

Student: Kelgenov Almas Abzaluly

Code: EX288

Course: IoT Security Project

Topic: #15 Timing attack on the authentication process

## 1. Introduction

The goal of this project is to demonstrate a side-channel attack known as a Timing Attack on a simplified IoT authentication mechanism. The project involves implementing a vulnerable PIN verification system, developing an exploit script to recover the secret PIN, and refactoring the code to a secure version.

## 2. Vulnerability Analysis

The vulnerability is based on the character-by-character comparison with an early exit.

- **Mechanics:** When the system checks an input string against the secret PIN, it stops as soon as it encounters the first mismatched character.
- **Security Flaw:** This behavior causes the execution time of the function to vary depending on how many leading characters of the input are correct.
- **Impact:** An attacker can measure these infinitesimal time differences to deduce the correct PIN digit by digit, significantly reducing the complexity of a brute-force attack.

## 3. Implementation Details

The project consists of three main components:

- **Vulnerable Simulation:** A Python class representing an IoT sensor where the `verify_pin` function returns `False` immediately upon a character mismatch.
- **Attacker Script:** A script that iterates through digits (0-9) and records the response time using `time.perf_counter()`. The digit that results in the longest delay is identified as the correct one.
- **Security Measure:** Implementation of a constant-time comparison. This ensures that the function always iterates through the entire length of the PIN, regardless of whether a mismatch is found early.

## 4. Results and Demonstration

- **Successful Exploitation:** The `attacker.py` script successfully recovered the secret PIN "5821" in a simulated environment.

- Defense Verification: After refactoring the code to use constant-time comparison, the attacker.py script could no longer distinguish between correct and incorrect digits, as the response times became uniform.

```
ence '\\!'  
    print(f"\\!] Attack Complete! Cracked PIN: {guessed_pin}")  
[*] Starting Timing Attack on IoT Device...  
[+] Found digit 1: 5 (Response time: 0.0504s)  
[+] Found digit 2: 8 (Response time: 0.1015s)  
[+] Found digit 3: 2 (Response time: 0.1518s)  
[+] Found digit 4: 1 (Response time: 0.2025s)  
[\\!] Attack Complete! Cracked PIN: 5821
```

Figure 1: Successful timing attack demonstration.

## 5. Conclusion

This project highlights that even logically "correct" code can be insecure due to physical implementation details like execution time. For IoT devices, especially those with limited resources, employing constant-time cryptographic primitives is essential to prevent side-channel leaks.