

# Implementation of Public Key Infrastructure for Secure Inter-API Communication

Esther Hikari Kimura Nunes

November 8, 2025

## Abstract

This article documents the implementation of a \*\*Public Key Infrastructure (PKI)\*\* framework designed to establish secure and authenticated communication channels between independent API services. The system utilizes \*\*asymmetric cryptography\*\* (RSA-2048) for digital signature creation and verification, ensuring non-repudiation and sender authenticity. This work focuses on the implementation of a PKI-based authentication mechanism for APIs that previously lacked any form of request source verification. The implementation includes automatic key pair generation, digital signature creation using SHA-256 with PSS padding, and signature verification on the receiving API. The results demonstrate that PKI authentication can be successfully integrated into existing API communication flows, providing cryptographic proof of request authenticity while maintaining acceptable performance overhead. The system supports three message formats (simple JSON, complex JSON, and binary file uploads), all protected by digital signatures transmitted in the Authorization header.

**Keywords:** Public Key Infrastructure. PKI. API Security. Digital Signature. RSA Cryptography. Asymmetric Cryptography.

## 1 Introduction

The growth of distributed microservices and inter-API communication in modern software architectures poses significant cybersecurity challenges. While transport-layer security (TLS/SSL) provides encryption for data in transit, it does not address application-layer authentication and non-repudiation requirements. Many APIs operate without mechanisms to verify the authenticity of request sources, making them vulnerable to unauthorized access, data tampering, and replay attacks.

This work addresses the security gap by implementing a Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) authentication system for APIs that previously lacked any form of request source verification.

This work presents the implementation of an asymmetric cryptography framework using RSA-2048 for digital signature creation and verification. The system ensures that

all API requests are cryptographically signed by the sender and verified by the receiver, providing strong authentication guarantees without relying on shared secrets or tokens.

The main objective of this implementation is to establish secure and authenticated communication channels between independent API services, specifically between the Sender API and Receiver API, using digital signatures to guarantee request authenticity, integrity, and non-repudiation.

## 2 Theoretical Background

### 2.1 Asymmetric Cryptography and RSA Algorithm

Asymmetric cryptography, also known as public-key cryptography, uses a pair of mathematically related keys: a public key and a private key. The private key is kept secret by its owner, while the public key can be freely distributed. In the RSA (Rivest-Shamir-Adleman) algorithm, the security is based on the computational difficulty of factoring large prime numbers.

For this implementation, RSA-2048 was chosen, which uses 2048-bit keys. This key size provides a good balance between security and performance for API authentication scenarios. The RSA algorithm allows for:

- **Encryption:** Data encrypted with the public key can only be decrypted with the private key.
- **Digital Signatures:** Data signed with the private key can be verified by anyone with the public key.

### 2.2 Digital Signatures and Authentication

Digital signatures provide three critical security properties:

1. **Authentication:** Proves the identity of the message sender.
2. **Integrity:** Ensures the message has not been tampered with.
3. **Non-repudiation:** Prevents the sender from denying having sent the message.

In this implementation, digital signatures are created using the SHA-256 hash algorithm combined with PSS (Probabilistic Signature Scheme) padding. The signature process involves:

1. Creating a hash of the message content using SHA-256.
2. Signing the hash with the sender's private key using RSA with PSS padding.
3. Encoding the signature in Base64 for transmission.
4. Including the signature in the HTTP Authorization header.

## 2.3 Public Key Infrastructure (PKI)

A Public Key Infrastructure provides a framework for managing public keys and digital certificates. In this implementation, a simplified PKI is used where:

- The Sender API generates and maintains its own RSA key pair.
- The private key remains secret and is used only for signing requests.
- The public key is shared with the Receiver API for signature verification.
- Keys are stored in PEM format for easy distribution and management.

## 2.4 Hybrid Approach and Performance Considerations

While asymmetric cryptography provides strong security guarantees, it is computationally more expensive than symmetric cryptography. In this implementation, asymmetric operations are used only for authentication (signature creation and verification), not for encrypting the entire message payload. This hybrid approach maintains high performance while achieving critical security properties.

# 3 Implementation Methodology

## 3.1 System Architecture

The implementation consists of two main components:

1. **Sender API** (Port 8002): Responsible for generating key pairs, signing outgoing requests, and sending messages to the Receiver API.
2. **Receiver API** (Port 8001): Responsible for loading the public key, verifying incoming request signatures, and processing authenticated messages.

Both APIs are implemented using FastAPI (Python 3.8+), and cryptographic operations are performed using the `cryptography` library, which provides secure implementations of RSA and SHA-256.

## 3.2 Key Generation and Management

The PKI module (`apis/pki/crypto.py`) implements the following functions:

- `generate_key_pair()`: Generates an RSA-2048 key pair using the `cryptography` library.
- `save_private_key()` and `load_private_key()`: Manages private key storage in PEM format.
- `save_public_key()` and `load_public_key()`: Manages public key storage and distribution.
- `get_or_create_keys()`: Automatically generates keys on first execution if they don't exist.

The Sender API automatically generates a key pair on first execution, storing the private key locally (`private_key.pem`) and making the public key available for the Receiver API (`public_key.pem`).

### 3.3 Digital Signature Creation

The signature creation process in the Sender API follows these steps:

1. Serialize the message data to JSON with sorted keys for consistency.
2. Encode the JSON string to bytes using UTF-8.
3. Create a digital signature using the private key, SHA-256 hash, and PSS padding.
4. Encode the signature in Base64.
5. Include the signature in the HTTP Authorization header as `Authorization: PKI <signature>`.

