Human & Machine
AI & Society

Ioana Song[1]
Student number: 2730786

Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, De Boelelaan, Amsterdam 1081 HV, NL
i.song@student.vu.nl

1 AI and Loneliness: Exploring the Interplay Between Human Connection and Machine Interaction

1.1 Introduction

Loneliness is increasingly recognized as a pressing societal issue, exacerbated by modern living conditions and the erosion of traditional social networks. With Artificial Intelligence (AI) advances, AI chatbots, robots implementing AI technologies and virtual assistants are being proposed as a solution to combat loneliness. This also raises the question "Can AI alleviate loneliness issues or can it diminish the quality of our social relationships by replacing human connection?". This report aims to examine the debate surrounding AI's role in addressing loneliness, contrasting popular media and scientific research.

1.2 Why is loneliness a problem and how might AI help combat loneliness?

The WHO [2] reports that 1 in 4 older people and 5–15% of adolescents experience loneliness, significantly impacting physical and mental health, quality of life, and longevity. AI technologies, such as social robots and chatbots, have been proposed to combat loneliness by providing accessible companionship. Proponents highlight their potential to support isolated individuals, while critics argue they may only mask deeper social issues.

Offering a robot as a companion could feel dehumanizing to some and reduce relationships to mechanical interactions. Current AI lacks genuine emotional understanding, raising fears of it becoming an escapist distraction, like video games or alcohol, that discourages real connections. Alternatively, improperly trained or regulated AI could also worsen loneliness by alienating users or providing harmful advice. Moreover, AI cannot address the root causes of systemic exclusion or spiritual loneliness, which require human-led solutions. This makes the debate over AI's role in reducing loneliness highly contentious, with valid arguments on both sides.

1.3 Popular press perspective

The New York Times article [8] discusses the growing popularity of Claude, an AI chatbot, among tech enthusiasts. The article mentions how users interact with the chatbot multiple times a day, seeking advice on various aspects of their lives, including work, health, and even entrusting it with personal secrets and consulting through relationship problems. The authors interviewed Amanda Askell, the researcher and philosopher in charge of the development stage who warned about the chatbot being trained to tell users "what they want to hear, and rarely challenging them or pushing back on their ideas - even when those ideas are wrong or potentially harmful". Furthermore, the article mentions the potential of AI serving as a companion to support some healthy adults, but also ethical considerations, such as young adults, or people experiencing mental health issues differentiating between fiction and reality.

In the Harvard gazette [5], sociologist Sherry Turkle, warns against overreliance on AI chatbots for companionship and counseling. She argues that, while these companions can ease loneliness, they lack genuine empathy and lack true interpersonal connection only offering what she terms "artificial intimacy". She stresses that "the nurturing of a relationship" is what leads to lasting change, rather than a "therapist delivering curating bits of information". She then advocates for a re-evaluation of our engagement with AI companions, urging a recommitment to authentic human interactions to preserve the depth and quality of our social connections.

The BBC Science Focus article [1] discusses the global rise in loneliness and evaluates the technological solutions proposed to address this problem. The authors argue that, in its attempts to cure

loneliness, big tech has oversimplified loneliness to merely a need for conversation. The authors explain how loneliness can be examined from separate dimensions, namely psychological, systematic exclusion, and spiritual loneliness. According to the authors, the technological solutions only address the psychological aspect, the need for someone to talk to. Dr. Jeremy Nobel, author of "Project UnLonely," suggests that technology could play a more effective role by incorporating all three aspects. He suggests wearables to detect signs of loneliness and recommend social activities, together with AI chatbots assisting users in exploring existential concerns, thereby tackling spiritual loneliness. The article concludes that while current technological interventions are a step in the right direction, a more comprehensive approach is necessary to fully address the multifaceted nature of loneliness.

The article by Telegraph [9] explores the potential dangers posed by AI chatbots, particularly concerning vulnerable adolescents. It draws attention to instances where chatbots contributed to harmful behaviours among young users, which in some cases lead to the users taking away their own lives. Some argue that young adults and people with mental health problems may be more susceptible to forming emotional connections with AI entities. The article underscores the need for stricter regulations and safeguards to prevent AI chatbots from causing psychological harm, especially to impressionable young users. Moreover, it calls for parents to monitor their children's interactions with such technologies to mitigate potential risks.

