

# Abstract Algebra

---

*Proposition :*

$$(a) = \bigcap \{I \text{ is left ideal of } R \text{ containing } a\} = \{na + ra | n \in Z, r \in R\}$$

*Proof :*

*first prove  $(a)$  is a left ideal containing  $a$  :*

*suppose  $a = 1_z \cdot a + 0_R \cdot a \in (a)$ ,  $n_1a + r_1a$ ,  $n_2a + r_2a \in (a)$*

$$(n_1a + r_1a) - (n_2a + r_2a) = (n_1 - n_2)a + (r_1 - r_2)a \in (a)$$

$$\forall b \in R, b(na + ra) = nba + bra = 0_z \cdot a + (nb + br)a \in (a)$$

*then prove  $\forall a_0 \in (a)$ ,  $a_0 \in I$  :*

*suppose  $a_0 = na + ra \in I$ ,  $a \in I \therefore ra \in I$*

$$na = \begin{cases} a + a + \dots + a & n > 0 \\ 0 & n = 0 \\ (-a) + (-a) + \dots + (-a) & n < 0 \end{cases}$$

*$\therefore na \in I \therefore a_0 = na + ra \in I$ , thus  $(a) \subseteq I$*

*Proposition :*

*$(Z, +, \cdot)$  is PID(commutative principle ideal domain)*

*Proof :*

*$I = \{0\} = (0) = Z \cdot 0 = \{0\}$ , thus  $\{0\}$  is a principle ideal ring*

*$I \neq \{0\}$ ,  $\exists n \in I (n \neq 0)$ ,  $(-n) = 0 - n \in I$*

*without loss of generalization, let  $n \in I$ ,  $n > 0$  and  $n$  is the least*

*then prove  $I = (n)$  :*

*$n \in I \therefore (n) \subseteq I$*

$$\forall m \in I, m = qn + r, 0 \leq r < n \Rightarrow r = m - qn$$

*$m \in I$ ,  $qn \in (n) \subseteq I \therefore r \in I$*

*by the choice of  $n$  as the least of positive elements in  $I$ ,  $r = 0$*

*$\therefore m \in (n) \therefore I \subseteq (n) \therefore I = (n)$*

*Proposition :*

$F[x]$  is a PID (suppose  $F$  is a field)

*Proof :*

$$0 \neq f(x) = a_n x^n + \text{low} \dots, a_n \neq 0$$

$$0 \neq g(x) = b_m x^m + \text{low} \dots, b_m \neq 0$$

$$f(x)g(x) = (a_n b_m) x^{n+m} + \text{low} \dots, a_n b_m \neq 0$$

$\therefore F[x]$  is a domain.

Let  $I$  an ideal of  $F[x]$

$$\text{when } I = \{0\}, I = \{0\} = (0) = Z \cdot 0 = \{0\}$$

$$\text{when } I \neq \{0\}, \text{ let } f(x) \in I, f(x) \neq 0$$

suppose  $f(x)$  is a nonzero polynomial in  $I$  with the lowest degree  
 $(f(x)) \subseteq I$  is obviously, then prove  $I \subseteq (f(x))$

$$\forall g(x) \in I, g(x) = q(x)f(x) + r(x), r(x) = g(x) - q(x)f(x) \in I$$

$$\text{thus } r(x) = 0, \text{ thus } g(x) = q(x)f(x) \subseteq (f(x)), \text{ thus } I \subseteq (f(x))$$

$$\text{In addition, } (f(x)) = \langle f(x) \rangle = (f(x)) = F[x] \cdot f(x) (\text{commutative})$$

*Proposition :*

A matrix  $A$  is similar to a diagonal matrix if and only if

it has a splitting polynomial  $f(x)$  which has not multiplicity roots.

*Proof :*

$$A \sim (\lambda_1 E_1, \dots, \lambda_r E_r) \Rightarrow P^{-1}AP = (\lambda_1 E_{n_1}, \dots, \lambda_r E_{n_r}) := B$$

$$\text{let } f(x) = (x - \lambda_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (x - \lambda_r)$$

$$\therefore 0 = f(B) = f(P^{-1}AP) = P^{-1}f(A)P \Rightarrow f(A) = 0$$

$$\text{On the other side, } f(x) = (x - \lambda_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (x - \lambda_r), f(A) = 0$$

$$\text{let } p_i(x) = \frac{f(x)}{x - \lambda_i} = (x - \lambda_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (x - \lambda_{i-1})(x - \lambda_{i+1}) \cdot \dots \cdot (x - \lambda_r)$$

$$\therefore (p_1(x), \dots, p_r(x)) = 1, \text{ thus their greatest common factor is } 1$$

$$\therefore \text{ there exist some } g(x) \text{ such that}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F[x]p_1(x) + \dots + F[x]p_r(x) &= (p_1(x)) + \dots + (p_r(x)) = F[x]g(x) \\
p_i(x) \in F[x]p_i(x) &\subseteq F[x]g(x) \Rightarrow p_i(x) = q_i(x)g(x) \Rightarrow g(x)|p_i(x) \\
\therefore g(x) &= 1, 1 \in F[x] \cdot 1 = F[x]g(x) = F[x]p_1(x) + \dots + F[x]p_r(x) \\
\therefore \exists u(x) \in F[x] &\text{ such that } u_1(x)p_1(x) + \dots + u_r(x)p_r(x) = 1
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Namely } u_1(A)p_1(A) + \dots + u_r(A)p_r(A) &= E \\
n = r(E) &\leq r(u_1(A)p_1(A)) + \dots + r(u_r(A)p_r(A)), \text{ if } f(x) = 0 : \\
(x - \lambda_i)u_i(x)p_i(x) &= u_i(x)f(x) = 0 \Rightarrow (A - \lambda_i E)u_i(A)p_i(A) = 0 \\
\therefore \text{column vectors of } u_i(A)p_i(A) &\text{ is either } 0 \text{ or eigenvector related} \\
&\text{to eigenvalue } \lambda_i \\
\therefore r_i = r(u_i(A)p_i(A)) &\leq n_i(\text{multiplicity of } \lambda_i) \\
\therefore n \leq r_1 + \dots + r_r &\leq n_1 + \dots + n_r = n \Rightarrow r_1 + \dots + r_r = n
\end{aligned}$$

*Definition :*

$$\text{homomorphism : } \psi : R_1 \rightarrow R_2 \begin{cases} \psi(a+b) = \psi(a) + \psi(b) \\ \psi(ab) = \psi(a)\psi(b) \\ \psi(1) = 1(\text{with identity}) \end{cases}$$

$$\text{monomorphism : } a \neq b \Rightarrow \psi(a) \neq \psi(b)$$

$$\text{epimorphism : } \forall r \in R_2, \exists a \in R_1 \text{ s.t. } \psi(r) = a$$

$$\text{isomorphism} = \text{monomorphism} + \text{epimorphism} + \text{homomorphism}$$

