22

45

ALEX HUBERS, The University of Iowa, USA

#### **ABSTRACT**

We describe the normalization-by-evaluation (NbE) of types in  $R\omega\mu$ , a row calculus with recursive types, qualified types, and a novel row complement operator. Types are normalized to  $\beta\eta$ -long forms modulo a type equivalence relation. Because the type system of  $R\omega\mu$  is a strict extension of System  $F\omega\mu$ , much of the type reduction is isomorphic to reduction of terms in the STLC. Novel to this report are the reductions of row, record, and variant types.

# 1 THE $R\omega\mu$ CALCULUS

For reference, Figure 1 describes the syntax of kinds, predicates, and types in  $R\omega\mu$ . We forego further description to the next section.

> Type variables  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ Labels  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$

```
Kinds
                                                \kappa ::= \star | L | R^{\kappa} | \kappa \to \kappa
Predicates
                                          \pi, \psi ::= \rho \lesssim \rho \mid \rho \odot \rho \sim \rho
Types \mathcal{T} \ni \phi, \tau, v, \rho, \xi ::= \alpha \mid \pi \Rightarrow \tau \mid \forall \alpha : \kappa.\tau \mid \lambda\alpha : \kappa.\tau \mid \tau\tau
                                                        |\{\xi_i \triangleright \tau_i\}_{i \in 0...m} | \ell | \#\tau | \phi \$ \rho | \rho \setminus \rho
                                                        | \tau \rightarrow \tau | \Pi | \Sigma | \mu \phi
```

Fig. 1. Syntax

# **Example types**

Wand's problem and a record modifier:

```
wand : \forall 1 x y z t. x \odot y \sim z, \{1 \triangleright t\} \lesssim z \Rightarrow #1 \rightarrow \Pi x \rightarrow \Pi y \rightarrow t
modify : \forall 1 t u y z1 z2. \{1 \triangleright t\} \odot y \sim z1, \{1 \triangleright u\} \odot y \sim z2 \Rightarrow
                  #1 \rightarrow (t \rightarrow u) \rightarrow \Pi z1 \rightarrow \Pi z2
```

"Deriving" functor typeclass instances:

```
type Functor : (\star \to \star) \to \star
   type Functor = \lambda f. \forall a b. (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow f a \rightarrow f b
   fmapS : \forall z : R[\star \rightarrow \star]. \Pi (Functor z) \rightarrow Functor (\Sigma z)
   fmapP : \forall z : R[\star \rightarrow \star]. \Pi (Functor z) \rightarrow Functor (\Pi z)
And a desugaring of booleans to Church encodings:
   desugar : \forall y. Boolf \lesssim y, LamF \lesssim y \ BoolF \Rightarrow
```

 $\Pi$  (Functor (y \ BoolF))  $\rightarrow \mu$  ( $\Sigma$  y)  $\rightarrow \mu$  ( $\Sigma$  (y \ BoolF))

### 2 MECHANIZED SYNTAX

# 2.1 Kind syntax

 Our formalization of  $R\omega\mu$  types is *intrinsic*, meaning we define the syntax of *typing* and *kinding judgments*, foregoing any formalization of or indexing-by untyped syntax. The only "untyped" syntax is that of kinds, which are well-formed grammatically. We give the syntax of kinds and kinding environments below.

```
data Kind : Set where

\star : Kind

L : Kind

\_`\to\_: Kind \to Kind \to Kind

R[\_]: Kind \to Kind
```

The kind system of  $R\omega\mu$  defines  $\star$  as the type of types; L as the type of labels;  $(\rightarrow)$  as the type of type operators; and  $R[\kappa]$  as the type of *rows* containing types at kind  $\kappa$ .

The syntax of kinding environments is given below. Kinding environments are isomorphic to lists of kinds.

```
data KEnv : Set where

∅ : KEnv

_,__: KEnv → Kind → KEnv
```

Let the metavariables  $\Delta$  and  $\kappa$  range over kinding environments and kinds, respectively. Correspondingly, we define *generalized variables* in Agda at these names.

```
\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{private} \\ \textbf{variable} \\ \Delta \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2 \ \Delta_3 : \textbf{KEnv} \\ \kappa \ \kappa_1 \ \kappa_2 : \textbf{Kind} \\ \end{tabular}
```

The syntax of intrinsically well-scoped De-Bruijn type variables is given below. Type variables indexed in this way are analogous to the  $\_\in\_$  relation for Agda lists—that is, each type variable is itself a proof of its location within the kinding environment.

```
data TVar : KEnv \rightarrow Kind \rightarrow Set where Z : TVar (\Delta, \kappa) \kappa
S : TVar \Delta \kappa_1 \rightarrow TVar (\Delta, \kappa_2) \kappa_1
```

2.1.1 Partitioning kinds. It will be necessary to partition kinds by two predicates. The predicate NotLabel  $\kappa$  is satisfied if  $\kappa$  is neither of label kind, a row of label kind, nor a type operator that returns a labeled kind. It is trivial to show that this predicate is decidable.

```
100
            NotLabel: Kind \rightarrow Set
                                                                                      notLabel? : \forall \kappa \rightarrow Dec (NotLabel \kappa)
101
            NotLabel ★ = T
                                                                                      notLabel? ★ = yes tt
102
                                                                                      notLabel? L = no \lambda ()
            NotLabel L = \bot
103
            NotLabel (\kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2) = NotLabel \kappa_2
                                                                                      notLabel? (\kappa \hookrightarrow \kappa_1) = notLabel? \kappa_1
104
            NotLabel R[\kappa] = NotLabel \kappa
                                                                                      notLabel? R[\kappa] = notLabel? \kappa
105
```

The predicate Ground  $\kappa$  is satisfied when  $\kappa$  is the kind of types or labels, and is necessary to reserve the promotion of neutral types to just those at these kinds. It is again trivial to show that this predicate is decidable, and so a definition of ground? is omitted.

```
Ground : Kind \rightarrow Set
ground? : \forall \kappa \rightarrow Dec (Ground \kappa)
Ground \star = \top
Ground L = \top
Ground (\kappa '\rightarrow \kappa_1) = \bot
Ground R[\kappa] = \bot
```

# 2.2 Type syntax

We represent the judgment  $\Gamma \vdash \tau : \kappa$  intrinsically as the data type Type  $\Delta \kappa$ . The data type Pred Type  $\Delta R[\kappa]$  represents well-kinded predicates indexed by Type  $\Delta \kappa$ . The two are necessarily mutually inductive. Note that the syntax of predicates will be the same for both types and normalized types, and so the Pred data type is indexed abstractly by type Ty.

```
data Pred (Ty : KEnv \rightarrow Kind \rightarrow Set) \Delta : Kind \rightarrow Set data Type \Delta : Kind \rightarrow Set
```

We must also define syntax for *simple rows*, that is, row literals. For uniformity of kind indexing, we define a SimpleRow by pattern matching on the syntax of kinds. Like with Pred, simple rows are indexed by abstract type Ty so that we may reuse the same pattern for normalized types.

```
SimpleRow : (Ty : \mathsf{KEnv} \to \mathsf{Kind} \to \mathsf{Set}) \to \mathsf{KEnv} \to \mathsf{Kind} \to \mathsf{Set}
SimpleRow Ty \triangle R[\kappa] = \mathsf{List}(\mathsf{Label} \times Ty \triangle \kappa)
SimpleRow ___ = \bot
```

A simple row is *ordered* if it is of length  $\leq 1$  or its corresponding labels are ordered according to some total order <. We will restrict the formation of row literals to just those that are ordered, which has two key consequences: first, it guarantees a normal form (later) for simple rows, and second, it enforces that labels be unique in each row. It is easy to show that the Ordered predicate is decidable.

```
Ordered: SimpleRow Type \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow Set ordered?: \forall (xs: SimpleRow Type \Delta R[\kappa]) \rightarrow Dec (Ordered xs)
Ordered [] = \top
Ordered (x:: []) = \top
Ordered ((l_1, _) :: (l_2, \tau) :: xs) = l_1 < l_2 \times Ordered ((l_2, \tau) :: xs)
```

The syntax of well-kinded predicates is exactly as expected.

```
data Pred Ty \Delta where
\underline{\cdot \cdot}_{\sim} : (\rho_1 \ \rho_2 \ \rho_3 : Ty \Delta \ R[\ \kappa\ ]) \rightarrow \text{Pred } Ty \Delta \ R[\ \kappa\ ]
\underline{\cdot}_{\sim} : (\rho_1 \ \rho_2 : Ty \Delta \ R[\ \kappa\ ]) \rightarrow \text{Pred } Ty \Delta \ R[\ \kappa\ ]
```

The syntax of kinding judgments is given below. The formation rules for  $\lambda$ -abstractions, applications, arrow types, and  $\forall$  and  $\mu$  types are standard and omitted.

```
data Type \Delta where

: (\alpha : \text{TVar } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \text{Type } \Delta \kappa
```

 The constructor  $\implies$  forms a qualified type given a well-kinded predicate  $\pi$  and a  $\star$ -kinded body  $\tau$ .

```
\_\Rightarrow\_: (\pi : \mathsf{Pred} \; \mathsf{Type} \; \Delta \; \mathsf{R}[\; \kappa_1 \;]) \to (\tau : \mathsf{Type} \; \Delta \; \star) \to \mathsf{Type} \; \Delta \; \star
```

Labels are formed from label literals and cast to kind  $\star$  via the  $\lfloor \_ \rfloor$  constructor.

```
lab : (l : Label) \rightarrow Type \Delta L
|_| : (\tau : Type \Delta L) \rightarrow Type \Delta \star
```

We finally describe row formation. The constructor ( $\_$ ) forms a row literal from a well-ordered simple row. We additionally allow the syntax  $\_$ > $\_$  for constructing row singletons of (perhaps) variable label; this role can be performed by ( $\_$ ) when the label is a literal. The  $\_$ <\$> $\_$  constructor describes the map of a type operator over a row.  $\Pi$  and  $\Sigma$  form records and variants from rows for which the NotLabel predicate is satisfied. Finally, the  $\_$ \ $\_$  constructor forms the relative complement of two rows. The novelty in this report will come from showing how types of these forms reduce.

```
(\_): (xs: SimpleRow Type \Delta R[ \kappa ]) (ordered: True (ordered? xs)) \rightarrow Type \Delta R[ \kappa ]
\_^{\triangleright}: (l: Type \Delta L) \rightarrow (\tau: Type \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow Type \Delta R[ \kappa ]
\_<^{\triangleright}: (\phi: Type \Delta (\kappa_1 \stackrel{\cdot}{\rightarrow} \kappa_2)) \rightarrow (\tau: Type \Delta R[ \kappa_1 ]) \rightarrow Type \Delta R[ \kappa_2 ]
\Pi: \{notLabel: True (notLabel? \kappa)\} \rightarrow Type \Delta (R[ \kappa ] \stackrel{\cdot}{\rightarrow} \kappa)
\Sigma: \{notLabel: True (notLabel? \kappa)\} \rightarrow Type \Delta (R[ \kappa ] \stackrel{\cdot}{\rightarrow} \kappa)
\_\backslash: Type \Delta R[ \kappa ] \rightarrow Type \Delta R[ \kappa ] \rightarrow Type \Delta R[ \kappa ]
```

2.2.1 The ordered predicate. We impose on the (\_) constructor a witness of the form True (ordered? xs), although it may seem more intuitive to have instead simply required a witness that Ordered xs. The reason for this is that the True predicate quotients each proof down to a single inhabitant tt, which grants us proof irrelevance when comparing rows. This is desirable and yields congruence rules that would otherwise be blocked by two differing proofs of well-orderedness. The congruence rule below asserts that two simple rows are equivalent even with differing proofs. (This pattern is replicable for any decidable predicate.)

```
cong-SimpleRow : \{sr_1 \ sr_2 : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta \ R[\ \kappa]\}
\{wf_1 : \text{True (ordered? } sr_1)\} \{wf_2 : \text{True (ordered? } sr_2)\} \rightarrow sr_1 \equiv sr_2 \rightarrow (|sr_1|) \ wf_1 \equiv (|sr_2|) \ wf_2
cong-SimpleRow \{sr_1 = sr_1\} \{ \} \{wf_1\} \{wf_2\} \text{ refl}
rewrite Dec\rightarrowIrrelevant (Ordered sr_1) (ordered? sr_1) wf_1 \ wf_2 = \text{refl}
```

In the same fashion, we impose on  $\Pi$  and  $\Sigma$  a similar restriction that their kinds satisfy the NotLabel predicate, although our reason for this restriction is instead metatheoretic: without it, nonsensical labels could be formed such as  $\Pi$  (lab "a" > lab "b") or  $\Pi$   $\epsilon$ . Each of these types

have kind L, which violates a label canonicity theorem we later show that all label-kinded types in normal form are label literals or neutral.

