# Learning co-evolution information with natural language processing for protein folding problem\*

Egor Zverev<sup>1</sup>, Sergei Grudinin<sup>2</sup>, and Ilia Igashov<sup>1,2</sup>
zverev.eo@phystech.edu; sergei.grudinin@inria.fr; igashov.is@phystech.edu

<sup>1</sup>Organization, address; <sup>2</sup>Organization, address

Protein fold recognition is one of the most important problems in bioinformatics. Most of the techniques aimed to solve the problem usually begin by building protein sequence descriptors. One of the most significant parts of such descriptors is co-evolution information which computation is based on Multiple Sequence Alignments (MSA). This technique requires significant computational efforts, moreover, it does not guarantee precise results since it fully relies on finite databases. Besides, this method fails for sequences with shallow alignment. In this work, we will consider pre-trained language models (LMs) as a potential alternative to this increasingly time-consuming database search. In the scope of the protein folding problem, we will consider several state-of-the-art methods and modify them by replacing MSA-based feature-generation parts with pre-trained LMs.

**Keywords**: protein folding; co-evolution, NLP; transformers;

**DOI:** 10.21469/22233792

## 1 Introduction

Protein fold recognition is crucial for bioinformatics since the connection between amino acid sequence and protein's structure is revealed by protein folding. The prediction process of protein tertiary structures usually begins by constructing protein sequence descriptors whose essential part is a co-evolution information. This information can be obtained by searching for homologues of the target protein in large databases and further computing multiple sequence alignment (MSA) on it. One of the problems of such approach is its complexity. Computing MSA is a heavy task. However, it is still good enough to be considered state-of-the-art.

Methods that utilize MSA are based on the fact that usually a mutation of one amino acid leads to mutations of others, causing a phenomena called co-evolution [1]. The problem arises when we're dealing with the sequences that did not evolve a lot. They will have shallow alignments, i.e. there will be only a few similar sequences. Therefore, information extracted from these alignments will be insignificant.

In this work, we will consider pre-trained language models (LMs) [3] as a potential alternative to traditional MSA approaches. In the scope of the protein folding problem, we will consider several state-of-the-art methods and modify them by replacing MSA-based feature-generation parts with pre-trained LMs.

Our main aim is to study the efficiency of pre-trained LMs in application to the protein folding problem. To start with, we will consider the state-of-the-art method DeepSF [4] which solves the protein fold classification problem. By replacing its feature-generation part with a pre-trained LM, we will study how NLP approach affects on learning the fold-related information. The whole framework is schematically represented in Figure 1. Further, we can do the same with other fold classification algorithms [2,6] as well as with end-to-end protein structure prediction methods [5,7].

\*

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

2 F.S. Author et al.

# Preparing a manuscript

Manuscripts are prepared using jmlda.sty style package. You are recommended to use 26 jmlda\_rus.bst and jmlda\_eng.bst style files for generating bibliography using BibT<sub>F</sub>X. 27

Visit the http://jmlda.org/?lang=en website for detailed submission instructions, templates and other information.

Please note that this file must be saved in UTF-8 encoding. Where possible select UTF-8 without BOM encoding. To change the encoding please use Sublime Text or Notepad++ text editors.

#### Structure of the article 33

Divide your article into clearly defined and numbered sections and paragraphs.

#### Paragraph 3.135

28

29

30

31

32

Sections and paragraphs are numbered and have a brief heading.

#### **Concluding Remarks** 37

This section should provide the summary and explore the significance of the results achieved 38 and list problems not yet solved. Results should be clear and concise. 39

### References

- [1] S. de Oliveira and C. Deane. Co-evolution techniques are reshaping the way we do structural 41 bioinformatics. F1000Res, 6:1224, 2017. 42
- W. Elhefnawy, M. Li, J. Wang, and Y. Li. DeepFrag-k: a fragment-based deep learning approach 43 for protein fold recognition. BMC Bioinformatics, 21(Suppl 6):203, Nov 2020. 44
- Ahmed Elnaggar, Michael Heinzinger, Christian Dallago, Ghalia Rihawi, Yu Wang, Llion Jones, 45 Tom Gibbs, Tamas Feher, Christoph Angerer, Debsindhu Bhowmik, and Burkhard Rost. Prot-46 trans: Towards cracking the language of life's code through self-supervised deep learning and high 47 performance computing. bioRxiv, 2020. 48
- Jie Hou, Badri Adhikari, and Jianlin Cheng. DeepSF: deep convolutional neural network for 49 mapping protein sequences to folds. Bioinformatics, 34(8):1295–1303, 12 2017. 50
- Shaun M Kandathil, Joe G Greener, Andy M Lau, and David T Jones. Deep learning-based pre-51 diction of protein structure using learned representations of multiple sequence alignments. bioRxiv, 52 2020. 53
- A. Villegas-Morcillo, A. M. Gomez, J. A. Morales Cordovilla, and V. E. Sanchez Calle. Protein 54 fold recognition from sequences using convolutional and recurrent neural networks. IEEE/ACM 55 Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics, pages 1-1, 2020. 56
- Jinbo Xu, Matthew Mcpartlon, and Jin Li. Improved protein structure prediction by deep learning 57 irrespective of co-evolution information. bioRxiv, 2020. 58

Received January 01, 2017

59