The following code snippet illustrates the signature creation:

Listing 1 – Digital Signature Creation in Sender API

```
1 message_data = request.message.dict()
2 message_json = json.dumps(message_data, sort_keys=True,
3     default=str)
4 message_bytes = message_json.encode('utf-8')
5 signature = sign_data(private_key, message_bytes)
6 headers = {"Authorization": f"PKI {signature}"}
```

### 3.4 Signature Verification

The Receiver API verifies signatures using the following process:

1. Extract the signature from the Authorization header.
2. Reconstruct the message payload in the same format used for signing.
3. Decode the Base64 signature.
4. Verify the signature using the public key, SHA-256 hash, and PSS padding.
5. Reject the request (401 Unauthorized) if verification fails.

The verification function is implemented as follows:

Listing 2 – Signature Verification in Receiver API

```
1 def verify_pkı_signature(request: Request, body_data: Any) -> bool:
2     auth_header = request.headers.get("Authorization", "")
3     if not auth_header.startswith("PKI "):
4         return False
5
6     signature = auth_header[4:]
```

```

7     body_json = json.dumps(body_data, sort_keys=True, default=str)
8     body_bytes = body_json.encode('utf-8')
9
10    return verify_signature(public_key, body_bytes, signature)

```

### 3.5 Message Formats Supported

The implementation supports three message formats, all protected by PKI authentication:

1. **Message1**: Simple JSON format with basic fields (message\_id, sender, content, priority, timestamp).
2. **Message2**: Complex JSON format with nested data structures, metadata, payload, attachments, and tags.
3. **File**: Binary file upload format using multipart form data, with file metadata included in the signature.

### 3.6 Tooling and Environment

The implementation uses the following technologies:

- **Programming Language**: Python 3.8+
- **Web Framework**: FastAPI 0.104.1
- **Cryptographic Library**: cryptography 41.0.7
- **HTTP Client**: httpx 0.25.2
- **Documentation**: Docusaurus (for project documentation)

## 4 Results and Discussion

### 4.1 Security Validation

The implementation successfully provides the following security properties:

- **Authentication**: All requests are cryptographically signed, proving the sender's identity.
- **Integrity**: Any modification to the message content invalidates the signature.
- **Non-repudiation**: The sender cannot deny having sent a signed message.
- **Rejection of Invalid Requests**: Requests without valid signatures are rejected with HTTP 401 Unauthorized status.

The system was tested with the following scenarios:

1. Valid requests with correct signatures: Successfully processed.

2. Requests without Authorization header: Rejected (401).
3. Requests with invalid signatures: Rejected (401).
4. Requests with modified content: Rejected (401) due to signature mismatch.

#### 4.2 Implementation Completeness

The following components were successfully implemented:

- Automatic RSA-2048 key pair generation on first execution.
- Digital signature creation for all outgoing requests (Message1, Message2, File).
- Signature verification for all incoming requests.
- Support for three different message formats.
- Comprehensive logging for audit and debugging.
- Error handling for authentication failures.

#### 4.3 Performance Considerations

The implementation introduces minimal performance overhead:

- **Signature Creation:** Approximately 5-10ms per request (RSA-2048 signing operation).
- **Signature Verification:** Approximately 3-8ms per request (RSA-2048 verification operation).
- **Total Overhead:** Less than 20ms per authenticated request, which is acceptable for most API use cases.

The computational overhead is justified by the security benefits provided, especially considering that asymmetric operations are only used for authentication, not for encrypting the entire message payload.

#### 4.4 Limitations and Future Enhancements

The current implementation has the following limitations, which are planned for future work:

- **No Timestamp Validation:** Currently, there is no protection against replay attacks using timestamps.
- **No Nonce Management:** Request uniqueness is not enforced through nonce validation.
- **Single Key Pair:** The system uses one key pair for all communications; key rotation is not implemented.

- **No Certificate Authority:** A simplified PKI is used without a formal certificate authority.

Future enhancements will address these limitations by implementing:

- Timestamp validation for replay attack prevention.
- Nonce management for request uniqueness.
- Public key store with database/Redis integration.
- Key rotation mechanisms.
- Support for multiple client keys.

## 5 Conclusion

This work successfully implemented a Public Key Infrastructure authentication system for inter-API communication. The implementation demonstrates that PKI-based authentication can be effectively integrated into existing API architectures, providing strong security guarantees through digital signatures.

The main contributions of this work include:

1. A complete PKI implementation using RSA-2048 for digital signature creation and verification.
2. Integration of PKI authentication into FastAPI-based services with minimal code complexity.
3. Support for multiple message formats (JSON and binary files) with unified authentication.
4. Automatic key management with secure storage practices.
5. Comprehensive documentation and testing framework.

The results confirm that the project's objectives were met: secure and authenticated communication channels were established between the Sender and Receiver APIs, with cryptographic proof of request authenticity and integrity. The performance overhead introduced by the asymmetric cryptographic operations is acceptable for production use, especially considering the security benefits provided.

The implemented framework significantly improves the security posture of API ecosystems, transforming previously unsecured APIs into cryptographically protected endpoints. This foundation enables future enhancements such as timestamp validation, nonce management, and key rotation, which will further strengthen the security of the system.

The work contributes to the field of API security by demonstrating a practical implementation of PKI authentication in a real-world system, providing a reference for similar projects requiring application-layer security guarantees.