# 2.2.2 Flipped map operator.

 Hubers and Morris [2023] had a left- and right-mapping operator, but only one is necessary. The flipped application (flap) operator is defined below. Its type reveals its purpose.

```
flap: Type \Delta (R[\kappa_1 '\rightarrow \kappa_2] '\rightarrow \kappa_1 '\rightarrow R[\kappa_2])
flap = '\lambda ('\lambda (('\lambda (('\lambda (('\lambda (('\lambda (\lambda (('\lambda ())))) <$> ('(\lambda (S Z))))
_??__: Type \Delta (R[\kappa_1 '\rightarrow \kappa_2]) \rightarrow Type \Delta \kappa_1 \rightarrow Type \Delta R[\kappa_2]
f?? a = \text{flap} \cdot f \cdot a
```

## 2.2.3 The (syntactic) complement operator.

It is necessary to give a syntactic account of the computation incurred by the complement of two row literals so that we can state this computation later in the type equivalence relation. First, define a relation  $\ell \in L$   $\rho$  that is inhabited when the label literal  $\ell$  occurs in the row  $\rho$ . This relation is decidable (\_ $\in$ L?\_, definition omitted).

```
data \_\in L\_: (l: Label) \to SimpleRow Type \Delta R[\kappa] \to Set where

Here: \forall \{\tau : Type \Delta \kappa\} \{xs : SimpleRow Type \Delta R[\kappa]\} \{l: Label\} \to l \in L(l,\tau) :: xs

There: \forall \{\tau : Type \Delta \kappa\} \{xs : SimpleRow Type \Delta R[\kappa]\} \{l l' : Label\} \to l \in L(l',\tau) :: xs

\_\in L?\_: \forall \{l: Label\} (xs : SimpleRow Type \Delta R[\kappa]) \to Dec(l \in Lxs)
```

We now define the syntactic *row complement* effectively as a filter: when a label on the left is found in the row on the right, we exclude that labeled entry from the resulting row.

```
_\s_ : \forall (xs ys : SimpleRow Type \triangle R[ \kappa ]) \rightarrow SimpleRow Type \triangle R[ \kappa ] [] \s ys = [] ((l, \tau) :: xs) \s ys with l \inL? ys ... | yes _ = xs \s ys ... | no _ = (l, \tau) :: (xs \s ys)
```

## 2.2.4 Type renaming and substitution.

A type variable renaming is a map from type variables in environment  $\Delta_1$  to type variables in environment  $\Delta_2$ .

```
Renaming<sub>k</sub>: KEnv \rightarrow KEnv \rightarrow Set
Renaming<sub>k</sub> \Delta_1 \Delta_2 = \forall \{\kappa\} \rightarrow \mathsf{TVar} \Delta_1 \kappa \rightarrow \mathsf{TVar} \Delta_2 \kappa
```

This definition and approach is standard for the intrinsic style (*cf.* Chapman et al. [2019]; Wadler et al. [2022]) and so definitions are omitted. The only deviation of interest is that we have an obligation to show that renaming preserves the well-orderedness of simple rows. Note that we use the suffix  $_{-k}$  for common operations over the Type and Pred syntax; we will use the suffix  $_{-k}$ NF for equivalent operations over the normal type syntax.

```
orderedRenRow<sub>k</sub>: (r : \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \rightarrow (xs : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta_1 R[\kappa]) \rightarrow \text{Ordered } xs \rightarrow \text{Ordered (renRow}_k r xs)
```

A substitution is a map from type variables to types.

```
Substitution<sub>k</sub>: KEnv \rightarrow KEnv \rightarrow Set
Substitution<sub>k</sub> \Delta_1 \Delta_2 = \forall {\kappa} \rightarrow TVar \Delta_1 \kappa \rightarrow Type \Delta_2 \kappa
```

Parallel renaming and substitution is likewise standard for this approach, and so definitions are omitted. As will become a theme, we must show that substitution preserves row well-orderedness.

```
orderedSubRow<sub>k</sub>: (\sigma : \text{Substitution}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \rightarrow (xs : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta_1 R[\kappa]) \rightarrow \text{Ordered } xs \rightarrow \text{Ordered } (\text{subRow}_k \sigma xs)
```

Two operations of note: extension of a substitution  $\sigma$  appends a new type A as the zero'th De Bruijn index.  $\beta$ -substitution is a special case of substitution in which we only substitute the most recently freed variable.

```
extend<sub>k</sub> : Substitution<sub>k</sub> \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow (A: \mathsf{Type}\ \Delta_2\ \kappa) \rightarrow \mathsf{Substitution}_k\ (\Delta_1\ ,,\ \kappa)\ \Delta_2 extend<sub>k</sub> \sigma\ A\ Z = A extend<sub>k</sub> \sigma\ A\ (S\ x) = \sigma\ x
\_\beta_k[\_]: \mathsf{Type}\ (\Delta\ ,,\ \kappa_1)\ \kappa_2 \rightarrow \mathsf{Type}\ \Delta\ \kappa_1 \rightarrow \mathsf{Type}\ \Delta\ \kappa_2
B\ \beta_k[\ A\ ] = \mathsf{sub}_k\ (\mathsf{extend}_k\ 'A)\ B
```

# 2.3 Type equivalence

We define reduction on types  $\tau \longrightarrow_{\mathcal{T}} \tau'$  by directing the following type equivalence judgment  $\Delta \vdash \tau = \tau' : \kappa$  from left to right. We equate types under the relation  $\_\equiv t\_$ , predicates under the relation  $\_\equiv t\_$ , and row literals under the relation  $\_\equiv t\_$ .

```
data \_\equiv p\_: Pred Type \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow Pred Type \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow Set
data \_\equiv t\_: Type \Delta \kappa \rightarrow Type \Delta \kappa \rightarrow Set
data \equiv r: SimpleRow Type \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow SimpleRow Type \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow Set
```

Declare the following as generalized metavariables to reduce clutter. (N.b., generalized variables in Agda are not dependent upon eachother, e.g., it is not true that  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  must have equal kinds when  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  appear in the same type signature.)

```
private

variable
\ell \ \ell_1 \ \ell_2 \ \ell_3 : Label
\ell \ l_1 \ l_2 \ l_3 : Type \ \Delta \ L
\rho_1 \ \rho_2 \ \rho_3 : Type \ \Delta \ R[\ \kappa\ ]
\pi_1 \ \pi_2 : Pred \ Type \ \Delta \ R[\ \kappa\ ]
\tau \ \tau_1 \ \tau_2 \ \tau_3 \ v \ v_1 \ v_2 \ v_3 : Type \ \Delta \ \kappa
```

Row literals and predicates are equated in an obvious fashion.

```
data \_ = r_ where

eq-[]: \_ = r_ {\Delta = \Delta} {\kappa = \kappa} [] []

eq-cons: {xs ys: SimpleRow Type \Delta R[\kappa]} \rightarrow

\ell_1 \equiv \ell_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv t \ \tau_2 \rightarrow xs \equiv r \ ys \rightarrow

((\ell_1, \tau_1):: xs) = r ((\ell_2, \tau_2):: ys)
```

```
data \equivp_ where

eq-\leq : \tau_1 \equiv t \ v_1 \to \tau_2 \equiv t \ v_2 \to \tau_1 \leq \tau_2 \equiv p \ v_1 \leq v_2

eq-\cdot - : \tau_1 \equiv t \ v_1 \to \tau_2 \equiv t \ v_2 \to \tau_3 \equiv t \ v_3 \to \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 \sim \tau_3 \equiv p \ v_1 \cdot v_2 \sim v_3
```

The first three type equivalence rules enforce that \_≡t\_ forms an equivalence relation.

```
data \_\equiv t\_ where

eq-refl: \tau \equiv t \tau

eq-sym: \tau_1 \equiv t \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 \equiv t \tau_1

eq-trans: \tau_1 \equiv t \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 \equiv t \tau_3 \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv t \tau_3
```

We next have a number of congruence rules. As this is type-level normalization, we equate under binders such as  $\lambda$  and  $\forall$ . The rule for congruence under  $\lambda$  bindings is below; the remaining congruence rules are omitted.

```
eq-\lambda: \forall \{\tau \ v : \mathsf{Type} \ (\Delta \ ,, \ \kappa_1) \ \kappa_2\} \rightarrow \tau \equiv \mathsf{t} \ v \rightarrow `\lambda \ \tau \equiv \mathsf{t} \ `\lambda \ v
```

We have two "expansion" rules and one composition rule. Firstly, arrow-kinded types are  $\eta$ -expanded to have an outermost lambda binding. This later ensures canonicity of arrow-kinded types.

```
eq-\eta: \forall \{f: \mathsf{Type}\ \Delta\ (\kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2)\} \to f \equiv \mathsf{t} \ \lambda\ (\mathsf{weaken}_k\ f \cdot (\mathsf{Z}))
```

Analogously, row-kinded variables left alone are expanded to a map by the identity function. Additionally, nested maps are composed together into one map. These rules together ensure canonical forms for row-kinded normal types. Observe that the last two rules are effectively functorial laws.

```
eq-map-id: \forall \{\kappa\} \{\tau : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa\ ]\} \to \tau \equiv \mathsf{t}\ (\ \lambda \ \{\kappa_1 = \kappa\}\ (\ Z)) < \$ > \tau
eq-map-o: \forall \{\kappa_3\} \{f : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ (\kappa_2 \ \to \kappa_3)\} \{g : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ (\kappa_1 \ \to \kappa_2)\} \{\tau : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa_1\ ]\} \to (f < \$ > (g < \$ > \tau)) \equiv \mathsf{t}\ (\ \lambda \ (\mathsf{weaken}_k \ f \cdot (\mathsf{weaken}_k \ g \cdot (\ Z)))) < \$ > \tau
```

We now describe the computational rules that incur type reduction. Rule eq- $\beta$  is the usual  $\beta$ -reduction rule. Rule eq-labTy asserts that the constructor  $\_\triangleright\_$  is indeed superfluous when describing singleton rows with a label literal; singleton rows of the form ( $\ell \triangleright \tau$ ) are normalized into row literals.

```
eq-\beta: \forall {\tau_1: Type (\Delta ,, \kappa_1) \kappa_2} {\tau_2: Type \Delta \kappa_1} \rightarrow (('\lambda \tau_1) · \tau_2) \equivt (\tau_1 \beta_k[ \tau_2 ]) eq-labTy: l \equivt lab \ell \rightarrow (l > \tau) \equivt ([ (\ell , \tau) ] ) tt
```

