Name: _____

Criterion	Excellent (100-75%)	Good (75-50%)	Average (50-25%)	Poor (25-0%)	Your points
Abstract (20 points)	The project is summarised clearly	The topic of the project is apparent	The topic of the project is mentioned	The topic is unclear	
Introduction (20 points)	Clearly states the problem you are addressing, presents the requisite background and states how the exercise contributes	Fairly clearly states the problem you are addressing, presents some background and states that the exercise contributes	States the problem you are addressing and presents limited background	Missing or provides no background or motivation to the project	
Geo. background (20 points)	Provides a clear, concise overview of the regional geology and climate	Provides an overview of the regional geology and climate	Provides an overview of some of the regional geology and climate	Fails to provide an overview of the regional geology or climate	
Methods (20 points)	Clearly states the different methods used for each part of the exercise	Clearly states most the different methods used for each part of the exercise	States some of the different methods used for each part of the exercise	Fails to state the different methods used for each part of the exercise	
Results (20 points)	Clearly describes the results from your experiments and includes only relevant figures from the exercises	Describes the results from your experiments and includes some relevant figures from the exercises	Describes some the results from your experiments and includes some figures from the exercises	Does not describe the results from the experiments or does not include relevant figures from the exercises	
Discussion (20 points)	Presents clear, relevant interpretations of the plots/calculations that enrich and expand on the main results	Presents relevant interpretations of the plots/calculations that expand on the main results	Presents interpretations of the plots/calculations that relate to the main results	Presents unclear or irrelevant interpretations of the plots/calculations that fail to enrich or expand on the main results	
Conclusions/Summary (20 points)	Clearly, concisely summarises the main results	Clearly summarises the main results	Summarises the main results	Does not summarise the results	
Style and structure (12 points)	Well-structured with clearly titled, logical sections	Structure is unclear in some places	Structure is somewhat unclear or lacking logical sections	Lacks structure	
Spelling and grammar (12 points)	No significant spelling or grammatical errors	Few spelling or grammatical errors	Numerous spelling and grammatical errors	Difficult to read owing to spelling and/or grammatical errors	
References (5 points)	References at least 5 primary sources	References 3 primary sources	References 1 primary source	Does not reference primary sources	
Length (5 points)	Not longer than 8 pages excluding references			Exceeds page limit	
				Total:	**/ 174 points**

Comments: