^*$ as a comm. Hopf alg

\rightarrow Since $sl(L_i)_0 = 0 = \text{Lie}(G_0)$, G_0 trivial, we can say by
prop 7.20 that

Def: $SL(L_i)$ the AGS corresp. to $sl(L_i)$ w/
coordinate alg $U(sl(L_i))$

From thm 3.15 ($GL(X) \cong GL(X)_0 \times gl(X)_{\geq 0}$)

Corollary: $GL(L_i) = GL(1, k) \times SL(L_i)$

Thm: For $i=1, p-1$ $sl(L_i) = 0$, for $i \in [2, p-2]$, $sl(L_i)$ is a
simple Lie alg. $gl(L_i)$

Proof: $i=1, p-1$: $L_i \otimes L_i^* \cong L_i \otimes L_i = L_i$ so ev: $gl(L_i) \rightarrow 1$ is
the identity and has $\ker 0$.

$i \in [2, p-2]$: is simple

(2)

Since $L_i = \pi(V_i)$ for $V_i \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathbb{Z}/p)$ indecomp.

→ Then any ideal in $\text{SL}(L_i)$ has a lift to an ideal in $\text{SL}(V_i)$

→ But $\text{SL}(V_i)$ is simple.

Corollary: $\text{SL}(L_i)$ a simple finite group

→ From HCP the cat $\{(G_i, \text{Lie}(G_i))\}$ is equiv to $\{\text{AGS in Ver}_p\}$

→ So there is a corresp. of normal subgroups of G_i and ideals of $\text{Lie}(G_i)$.

Now, want to describe reps of $\text{PGL}(L_i) \overset{?}{=} \text{SL}(L_i)$

Prop: As an STC $\text{Ver}_p(\text{SL}_i) \cong \text{Ver}_p^+(\text{SL}_i) \otimes \mathcal{E}$ where \mathcal{E} has every simple object X , $X \otimes X^* \cong \mathbb{1}$. (if i even?) \mathcal{E} gen by invertibles

Proof: We have inclusions $\text{Ver}_p^+(\text{SL}_i) \hookrightarrow \text{Ver}_p(\text{SL}_i)$

So we get map from \mathbb{B}

→ Since everything semi-simple just check any simple in $\text{Ver}_p(\text{SL}_i)$ is a tensor prod of simples from others

→ follows from fusion rules of papers.

Def: Let L be the simple in $\text{Ver}_p^+(\text{SL}_i)$ corresp. to the adjoint rep of SL_i . (3)

→ Well Known 1) $L \otimes$ -gen $\text{Ver}_p^+(\text{SL}_i)$

2) \otimes of simple not iso to $\mathbb{1}$

3) $(-)^*$ includes L as a summand.

full subcat
closed under
→ subquo, $\otimes, {}^*$
↓

So prop: $\text{Ver}_p^+(\text{SL}_i)$ has no non-trivial, proper \otimes subcats.

Now, recall $\pi = \text{Aut}^\otimes(\text{Id})$ is fund group of $\text{Ver}_p(\text{SL}_i)$ are AGS's.
and let π_+ the " " for $\text{Ver}_p^+(\text{SL}_i)$

→ L_i is the image of the taut rep in $\text{Ver}_p(\text{SL}_i)$, so π acts on L_i .

So we get $\pi \rightarrow \text{GL}(L_i)$

Then $\Phi: \pi_+ \rightarrow \text{SL}(L_i)$ is the map $\pi_+ \hookrightarrow \pi \rightarrow \text{GL}(L_i) \rightarrow \text{SL}(L_i)$

Thm: Φ is an iso of AGS's

inj: π_+ is simple since $\text{Rep}(\pi_+)$ has no nontrivial proper tensor subcat and normal subgroups corresp. to →

→ But Φ is nonzero, so has trivial Kernel.

Surj: The functor $\text{Ver}_p(\text{SL}_i) \rightarrow \text{Ver}_p$ takes $\text{SL}(x) \mapsto \text{SL}(L_i)$ and Φ lifts to a nontrivial subgroup of $\text{SL}(x)$.