The rule eq->\$ describes that mapping F over a singleton row is simply application of F over the row's contents. Rule eq-map asserts exactly the same except for row literals; the function over, (definition omitted) is simply fmap over a pair's right component. Rule eq-<\$>-\ asserts that mapping F over a row complement is distributive.

```
\begin{array}{ll} \text{339} & \text{eq-} \$ : \forall \left\{l\right\} \left\{\tau : \text{Type } \Delta \; \kappa_1\right\} \left\{F : \text{Type } \Delta \; (\kappa_1 \; \stackrel{\cdot}{\rightarrow} \; \kappa_2)\right\} \rightarrow \\ \text{340} & (F < \$ > (l \triangleright \tau)) \equiv \mathsf{t} \; (l \triangleright (F \cdot \tau)) \\ \text{341} & \text{eq-map} : \forall \left\{F : \text{Type } \Delta \; (\kappa_1 \; \stackrel{\cdot}{\rightarrow} \; \kappa_2)\right\} \left\{\rho : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta \; \mathsf{R}[\; \kappa_1 \; ]\right\} \left\{o\rho : \text{True (ordered? } \rho)\right\} \rightarrow \\ \text{342} & F < \$ > (\lVert \rho \; \lVert \; o\rho) \equiv \mathsf{t} \; \lVert \; \text{map (over}_r \; (F \cdot \_)) \; \rho \; \lVert \; \text{(fromWitness (map-over}_r \; \rho \; (F \cdot \_) \; (\text{toWitness } o\rho)))} \end{array}
```

```
eq-<$>-\ : \forall {F : Type \Delta (\kappa_1 '\rightarrow \kappa_2)} {\rho_2 \rho_1 : Type \Delta R[ \kappa_1 ]} \rightarrow F <$> (\rho_2 \ \rho_1) \equivt (F <$> \rho_2) \ (F <$> \rho_1)
```

 The rules eq- $\Pi$  and eq- $\Sigma$  give the defining equations of  $\Pi$  and  $\Sigma$  at nested row kind. This is to say, application of  $\Pi$  to a nested row is equivalent to mapping  $\Pi$  over the row.

```
eq-\Pi: \forall {\rho: Type \Delta R[ R[ \kappa ] ]} {nl: True (notLabel? \kappa)} \rightarrow \Pi {notLabel = nl} · \rho \equivt \Pi {notLabel = nl} -$> \rho eq-\Sigma: \forall {\rho: Type \Delta R[ R[ \kappa ] ]} {nl: True (notLabel? \kappa)} \rightarrow \Sigma {notLabel = nl} · \rho \equivt \Sigma {notLabel = nl} -$> \rho
```

The next two rules assert that  $\Pi$  and  $\Sigma$  can reassociate from left-to-right except with the new right-applicand "flapped".

```
eq-\Pi-assoc : \forall {\rho : Type \Delta (R[ \kappa_1 '\rightarrow \kappa_2 ])} {\tau : Type \Delta \kappa_1} {nl : True (notLabel? \kappa_2)} \rightarrow (\Pi {notLabel = nl} · \rho) · \tau \equivt \Pi {notLabel = nl} · (\rho ?? \tau) eq-\Sigma-assoc : \forall {\rho : Type \Delta (R[ \kappa_1 '\rightarrow \kappa_2 ])} {\tau : Type \Delta \kappa_1} {nl : True (notLabel? \kappa_2)} \rightarrow (\Sigma {notLabel = nl} · \rho) · \tau \equivt \Sigma {notLabel = nl} · (\rho ?? \tau)
```

Finally, the rule eq-compl gives computational content to the relative row complement operator applied to row literals.

```
eq-compl : \forall {xs ys : SimpleRow Type \Delta R[\kappa]} {oxs : True (ordered? xs)} {oys : True (ordered? ys)} {ozs : True (ordered? (xs \setminus s ys))} \rightarrow ((|xs|) oxs) \ ((|xs|) oys) \equivt (|xs|) ozs
```

Before concluding, we share an auxiliary definition that reflects instances of propositional equality in Agda to proofs of type-equivalence. The same role could be performed via Agda's subst but without the convenience.

```
inst : \forall \{\tau_1 \ \tau_2 : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa\} \to \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \to \tau_1 \equiv \mathsf{t} \ \tau_2
inst refl = eq-refl
```

2.3.1 Some admissable rules. In early versions of this equivalence relation, we thought it would be necessary to impose the following two rules directly. However, we can confirm their admissability. The first rule states that  $\Pi$  is mapped over nested rows, and the second (definition omitted) states that  $\lambda$ -bindings  $\eta$ -expand over  $\Pi$ . (These results hold identically for  $\Sigma$ .)

```
eq-\Pi \triangleright : \forall \{l\} \{\tau : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa]\} \{nl : \mathsf{True} \ (\mathsf{notLabel?} \ \kappa)\} \rightarrow (\Pi \ \{\mathsf{notLabel} = nl\} \cdot (l \triangleright \tau)) \equiv \mathsf{t} \ (l \triangleright (\Pi \ \{\mathsf{notLabel} = nl\} \cdot \tau))
eq-\Pi \triangleright = \mathsf{eq}\text{-trans} \ \mathsf{eq}\text{-}\Pi \ \mathsf{eq}\text{-}\triangleright \$
eq-\Pi \lambda : \forall \{l\} \{\tau : \mathsf{Type} \ (\Delta \ , \kappa_1) \ \kappa_2\} \{nl : \mathsf{True} \ (\mathsf{notLabel?} \ \kappa_2)\} \rightarrow \Pi \ \{\mathsf{notLabel} = nl\} \cdot (l \triangleright `\lambda \ \tau) \equiv \mathsf{t} \ `\lambda \ (\Pi \ \{\mathsf{notLabel} = nl\} \cdot (\mathsf{weaken}_k \ l \triangleright \tau))
```

#### 3 NORMAL FORMS

 By directing the type equivalence relation we define computation on types. This serves as a sort of specification on the shape normal forms of types ought to have. Our grammar for normal types must be carefully crafted so as to be neither too "large" nor too "small". In particular, we wish our normalization algorithm to be *stable*, which implies surjectivity. Hence if the normal syntax is too large—i.e., it produces junk types—then these junk types will have pre-images in the domain of normalization. Inversely, if the normal syntax is too small, then there will be types whose normal forms cannot be expressed. Figure 2 specifies the syntax and typing of normal types, given as reference. We describe the syntax in more depth by describing its intrinsic mechanization.

```
\begin{array}{lll} \text{Type variables} & \alpha \in \mathcal{A} & \text{Labels} & \ell \in \mathcal{L} \\ \text{Ground Kinds} & \gamma ::= \star \mid \mathsf{L} \\ \text{Kinds} & \kappa ::= \gamma \mid \kappa \to \kappa \mid \mathsf{R}^{\kappa} \\ \text{Row Literals} & \hat{\mathcal{P}} \ni \hat{\rho} ::= \{\ell_i \triangleright \hat{\tau_i}\}_{i \in 0 \dots m} \\ \text{Neutral Types} & n ::= \alpha \mid n \hat{\tau} \\ \text{Normal Types} & \hat{\mathcal{T}} \ni \hat{\tau}, \hat{\phi} ::= n \mid \hat{\phi} \$ n \mid \hat{\rho} \mid \hat{\pi} \Rightarrow \hat{\tau} \mid \forall \alpha : \kappa. \hat{\tau} \mid \lambda \alpha : \kappa. \hat{\tau} \\ & \mid n \triangleright \hat{\tau} \mid \ell \mid \# \hat{\tau} \mid \hat{\tau} \setminus \hat{\tau} \mid \Pi \hat{\tau} \mid \Sigma \hat{\tau} \\ \end{array}
```

Fig. 2. Normal type forms

# 3.1 Mechanized syntax

We define NormalTypes and NormalPreds analogously to Types and Preds. Recall that Pred and SimpleRow are indexed by the type of their contents, so we can reuse some code.

```
data NormalType (\Delta : KEnv) : Kind \rightarrow Set
NormalPred : KEnv \rightarrow Kind \rightarrow Set
NormalPred = Pred NormalType
```

We must declare an analogous orderedness predicate, this time for normal types. Its definition is nearly identical.

```
NormalOrdered : SimpleRow NormalType \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow Set normalOrdered? : \forall (xs: SimpleRow NormalType \Delta R[\kappa]) \rightarrow Dec (NormalOrdered xs)
```

Further, we define the predicate NotSimpleRow  $\rho$  to be true precisely when  $\rho$  is not a simple row. This is necessary because the row complement  $\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1$  should reduce when each  $\rho_i$  is a row literal. So it is necessary when forming normal row-complements to specify that at least one of the complement operands is a non-literal. The predicate True (notSimpleRows?  $\rho_1$   $\rho_2$ ) is satisfied precisely in this case.

```
NotSimpleRow : NormalType \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow Set notSimpleRows? : \forall (\tau_1 \tau_2 : NormalType \Delta R[\kappa]) \rightarrow Dec (NotSimpleRow \tau_1 or NotSimpleRow \tau_2)
```

Neutral types are type variables and applications with type variables in head position.

```
data NeutralType \Delta: Kind \rightarrow Set where ': (\alpha : \text{TVar } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \text{NeutralType } \Delta \kappa
```

```
\cdot \cdot : (f : \mathsf{NeutralType} \ \Delta \ (\kappa_1 \ ` \to \kappa)) \to (\tau : \mathsf{NormalType} \ \Delta \ \kappa_1) \to \mathsf{NeutralType} \ \Delta \ \kappa
```

 We define the normal type syntax firstly by restricting the promotion of neutral types to normal forms at only *ground* kind.

```
data NormalType \Delta where ne : (x : \text{NeutralType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \{ground : \text{True (ground? } \kappa)\} \rightarrow \text{NormalType } \Delta \kappa
```

As discussed above, we restrict the formation of inert row complements to just those in which at least one operand is non-literal.

```
_\_ : (\rho_2 \ \rho_1 : NormalType \ \Delta \ R[\ \kappa\ ]) \rightarrow \{nsr : True (notSimpleRows? \ \rho_2 \ \rho_1)\} \rightarrow NormalType \ \Delta \ R[\ \kappa\ ]
```

We define inert maps as part of the NormalType syntax rather than the NeutralType syntax. Observe that a consequence of this decision (as opposed to letting the form \_<\$>\_ be neutral) is that all inert maps must have the mapped function composed into just one applicand. For example, the type  $\phi_2$  <\$> ( $\phi_1$  n) must recompose into (` $\lambda$   $\alpha$ . ( $\phi_2$  ( $\phi_1$   $\alpha$ )) <\$> n to be in normal form.

```
\_<$>\_: (\phi : NormalType \Delta (\kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2)) \rightarrow NeutralType \Delta R[\kappa_1] \rightarrow NormalType \Delta R[\kappa_2]
```

we need only permit the formation of records and variants at kind  $\star$ , and we restrict the formation of neutral-labeled rows to just the singleton constructor  $\_\triangleright_{n}$ .

```
\Pi: (\rho: \mathsf{NormalType} \ \Delta \ \mathsf{R}[\ \star\ ]) \to \mathsf{NormalType} \ \Delta \ \star
\Sigma: (\rho: \mathsf{NormalType} \ \Delta \ \mathsf{R}[\ \star\ ]) \to \mathsf{NormalType} \ \Delta \ \star
\_^{\mathsf{h}}_n: (l: \mathsf{NoutralType} \ \Delta \ \mathsf{L}) \ (\tau: \mathsf{NormalType} \ \Delta \ \kappa) \to \mathsf{NormalType} \ \Delta \ \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa\ ]
```

The remaining cases are identical to the regular Type syntax and omitted.