*Proposition :*

*The kernal of a homomorphism  $\psi : R_1 \rightarrow R_2$  ( $\ker\psi$ ) is an ideal*

*Proof :*

$$\forall a, b \in \ker\psi, \psi(a-b) = \psi(a) - \psi(b) = 0 - 0 = 0 \Rightarrow a-b \in \ker\psi$$

$$\forall r \in R, \forall a \in \ker\psi, \psi(ra) = \psi(r)\psi(a) = \psi(r) \cdot 0 = 0 \Rightarrow ra \in \ker\psi$$

*notice :  $\text{Im}\psi = \{\psi(a)|a \in R_1\}$  is a subring of  $R_2$  but not an ideal*

$$\psi : R_1 \rightarrow R_2 \text{ is injective} \Leftrightarrow \ker\psi = 0$$

*Example :*

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n, a &\rightarrow \bar{a} = \{a + kn | k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \psi(a+b) = \psi(a) + \psi(b), \psi(1) = \bar{1} \\
\psi(ab) &= \psi(a)\psi(b), \ker\psi = \{a \in \mathbb{Z} | \bar{a} = \bar{0}\} = n\mathbb{Z} = (n), \text{Im}\psi = \mathbb{Z}_n
\end{aligned}$$

*Definition :*

*Quotient ring : suppose  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$ ,  $\frac{R}{I} = \{a + I | a \in R\}$*

$$(a + I) + (b + I) = (a + b) + I, (a + I)(b + I) = ab + I$$

*Proposition :*

$$a_1 \neq a_2, a_1 + I = a_2 + I \Leftrightarrow a_1 - a_2 \in I$$

*Proof :*

$\Rightarrow$

$$a_1 + I = a_2 + I, 0 \in I, a_1 \in \{a_1 + x | x \in I\} = a_2 + I = a_2 + I$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists x \in I \text{ s.t. } a_1 = a_2 + x, x = a_1 - a_2 \in I$$

$\Leftarrow$

$$\forall a_1 + x \in a_1 + I \Rightarrow a_1 + x = a_2 + (a_1 - a_2) + x \in a_2 + I$$

$$a_1 + I \subseteq a_2 + I, a_1 - a_2 \in I \Rightarrow 0 - (a_1 - a_2) = a_2 - a_1 \in I$$

$$a + I = a' + I \Leftrightarrow a - a' \in I, b + I = b' + I \Leftrightarrow b - b' \in I$$

$$(a + b) + I \neq (a' + b') + I \Leftrightarrow (a + b) - (a' - b') = a - a' + b - b' \in I$$

$$\pi : R \rightarrow \frac{R}{I}, r \rightarrow r + I$$

*homomorphic and epimorphic  $\Rightarrow$  natural (cononical)*

$$\ker \pi = \{a \in R | a + I = 0 + I = I\} = I$$

*Definition :*

*Maximal ideal : suppose  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$ ,  $I$  is called to be maximal if  $\forall J \triangleleft R, J \supseteq I \Rightarrow J = I$  or  $R$  (of course  $I \subseteq J \subseteq R$ )*

*Proposition :*

*suppose  $R$  is a commutative ring with identity  $1_R$*

*then  $M$  is a maximal ideal  $\Leftrightarrow \frac{R}{M}$  is a field*

*Proof :*

$\Rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{R}{M} \neq \{0\}, \forall r + M \in \frac{R}{M} \neq 0 \neq M \Leftrightarrow r - 0 \notin M \Leftrightarrow r \notin M \\
& (r) + M = Rr + M = (1 \cdot r + 0) + M \not\subseteq M \Rightarrow Rr + M = R \\
& \therefore \forall x \in R, \exists a \in R, m \in M \text{ s.t. } x = ra + m, \text{ substitute } x = 1_R \\
& \therefore 1 = ra + m, a \in R, m \in M \\
& \therefore 1 + M = ra + m + M = ra + M = (r + M)(a + M) \\
& \Leftarrow \\
& \text{since } \frac{R}{M} \text{ is a field, } M \neq R, M \triangleleft R, M \not\subseteq J \triangleleft R (J \text{ is an ideal of } R) \\
& \text{since } M \neq J \therefore \exists r \notin M, r \in J, 0 \neq r + M \in \frac{R}{M} \\
& \text{suppose } (r + M)(a + M) = ra + M = 1 + M \\
& \therefore \begin{cases} 1 - ra \in M \subseteq J \\ ra \in J (r \in J) \end{cases} \Rightarrow 1 = (1 - ra) + ra \in J \\
& \therefore \forall x \in R, x = x \cdot 1 \in J \Rightarrow J = R
\end{aligned}$$

*Definition :*

suppose  $P \neq R$  is an ideal of  $R$ ,  $R$  is commutative with  $1_R$ , then  
 $P$  is called prime ideal, if  $\forall a, b \in R, ab \in P \Rightarrow$  either  $a \in P$ , or  $b \in P$

*Proposition :*

$P$  is a prime ideal of  $R$  (with  $1_R$ )  $\Leftrightarrow \frac{R}{P}$  is a domain

*Proof :*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow \\
& p \neq R, \frac{R}{P} \neq \{0\}, a + P \neq 0, b + P \neq 0 \\
& \Rightarrow (a + P)(b + P) = ab + P \neq 0 \\
& (\text{otherwise, } ab \in P \Rightarrow a \in P \text{ or } b \in P) \\
& \Leftarrow \\
& \frac{R}{P} \neq 0 \therefore P \neq R, \forall a, b \in R, ab \in P \Rightarrow ab + P = (a + P)(b + P) \\
& \text{also, } ab + P = 0 \therefore a + P = 0 \text{ or } b + P = 0 \therefore a \in P \text{ or } b \in P
\end{aligned}$$

*Proposition :*

suppose  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $R$  and  $R$  is a PID, then

$P = 0$  or  $P$  is maximal  $\Leftrightarrow P = pR = (p)$ ,  $ab \in P \Rightarrow a \in P$  or  $b \in P$   
(maximal ideal  $\rightarrow$  prime ideal, but the reverse is wrong)