1.4 Scientific perspectives

The study [7] underscores the potential of AI in identifying individuals at risk of self-harm through advanced algorithms analyzing social media activity. While this highlights AI's ability to intervene in critical moments, it raises privacy concerns and questions about the psychological effects of automated intervention. The reliance on AI in such sensitive contexts may reduce stigma but could also erode trust if interventions are perceived as impersonal or intrusive.

The paper [4] explores how AI-driven technologies like virtual companions can alleviate loneliness, particularly among vulnerable groups such as the elderly or socially isolated. The study finds measurable reductions in self-reported loneliness, demonstrating AI's ability to simulate companionship. However, it emphasizes that the emotional support provided by AI is inherently limited, as it lacks genuine empathy and the depth of human relationships.

Similarly, the study [3] delves into the consequences of replacing human instructors with AI tutors. It finds that, while AI can improve accessibility and provide personalized learning experiences, its overuse risks fostering feelings of isolation among students. The lack of human interaction in educational settings may lead to emotional disengagement, negatively impacting student success and retention rates.

The paper [6] critiques the increasing integration of AI in mental health services. It highlights the dual-edged nature of such technologies: while AI offers scalable and cost-effective solutions, it risks oversimplifying complex emotional needs and reducing human oversight in critical therapeutic processes. This in turn raises ethical questions about accountability and the potential for emotional manipulation.

1.5 Comparative Analysis

Popular press articles often emphasize the immediate and tangible risks and benefits of AI in combating loneliness. For instance, The Telegraph highlights the dangers of chatbots causing harm to vulnerable adolescents, portraying an urgent need for regulation. Similarly, BBC Science Focus critiques the oversimplification of loneliness by current AI solutions, framing the problem as one of inadequate design rather than inherent limitations of AI. These articles effectively draw attention to present challenges and outline realistic short-term concerns, such as the risks to mental health and the need for better safeguards. However, they occasionally present speculative scenarios, such as AI's ability to fully address "spiritual loneliness," which may remain more relevant in future decades rather than today.

The scientific community is acutely aware of the multifaceted challenges of loneliness and AI's role in addressing them. Research underscores both the promise and limitations of AI technologies. For example, studies like [7] highlight AI's capability to identify individuals at risk of self-harm, but they also raise ethical concerns about privacy and the impersonal nature of such interventions. Meanwhile, [4] shows measurable benefits of AI companionship, yet cautions that these interactions lack genuine empathy and the depth needed to fully replace human relationships.

1.6 Conclusion

In conclusion, there seems to be consensus between the popular press and scientific community that AI technologies have the potential to help combat loneliness. However there needs to be adequate safeguards and collaborative approaches to ensure that AI supports, rather than replaces, human connection in a way that mitigates risks among vulnerable people.

References

1. It's time we rethink how to solve loneliness, https://www.sciencefocus.com/future-technology/can-tech-solve-loneliness
2. Social Isolation and Loneliness, https://www.who.int/teams/social-determinants-of-health/demographic-change-and-healthy-ageing/social-isolation-and-loneliness
3. Crawford, J., Allen, K.A., Pani, B., Cowling, M.: When artificial intelligence substitutes humans in higher education: The cost of loneliness, student success, and retention **49**(5), 883–897. https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2024.2326956, https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2024.2326956
4. De Freitas, J., Uğuralp, A.K., Uğuralp, Z., Puntoni, S.: AI Companions Reduce Loneliness. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4893097, https://papers.ssrn.com/abstract=4893097
5. harvardgazette: Using AI chatbots to ease loneliness, https://news.harvard.edu/gazette/story/2024/03/lifting-a-few-with-my-chatbot/
6. Joseph, A.P., Babu, A.: The unseen dilemma of AI in mental healthcare . https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-024-01937-9, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-024-01937-9
7. Peralta, D.: AI and suicide risk prediction: Facebook live and its aftermath **39**(4), 2155–2167. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-023-01651-y, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-023-01651-y
8. Roose, K.: How Claude Became Tech Insiders' Chatbot of Choice https://www.nytimes.com/2024/12/13/technology/claude-ai-anthropic.html
9. Silverman, R.: Are chatbots luring lonely teenagers to their deaths? https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2024/10/24/computers-ai-encouraging-people-to-kill-setzer-chail/