# 3.2 Canonicity of normal types

The syntax of normal types is defined precisely so as to enjoy canonical forms based on kind. We first demonstrate that neutral types and inert complements cannot occur in empty contexts.

```
noNeutrals : NeutralType \emptyset \ \kappa \to \bot

noNeutrals (n \cdot \tau) = noNeutrals n

noComplements : \forall \{\rho_1 \ \rho_2 \ \rho_3 : \text{NormalType } \emptyset \ \text{R}[\ \kappa \ ]\}

(nsr : \text{True (notSimpleRows? } \rho_3 \ \rho_2)) \to \rho_1 \equiv (\rho_3 \setminus \rho_2) \{nsr\} \to 0
```

Now, in any context an arrow-kinded type is canonically  $\lambda$ -bound:

```
arrow-canonicity : (f: \text{NormalType } \Delta \ (\kappa_1 \ \hookrightarrow \kappa_2)) \to \exists [\ \tau\ ] \ (f \equiv \ `\lambda\ \tau) arrow-canonicity (\ `\lambda\ f) = f , refl
```

A row in an empty context is necessarily a row literal:

```
row-canonicity-\emptyset : (\rho : \text{NormalType } \emptyset \ \text{R[} \ \kappa \ ]) \rightarrow \exists [\ xs\ ] \ \Sigma[\ oxs \in \text{True (normalOrdered? } xs)\ ]
```

And a label-kinded type is necessarily a label literal:

```
label-canonicity-\emptyset: \forall (l: NormalType \emptyset L) \rightarrow \exists [s] (l \equiv lab s) label-canonicity-\emptyset (ne x) = \bot-elim (noNeutrals x) label-canonicity-\emptyset (lab s) = s, refl
```

## 3.3 Renaming

498

499

500

502 503

504 505

506

507 508

510

512

513

514

515

516517

518

519

520

521

522

524

526

527

528

529 530

531

532

533534

535

539

Renaming over normal types is defined in an entirely straightforward manner. Types and definitions are omitted.

# 3.4 Embedding

The goal is to normalize a given  $\tau$ : Type  $\Delta \kappa$  to a normal form at type NormalType  $\Delta \kappa$ . It is of course much easier to first describe the inverse embedding, which recasts a normal form back to its original type. Definitions are expected and omitted.

```
\Uparrow: NormalType \Delta \kappa \to \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \kappa

\Uparrow \mathsf{Row}: SimpleRow NormalType \Delta \ \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa\ ] \to \mathsf{SimpleRow} \ \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa\ ]

\Uparrow \mathsf{NE}: NeutralType \Delta \ \kappa \to \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa

\Uparrow \mathsf{Pred}: NormalPred \Delta \ \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa\ ] \to \mathsf{Pred} \ \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa\ ]
```

Note that it is precisely in "embedding" the NormalOrdered predicate that we establish half of the requisite isomorphism between a normal row being normal-ordered and its embedding being ordered. We will have to show the other half (that is, that ordered rows have normal-ordered evaluations) during normalization.

```
Ordered\uparrow: \forall (\rho: SimpleRow NormalType \Delta R[\kappa]) \rightarrow NormalOrdered \rho \rightarrow Ordered (\uparrowRow \rho)
```

#### 4 SEMANTIC TYPES

We have finally set the stage to discuss the process of normalizing types by evaluation. We first must define a semantic image of Types into which we will evaluate. Crucially, neutral types must *reflect* into this domain, and elements of this domain must *reify* to normal forms.

Let us first define the image of row literals to be Fin-indexed maps.

```
Row : Set \rightarrow Set
Row A = \exists [n] (Fin n \rightarrow Label \times A)
```

Naturally, we required a predicate on such rows to indicate that they are well-ordered.

```
536 OrderedRow' : \forall {A : Set} \rightarrow (n : \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow (Fin n \rightarrow Label \times A) \rightarrow Set
537 OrderedRow' zero P = \top
538 OrderedRow' (suc zero) P = \top
```

```
OrderedRow' (suc (suc n)) P = (P \text{ fzero .fst} < P \text{ (fsuc fzero) .fst}) \times \text{OrderedRow' (suc } n) (P \circ \text{fsuc})
OrderedRow : \forall \{A\} \rightarrow \text{Row } A \rightarrow \text{Set}
OrderedRow (n, P) = OrderedRow' n P
```

We may now define the totality of forms a row-kinded type might take in the semantic domain (the RowType data type). We evaluate row literals into Rows via the row constructor; note that the argument  $\mathcal T$  maps kinding environments to types. In practice, this is how we specify that a row contains types in environment  $\Delta$ .

```
data RowType (\Delta : KEnv) (\mathcal{T} : KEnv \rightarrow Set) : Kind \rightarrow Set
NotRow : \forall {\Delta : KEnv} {\mathcal{T} : KEnv \rightarrow Set} \rightarrow RowType \Delta \mathcal{T} R[\kappa] \rightarrow Set
data RowType \Delta \mathcal{T} where
row : (\rho : Row (\mathcal{T} \Delta)) \rightarrow OrderedRow \rho \rightarrow RowType \Delta \mathcal{T} R[\kappa]
```

Neutral-labeled singleton rows are evaluated into the \_>\_ constructor; inert complements are evaluated into the \_\\_ constructor. Just as OrderedRow is the semantic version of row well-orderedness, the predicate NotRow asserts that a given RowType is not a row literal (constructed by row). This ensures that complements constructed by \_\\_ are indeed inert.

```
_ ▶_ : NeutralType \Delta L \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \Delta \rightarrow RowType \Delta \mathcal{T} R[ \kappa ] _\_ : (\rho_2 \rho_1 : RowType \Delta \mathcal{T} R[ \kappa ]) \rightarrow {nr : NotRow \rho_2 or NotRow \rho_1} \rightarrow RowType \Delta \mathcal{T} R[ \kappa ]
```

We would like to compose nested maps. Borrowing from Allais et al. [2013], we thus interpret the left applicand of a map as a Kripke function space mapping neutral types in environment  $\Delta'$  to the type  $\mathcal{T}$   $\Delta'$ , which we will later specify to be that of semantic types in environment  $\Delta'$  at kind  $\kappa$ . To avoid running afoul of Agda's positivity checker, we let the domain type of this Kripke function be *neutral types*, which may always be reflected into semantic types. We define semantic types (SemType) below, but replacing NeutralType  $\Delta'$   $\kappa_1$  with SemType  $\Delta'$   $\kappa_1$  would not be strictly positive.

```
_<$>_ : (\phi : \forall \{\Delta'\} \rightarrow \mathsf{Renaming}_k \Delta \Delta' \rightarrow \mathsf{NeutralType} \Delta' \kappa_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \Delta') \rightarrow \mathsf{NeutralType} \Delta \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa_1\ ] \rightarrow \mathsf{RowType} \Delta \mathcal{T} \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa_2\ ]
```

We finally define the semantic domain by induction on the kind  $\kappa$ . Types with  $\star$  and label kind are simply NormalTypes.

```
SemType : KEnv \rightarrow Kind \rightarrow Set
SemType \Delta \star = NormalType \Delta \star
SemType \Delta L = NormalType \Delta L
```

 We interpret functions into *Kripke function spaces*—that is, functions that operate over SemType inputs at any possible environment  $\Delta_2$ , provided a renaming into  $\Delta_2$ .

```
SemType \Delta_1 (\kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2) = (\forall \{\Delta_2\} \rightarrow (r : \mathsf{Renaming}_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2)
(\nu : \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta_2 \ \kappa_1) \rightarrow \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta_2 \ \kappa_2)
```

We interpret row-kinded types into the RowType type, defined above. Note some more trickery which we have borrowed from Allais et al. [2013]: we cannot pass SemType itself as an argument

to RowType (which would violate termination checking), but we can instead pass to RowType the function ( $\lambda$   $\Delta$ '  $\rightarrow$  SemType  $\Delta$ '  $\kappa$ ), which enforces a strictly smaller recursive call on the kind  $\kappa$ . Observe too that abstraction over the kinding environment  $\Delta$ ' is necessary because our representation of inert maps \_<\$>\_ interprets the mapped applicand as a Kripke function space over neutral type domain.

```
SemType \Delta R[\kappa] = RowType \Delta (\lambda \Delta' \rightarrow SemType \Delta'\kappa) R[\kappa]
```

# 4.1 Renaming

589

590 591

592

593 594

595 596

597

598

600

601

602 603

604

605

606 607

608

637

Renaming over normal types is defined in a straightforward manner. Observe that renaming a Kripke function is nothing more than providing the appropriate renaming to the function.

```
renKripke : Renaming<sub>k</sub> \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow KripkeFunction \Delta_1 \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow KripkeFunction \Delta_2 \kappa_1 \kappa_2 renKripke \{\Delta_1\} \rho F \{\Delta_2\} = \lambda \rho' \rightarrow F (\rho' \circ \rho)
```

We will make some reference to semantic renaming, so we give it the name renSem here. Its definition is expected.

```
\mathsf{renSem} : \mathsf{Renaming}_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2 \to \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta_1 \ \kappa \to \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta_2 \ \kappa
```

