

Normalization By Evaluation of Types in $R\omega\mu$

ALEX HUBERS, The University of Iowa, USA

ABSTRACT

We describe the normalization-by-evaluation (NbE) of types in $R\omega\mu$, a row calculus with recursive types, qualified types, and a novel *row complement* operator. Types are normalized modulo β - and η -equivalence—that is, to $\beta\eta$ -long forms. Because the type system of $R\omega\mu$ is a strict extension of System $F\omega\mu$, type level computation for arrow kinds is isomorphic to reduction of arrow types in the STLC. Novel to this report are the reductions of Π , Σ , and row types.

1 THE $R\omega\mu$ CALCULUS

For reference, Figure 1 describes the syntax of kinds, predicates, and types in $R\omega\mu$.

Type variables $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ Labels $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$

Kinds $\kappa ::= \star \mid L \mid R^\kappa \mid \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$
Predicates $\pi, \psi ::= \rho \lesssim \rho \mid \rho \odot \rho \sim \rho$
Types $\mathcal{T} \ni \phi, \tau, v, \rho, \xi ::= \alpha \mid \pi \Rightarrow \tau \mid \forall \alpha : \kappa. \tau \mid \lambda \alpha : \kappa. \tau \mid \tau \tau$
| $\{\xi_i \triangleright \tau_i\}_{i \in 0 \dots m} \mid \ell \mid \# \tau \mid \phi \$ \rho \mid \rho \setminus \rho$
| $\tau \rightarrow \tau \mid \Pi \mid \Sigma \mid \mu \phi$

Fig. 1. Syntax

1.1 Example types

Wand's problem and a record modifier:

wand : $\forall l \ x \ y \ z \ t. \ x \odot y \sim z, \{l \triangleright t\} \lesssim z \Rightarrow \#l \rightarrow \Pi x \rightarrow \Pi y \rightarrow t$
modify : $\forall l \ t \ u \ y \ z1 \ z2. \{l \triangleright t\} \odot y \sim z1, \{l \triangleright u\} \odot y \sim z2 \Rightarrow$
 $\#l \rightarrow (t \rightarrow u) \rightarrow \Pi z1 \rightarrow \Pi z2$

"Deriving" functor typeclass instances:

type Functor : $(\star \rightarrow \star) \rightarrow \star$
type Functor = $\lambda f. \forall a \ b. (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow f \ a \rightarrow f \ b$

fmapS : $\forall z : R[\star \rightarrow \star]. \Pi (\text{Functor } z) \rightarrow \text{Functor } (\Sigma \ z)$
fmapP : $\forall z : R[\star \rightarrow \star]. \Pi (\text{Functor } z) \rightarrow \text{Functor } (\Pi \ z)$

And a desugaring of booleans to Church encodings:

desugar : $\forall y. \text{BoolF} \lesssim y, \text{LamF} \lesssim y \setminus \text{BoolF} \Rightarrow$
 $\Pi (\text{Functor } (y \setminus \text{BoolF})) \rightarrow \mu (\Sigma \ y) \rightarrow \mu (\Sigma (y \setminus \text{BoolF}))$

2 MECHANIZED SYNTAX

2.1 Kind syntax

Our formalization of $R\omega\mu$ types is *intrinsic*, meaning we define the syntax of *typing* and *kinding judgments*, foregoing any formalization of or indexing-by untyped syntax. Arguably the only "untyped" syntax is that of kinds, which are well-formed grammatically. We give the syntax of kinds and kinding environments below.

```
data Kind : Set where
  ★      : Kind
  L      : Kind
  _'→_   : Kind → Kind → Kind
  R[_]   : Kind → Kind

infixr 5 _'→_
```

The kind system of $R\omega\mu$ defines \star as the type of types; L as the type of labels; (\rightarrow) as the type of type operators; and $R[\kappa]$ as the type of rows containing types at kind κ .