→ But $\text{SL}(x)$ is simple (since $\text{sl}(x)$ is), #

By Tannakian Reconstruction

Corollary: Let \mathcal{L} be $\text{Rep}(\text{SL}(L_i))$ on which the actions of π are compatible, then $\mathcal{L} \cong \text{Ver}_p^+(\text{SL}_i)$

→ π acts on the rep (living in Ver_p) and as $\Phi(\pi)$. in $\text{SL}(L_i)$

Want to construct triangular decomp of $GL(x)$ (4)

From HCP subgroups of $GL(x)$ corresp. to pairs

(H_0, h) where $H_0 \leq G_0$ and $h \in g_j$, s.t. $H_0 = \text{Lie}(h_0)$

Suppose $X = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k X_i$ where X_i simple.

Then $X \otimes X^* = \bigoplus X_i \otimes X_j^*$, so we have subgroups

1) $T(X)$ maximal torus ($T(GL_n), t(x)$) where $t(x) = \bigoplus gl(x_i)$

2) $B(X)$ the Borel subgroup (upper triang mat.)

$\rightarrow N^-(X)$ strictly lower triang.

w/ corresp. $b(x)$, $n^-(x)$

Prop: in Verind $O(GL(x))^\circ \cong O(N^-(x))^\circ \otimes O(B(x))^\circ$,
 \rightarrow Dist. algebras

Proof: By PBW decomp HCP paper?

Now, $T(nL_i) \cong GL(L_i)^n$. By Corollary 4.10
and classical rep thy for $T(GL_n)$ we get

$\text{Rep}(T(nL_i)) \leftrightarrow W = \{(\lambda, s_1, \dots, s_n) : \lambda \text{ dominant integral weight}, s_i \text{ an irred. obj. in } \text{Ver}^+(sl_i)\}$

\rightarrow We can use the decomp to get Verma modules as ind-obj

Def: For $(\lambda, s_1, \dots, s_n) \in W$ the generalized Verma mod is

$$V(\lambda, s_1, \dots, s_n) = O(GL(nL_i))^\circ \otimes_{O(B(nL_i))^\circ} K(\lambda, s_1, \dots, s_n)$$

where $K(\lambda, S_1, \dots, S_n) = K_\lambda \otimes S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes S_n$ is the irreducible rep. of $T(nL)$ extended to $B(nL)$ "in a trivial manner". (5)

Prop: $V(\lambda, S_1, \dots, S_n)$ has unique maximal proper submod. $S(\lambda, S_1, \dots, S_n)$ and hence irreduc. quo. $L \cong V/S$

From HCP¹

Cor 1.4: G an AGS of fin. type in Ver. Rep(G) equiv Rep(G_0, g')

→ a rep of $O(GL(nL))^\circ$ gives a rep of $GL(nL)$ iff its restriction to $O(GL_n)^\circ$ gives a rep of GL_n .

We wish to prove

Thm: There is a bijection btwn irrep ($GL(nL)$) and W

Then we get the bijection if we can show the $L(\lambda)$ are irreps for $GL(nL)$. Basically, the usual way for S_L .

Prop: Let $V(\lambda)$ be mod for $O(GL_n)^\circ$ and $L(\lambda)$ it's unique irreduc. quo. Then there is a surj.

$$S(gl(nL)_{\geq 0}) \otimes L(\lambda) \otimes S_1 \otimes \dots \otimes S_n \rightarrow L(\lambda)$$

w/ GL_n acting on \uparrow by adjoint action.

1) $L(\lambda)$ is an irreducible $GL(nL_i)$ -rep.

Proof: By prop $U(\lambda)$ is a quotient of a $\mathcal{O}(GL_n)$ -module, so it is also a GL_n -rep.
of fin. length.

2) if V an irrep of $GL(nL_i)$, $V \cong L(\lambda)$ for some λ .

Proof: Since V has finite length, the restriction of V to $T(nL_i)$ means there's a highest weight gen V .

So we get $V(\lambda) \rightarrow V$ and so $V \cong L(\lambda)$, for some λ .

3) If $\lambda \neq \lambda'$ two weights for $GL(nL_i)$, then the reps $L(\lambda), L(\lambda')$ are not iso.

Proof: Follows from universal prop. of verma mods
(Tensor-hom adj?)

→ So proof same as for ordinary groups

Thus we get the bijection.

Somehow parabolic induction?