### 5 NORMALIZATION BY EVALUATION

```
reflect : \forall \{\kappa\} \rightarrow \text{NeutralType } \Delta \kappa \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa
609
          reify : \forall \{\kappa\} \rightarrow \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa \rightarrow \mathsf{NormalType} \ \Delta \ \kappa
610
          reflect \{\kappa = \star\} \tau
                                           = ne \tau
612
          reflect \{\kappa = L\} \tau
                                          = ne \tau
613
          reflect \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\}\ \rho = (\lambda \ r \ n \rightarrow \text{reflect } n) < > \rho
614
          reflect \{\kappa = \kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2\} \tau = \lambda \rho \nu \rightarrow \text{reflect } (\text{ren}_k \text{NE } \rho \tau \cdot \text{reify } \nu)
615
616
          reifyKripke : KripkeFunction \Delta \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow \text{NormalType } \Delta (\kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2)
617
          reifyKripkeNE : KripkeFunctionNE \Delta \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow \text{NormalType } \Delta (\kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2)
618
          reifyKripke \{\kappa_1 = \kappa_1\} F = \lambda (reify (F S (reflect \{\kappa = \kappa_1\} ((Z))))
619
          reifyKripkeNE F = \lambda (\text{reify } (F S (Z)))
620
621
          reifyRow': (n : \mathbb{N}) \to (\text{Fin } n \to \text{Label} \times \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa) \to \text{SimpleRow NormalType } \Delta R[\kappa]
622
          reifyRow' zero P = []
          reifyRow' (suc n) P with P fzero
624
          ... |(l, \tau)| = (l, reify \tau) :: reifyRow' n (P \circ fsuc)
625
          reifyRow : Row (SemType \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow SimpleRow NormalType \Delta R[\kappa]
626
          reifyRow(n, P) = reifyRow'nP
627
628
          reifyRowOrdered : \forall (\rho : Row (SemType \Delta \kappa)) \rightarrow OrderedRow \rho \rightarrow NormalOrdered (reifyRow \rho)
629
          reifyRowOrdered': \forall (n : \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow (P : \text{Fin } n \rightarrow \text{Label} \times \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow
630
                                             OrderedRow (n, P) \rightarrow NormalOrdered (reifyRow <math>(n, P))
631
632
          reifyRowOrdered' zero P o \rho = tt
633
          reifyRowOrdered' (suc zero) P o \rho = tt
634
          reifyRowOrdered' (suc (suc n)) P(l_1 < l_2, ih) = l_1 < l_2, (reifyRowOrdered' (suc n) (P \circ fsuc) ih)
635
          reifyRowOrdered (n, P) o\rho = reifyRowOrdered' n P o\rho
636
```

```
638
                       reifyPreservesNR : \forall (\rho_1 \ \rho_2 : RowType \ \Delta \ (\lambda \ \Delta' \rightarrow SemType \ \Delta' \kappa) \ R[\kappa]) \rightarrow
639
                                                                                                           (nr : \text{NotRow } \rho_1 \text{ or NotRow } \rho_2) \rightarrow \text{NotSimpleRow (reify } \rho_1) \text{ or NotSimpleRow (reify } \rho_2)
640
                        reifyPreservesNR': \forall (\rho_1 \ \rho_2 : RowType \ \Delta \ (\lambda \ \Delta' \rightarrow SemType \ \Delta' \kappa) \ R[\kappa]) \rightarrow
641
642
                                                                                                           (nr : \text{NotRow } \rho_1 \text{ or NotRow } \rho_2) \rightarrow \text{NotSimpleRow (reify } ((\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2) \{nr\}))
643
                       reify \{\kappa = \star\} \tau = \tau
                       reify \{\kappa = L\} \tau = \tau
645
                       reify \{\kappa = \kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2\} F = \text{reifyKripke } F
646
                       reify \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\} (l \triangleright \tau) = (l \triangleright_n (\text{reify } \tau))
647
648
                       reify \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\}\ (row \rho q) = \{\text{reifyRow }\rho\}\ (from Witness (reify Row Ordered \rho q))
649
                       reify \{\kappa = R[\kappa]\} ((\phi < \$ > \tau)) = (reifyKripkeNE <math>\phi < \$ > \tau)
650
                       reify \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\}\ ((\phi < \tau) \setminus \rho_2) = (\text{reify } (\phi < \tau) \setminus \text{reify } \rho_2) \{nsr = tt\}
651
                       reify \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\}\ ((l \triangleright \tau) \setminus \rho) = (\text{reify } (l \triangleright \tau) \setminus (\text{reify } \rho)) \{nsr = \text{tt}\}\
652
                       reify \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\}\ (\text{row }\rho\ x \setminus \rho'@(x_1 \triangleright x_2)) = (\text{reify }(\text{row }\rho\ x) \setminus \text{reify }\rho')\ \{\text{nsr} = \text{tt}\}
653
                       reify \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\} ((row \rho x \setminus \text{row } \rho_1 x_1) {left ()})
654
                       reify \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\} ((row \rho x \setminus \text{row } \rho_1 x_1) \{\text{right } ()\})
655
                       reify \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\} (row \rho \times (\phi < \tau)) = (reify (row \rho \times \tau) \ reify (\phi < \tau)) \{nsr = tt\}
                       \operatorname{reify}\left\{\kappa = \mathbb{R}\left[\kappa\right]\right\}\left((\operatorname{row}\rho\ x \setminus \rho'@((\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2)\{nr'\}))\{nr\}\right) = \left((\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{row}\rho\ x)\right) \setminus (\operatorname{reify}\left((\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2)\{nr'\}\right))\{nr\} = \operatorname{fron}\left((\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{row}\rho\ x\right)) \setminus (\operatorname{reify}\left((\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2)\{nr'\}\right))\{nr\}\right) = \operatorname{fron}\left((\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{row}\rho\ x\right)) \setminus (\operatorname{reify}\left((\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2)\{nr'\}\right))\{nr'\}\right) = \operatorname{fron}\left((\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{row}\rho\ x\right)) \setminus (\operatorname{reify}\left((\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2)\{nr'\}\right))\}\right) = \operatorname{fron}\left((\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{r
657
                       658
659
                       reifyPreservesNR (x_1 \triangleright x_2) \rho_2 (left x) = left tt
660
                       reifyPreservesNR ((\rho_1 \setminus \rho_3) \{nr\}) \rho_2 (left x) = left (reifyPreservesNR' \rho_1 \rho_3 nr)
661
                       reifyPreservesNR (\phi < > \rho) \rho_2 (left x) = left tt
662
663
                       reifyPreservesNR \rho_1 (x \triangleright x_1) (right y) = right tt
                       reifyPreservesNR \rho_1 ((\rho_2 \setminus \rho_3) {nr}) (right y) = right (reifyPreservesNR' \rho_2 \rho_3 nr)
665
                       reifyPreservesNR \rho_1 ((\phi <$> \rho_2)) (right y) = right tt
666
                       reifyPreservesNR' (x_1 \triangleright x_2) \rho_2 (left x) = tt
667
                       reifyPreservesNR' (\rho_1 \setminus \rho_3) \rho_2 (left x) = tt
668
                       reifyPreservesNR' (\phi < > n) \rho_2 (left x) = tt
669
670
                       reifyPreservesNR' (\phi <$> n) \rho_2 (right y) = tt
671
                       reifyPreservesNR' (x \triangleright x_1) \rho_2 (right y) = tt
672
                       reifyPreservesNR' (row \rho x) (x_1 > x_2) (right y) = tt
673
                       reifyPreservesNR' (row \rho x) (\rho_2 \setminus \rho_3) (right y) = tt
674
                       reifyPreservesNR' (row \rho x) (\phi <$> n) (right y) = tt
675
                       reifyPreservesNR' (\rho_1 \setminus \rho_3) \rho_2 (right y) = tt
676
677
678
                       - \eta normalization of neutral types
679
                       \eta-norm : NeutralType \Delta \kappa \rightarrow NormalType \Delta \kappa
680
                       \eta-norm = reify \circ reflect
681
682
683
                        - - Semantic environments
684
                       Env : KEnv \rightarrow KEnv \rightarrow Set
685
```

```
Env \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2 = \forall \{\kappa\} \rightarrow \mathsf{TVar} \ \Delta_1 \ \kappa \rightarrow \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta_2 \ \kappa
687
688
           idEnv : Env \Delta \Delta
689
           idEnv = reflect o '
690
691
           extende : (\eta : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2) \rightarrow (V : \text{SemType } \Delta_2 \ \kappa) \rightarrow \text{Env } (\Delta_1 \ ,, \ \kappa) \ \Delta_2
692
           extende \eta V Z = V
693
           extende \eta V(S x) = \eta x
694
           lifte : Env \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{Env} \ (\Delta_1 \ ,, \ \kappa) \ (\Delta_2 \ ,, \ \kappa)
695
           lifte \{\Delta_1\} \{\Delta_2\} \{\kappa\} \eta = extende (weakenSem \circ \eta) (idEnv Z)
696
697
698
           5.1 Helping evaluation
699
700
           - Semantic application
701
            \_\cdot V_-: \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ (\kappa_1 \ `\to \kappa_2) \to \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa_1 \to \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa_2
702
           F \cdot V V = F \text{ id } V
703
704
705
           - Semantic complement
706
707
           \_\in \mathsf{Row}_- : \forall \{m\} \rightarrow (l : \mathsf{Label}) \rightarrow
708
                                (Q : \mathsf{Fin}\ m \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType}\ \Delta\ \kappa) \to
709
710
           \_\in Row\_\{m = m\}\ l\ Q = \Sigma[\ i \in Fin\ m\ ]\ (l \equiv Q\ i .fst)
711
712
           \in \text{Row}? : \forall \{m\} \rightarrow (l : \text{Label}) \rightarrow
713
                                (Q : \mathsf{Fin}\ m \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType}\ \Delta\ \kappa) \to
714
                                Dec(l \in Row Q)
715
           \in \text{Row}? \{m = \text{zero}\}\ l\ Q = \text{no }\lambda\{()\}
716
           \in \text{Row}? \{m = \text{suc } m\} \ l \ Q \text{ with } l \stackrel{?}{=} Q \text{ fzero .fst}
717
           ... | yes p = yes (fzero, p)
718
                             p with l \in Row? (Q \circ fsuc)
719
           ... | yes (n, q) = yes ((fsuc n), q)
720
                                        q = \text{no } \lambda \{ (\text{fzero }, q') \rightarrow p \ q'; (\text{fsuc } n, q') \rightarrow q \ (n, q') \}
721
           ... | no
722
           compl: \forall \{n \ m\} \rightarrow
723
                         (P : \mathsf{Fin} \ n \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa)
724
                         (Q: \mathsf{Fin}\ m \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType}\ \Delta\ \kappa) \to
725
                         Row (SemType \Delta \kappa)
726
           compl \{n = \text{zero}\} \{m\} P Q = \epsilon V
727
           compl \{n = \text{suc } n\} \{m\} P Q \text{ with } P \text{ fzero .fst } \in \text{Row? } Q
728
729
           ... | yes \_ = compl (P \circ fsuc) Q
730
           ... | no \_ = (P \text{ fzero}) :: (compl (P \circ fsuc) Q)
731
732
           - - Semantic complement preserves well-ordering
733
           lemma: \forall \{n \ m \ q\} \rightarrow
734
```

```
(P : \mathsf{Fin} (\mathsf{suc} \ n) \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa)
736
737
                                             (Q: \mathsf{Fin}\ m \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType}\ \Delta\ \kappa) \to
738
                                              (R: \mathsf{Fin} (\mathsf{suc} \ q) \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa) \to
739
                                                     OrderedRow (suc n, P) \rightarrow