The syntax of kinding environments is given below. Kinding environments are isomorphic to lists of kinds.

```
data KEnv : Set where
  ∅ : KEnv
  _»_ : KEnv → Kind → KEnv
```

Let the metavariables Δ and κ range over kinding environments and kinds, respectively. Correspondingly, we define *generalized variables* in Agda at these names.

```
private
variable
  Δ Δ1 Δ2 Δ3 : KEnv
  κ κ1 κ2 : Kind
```

The syntax of intrinsically well-scoped De-Brujin type variables is given below. We say that the type variable x is indexed by kinding environment Δ and kind κ to specify that x has kind κ in kinding environment Δ .

```
data TVar : KEnv → Kind → Set where
  Z : TVar (Δ » κ) κ
  S : TVar Δ κ1 → TVar (Δ » κ2) κ1
```

2.1.1 Quotienting kinds.

```
NotLabel : Kind → Set
NotLabel ★ = ⊤
NotLabel L = ⊥
NotLabel (κ1 '→ κ2) = NotLabel κ2
NotLabel R[ κ ] = NotLabel κ
```

```
notLabel? : ∀ κ → Dec (NotLabel κ)
notLabel? ★ = yes tt
notLabel? L = no λ ()
notLabel? (κ '→ κ1) = notLabel? κ1
notLabel? R[ κ ] = notLabel? κ
```

```

99   Ground : Kind → Set
100  ground? : ∀ κ → Dec (Ground κ)
101  Ground ★ = ⊤
102  Ground L = ⊤
103  Ground (κ '→ κ1) = ⊥
104  Ground R[ κ ] = ⊥
105
106  2.2 Type syntax
107
108  infixr 2 _⇒_
109  infixl 5 _·_
110  infixr 5 _≤_
111  data Pred (Ty : KEnv → Kind → Set) Δ : Kind → Set
112  data Type Δ : Kind → Set
113
114  SimpleRow : (Ty : KEnv → Kind → Set) → KEnv → Kind → Set
115  SimpleRow Ty Δ R[ κ ] = List (Label × Ty Δ κ)
116  SimpleRow _ _ _ = ⊥
117
118  Ordered : SimpleRow Type Δ R[ κ ] → Set
119  ordered? : ∀ (xs : SimpleRow Type Δ R[ κ ]) → Dec (Ordered xs)
120
121  data Pred Ty Δ where
122    _·~_ :
123      (ρ1 ρ2 ρ3 : Ty Δ R[ κ ]) →
124      
125        Pred Ty Δ R[ κ ]
126      
127    _≤_ :
128      (ρ1 ρ2 : Ty Δ R[ κ ]) →
129      
130        Pred Ty Δ R[ κ ]
131      
132
133  data Type Δ where
134    ' :
135      (α : TVar Δ κ) →
136      
137        Type Δ κ
138      
139    'λ :
140
141      (τ : Type (Δ „ κ1) κ2) →
142      
143        Type Δ (κ1 '→ κ2)
144      
145    _·'_ :
146
147

```

```

148      ( $\tau_1 : \text{Type } \Delta (\kappa_1 \overset{\text{green}}{\rightarrow} \kappa_2)$ )  $\rightarrow$ 
149      ( $\tau_2 : \text{Type } \Delta \kappa_1$ )  $\rightarrow$ 
150      -----
151       $\text{Type } \Delta \kappa_2$ 
152
153   $\overset{\text{green}}{\rightarrow} :$ 
154
155      ( $\tau_1 : \text{Type } \Delta \star$ )  $\rightarrow$ 
156      ( $\tau_2 : \text{Type } \Delta \star$ )  $\rightarrow$ 
157      -----
158       $\text{Type } \Delta \star$ 
159
160   $\overset{\text{green}}{\forall} :$ 
161
162      { $\kappa : \text{Kind}$ }  $\rightarrow$  ( $\tau : \text{Type } (\Delta \text{ „ } \kappa) \star$ )  $\rightarrow$ 
163      -----
164       $\text{Type } \Delta \star$ 
165
166   $\mu :$ 
167
168      ( $\phi : \text{Type } \Delta (\star \overset{\text{green}}{\rightarrow} \star)$ )  $\rightarrow$ 
169      -----
170       $\text{Type } \Delta \star$ 
171
172  -----
173  - Qualified types
174
175   $\Rightarrow :$ 
176
177      ( $\pi : \text{Pred Type } \Delta \text{R}[\kappa_1]$ )  $\rightarrow$  ( $\tau : \text{Type } \Delta \star$ )  $\rightarrow$ 
178      -----
179       $\text{Type } \Delta \star$ 
180
181  -----
182  -  $R\omega$  business
183
184  ( $\llbracket \_ \rrbracket$ ) : ( $xs : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta \text{R}[\kappa]$ ) ( $ordered : \text{True } (ordered? \ xs)$ )  $\rightarrow$ 
185  -----
186   $\text{Type } \Delta \text{R}[\kappa]$ 
187
188  - labels
189  lab :
190
191      ( $l : \text{Label}$ )  $\rightarrow$ 
192      -----
193       $\text{Type } \Delta \text{L}$ 
194
195  - label constant formation
196   $\llbracket \_ \rrbracket :$ 
197
198      ( $\tau : \text{Type } \Delta \text{L}$ )  $\rightarrow$ 