*Proof :*

$a \in P \therefore a \in (p) = pR \therefore a = rp \therefore p|a$  (of course  $p \neq 0$  and  $p \neq 1_R$ )

namely  $p|ab \Rightarrow p|a$  or  $p|b$ , such  $p$  is called prime element

a prime element in PID means its ideal is a prime ideal

*Example :*

not all rings' prime ideals are maximal ideals

suppose  $\psi : Z[x] \rightarrow Z$ ,  $f(x) \rightarrow f(0)$

$\ker \psi = \{f(x) | f(0) = 0\} = xZ[x] = (x)$

$\frac{Z[x]}{\ker \psi} = \{a + Z[x]x | a \in Z\} = \bar{a} + (x)$

$\psi : f(x) + \ker \psi \rightarrow f(0)$

$\therefore \psi : Z[x] \rightarrow Z$  is isomorphic,  $\frac{Z[x]}{\ker \psi}$  is a domain then  $Z$  is a domain

$\therefore (x)$  is a prime ideal of  $Z[x]$  but  $x$  is not a maximal ideal

(because the quotient ring of a maximal must be a field)

*Proposition*

suppose  $R$  is a PID,  $P$  is a prime ideal  $\Leftrightarrow R_P = (p)$  is maximal

*Proof :*

suppose  $p$  is a prime,  $p \in R_P \subseteq R_a \subseteq R$

$p = ab$  for some  $b \Rightarrow p|ab \Rightarrow p|a$  or  $p|b$

(1)  $p|a \Rightarrow a = pu = abu \Rightarrow a(1 - bu) = 0 \Rightarrow bu = 1$

$pu = abu = a \in R_P \Rightarrow R_a = (a) \subseteq R_P \Rightarrow R_P = R_a$

(2)  $p|b$ ,  $b = pv$ ,  $p = ab = apv = avp \Rightarrow av = 1 \in (a) = R_a$

$\therefore \forall r \in R$ ,  $r \cdot 1 \in R_a \Rightarrow R = R_a$

$\Rightarrow R_P$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$  by (1) and (2)

conversely,  $R_P$  is maximal, since  $R_P \neq R$ ,  $p$  is not invertible,

$$p = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{R}{R_P} = \frac{R}{0} = R \text{ is a field}$$

$p \neq 0$ ,  $p|ab \Rightarrow ab = pu \in (p) \Rightarrow R_P$  is maximal

$$\Rightarrow R_P \text{ is prime} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} a \in (p) = R_P \Rightarrow a = r_1 p \Rightarrow p|a \\ b \in (p) = R_P \Rightarrow b = r_2 p \Rightarrow p|b \end{cases}$$

*Theorem :*

$R$  is PID,  $a \in R$  is irreducible  $\Leftrightarrow a$  is a prime

In general domain, all primes are irreducible but the reverse isn't.

in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ ,  $2 \cdot 3 = (1 + \sqrt{5})(1 - \sqrt{5})$ , 2 is irreducible but not prime

*Proof :*

$\Leftarrow$

$a$  is a prime  $\Rightarrow a = bc \Rightarrow a|bc \Rightarrow a|b$  or  $a|c$

$a|b$ ,  $b = ar_1 \Rightarrow a = ar_1 c \Rightarrow r_1 c = 1$ ,  $c$  is invertible

$a|c$ ,  $c = ar_2 \Rightarrow a = br_2 a \Rightarrow br_2 = 1$ ,  $b$  is invertible

$\Rightarrow a$  is irreducible

$\Rightarrow$

suppose  $p$  is irreducible,  $(p)$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$ ,  $(p) \subseteq (a) \subseteq R$

$p \in (p) \subseteq (a) = R_a \Rightarrow p = ab$  for some  $b \in R$ , since  $p$  is irreducible

$\Rightarrow a$  is invertible or  $b$  is invertible

$a$  is invertible,  $\exists c$  s.t.  $ac = 1 \in (a) \Rightarrow (a) = R$

$b$  is invertible,  $\exists d$  s.t.  $bd = 1$ ,  $p = ab \Rightarrow pd = a \in (p) \Rightarrow (a) = (p)$

*Proposition :*

$0 \neq f(x) \in Q[x]$ ,  $\exists c \in Q$  s.t.  $f(x) = cf_1(x)$ ,  $f_1(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_0 \in Z[x]$

$f_1(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_0 \in Z[x]$  is said to be primitive, if the maximal

common divisor of  $a_0 \dots a_n = 1$  or  $a_0 \dots a_n$  are coprime

*Gauß's lemma :*

$f(x)$  is irreducible in  $Q[x] \Leftrightarrow f_1(x)$  is irreducible in  $Z[x]$

*Proof :*

$\Rightarrow$

*suppose  $f_1(x)$  is not irreducible, then  $f_1(x) = g(x)h(x)$*

*in which  $g(x) \neq \pm 1$  and  $h(x) \neq \pm 1$ , and  $g(x) \notin Z$ , otherwise*

*$g(x)$  is a common divisor of  $(a_0 \dots a_n)$ , so does  $h(x)$*

$\Rightarrow f(x) = (cg(x))h(x)$  is not irreducible, paradox to the suppose

$\Leftarrow$

*suppose  $f_1(x)$  is irreducible, suppose  $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$   $g(x), h(x) \notin Q$*

*then  $\exists c_1, c_2 \in Q$  s.t.  $g(x) = c_1 g_1(x)$ ,  $h(x) = c_2 h_1(x)$ ,  $g_1(x)$  and  $h_1(x)$*

*are primitive,  $c_1, c_2 \in Q \Rightarrow f(x) = cf_1(x) = c_1 c_2 g_1(x) h_1(x)$*

*then if  $f_1(x) = \pm g_1(x) h_1(x)$ , then  $f_1(x)$  is not irreducible, paradox*

*as for why  $f_1(x) = \pm g_1(x) h_1(x)$ , we now give a proposition :*

*Proposition :*

*If  $g_1(x)$  and  $h_1(x)$  are primitive, then  $g_1(x)h_1(x)$  is primitive*

*Proof :*

*consider  $g_1(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$ ,  $h_1(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m b_j x^j$ ,  $g_1(x)h_1(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m+n} c_k x^k$*

*in which  $c_k = a_0 b_k + \dots + a_k b_0$ , conversely suppose  $p \mid g_1(x)h_1(x)$*

*define  $w(x) = a_t x^t + \dots + a_0$ ,  $\bar{w}(x) = \bar{a}_t x^t + \dots + \bar{a}_0$ ,  $\bar{a}_i \in Z_p$*

*given  $p$  is prime and  $Z$  is PID then  $Z_p[x] = \frac{Z}{p}$  is a field*

$\therefore \overline{g_1(x)h_1(x)} = \bar{0} = \overline{g_1(x)} \cdot \overline{h_1(x)} \Rightarrow \overline{g_1(x)} = \bar{0}$  or  $\overline{h_1(x)} = \bar{0}$ , paradox