740
                                                     compl (P \circ \text{fsuc}) O \equiv (\text{suc } q, R) \rightarrow
741
                                              P fzero .fst < R fzero .fst
742
                 lemma \{n = \text{suc } n\} \{q = q\} P Q R o P e q_1 \text{ with } P \text{ (fsuc fzero) .fst } \in \text{Row? } Q
743
                 lemma \{\kappa = 1\} \{\text{suc } n\} \{q = q\} P Q R o P \text{ refl} \mid \text{no } 1 = o P . \text{fst}
744
                 ... | yes \_ = <-trans \{i = P \text{ fzero .fst}\} \{j = P \text{ (fsuc fzero) .fst}\} \{k = R \text{ fzero .fst}\} (oP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)} Q \cap \text{fst}\} \{j = P \text{ (fsuc fzero) .fst}\} 
745
746
                 ordered-:: : \forall \{n \ m\} \rightarrow
747
                                                             (P: \mathsf{Fin} (\mathsf{suc} \ n) \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa)
748
                                                              (Q: \mathsf{Fin}\ m \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType}\ \Delta\ \kappa) \to
749
                                                              OrderedRow (suc n, P) \rightarrow
750
                                                              OrderedRow (compl (P \circ fsuc) O) \rightarrow OrderedRow (P fzero :: compl (P \circ fsuc) O)
751
                 ordered-:: \{n = n\} P Q o P o C \text{ with compl } (P \circ \text{fsuc}) Q | \text{inspect (compl } (P \circ \text{fsuc})) Q
752
                 \dots \mid \text{zero}, R \mid \_ = \text{tt}
753
                 ... | \operatorname{suc} n, R | [[eq]] = \operatorname{lemma} P Q R \circ P eq, \circ C
754
755
                 ordered-compl : \forall \{n \ m\} \rightarrow
756
                                                             (P : \mathsf{Fin} \ n \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa)
757
                                                              (Q: \mathsf{Fin}\ m \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType}\ \Delta\ \kappa) \to
758
                                                              OrderedRow (n, P) \rightarrow \text{OrderedRow } (m, Q) \rightarrow \text{OrderedRow } (\text{compl } P Q)
759
                 ordered-compl \{n = \text{zero}\}\ P\ Q\ o\rho_1\ o\rho_2 = \text{tt}
760
                 ordered-compl \{n = \text{suc } n\} P Q o \rho_1 o \rho_2 \text{ with } P \text{ fzero .fst } \in \text{Row? } Q
761
                 ... | yes _ = ordered-compl (P \circ \text{fsuc}) Q (ordered-cut o\rho_1) o\rho_2
762
                 ... | no _ = ordered-:: P Q o \rho_1 (ordered-compl (P \circ fsuc) Q (ordered-cut o \rho_1) o \rho_2)
763
764
765
                 - Semantic complement on Rows
766
767
                 \_\v_-: \text{Row (SemType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \text{Row (SemType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \text{Row (SemType } \Delta \kappa)
768
                 (n, P) \setminus v(m, Q) = compl P Q
769
770
                 ordered\forall v : \forall (\rho_2 \ \rho_1 : Row (SemType \ \Delta \ \kappa)) \rightarrow OrderedRow \ \rho_2 \rightarrow OrderedRow \ \rho_1 \rightarrow OrderedRow \ (\rho_2 \ \forall v \ \rho_1)
771
                 ordered\v (n, P) (m, Q) o\rho_2 o\rho_1 = ordered-compl P Q o\rho_2 o\rho_1
772
773
774
                 --- Semantic lifting
775
                  _<$>V_ : SemType \Delta (\kappa_1 '\rightarrow \kappa_2) \rightarrow SemType \Delta R[ \kappa_1 ] \rightarrow SemType \Delta R[ \kappa_2 ]
776
                 NotRow<>>: \forall \{F : \text{SemType } \Delta (\kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2)\} \{\rho_2 \rho_1 : \text{RowType } \Delta (\lambda \Delta \hookrightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa_1) \ R[\kappa_1] \} \rightarrow
777
778
                                                           NotRow \rho_2 or NotRow \rho_1 \rightarrow \text{NotRow} (F < >> V \rho_2) or NotRow (F < >> V \rho_1)
779
                 F < >V (l > \tau) = l > (F \cdot V \tau)
780
                 F < V row (n, P) q = row (n, over_r (F id) \circ P) (orderedOver_r (F id) q)
781
                 F < V ((\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) \{nr\}) = ((F < P_2) \setminus (F < P_1)) \{NotRow < nr\}
782
                 F < >V (G < >n) = (\lambda \{\Delta'\} r \rightarrow F r \circ G r) < >n
783
784
```

```
785
          NotRow<$> {F = F} {x_1 > x_2} {\rho_1} (left x) = left tt
786
          NotRow<$> \{F = F\} \{\rho_2 \setminus \rho_3\} \{\rho_1\} (\text{left } x) = \text{left tt}
787
          NotRow<$> \{F = F\} \{\phi < \$ > n\} \{\rho_1\} (\text{left } x) = \text{left tt}
788
789
          NotRow<$> {F = F} {\rho_2} {x \triangleright x_1} (right y) = right tt
790
          NotRow<F = F \{ \rho_2 \} \{ \rho_1 \setminus \rho_3 \} (right \gamma) = right tt
791
          NotRow<$> \{F = F\} \{\rho_2\} \{\phi 
792
793
794

    - - - Semantic complement on SemTypes

795
          796
797
          row \rho_2 o\rho_2 \setminus V row \rho_1 o\rho_1 = row (\rho_2 \setminus V \rho_1) (ordered \setminus V \rho_2 \rho_1 o\rho_2 o\rho_1)
798
          \rho_2(a)(x \triangleright x_1) \setminus V \rho_1 = (\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) \{nr = \text{left tt}\}
799
          \rho_2@(row \rho x) \ \nabla \rho_1@(x_1 > x_2) = (\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) {nr = \text{right tt}}
800
          \rho_2@(row \rho x) \V \rho_1@(_ \ _) = (\rho_2 \ \rho_1) {nr = right tt}
801
          \rho_2@(row \rho x) \V \rho_1@(_ <$> _) = (\rho_2 \ \rho_1) {nr = right tt}
802
          \rho@(\rho_2 \setminus \rho_3) \setminus V \rho' = (\rho \setminus \rho') \{nr = \text{left tt}\}
803
          \rho \otimes (\phi < \$ > n) \setminus V \rho' = (\rho \setminus \rho') \{nr = \text{left tt}\}
804
805

    - Semantic flap

807
          apply : SemType \Delta \kappa_1 \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta ((\kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2) \hookrightarrow \kappa_2)
808
          apply a = \lambda \rho F \rightarrow F \cdot V (renSem \rho a)
809
810
          infixr 0 <?>V
811
          \_<?>V_-: SemType \triangle R[\kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2] \rightarrow SemType \triangle \kappa_1 \rightarrow SemType \triangle R[\kappa_2]
812
          f < ?>V a = apply a < $>V f
813
814
          5.2 \Pi and \Sigma as operators
815
          record Xi: Set where
816
             field
817
818
                 \Xi \star : \forall \{\Delta\} \to \text{NormalType } \Delta \ R[\ \star\ ] \to \text{NormalType } \Delta \star
819
                 ren-\star: \forall (\rho : \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \rightarrow (\tau : \text{NormalType } \Delta_1 R[\star]) \rightarrow \text{ren}_k \text{NF } \rho (\Xi \star \tau) \equiv \Xi \star (\text{ren}_k \text{NF } \rho \tau)
820
          open Xi
821
          \xi: \forall \{\Delta\} \to Xi \to SemType \Delta R[\kappa] \to SemType \Delta \kappa
822
          \xi \{ \kappa = \star \} \Xi x = \Xi . \Xi \star (\text{reify } x)
823
          \xi \{ \kappa = L \} \Xi x = lab "impossible"
824
          \xi \{ \kappa = \kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2 \} \Xi F = \lambda \rho \nu \rightarrow \xi \Xi \text{ (renSem } \rho F <?>V \nu \text{)}
825
          \xi \{ \kappa = R[\kappa] \} \Xi x = (\lambda \rho \nu \rightarrow \xi \Xi \nu) < > V x
826
827
          \Pi-rec Σ-rec : Xi
828
          \Pi-rec = record
829
             \{\Xi \star = \Pi ; \text{ren-} \star = \lambda \rho \tau \rightarrow \text{refl} \}
830
          \Sigma-rec =
831
             record
832
833
```

```
\{\Xi \star = \Sigma ; \text{ren-} \star = \lambda \rho \tau \rightarrow \text{refl} \}
834
835
                 \Pi V \Sigma V : \forall \{\Delta\} \rightarrow SemType \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow SemType \Delta \kappa
836
                 \Pi V = \xi \Pi - rec
837
                 \Sigma V = \xi \Sigma - rec
838
839
                 \xi-Kripke : Xi \rightarrow KripkeFunction \Delta R[\kappa] \kappa
840
                 \xi-Kripke \Xi \rho v = \xi \Xi v
841
                 Π-Kripke Σ-Kripke : KripkeFunction \Delta R[\kappa] \kappa
842
                 \Pi-Kripke = ξ-Kripke \Pi-rec
843
844
                 \Sigma-Kripke = \xi-Kripke \Sigma-rec
845
846
                 5.3 Evaluation
847
                 eval : Type \Delta_1 \kappa \to \text{Env } \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \to \text{SemType } \Delta_2 \kappa
848
                 evalPred : Pred Type \Delta_1 R[\kappa] \rightarrow Env \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow NormalPred \Delta_2 R[\kappa]
849
850
                 evalRow : (\rho : SimpleRow Type \Delta_1 R[\kappa]) \rightarrow Env \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow Row (SemType \Delta_2 \kappa)
851
                 evalRowOrdered : (\rho : SimpleRow Type \Delta_1 R[\kappa]) \rightarrow (\eta : Env \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \rightarrow Ordered \rho \rightarrow OrderedRow (evalRow Envalues August 1) (evalRow Type August 2) (eva
852
                 evalRow [] \eta = \epsilon V
853
                 evalRow ((l, \tau) :: \rho) \eta = (l, (\text{eval } \tau \eta)) :: \text{evalRow } \rho \eta
854
855
                 \Downarrow Row-isMap : \forall (\eta : Env \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \rightarrow (xs : SimpleRow Type \Delta_1 R[\kappa]) \rightarrow
856
                                                                               reifyRow (evalRow xs \eta) \equiv map (\lambda \{(l, \tau) \rightarrow l, (reify (eval \tau \eta))\}) <math>xs
857
                 \|Row-isMap \eta [] = refl
858
                 \parallel \text{Row-isMap } \eta (x :: xs) = \text{cong}_2 :: \text{refl} (\parallel \text{Row-isMap } \eta xs)
859
860
                 evalPred (\rho_1 \cdot \rho_2 \sim \rho_3) \eta = reify (eval \rho_1 \eta) · reify (eval \rho_2 \eta) ~ reify (eval \rho_3 \eta)
861
                 evalPred (\rho_1 \leq \rho_2) \eta = reify (eval \rho_1 \eta) \leq reify (eval \rho_2 \eta)
862
                 eval \{\kappa = \kappa\} (' x) \eta = \eta x
863
864
                 eval \{\kappa = \kappa\} (\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2) \eta = (\text{eval } \tau_1 \eta) \cdot V (\text{eval } \tau_2 \eta)
865
                 eval \{\kappa = \kappa\} (\tau_1 \to \tau_2) \eta = (\text{eval } \tau_1 \eta) \to (\text{eval } \tau_2 \eta)
866
                 eval \{\kappa = \star\} (\pi \Rightarrow \tau) \eta = \text{evalPred } \pi \eta \Rightarrow \text{eval } \tau \eta
867
                 eval \{\Delta_1\} \{\kappa = \star\} ('\forall \tau) \eta = '\forall (eval \tau (lifte \eta))
868
869
                 eval \{\kappa = \star\} (\mu \tau) \eta = \mu (reify (eval \tau \eta))
870
                 eval \{\kappa = \star\} \mid \tau \mid \eta = | \text{ reify (eval } \tau \mid \eta) |
871
                 eval (\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) \eta = \text{eval } \rho_2 \eta \setminus V \text{ eval } \rho_1 \eta
872
                 eval \{\kappa = L\} (lab l) \eta = lab l
873
                 eval \{\kappa = \kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2\} ('\lambda \tau) \eta = \lambda \rho \nu \rightarrow \text{eval } \tau \text{ (extende } (\lambda \{\kappa\} \nu) \rightarrow \text{renSem } \{\kappa = \kappa\} \rho (\eta \nu)) \nu)
874
                 eval \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa] \hookrightarrow \kappa\} \prod \eta = \Pi-Kripke
875
                 eval \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa] \hookrightarrow \kappa\} \Sigma \eta = \Sigma-Kripke
876
                 eval \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\}\ (f < \ a) \eta = (\text{eval } f \eta) < \ \text{v} \ (\text{eval } a \eta)
877
                 878
                 eval (l \triangleright \tau) \eta with eval l \eta
879
                 ... | ne x = (x \triangleright \text{eval } \tau \eta)
880
                 ... | lab l_1 = row (1, \lambda { fzero \rightarrow (l_1, eval \tau \eta) }) tt
881
882
```