```

```

197      -----
198      Type Δ ★
199
200      - Row formation
201      ──▶─ :
202          (l : Type Δ L) → (τ : Type Δ κ) →
203          -----
204          Type Δ R[ κ ]
205
206      _<$>_ :
207          (ϕ : Type Δ (κ1 '→ κ2)) → (τ : Type Δ R[ κ1 ]) →
208          -----
209          Type Δ R[ κ2 ]
210
211      - Record formation
212      Π      :
213          {notLabel : True (notLabel? κ)} →
214          -----
215          Type Δ (R[ κ ] '→ κ)
216
217      - Variant formation
218      Σ      :
219          {notLabel : True (notLabel? κ)} →
220          -----
221          Type Δ (R[ κ ] '→ κ)
222
223      _\ _ :
224
225          Type Δ R[ κ ] → Type Δ R[ κ ] →
226          -----
227          Type Δ R[ κ ]

```

2.2.1 The ordering predicate.

```

231 Ordered [] = ⊤
232 Ordered (x :: []) = ⊤
233 Ordered ((l1 , _ ) :: (l2 , τ ) :: xs) = l1 < l2 × Ordered ((l2 , τ ) :: xs)
234
235 ordered? [] = yes tt
236 ordered? (x :: []) = yes tt
237 ordered? ((l1 , _ ) :: (l2 , _ ) :: xs) with l1 <? l2 | ordered? ((l2 , _ ) :: xs)
238 ... | yes p | yes q = yes (p , q)
239 ... | yes p | no q = no (λ { ( _ , oxs ) → q oxs })
240 ... | no p | yes q = no (λ { (x , _ ) → p x})
241 ... | no p | no q = no (λ { (x , _ ) → p x})
242
243 cong-SimpleRow : {sr1 sr2 : SimpleRow Type Δ R[ κ ]} {wf1 : True (ordered? sr1)} {wf2 : True (ordered? sr2)} →
244     sr1 ≡ sr2 →