*As proved above,  $g_1(x)h_1(x)$  is primitive, and  $f_1(x)$  is primitive*

$f_1(x) = (c^{-1}(c_1 c_2))g_1(x)h_1(x) \Rightarrow (c^{-1}(c_1 c_2)) = \pm 1$

*Eisenstein's irreducible criterion :*

$f(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_0 \in Z[x]$ ,  $p$  is a prime satisfying  $p \nmid a_n$ ,  $p \mid a_i$

$0 \leq a_i \leq n-1$ ,  $p^2 \nmid a_0$ , then  $f(x)$  is irreducible

*Proof :*



notice, the bar is unique for different  $p$ , where  $p$  can be an integer on  $\mathbb{Z}$ , a polynomial on  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ , or even a matrix on  $\mathbb{Z}[M]$

conversely suppose  $f(x) = g(x)h(x) \Rightarrow \overline{f(x)} = \overline{g(x)} \cdot \overline{h(x)}$

$\Rightarrow \bar{a}_n x^n = \overline{g(x)} \cdot \overline{h(x)}$ , on the other side,

$g(x) = b_0 + \dots + b_t x^t$ ,  $h(x) = c_0 + \dots + c_s x^s$ ,  $\overline{g(x)} = \bar{b}_t x^t$ ,  $\overline{h(x)} = \bar{c}_s x^s$   
 $\Rightarrow \bar{b}_0 = \bar{c}_0 = \bar{0} \Rightarrow p \mid b_0$  and  $p \mid c_0 \Rightarrow p^2 \mid b_0 c_0 = a_0$ , paradox to  $p^2 \nmid a_0$

*An example of Eisenstein's irreducible criterion :*

*Show  $Q[\sqrt[n]{2}]$  is a number field.*

$Q[\sqrt[n]{2}] = \{a_0 + a_1 \sqrt[n]{2} + \dots + a_{n-1} \sqrt[n]{2^{n-1}} \mid a_i \in Q\}$ ,  $\psi : Q[x] \rightarrow Q[\sqrt[n]{2}]$

$f(x) \mapsto f(\sqrt[n]{2})$ , then prove  $\ker(\psi) = (x^n - 2)$

$f(x) \in \ker(\psi)$ ,  $f(x) = (x^n - 2)g(x) + r(x)$ , then  $0 = f(\sqrt[n]{2}) = r(\sqrt[n]{2})$

and the degree of  $r(x) \leq n$

also,  $x^n - 2$  is irreducible (Eisenstein's criterion on situation  $p = 2$ )

$r(x)$  and  $x^n - 2$  are not coprime ( $x - \sqrt[n]{2}$  is the only common root)

$(r(x), x^n - 2) = x^n - 2$ ,  $x^n - 2 \mid r(x) \Rightarrow r(x) = 0$ ,  $\ker(\psi) = (x^n - 2)$

$\therefore \frac{Q[x]}{\ker(\psi)} \simeq Q[\sqrt[n]{2}] = \frac{Q[x]}{(x^n - 2)}$  is a field ( $(x^n - 2)$  is a maximal ideal)

*Proposition :*

*the ensemble of nilpotent in  $R$  (commutative) constitutes an ideal*

*Proof :*

*Denote  $I$  as the set of all nilpotent of  $R$ . First, if  $a$  is nilpotent,*

*then  $(-a)$  is also nilpotent, if  $a^m = 0$ , then  $a^m = a^{m+1} = \dots = 0$*

*$\forall a, b \in I$ , their nilpotent exponents are  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ , then for*

*sufficiently large  $m \gg k_1 + k_2$ ,  $(a - b)^m = \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} a^i (-b)^{m-i} = 0$*

*$\forall r \in R$ ,  $(ra)^{k_1} = r^{k_1} a^{k_1} = 0$ , thus  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$*

*Proposition :*

*(1) A ring whose nonzero elements are idempotents is commutative*

*(2) A ring with no zero elements and with some idempotents has*

*unique idempotent and is an unitary*

*Proof :*

$$(1) \forall a \in R, a^2 = a, (-a)^2 = a^2 = a = -a, \forall a \neq b \in R, a + b \neq 0$$

$$\therefore a + b = (a + b)(a + b) = a^2 + b^2 + ab + ba \Rightarrow ab = -ba = ba$$

$$(2) \text{notice } e(ea - a) = ea - ea = 0 \therefore ea = a, e \text{ is the unique unitary}$$

*Proposition :*

*Suppose  $\psi : R_1 \rightarrow R_2$  is homomorphism,  $\ker \psi = \{a \in R_1 | \psi(a) = 0\}$  is an ideal of  $R$ ,  $I$  is an ideal of  $R_1$  and  $I \subseteq \ker \psi$ , then there is a*

*homomorphism  $\bar{\psi} : \frac{R_1}{I} \rightarrow R_2$  s.t.  $\bar{\psi}(a + I) = \psi(a)$*

*then it's easy to get  $\ker \bar{\psi} = \{a + I | a \in \ker \psi\} = \frac{\ker \psi}{I}$ ,  $Im \bar{\psi} = Im \psi$*

*Proof :*

*first prove  $\bar{\psi}$  is well - defined and homomorphism*

$$a + I = b + I \Rightarrow a - b \in I \subseteq \ker \psi, \psi(a - b) = 0 = \psi(a) - \psi(b) \Rightarrow$$

$$\psi(a) = \psi(b), \bar{\psi}(a + I) = \psi(a), \bar{\psi}(b + I) = \psi(b) \Rightarrow \bar{\psi}(a + I) = \bar{\psi}(b + I)$$

$$\bar{\psi}((a + I)(b + I)) = \bar{\psi}(ab + I) = \psi(ab) = \psi(a)\psi(b) = \bar{\psi}(a + I)\bar{\psi}(b + I)$$

*then prove  $\bar{\psi}$  is injective then bijective then isomorphism*

$$\ker \bar{\psi} = \{a + I \in \frac{R_1}{I} | \bar{\psi}(a + I) = 0 = \psi(a), a \in R_1\} = \{a + I | a \in \ker \psi\}$$

$$Im \bar{\psi} = \{\bar{\psi}(a + I) | a \in R_1\} = \{\psi(a) | a \in R_1\} = Im \psi$$

$$\bar{\psi} \text{ is injective} \Leftrightarrow \ker \bar{\psi} = I \Leftrightarrow \ker \bar{\psi} = \{0\} = \{a + I | a \in \ker \psi\}$$

$$\forall a \in \ker \psi, \bar{\psi}(a + I) = 0, a + I \in \ker \bar{\psi} = \{0 + I\}$$

$$a + I = 0 + I \Rightarrow a = a - 0 \in I, \ker \psi \subseteq I \Rightarrow I = \ker \bar{\psi}$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{\psi} : \frac{R_1}{\ker \psi} \rightarrow Im \psi \text{ is isomorphism, bijective, then homomorphism}$$