 $(l_1, \tau_1) \approx_2 (l_2, \tau_2) = l_1 \equiv l_2 \times \tau_1 \approx \tau_2$ 

 $\mathbb{R} : (\rho_1 \ \rho_2 : \mathsf{Row} \ (\mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa)) \to \mathsf{Set}$ 

929

```
evalRowOrdered [] \eta o \rho = tt
883
884
          evalRowOrdered (x_1 :: []) \eta \ o \rho = tt
885
          evalRowOrdered ((l_1, \tau_1) :: (l_2, \tau_2) :: \rho) \eta (l_1 < l_2, o\rho) with
886
              evalRow \rho \eta | evalRowOrdered ((l_2, \tau_2) :: \rho) \eta o\rho
887
          ... | zero, P \mid ih = l_1 < l_2, tt
888
          ... | suc n, P | ih_1, ih_2 = l_1 < l_2, ih_1, ih_2
889
890
          5.4 Normalization
891
          \Downarrow : \forall \{\Delta\} \rightarrow \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa \rightarrow \mathsf{NormalType} \ \Delta \ \kappa
892
          \downarrow \downarrow \tau = \text{reify (eval } \tau \text{ idEnv)}
894
          \Downarrow \mathsf{Pred} : \forall \{\Delta\} \to \mathsf{Pred} \mathsf{Type} \Delta \mathsf{R}[\kappa] \to \mathsf{Pred} \mathsf{NormalType} \Delta \mathsf{R}[\kappa]
895
          ||Pred \pi = evalPred \pi idEnv||
896
          \Downarrow Row : \forall \{\Delta\} \rightarrow SimpleRow Type \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow SimpleRow NormalType \Delta R[\kappa]
897
          \|Row \rho = reifyRow (evalRow \rho idEnv)\|
898
899
          \Downarrow NE : \forall \{\Delta\} \rightarrow NeutralType \Delta \kappa \rightarrow NormalType \Delta \kappa
900
          \DownarrowNE \tau = reify (eval (\uparrowNE \tau) idEnv)
901
902
          6 METATHEORY
903
          6.1 Stability
904
905
          stability : \forall (\tau : NormalType \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \downarrow (\uparrow \tau) \equiv \tau
906
          stabilityNE : \forall (\tau : \text{NeutralType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \text{eval } (\uparrow \text{NE } \tau) \text{ (idEnv } \{\Delta\}) \equiv \text{reflect } \tau
907
          stabilityPred : \forall (\pi : NormalPred \Delta R[\kappa]) \rightarrow evalPred (\uparrow Pred \pi) idEnv \equiv \pi
908
          stabilityRow : \forall (\rho : SimpleRow NormalType \Delta R[\kappa]) \rightarrow reifyRow (evalRow (<math>\uparrow Row \rho) idEnv) \equiv \rho
909
910
              Stability implies surjectivity and idempotency.
911
          idempotency : \forall (\tau : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa) \rightarrow (\uparrow \circ \downarrow \circ \uparrow \circ \downarrow) \ \tau \equiv (\uparrow \circ \downarrow) \ \tau
912
          idempotency \tau rewrite stability (\Downarrow \tau) = refl
913
914
          surjectivity : \forall (\tau : NormalType \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \exists [v] (\Downarrow v \equiv \tau)
915
          surjectivity \tau = (\uparrow \tau, stability \tau)
916
917
              Dual to surjectivity, stability also implies that embedding is injective.
918
          \uparrow-inj : \forall (\tau_1 \ \tau_2 : NormalType <math>\Delta \ \kappa) \rightarrow \uparrow \tau_1 \equiv \uparrow \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2
919
          \uparrow-inj \tau_1 \tau_2 eq = trans (sym (stability \tau_1)) (trans (cong \downarrow eq) (stability \tau_2))
920
921
          6.2 A logical relation for completeness
922
          subst-Row : \forall \{A : \mathsf{Set}\} \{n \ m : \mathbb{N}\} \to (n \equiv m) \to (f : \mathsf{Fin} \ n \to A) \to \mathsf{Fin} \ m \to A
923
924
          subst-Row refl f = f
925
          - Completeness relation on semantic types
926
          _{\sim}: SemType \Delta \kappa \rightarrow SemType \Delta \kappa \rightarrow Set
927
          _{\sim 2}: \forall \{A\} \rightarrow (x \ y : A \times SemType \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow Set
928
```

```
(n, P) \approx R(m, Q) = \sum [pf \in (n \equiv m)] (\forall (i : Fin m) \rightarrow (subst-Row pf P) i \approx_2 Q i)
932
933
                          PointEqual-\approx: \forall \{\Delta_1\} \{\kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2\} (F G : KripkeFunction \Delta_1 \kappa_1 \kappa_2) \rightarrow Set
934
                         PointEqualNE-\approx: \forall \{\Delta_1\} \{\kappa_2\} \{\kappa_2\} \{\kappa_3\} \{\kappa_2\} \{\kappa_3\} \{\kappa_4\} \{\kappa_5\} \{\kappa_5\} \{\kappa_6\} \{\kappa
935
                         Uniform : \forall \{\Delta\} \{\kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2\} \rightarrow KripkeFunction \Delta \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow Set
936
                          UniformNE : \forall \{\Delta\} \{\kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2\} \rightarrow KripkeFunctionNE \Delta \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow Set
937
938
                         convNE : \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2 \rightarrow \text{NeutralType } \Delta \text{ R}[\kappa_1] \rightarrow \text{NeutralType } \Delta \text{ R}[\kappa_2]
939
                         convNE refl n = n
940
                         convKripkeNE<sub>1</sub>: \forall \{\kappa_1'\} \rightarrow \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_1' \rightarrow \text{KripkeFunctionNE } \Delta \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow \text{KripkeFunctionNE } \Delta \kappa_1' \kappa_2
941
                         convKripkeNE_1 refl f = f
942
943
                         = \{\kappa = L\} \tau_1 \tau_2 = \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2
                          = \{\Delta_1\} \{\kappa = \kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2\} F G =
                                  Uniform F \times \text{Uniform } G \times \text{PointEqual-} \approx \{\Delta_1\} F G
947
                          = \{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa_2]\} (-< \{\kappa_1\} \phi_1 n_1) (-< \{\kappa_1\} \phi_2 n_2) =
949
                                  \Sigma [ pf \in (\kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_1') ]
                                          UniformNE \phi_1
                                  \times UniformNE \phi_2
                                  \times (PointEqualNE-\approx (convKripkeNE<sub>1</sub> pf \phi_1) \phi_2
                                  \times convNE pf n_1 \equiv n_2)
                          = \{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa_2]\} (\phi_1 < > n_1) = \bot
955
                         = \{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa_2]\} = (\phi_1 < > n_1) = \bot
956
                         = \{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa]\} \{l_1 \triangleright \tau_1\} \{l_2 \triangleright \tau_2\} = l_1 \equiv l_2 \times \tau_1 \approx \tau_2
957
                          \approx \{\Delta_1\}\{R[\kappa]\}(x_1 \triangleright x_2) \text{ (row } \rho x_3) = \bot
958
                         = \{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa]\} (x_1 \triangleright x_2) (\rho_2 \setminus \rho_3) = \bot
959
                         \approx \{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa]\} (row \rho x_1) (x_2 \triangleright x_3) = \bot
960
                          = \{\Delta_1\} {R[\kappa]} (row (n, P) x_1) (row (m, Q) x_2) = (n, P) \approx R(m, Q)
961
                         \approx \{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa]\} (row \rho x_1) (\rho_2 \setminus \rho_3) = \bot
                         \approx \{\Delta_1\}\{R[\kappa]\}\{\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2\}(x_1 \triangleright x_2) = \bot
963
                         \approx \{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa]\} (\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2) \text{ (row } \rho x_1) = \bot
965
                          = \{\Delta_1\} \{ R[\kappa] \} (\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2) (\rho_3 \setminus \rho_4) = \rho_1 \approx \rho_3 \times \rho_2 \approx \rho_4
                          PointEqual-\approx \{\Delta_1\} \{\kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2\} F G =
967
                                  \forall \{\Delta_2\} (\rho : \mathsf{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \{V_1 \ V_2 : \mathsf{SemType} \Delta_2 \ \kappa_1\} \rightarrow
968
                                  V_1 \approx V_2 \rightarrow F \rho \ V_1 \approx G \rho \ V_2
969
970
                         PointEqualNE-\approx \{\Delta_1\} \{\kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2\} F G =
971
                                  \forall \{\Delta_2\} (\rho : \mathsf{Renaming}_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2) (V : \mathsf{NeutralType} \ \Delta_2 \ \kappa_1) \rightarrow
972
                                  F \rho V \approx G \rho V
973
974
                         Uniform \{\Delta_1\} \{\kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2\} F =
975
                                  \forall \{\Delta_2 \ \Delta_3\} \ (\rho_1 : \mathsf{Renaming}_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2) \ (\rho_2 : \mathsf{Renaming}_k \ \Delta_2 \ \Delta_3) \ (V_1 \ V_2 : \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta_2 \ \kappa_1) \to
976
                                  V_1 \approx V_2 \rightarrow (\text{renSem } \rho_2 \ (F \ \rho_1 \ V_1)) \approx (\text{renKripke } \rho_1 \ F \ \rho_2 \ (\text{renSem } \rho_2 \ V_2))
977
                          UniformNE \{\Delta_1\} \{\kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2\} F =
978
                                  \forall \{\Delta_2 \ \Delta_3\} \ (\rho_1 : \mathsf{Renaming}_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2) \ (\rho_2 : \mathsf{Renaming}_k \ \Delta_2 \ \Delta_3) \ (V : \mathsf{NeutralType} \ \Delta_2 \ \kappa_1) \to
979
```

```
(\text{renSem } \rho_2 (F \rho_1 V)) \approx F (\rho_2 \circ \rho_1) (\text{ren}_k \text{NE } \rho_2 V)
981
982
             Env-\approx : (\eta_1 \ \eta_2 : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2) \rightarrow \text{Set}
983
             Env-\approx \eta_1 \ \eta_2 = \forall \{\kappa\} (x : \mathsf{TVar}_{\underline{}} \kappa) \to (\eta_1 \ x) \approx (\eta_2 \ x)
984
985
             - extension
986
             extend-\approx: \forall \{\eta_1 \ \eta_2 : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\} \rightarrow \text{Env-} \approx \eta_1 \ \eta_2 \rightarrow
987
                                        \{V_1 \ V_2 : \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta_2 \ \kappa\} \rightarrow
988
                                         V_1 \approx V_2 \rightarrow
989
                                        Env-\approx (extende \eta_1 \ V_1) (extende \eta_2 \ V_2)
990
             extend-\approx p q Z = q
991
             extend-\approx p q (S v) = p v
992
993
             6.2.1 Properties.
994
995
             reflect-\approx: \forall \{\tau_1 \ \tau_2 : \text{NeutralType } \Delta \ \kappa\} \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \rightarrow \text{reflect } \tau_1 \approx \text{reflect } \tau_2
996
             reify-\approx : \forall \{V_1 \ V_2 : \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa\} \rightarrow V_1 \approx V_2 \rightarrow \mathsf{reify} \ V_1 \equiv \mathsf{reify} \ V_2
997
             reifyRow-\approx: \forall {n} (P Q: Fin n \rightarrow Label \times SemType <math>\Delta \kappa) \rightarrow
                                             (\forall (i : \mathsf{Fin}\ n) \to P\ i \approx_2 Q\ i) \to
                                             reifyRow(n, P) \equiv reifyRow(n, O)
1001
1002
1003
             6.3 The fundamental theorem and completeness
1004
             fundC : \forall \{\tau_1 \ \tau_2 : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta_1 \ \kappa\} \{\eta_1 \ \eta_2 : \mathsf{Env} \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\} \rightarrow
1005
                                Env-\approx \eta_1 \ \eta_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv t \ \tau_2 \rightarrow \text{eval } \tau_1 \ \eta_1 \approx \text{eval } \tau_2 \ \eta_2
1006
             fundC-pred : \forall \{\pi_1 \ \pi_2 : \text{Pred Type } \Delta_1 \ \text{R[} \ \kappa \ ]\} \{\eta_1 \ \eta_2 : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\} \rightarrow
1007
                                           Env-\approx \eta_1 \ \eta_2 \rightarrow \pi_1 \equiv p \ \pi_2 \rightarrow \text{evalPred } \pi_1 \ \eta_1 \equiv \text{evalPred } \pi_2 \ \eta_2
1008
             fundC-Row : \forall \{\rho_1 \ \rho_2 : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta_1 \ R[\kappa]\} \{\eta_1 \ \eta_2 : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\} \rightarrow