```



```

295  $\text{ren}_k : \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{Type } \Delta_1 \kappa \rightarrow \text{Type } \Delta_2 \kappa$ 
296  $\text{renPred}_k : \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{Pred Type } \Delta_1 \mathsf{R}[\kappa] \rightarrow \text{Pred Type } \Delta_2 \mathsf{R}[\kappa]$ 
297  $\text{renRow}_k : \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta_1 \mathsf{R}[\kappa] \rightarrow \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta_2 \mathsf{R}[\kappa]$ 
298  $\text{orderedRenRow}_k : (r : \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \rightarrow (xs : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta_1 \mathsf{R}[\kappa]) \rightarrow \text{Ordered } xs \rightarrow$ 
299  $\text{Ordered } (\text{renRow}_k r xs)$ 
300
301  $\text{ren}_k r (x) = (r x)$ 
302  $\text{ren}_k r (\lambda \tau) = \lambda (\text{ren}_k (\text{lift}_k r) \tau)$ 
303  $\text{ren}_k r (\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2) = (\text{ren}_k r \tau_1) \cdot (\text{ren}_k r \tau_2)$ 
304  $\text{ren}_k r (\tau_1 \xrightarrow{\text{}} \tau_2) = (\text{ren}_k r \tau_1) \xrightarrow{\text{}} (\text{ren}_k r \tau_2)$ 
305  $\text{ren}_k r (\pi \Rightarrow \tau) = \text{renPred}_k r \pi \Rightarrow \text{ren}_k r \tau$ 
306  $\text{ren}_k r (\forall \tau) = \forall (\text{ren}_k (\text{lift}_k r) \tau)$ 
307  $\text{ren}_k r (\mu F) = \mu (\text{ren}_k r F)$ 
308  $\text{ren}_k r (\prod \{ \text{notLabel} = n\!l \}) = \prod \{ \text{notLabel} = n\!l \}$ 
309  $\text{ren}_k r (\sum \{ \text{notLabel} = n\!l \}) = \sum \{ \text{notLabel} = n\!l \}$ 
310  $\text{ren}_k r (\text{lab } x) = \text{lab } x$ 
311  $\text{ren}_k r [\ell] = [\text{ren}_k r \ell]$ 
312  $\text{ren}_k r (f \text{ <\$> } m) = \text{ren}_k r f \text{ <\$> } \text{ren}_k r m$ 
313  $\text{ren}_k r ((\text{xs}) \text{ oxs}) = (\text{renRow}_k r xs) (\text{fromWitness } (\text{orderedRenRow}_k r xs (\text{toWitness } \text{oxs})))$ 
314  $\text{ren}_k r (\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) = \text{ren}_k r \rho_2 \setminus \text{ren}_k r \rho_1$ 
315  $\text{ren}_k r (l \triangleright \tau) = \text{ren}_k r l \triangleright \text{ren}_k r \tau$ 
316
317  $\text{renPred}_k \rho (\rho_1 \cdot \rho_2 \sim \rho_3) = \text{ren}_k \rho \rho_1 \cdot \text{ren}_k \rho \rho_2 \sim \text{ren}_k \rho \rho_3$ 
318  $\text{renPred}_k \rho (\rho_1 \lesssim \rho_2) = (\text{ren}_k \rho \rho_1) \lesssim (\text{ren}_k \rho \rho_2)$ 
319
320  $\text{renRow}_k r [] = []$ 
321  $\text{renRow}_k r ((l, \tau) :: xs) = (l, \text{ren}_k r \tau) :: \text{renRow}_k r xs$ 
322
323  $\text{orderedRenRow}_k r [] \text{ oxs} = \text{tt}$ 
324  $\text{orderedRenRow}_k r ((l, \tau) :: []) \text{ oxs} = \text{tt}$ 
325  $\text{orderedRenRow}_k r ((l_1, \tau) :: (l_2, v) :: xs) (l_1 < l_2, \text{oxs}) = l_1 < l_2, \text{orderedRenRow}_k r ((l_2, v) :: xs) \text{ oxs}$ 
326
327  $\text{weaken}_k : \text{Type } \Delta \kappa_2 \rightarrow \text{Type } (\Delta \text{ ,, } \kappa_1) \kappa_2$ 
328  $\text{weaken}_k = \text{ren}_k \mathsf{S}$ 
329
330  $\text{weakenPred}_k : \text{Pred Type } \Delta \mathsf{R}[\kappa_2] \rightarrow \text{Pred Type } (\Delta \text{ ,, } \kappa_1) \mathsf{R}[\kappa_2]$ 
331  $\text{weakenPred}_k = \text{renPred}_k \mathsf{S}$ 
332
333 2.2.5 Type substitution.  $\text{Substitution}_k : \mathsf{KEnv} \rightarrow \mathsf{KEnv} \rightarrow \mathsf{Set}$ 
334  $\text{Substitution}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2 = \forall \{\kappa\} \rightarrow \mathsf{TVar } \Delta_1 \kappa \rightarrow \text{Type } \Delta_2 \kappa$ 
335
336 – lifting a substitution over binders.
337  $\text{lifts}_k : \text{Substitution}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{Substitution}_k (\Delta_1 \text{ ,, } \kappa) (\Delta_2 \text{ ,, } \kappa)$ 
338  $\text{lifts}_k \sigma \mathsf{Z} = \mathsf{Z}$ 
339  $\text{lifts}_k \sigma (\mathsf{S } x) = \text{weaken}_k (\sigma x)$ 
340
341 – This is simultaneous substitution: Given subst  $\sigma$  and type  $\tau$ , we replace *all*
342 – variables in  $\tau$  with the types mapped to by  $\sigma$ .
343  $\text{sub}_k : \text{Substitution}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{Type } \Delta_1 \kappa \rightarrow \text{Type } \Delta_2 \kappa$ 