*The first homomorphism fundamental theorem :*

*suppose  $\psi : R_1 \rightarrow R_2$  is homo., then  $\bar{\psi} : \frac{R_1}{\ker \psi} \rightarrow Im \psi$  is isomorphism*

*The second homomorphism fundamental theorem :*

suppose  $I, J$  are ideals of  $R$  and  $I \subseteq J$ , then :

$$(1) : \frac{J}{I} = \{a + I | a \in J\} \text{ is an ideal of } \frac{R}{I} \quad (2) : \frac{R/I}{J/I} \simeq \frac{R}{J}$$

*Proof :*

$\psi : \frac{R}{I} \rightarrow \frac{R}{J}, \psi(a + I) = a + J, \psi$  is homomorphism is obviously

$$\ker \psi = \{a + I \in \frac{R}{I} | \psi(a + I) = a + J = 0 + J\} = \{a + I \in \frac{R}{I} | a \in J\}$$

$= \frac{J}{I}$  is an ideal of  $\frac{R}{I}$ , then prove  $\psi$  is well-defined :

$$a + I = b + I \Rightarrow a - b \in I \subseteq J \Rightarrow a + J = b + J \Rightarrow \psi(a + I) = \psi(b + I)$$

$$\therefore \frac{R/I}{J/I} = \frac{R/I}{\ker \psi} \cong \text{Im} \psi = \frac{R}{J}$$

*The third homomorphism fundamental theorem :*

suppose  $S$  is a subring of  $R$ ,  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$ , then :

$$(1) : S + I \text{ is a subring of } R \quad (2) : I \text{ is an ideal of } S + I$$

$$(3) : I \cap S \text{ is an ideal of } S \quad (4) : \frac{S + I}{I} \simeq \frac{S}{I \cap S}$$

*Proof :*

let  $s_1 + a_1, s_2 + a_2 \in S + I, s_i \in S, a_i \in I$ , then

$$(s_1 + a_1) - (s_2 + a_2) = (s_1 - s_2) + (a_1 - a_2) \in S + I$$

$$(s_1 + a_1)(s_2 + a_2) = s_1 s_2 + s_1 a_2 + s_2 a_1 + a_1 a_2 \in S + I$$

$$\psi : S \rightarrow \frac{S + I}{I} \quad \psi(a) = a + I$$

$$\psi(ab) = ab + I = (a + I)(b + I) = \psi(a)\psi(b)$$

$$\text{Im} \psi = \{a + I | a \in S\} = \{s + a + I = s + I | s \in S, a \in I\} = \frac{S + I}{I}$$

$$\ker \psi = \{a \in S | \psi(a) = a + I = 0 + I\} = I \cap S$$

$$\therefore \frac{S}{I \cap S} = \frac{S}{\ker \psi} \simeq \text{Im} \psi = \frac{S + I}{I}$$

*Example :*

suppose  $F$  is a field,  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + x^n, n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\frac{F[x]}{(f(x))} = \{r_0 + r_1 x + \dots + r_{n-1} x^{n-1} + (f(x)) | r_i \in F\} \text{ is a vector space}$$

$(J \setminus \mathcal{A})$

over  $F$  with basis  $\{\bar{1}, \bar{x}, \dots, \overline{x^{n-1}}\}$ ,  $\bar{1} = 1 + (f(x))$ ,  $\bar{x} = x + (f(x))$ .

$r_0 \dots + r_{n-1}x^{n-1} + (f(x))$  is invertible  $\Leftrightarrow (r_0 + \dots + r_{n-1}x^{n-1}, f(x)) = 1$

*Proof :*

first prove  $\frac{F[x]}{(f(x))}$  is a vector space

$$\frac{F[x]}{(f(x))} = \{g(x) + (f(x)) \mid g(x) \in F[x]\}, \quad g(x) = q(x)f(x) + r(x)$$

$$g(x) - r(x) = q(x)f(x) = (f(x)) \therefore g(x) + (f(x)) = r(x) + (f(x))$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_0 + \dots + r_{n-1}x^{n-1} + (f(x)) &= (r_0 + (f(x))) + \dots + (r_{n-1}x^{n-1} + (f(x))) \\ &= r_0 + r_1(1 + (f(x))) + \dots + r_{n-1}(x + (f(x)))^{n-1} = r_0\bar{1} + \dots + r_{n-1}\overline{x^{n-1}} \end{aligned}$$

notice : it's the first property of  $g(x)$

$$\text{suppose } r_0\bar{1} + \dots + r_{n-1}\overline{x^{n-1}} = r_0 + \dots + r_{n-1}x^{n-1} + (f(x)) = 0 + (f(x))$$

$$\text{then } r_0 + r_1x + \dots + r_{n-1}x^{n-1} - 0 \in (f(x)) = F[x]f(x)$$

$$r_0 + r_1x + \dots + r_{n-1}x^{n-1} = (a_0 + a_1x + \dots + x^n)g(x) = 0 \Rightarrow r_i \equiv 0$$

So these vectors are linearly independent

then prove the equivalence relation

$\Rightarrow$

$$(r_0 + r_1x + \dots + r_{n-1}x^{n-1} + (f(x)))(g(x) + (f(x))) = 1 + (f(x))$$

Since this is a commutative ring, just prove one direction

$$\Leftrightarrow (r_0 + r_1x + \dots + r_{n-1}x^{n-1})g(x) - 1 \in (f(x)) = f(x)h(x)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (r_0 + r_1x + \dots + r_{n-1}x^{n-1})g(x) - f(x)h(x) = 1$$

if  $p(x) \mid r_0 + r_1x + \dots + r_{n-1}x^{n-1}$ ,  $p(x) \mid f(x)$ , then  $p(x) \mid 1 \Rightarrow p(x) = 1$

So the greatest common factor is 1 (coprime)

$\Leftarrow$

$$\text{conversely, } (r_0 + r_1x + \dots + r_{n-1}x^{n-1}, f(x)) = 1$$

$$\text{thus } F[x](r_0 + r_1x + \dots + r_{n-1}x^{n-1}) + F[x]f(x) = 1 = F[x]u(x)$$

for some  $u(x) \in F[x]$  :

$$u(x) = h_1(x)(r_0 + r_1x + \dots + r_{n-1}x^{n-1}) + h_2(x)f(x) \text{ for some } h_i(x)$$

$$\text{since } r_0 + r_1x + \dots + r_{n-1}x^{n-1}, f(x) \in (F[x]u(x))$$

$$\text{let } r_0 + r_1x + \dots + r_{n-1}x^{n-1} = v_1(x)u(x), \quad f(x) = v_2(x)u(x)$$

$$\therefore u(x) \mid f(x), \quad u(x) \mid r_0 + r_1x + \dots + r_{n-1}x^{n-1} \Rightarrow u(x) = 1$$