1009
                                           Env-\approx \eta_1 \ \eta_2 \rightarrow \rho_1 \equiv r \ \rho_2 \rightarrow \text{evalRow } \rho_1 \ \eta_1 \approx R \text{ evalRow } \rho_2 \ \eta_2
1010
1011
             idEnv-\approx : \forall \{\Delta\} \rightarrow Env-\approx (idEnv \{\Delta\}) (idEnv \{\Delta\})
1012
             idEnv-\approx x = reflect-\approx refl
1013
1014
             completeness : \forall \{\tau_1 \ \tau_2 : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa\} \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv \mathsf{t} \ \tau_2 \rightarrow \Downarrow \tau_1 \equiv \Downarrow \tau_2
1015
             completeness eq = reify - \approx (fundC idEnv - \approx eq)
1016
1017
             completeness-row : \forall \{\rho_1 \ \rho_2 : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta \ R[\kappa]\} \rightarrow \rho_1 \equiv r \ \rho_2 \rightarrow \text{$\downarrow$Row } \rho_1 \equiv \text{$\downarrow$Row } \rho_2
1018
1019
             6.4 A logical relation for soundness
1020
             1021
             [\![\ ]\!] \approx : \forall \{\kappa\} \rightarrow \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa \rightarrow \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa \rightarrow \mathsf{Set}
1022
             [\![]\!] \approx \text{ne}: \forall \{\kappa\} \rightarrow \text{Type } \Delta \kappa \rightarrow \text{NeutralType } \Delta \kappa \rightarrow \text{Set}
1023
1024
             [\![]\!]r\approx_ : \forall \{\kappa\} \rightarrow \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta \ R[\kappa] \rightarrow \text{Row (SemType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \text{Set}
1025
             [\![]\!] \approx_2 : \forall \{\kappa\} \rightarrow \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa \rightarrow \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa \rightarrow \mathsf{Set}
1026
             [ (l_1, \tau) ] \approx_2 (l_2, V) = (l_1 \equiv l_2) \times ([ \tau ] \approx V)
1027
             SoundKripke : Type \Delta_1 (\kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2) \rightarrow KripkeFunction \Delta_1 \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow Set
```

```
SoundKripkeNE : Type \Delta_1 (\kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2) \rightarrow KripkeFunctionNE \Delta_1 \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow Set
1030
1031
                         - \tau is equivalent to neutral 'n' if it's equivalent
1032
                         - to the \eta and map-id expansion of n
1033
                         [\![ ]\!] \approx ne \ \tau \ n = \tau \equiv t \uparrow (\eta - norm \ n)
1034
1035
                         [\![ ]\!] \approx [\![ \kappa = \star ]\!] \tau_1 \tau_2 = \tau_1 \equiv t \cap \tau_2
1036
                          [\![]\!] \approx [\![ \kappa = \mathsf{L} \!] \tau_1 \ \tau_2 = \tau_1 \equiv \mathsf{t} \ \mathsf{f} \
1037
                         [\![]\!] \approx \{\Delta_1\} \{\kappa = \kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2\} f F = \text{SoundKripke } f F
1038
                         \| \approx \{\Delta\} \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\} \tau (\text{row}(n, P) \circ \rho) =
                                 let xs = \text{$\mathbb{R}$}Row (reifyRow (n, P)) in
                                 (\tau \equiv t \parallel xs \parallel (fromWitness (Ordered \uparrow (reifyRow (n, P)) (reifyRowOrdered' n P op)))) \times
1041
1042
                                 (\llbracket xs \rrbracket r \approx (n, P))
                          \| \approx \{\Delta\} \{ \kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa] \} \tau (l \triangleright V) = (\tau \equiv t (\uparrow \mathbb{NE} l \triangleright \uparrow (\text{reify } V))) \times (\| \uparrow (\text{reify } V) \| \approx V)
1043
1044
                          1045
                          [\![]\!] \approx [\![ \Delta ]\!] \{ \kappa = \mathbb{R}[\![ \kappa ]\!] \} \tau (\phi < \ n) =
                                 \exists [f] ((\tau \equiv t (f < \$ > \uparrow NE n)) \times (SoundKripkeNE f \phi))
1047
                         [ ] r \approx (\text{zero}, P) = T
1048
                         [ ] r \approx (suc n, P) = \bot
1049
                         [x :: \rho] r \approx (\text{zero}, P) = \bot
1050
                         [\![ x :: \rho ]\!] r \approx (\text{suc } n, P) = ([\![ x ]\!] \approx_2 (P \text{ fzero})) \times [\![ \rho ]\!] r \approx (n, P \circ \text{fsuc})
1051
1052
                         SoundKripke \{\Delta_1 = \Delta_1\} \{\kappa_1 = \kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2 = \kappa_2\} f F =
1053
                                 \forall \{\Delta_2\} (\rho : \mathsf{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \{v \ V\} \rightarrow
1054
                                         \llbracket v \rrbracket \approx V \rightarrow
1055
                                         [\![ (\operatorname{ren}_k \rho f \cdot v) ]\!] \approx (\operatorname{renKripke} \rho F \cdot V V)
1056
1057
                         SoundKripkeNE \{\Delta_1 = \Delta_1\} \{\kappa_1 = \kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2 = \kappa_2\} f F =
1058
                                 \forall \{\Delta_2\} (r : \mathsf{Renaming}_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2) \{v \ V\} \rightarrow
1059
                                         \llbracket v \rrbracket \approx \text{ne } V \rightarrow
1060
                                         [\![\![ (\operatorname{ren}_k r f \cdot v) ]\!] \approx (F r V)
1061
1062
                          6.4.1 Properties.
1063
1064
                         reflect-[] \approx : \forall \{\tau : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa\} \{v : \mathsf{NeutralType} \ \Delta \ \kappa\} \rightarrow
1065
                                                                                \tau \equiv t \uparrow NE \ v \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \approx (reflect \ v)
1066
                          reify-\mathbb{I} \approx : \forall \{ \tau : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa \} \{ V : \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa \} \rightarrow
1067
                                                                                     \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \approx V \rightarrow \tau \equiv t \uparrow \text{ (reify } V)
1068
                         \eta-norm-\equivt : \forall (\tau : \text{NeutralType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \uparrow (\eta-norm \tau) \equivt \uparrow \text{NE } \tau
1069
                         subst-\llbracket \rrbracket \approx : \forall \{ \tau_1 \ \tau_2 : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa \} \rightarrow
1070
                                 \tau_1 \equiv t \ \tau_2 \rightarrow \{V : \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa\} \rightarrow \llbracket \ \tau_1 \ \rrbracket \approx V \rightarrow \llbracket \ \tau_2 \ \rrbracket \approx V
1071
1072
                         6.4.2 Logical environments.
1073
1074
                         \| \approx : \forall \{\Delta_1 \Delta_2\} \rightarrow \text{Substitution}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{Env } \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{Set}
1075
                         [\![ ]\!] \approx e_{\{\Delta_1\}} \sigma \eta = \forall \{\kappa\} (\alpha : \mathsf{TVar} \Delta_1 \kappa) \to [\![ (\sigma \alpha) ]\!] \approx (\eta \alpha)
1076
                         - Identity relation
1077
1078
```

```
idSR : \forall \{\Delta_1\} \rightarrow [ ] ` ] \approx e (idEnv \{\Delta_1\})
1079
1080
           idSR \alpha = reflect-[] \approx eq-refl
1081
1082
           6.5 The fundamental theorem and soundness
1083
1084
           fundS: \forall \{\Delta_1 \ \Delta_2 \ \kappa\} (\tau : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta_1 \ \kappa) \{\sigma : \mathsf{Substitution}_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\} \{\eta : \mathsf{Env} \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\} \rightarrow
1085
                                   \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \approx \mathfrak{e} \ \eta \rightarrow \llbracket \operatorname{sub}_k \sigma \tau \rrbracket \approx (\operatorname{eval} \tau \eta)
1086
           fundSRow : \forall \{\Delta_1 \ \Delta_2 \ \kappa\}(xs : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta_1 \ R[\ \kappa\ ]) \{\sigma : \text{Substitution}_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\} \{\eta : \text{Env} \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\} \rightarrow \blacksquare
1087
                                   \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \approx \eta \rightarrow \llbracket \operatorname{subRow}_k \sigma xs \rrbracket r \approx (\operatorname{evalRow} xs \eta)
1088
           fundSPred : \forall \{\Delta_1 \kappa\}(\pi : \text{Pred Type } \Delta_1 R[\kappa]) \{\sigma : \text{Substitution}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2\} \{\eta : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \Delta_2\} \rightarrow \emptyset
1089
                                   \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \approx \eta \rightarrow (\mathsf{subPred}_k \ \sigma \ \pi) \equiv \mathsf{p} \ \mathsf{\uparrow\!Pred} \ (\mathsf{evalPred} \ \pi \ \eta)
1090
1091
1092
1093
           - Fundamental theorem when substitution is the identity
1094
           \operatorname{\mathsf{sub}}_k-id : \forall \ (\tau : \mathsf{Type}\ \Delta\ \kappa) \to \operatorname{\mathsf{sub}}_k \ `\tau \equiv \tau
1095
1096
           \vdash \llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx : \forall \ (\tau : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa) \rightarrow \llbracket \ \tau \ \rrbracket \approx \mathsf{eval} \ \tau \ \mathsf{idEnv}
1097
           \|\cdot\| = \text{subst-}\| \approx (\text{inst } (\text{sub}_k - \text{id } \tau)) \text{ (fundS } \tau \text{ idSR)}
1098
1099
1100
1101
           - Soundness claim
1102
           soundness : \forall \{\Delta_1 \kappa\} \rightarrow (\tau : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta_1 \ \kappa) \rightarrow \tau \equiv \mathsf{t} \ (\Downarrow \tau)
1103
           soundness \tau = \text{reify-}[\![]\!] \approx (\vdash [\![ \tau ]\!] \approx)
1104
1105
1106
           - If \tau_1 normalizes to \parallel \tau_2 then the embedding of \tau_1 is equivalent to \tau_2
1107
1108
           embed-\equivt : \forall \{\tau_1 : NormalType \Delta \kappa\} \{\tau_2 : Type \Delta \kappa\} \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv (\downarrow \downarrow \tau_2) \rightarrow \uparrow \tau_1 \equiv t \tau_2
1109
           embed-\equivt {\tau_1 = \tau_1} {\tau_2} refl = eq-sym (soundness \tau_2)
1110
1111
1112
1113
           - Soundness implies the converse of completeness, as desired
1114
           1115
           Completeness<sup>-1</sup> \tau_1 \tau_2 eq = eq-trans (soundness \tau_1) (embed=\equiv t eq)
1116
1117
1118
           7 THE REST OF THE PICTURE
1119
           In the remainder of the development, we intrinsically represent terms as typing judgments indexed
1120
           by normal types. We then give a typed reduction relation on terms and show progress.
1121
```

#### 8 MOST CLOSELY RELATED WORK

```
1124 8.0.1 Chapman et al. [2019].
```

```
8.0.2 Allais et al. [2013].
```

### **REFERENCES**

Guillaume Allais, Pierre Boutillier, and Conor McBride. New equations for neutral terms: A sound and complete decision procedure, formalized, 2013. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/1304.0809.

James Chapman, Roman Kireev, Chad Nester, and Philip Wadler. System F in agda, for fun and profit. In Graham Hutton, editor, *Mathematics of Program Construction - 13th International Conference, MPC 2019, Porto, Portugal, October 7-9, 2019, Proceedings*, volume 11825 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 255–297. Springer, 2019. ISBN 978-3-030-33635-6. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-33636-3\\_10. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-33636-3\_10.

Alex Hubers and J. Garrett Morris. Generic programming with extensible data types: Or, making ad hoc extensible data types less ad hoc. *Proc. ACM Program. Lang.*, 7(ICFP):356–384, 2023. doi: 10.1145/3607843. URL https://doi.org/10.1145/3607843. Philip Wadler, Wen Kokke, and Jeremy G. Siek. *Programming Language Foundations in Agda.* August 2022. URL https://plfa.inf.ed.ac.uk/20.08/.