Next, consider the method of inversion :

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + (f(x)) &= h_1(x)(r_0 + r_1x + \dots + r_{n-1}x^{n-1}) + h_2(x)f(x) + (f(x)) \\ &= h_1(x)(r_0 + r_1x + \dots + r_{n-1}x^{n-1}) + (f(x)) \\ &= (h_1(x) + (f(x)))(r_0 + r_1x + \dots + r_{n-1}x^{n-1} + (f(x))) \end{aligned}$$

Futhur more,  $\frac{F[x]}{(f(x))}$  is a field, namely

$$r_0 + r_1x + \dots + r_{n-1}x^{n-1} + (f(x)) = 0 \Leftrightarrow r_0 = r_1 = \dots = r_{n-1} = 0$$

*Proposition :*

suppose  $p(x)$  is irreducible,  $f(x) = p(x)^n q(x)$  and  $p(x) \nmid q(x)$ , then

$$\frac{F[x]}{(f(x))} \simeq \frac{F[x]}{(p(x)^n)} \oplus \frac{F[x]}{(g(x))} = \{(a + (p(x)^n), b + (g(x))) | a, b \in F[x]\}$$

*Proof :*

$$(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) + (\bar{c}, \bar{d}) = (\bar{a} + \bar{c}, \bar{b} + \bar{d}), (\bar{a}, \bar{b})(\bar{c}, \bar{d}) = (\bar{a} \cdot \bar{c}, \bar{b} \cdot \bar{d})$$

$$\psi : \frac{F[x]}{(f(x))} \rightarrow \frac{F[x]}{(p(x)^n)} \oplus \frac{F[x]}{(g(x))}, a + (f(x)) \rightarrow (\bar{a}, \bar{a})$$

$(\bar{a}, \bar{a}) = (a + (p(x)^n), a + (g(x)))$ , thus  $\psi$  is well - defined

Also,  $\psi$  is a homomorphism :

$$\psi((a + (f(x)))(b + (f(x)))) = \psi(ab + (f(x))) = (\bar{a}\bar{b}, \bar{a}\bar{b}) = (\bar{a}, \bar{a})(\bar{b}, \bar{b})$$

$$\ker \psi = \{a + (f(x)) | (\bar{a}, \bar{a}) = 0\}$$

$$a + (p(x)^n) = 0 \Rightarrow p(x)^n \mid a, a + (g(x)) = 0 \Rightarrow g(x) \mid a$$

$$a = p(x)^n u(x) = g(x) \Rightarrow p(x) \mid g(x)v(x) \Rightarrow p(x) \mid g(x) \text{ ro } p(x) \mid v(x)$$

$$\therefore p(x) \mid v(x), v(x) = v_1(x)p(x)$$

Substitute into the equation representing  $a$  :

$$p^n(x)u(x) = g(x)p(x)v_1(x), p^{n-1}(x)u(x) = g(x)p(x)v_2(x), \dots$$

$$\Rightarrow v(x) = p(x)^n v_n(x) \Rightarrow p(x)^n u(x) = g(x)p(x)^n v_n(x) = f(x)v_n(x)$$

$$\therefore f(x) \mid a \therefore \ker \psi = \{0\}$$

Also,  $\psi$  is surjective because  $\dim \frac{F[x]}{(f(x))} = \deg(f(x))$

$$\dim\left(\frac{F[x]}{(p(x)^n)} \oplus \frac{F[x]}{(g(x))}\right) = \dim\left(\frac{F[x]}{(p(x)^n)}\right) + \dim\left(\frac{F[x]}{(g(x))}\right)$$

$\psi$  is injective with same dimension on both sides  $\Rightarrow$  surjective

Therefore, let  $f(x) = p_1(x)^{n_1} \dots p_r(x)^{n_r}$ ,  $p_i(x) \neq p_j(x)$ ,  $i \neq j$  then

$$\frac{F[x]}{(f(x))} \cong \frac{F[x]}{(p_1(x)^{n_1})} \oplus \dots \oplus \frac{F[x]}{(p_r(x)^{n_r})}$$

and Jordan matrix needs  $p_i(x) = x - \lambda_i$  to diagonalize

*Proposition :*

Suppose  $p$  is a prime,  $\mathbb{Z}_p = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \dots, \overline{p-1}\} = \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p\mathbb{Z}}$  is a field,  $|\mathbb{Z}_p| = p$

$\forall p$ ,  $F$  is a field,  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , then  $\forall n, \exists F; \forall F, \exists N : |F| = p^n$

*Example :*

consider  $x^3 + x + 1$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$ , and we know the principle ideal of prime element is a maximum ideal, and the quotient ring of

the maximum ideal is a field, then a quotient ring  $\frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{(x^3 + x + 1)\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}$   
 $= \{a_0\bar{1} + a_1\bar{x} + a_2\bar{x}^2 \mid a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2\}$ ;  $\bar{1}, \bar{x}, \bar{x}^2$  is a basis of  $\frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{(x^3 + x + 1)\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}$

$$\left| \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2[x]}{(x^3 + x + 1)\mathbb{Z}_2[x]} \right| = 8 = 2^3$$

*Example :*

Suppose  $\mathbb{Z}[i] = \{a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ ,  $\frac{\mathbb{Z}[i]}{(p+i)} \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}[x]/(X^2+1)}{(p+x, x^2+1)/(x^2+1)}$   
 $\cong$  (2th. ring homo theorem)  $\frac{\mathbb{Z}[x]}{(p+x, x^2+1)} \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}[x]/(x+p)}{(p+x, x^2+1)/(p+x)}$

$$= \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{((-p)^2+1)} = \mathbb{Z}_{p^2+1}$$

$$\frac{\mathbb{Z}[x]}{(x+p)} = \{f(x) + (x+p) = g(x)(x+p) + r\} \Rightarrow r = f(-p), x = -p$$

*Definition :*

$M$  is an abelian group,  $R$  is a ring,  $R \times M \rightarrow M$ ,  $(r, m) \rightarrow rm$  has :

$(r_1 r_2)m = r_1(r_2 m), (r_1 + r_2)m = r_1 m + r_2 m, r(m_1 + m_2) = r m_1 + r m_2$   
then  $M$  is a left  $R$  - module

Futhermore, if  $R$  has  $1_R$ , and  $1_R \cdot m = m, \forall m \in M$ , then  $M$  is a unitary  
 $R$  is a division ring,  $R$  - module is also called vector space on  $R$

Similarly, suppose  $M \times R \rightarrow M, m(r_1 r_2) = (m r_1) r_2$ ,  $M$  is a left(right)  
 $R$  - module, then  $M$  is a right(left)  $R^{op}$  - module,  $R^{op}$  is a ring,  
 $(R^{op}, +) = (R, +), \forall a, b \in R^{op} = R, a \circ b := b \cdot a, (R^{op})^{op} = R$   
if  $R$  is a commutative ring, then  $R^{op} = R$ , also,  
 $m \circ (r_1 \cdot r_2) = (r_1 \cdot r_2) \cdot m = r_1 \cdot (r_2 \cdot m) = (r_2 \cdot m) \circ r_1 = (m \circ r_2) \circ r_1$

*Example :*

Suppose  $T$  is a linear endomorphism of  $F^n$ ,  $R = F[x], R \times F^n \rightarrow F^n$   
 $(f(x), \alpha) \rightarrow f(t)(\alpha)$ , then  $F^n$  is a  $F[x]$  - module

Hamidton - Caylay Theorem :  $A = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}, \exists f(\lambda) = |\lambda E - A|, f(A) = 0$   
 $T \rightarrow A : (T e_1, T e_2, \dots, T e_n) = (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n) A, f(T)(\alpha) = 0(\alpha) = 0$   
but  $f(T) \neq 0$  and  $\alpha \neq 0$ , thus module is not a domain

*Example :*

$\mathbb{Z}_m = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \dots, \overline{m-1}\}, \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m, (k, \bar{r}) \rightarrow \overline{kr}, \mathbb{Z}_m$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$  - module  
but assume  $m \cdot \bar{r} = \overline{mr} = 0, m \in \mathbb{Z} \neq 0$  and  $\bar{r} \neq 0$  if  $m \nmid r$ , thus  
 $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$  is not a vector space over any field(so does  $F[x]^{F^n}$ )

*Definition :*

$\emptyset \neq N \subseteq R^M \Leftrightarrow \forall x, y \in N : x - y \in N; \forall r \in R, \forall x \in N : rx \in N$ , then  
 $N$  is called a submodule of  $M$

*Property :*

suppose  $N_1, N_2 \leq R^M, N_1 + N_2 \leq R^M, N_1 \cap N_2 \leq R^M$ , and  $N_1 + N_2$   
is a direct sum if  $N_1 \cap N_2 = \{0\}$ , which is written as  $N_1 \oplus N_2$

suppose  $N$  is a submodule of  $M, \frac{M}{N} = \{m + N | m \in M\}$  is a left

$R$  – module, which is called quotient module of  $M$  by  $N$

*Example :*

Suppose  $\{e_i | i \in J\}$  is a basis of  $N$ ,  $N$  is a subspace of  $M \Rightarrow$

$\{e_i | i \in I, J \subseteq I\}$  is a basis of  $M$ ,  $\{e_i + N | i \in \frac{I}{J}\}$  is a basis of  $\frac{M}{N}$

also, if  $R$  is a field, then  $\frac{M}{N}$  is a vector space (quotient space)

the proof is similarly to linear space, thus omitted

*Property :*

suppose  $\psi : R^M \rightarrow R^{M'}$  mapping,  $\psi(m_1 + m_2) = \psi(m_1) + \psi(m_2)$ ,

$\psi(rm) = r \psi(m)$ ,  $\ker \psi = \{m \in M | \psi(m) = 0\}$  is a submodule of  $R^M$

$\text{Im} \psi = \{\psi(m) | m \in M\}$  is a submodule of  $M'$ , consider the first

fundamental theorem of ring homomorphism,  $\psi : M \rightarrow M'$  is homo

consider  $M \xrightarrow{\psi} M' \Leftrightarrow M \xrightarrow{\pi} \frac{M}{\ker \psi} \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}} M'$ , in which  $\pi(m) = m + \ker \psi$ ,

$\bar{\psi}(m + \ker \psi) = \psi(m) \Rightarrow \frac{M}{\ker \psi} \simeq \text{Im} \psi = \text{Im} \bar{\psi}$

*Property :*

$N \leq L \leq M \Rightarrow \frac{M/N}{L/N} \cong \frac{M}{L}$ ;  $N, L \leq M \Rightarrow \frac{N+L}{L} \cong \frac{N}{N \cap L}$

notice : if  $R$  is a field, it means two equivalent dimension formulas

*Definition :*

Suppose  $M$  is a left  $R$  – module,  $m_i \in M$ ,  $r_i \in R$ ,  $r_1 m_1 + \dots + r_n m_n$  is

a linear combination of  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n$  then  $X = \{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$

is the basis of the free module  $M$ ,  $\text{span}(X) = \langle X \rangle = \bigcap_{N \supseteq \{m_1, \dots, m_k\}} N$

$= \{r_1 m_1 + \dots + r_k m_k | r_i \in R\}$

*Proof :*

$\{r_1 m_1 + \dots + r_k m_k | r_i \in R\}$  is a submodule containing  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k$

$(r_1 m_1 + \dots + r_k m_k) - (r'_1 m_1 + \dots + r'_k m_k) = (r_1 - r'_1) m_1 + \dots + (r_k - r'_k) m_k$



$\therefore r(r_1m_1 + \dots + r_km_k) = (rr_1)m_1 + \dots + (rr_k)m_k \therefore \text{span}(X) \supseteq \langle X \rangle$   
also,  $N \leq M$ ,  $r_1m_1 + \dots + r_km_k \in N \therefore N \supseteq \text{span}(X) \therefore \text{span}(X) = \langle X \rangle$

Thus  $\text{span}(X) := Rm_1 + Rm_2 + \dots + Rm_k$ ,  $M$  is called a finitely generated module if  $M = Rm_1 + Rm_2 + \dots + Rm_k$

*Example :*

$R = \text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[x]) = \{\psi : \mathbb{R}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[x]\}$ ,  $\psi$  is well defined

$(\psi(1), \dots, \psi(x^n), \dots) = (1, \dots, x^n, \dots)(a_{ij})_{\infty \times \infty}$

$R^R$  has basis  $1_R = I_{\mathbb{R}[x]}$

set  $f_1(x^{2n}) = x^n$ ,  $f_1(x^{2n+1}) = 0$ ,  $f_2(x^{2n}) = 0$ ,  $f_2(x^{2n+1}) = x^n$

consider  $a, b \in \{f_1, f_2\}$ ,  $af_1 + bf_2 = 0$ , then prove  $a = 0$ ,  $b$  similarly

$(af_1 + bf_2)(x^{2n}) = af_1(x^{2n}) = a(x^n) = 0(x^{2n}) = 0 \Rightarrow a = 0$

$\forall f \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[x])$ ,  $f = af_1 + bf_2$ , then  $f(x^{2n}) = a(x^n)$ ,  $f(x^{2n+1}) = b(x^n)$

remark : it also shows that the basis of module is not necessarily unique

*Proposition :*

Suppose  $M$  is a finitely generated  $R$  – module, then there is an

epimorphism  $\psi : R^n \rightarrow M$ , satisfying  $M \cong \frac{R^n}{\ker \psi}$

*Proof :*

$M$  is finitely generated,  $x_1 \dots x_n \in M$  s.t.  $M = Rx_1 + \dots + Rx_n$

define  $\psi : R^n \rightarrow M$   $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \rightarrow a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n$ , in which

$\psi(\alpha + \beta) = \psi(\alpha) + \psi(\beta)$ ,  $\psi(r\alpha) = r\psi(\alpha)$ ,  $\text{Im} \psi = Rx_1 + \dots + Rx_n = M$

*Example :*

$T$  is a linear transformation,  $F^n \rightarrow F^n$  ( $F$  is a field)

$F^n$  is an  $F[x]$  – module,  $f(x)(\alpha) = f(T)(\alpha)$ ,  $\forall f(x) \in F[x]$ ,  $\forall \alpha \in F^n$

$F^n = F[x]e_1 + \dots + F[x]e_n$ ,  $\psi : F[x]^n \rightarrow F^n$  is epic  $\Rightarrow F^n \cong \frac{F[x]^n}{\ker \psi}$

*Zorn's Lemma :*

$\Omega$  is a nonempty partial order set,  $\forall a_1 < \dots < a_n < \dots \exists a \in R$  s.t.  $a_i \leq a$   
then there is an element  $b \in \Omega$  satisfying  $\forall a \in \Omega, b \leq a \Rightarrow b = a$

*Definition :*

Suppose  $R$  is a division ring,  $R^M$  has a basis,  $R^M$  is said to be simple  
if  $M \neq 0, R^N \leq R^M \Rightarrow N = 0$  or  $N = M, R^M$  is said to be semisimple  
if  $R^M = \sum_{i \in I} T_i, T_i$  are simple

*Example :*

$R$  is a division ring,  $\forall R^M = \sum R_m$  is semisimple,  $\forall R_m$  is simple  
 $0 \neq N \leq R_m = \{rm | r \in R\}, rm \in N, rm \neq 0 \rightarrow r \neq 0, r^{-1}(rm) = m$   
thus  $Rm_i$  is a simple  $R$ -module, thus  $\{m_i | i \in I\}$  is linearly  
independent and it is a basis of  $M$

*Lemma :*

$N$  is a submodule of a semisimple,  $M = \sum_{i \in I} S_i$ , where  $S_i$  is simple

then there is subset  $J$  of  $I$  satisfying  $M = N \oplus (\sum_{i \in J} \oplus S_i)$

*Proof :*

when  $N = M, J = \emptyset, \sum_{i \in J} S_i = \{0\}$ , when  $N \neq M$ , conversely suppose

$\forall i_0 \in J, S_{i_0} \cap N \neq 0, S_{i_0} \leq S_{i_0} \Rightarrow S_{i_0} \cap N = S_{i_0} \Rightarrow S_{i_0} \subseteq N \Rightarrow M = N$

$\therefore \forall i_0 \in J, S_{i_0} \cap N = 0, \Omega = \{J \subseteq I | N \cap \sum_{i \in J} S_i = \{0\}, \sum_{i \in J} = \sum_{i \in J} \oplus S_i\}$

$\Omega \neq 0 \therefore \exists \text{maximal } J = \{i_0\} \in \Omega \Rightarrow M = N \oplus (\sum_{i \in J} S_i) = N \oplus (\sum_{i \in J} \oplus S_i)$

$M \neq N + \sum_{i \in J} S_i, \exists j_0 \in I, S_{j_0} \cap (N + \sum_{i \in J} S_i) = 0, J' = J \cup \{j_0\}$

$J' \in \Omega, J' \not\subseteq J$

$R^M = \sum_{m \neq 0} Rm = \sum_{m \in B} \oplus R^M, B$  is a basis of  $R^M, m_1 \dots m_k \in B$ , suppose

$$0 = r_1 m_1 + \dots + r_k m_k \in Rm_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Rm_k \Rightarrow r_i m_i = 0, r_i \neq 0 \therefore m_i = 0$$

*Theorem :*

*Suppose  $D$  is a basis of  $M$ ,  ${}_D D^M \simeq {}_D D^N \Leftrightarrow m = n$ ,  $\text{diag}_D M = |B|$*

*Lemma :*

*Suppose  $S_i, T_i$  are simple  $R$ -module  $S_1 \oplus S_2 \oplus \dots \oplus S_n \simeq T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus \dots \oplus T_m$  then  $n = m$ ,  $S_i \simeq T_i$  up to order*

*Proof :*

*first prove  $n \leq m$ , similarly  $m \leq n \Rightarrow m = n$*

*when  $n = 1$ , define  $\psi : S_1 \rightarrow T_1 \oplus \dots \oplus T_m$  which is isomorphism,  $S_1$  is simple*

*$\therefore T_1 \oplus \dots \oplus T_m = \psi(S_1) \simeq S_1$  is simple  $\Rightarrow m = 1$ ,  $n \leq m$*

*when  $n > 1$ ,  $\psi(S_1) \leq T_1 \oplus \dots \oplus T_m$ ,  $\exists \{i_1, \dots, i_r\} \simeq \{1, \dots, m\}$  s.t.*

*$T_1 \oplus \dots \oplus T_m = \psi(S_1) \oplus T_{i_1} \oplus \dots \oplus T_{i_r}$ ,  $\psi : S_2 \oplus \dots \oplus S_n \rightarrow T_{i_1} \oplus \dots \oplus T_{i_r} \Rightarrow r = n$*

*$n - 1 \leq r \leq m - 1$   $\{i_1, \dots, i_r\} \neq \{1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $\psi(S_1) \oplus T_{i_1} \oplus \dots \oplus T_{i_r} = T_1 \oplus \dots \oplus T_m$*

*$\Rightarrow \psi(S_1) = 0 \Rightarrow n \leq m \Rightarrow m = n$  (use induction similarly)*