```

```

344 subPredk : Substitutionk Δ1 Δ2 → Pred Type Δ1 κ → Pred Type Δ2 κ
345 subRowk : Substitutionk Δ1 Δ2 → SimpleRow Type Δ1 R[ κ ] → SimpleRow Type Δ2 R[ κ ]
346 orderedSubRowk : (σ : Substitutionk Δ1 Δ2) → (xs : SimpleRow Type Δ1 R[ κ ]) → Ordered xs →
347   Ordered (subRowk σ xs)
348 - subk σ ε = ε
349 subk σ (' x) = σ x
350 subk σ ('λ τ) = 'λ (subk (liftsk σ) τ)
351 subk σ (τ1 · τ2) = (subk σ τ1) · (subk σ τ2)
352 subk σ (τ1 '→ τ2) = (subk σ τ1) '→ (subk σ τ2)
353 subk σ (π ⇒ τ) = subPredk σ π ⇒ subk σ τ
354 subk σ ('∀ τ) = '∀ (subk (liftsk σ) τ)
355 subk σ (μ F) = μ (subk σ F)
356 subk σ (Π {notLabel = nl}) = Π {notLabel = nl}
357 subk σ (Σ {notLabel = nl}) = Σ {notLabel = nl}
358 subk σ (lab x) = lab x
359 subk σ [ ℓ ] = [ (subk σ ℓ) ]
360 subk σ (f <$> a) = subk σ f <$> subk σ a
361 subk σ (ρ2 \ ρ1) = subk σ ρ2 \ subk σ ρ1
362 subk σ ((| xs |) oxs) = (| subRowk σ xs |) (fromWitness (orderedSubRowk σ xs (toWitness oxs)))
363 subk σ (l ▷ τ) = (subk σ l) ▷ (subk σ τ)
364 subRowk σ [] = []
365 subRowk σ ((l, τ) :: xs) = (l, subk σ τ) :: subRowk σ xs
366 orderedSubRowk r [] oxs = tt
367 orderedSubRowk r ((l, τ) :: []) oxs = tt
368 orderedSubRowk r ((l1, τ) :: (l2, v) :: xs) (l1 < l2, oxs) = l1 < l2, orderedSubRowk r ((l2, v) :: xs) oxs
369 subRowk-isMap : ∀ (σ : Substitutionk Δ1 Δ2) (xs : SimpleRow Type Δ1 R[ κ ]) →
370   subRowk σ xs ≡ map (overr (subk σ)) xs
371 subRowk-isMap σ [] = refl
372 subRowk-isMap σ (x :: xs) = cong2 _::_ refl (subRowk-isMap σ xs)
373 subPredk σ (ρ1 · ρ2 ~ ρ3) = subk σ ρ1 · subk σ ρ2 ~ subk σ ρ3
374 subPredk σ (ρ1 ≲ ρ2) = (subk σ ρ1) ≲ (subk σ ρ2)
375 - Extension of a substitution by A
376 extendk : Substitutionk Δ1 Δ2 → (A : Type Δ2 κ) → Substitutionk(Δ1 ,, κ) Δ2
377 extendk σ A Z = A
378 extendk σ A (S x) = σ x
379 - Single variable subkstitution is a special case of simultaneous subkstitution.
380 _βk[_] : Type (Δ ,, κ1) κ2 → Type Δ κ1 → Type Δ κ2
381 B βk[ A ] = subk (extendk ' A) B

```

2.3 Type equivalence

```

infix 0 _≡t_
infix 0 _≡p_

```



```

393 data _≐p_ : Pred Type Δ R[ κ ] → Pred Type Δ R[ κ ] → Set
394 data _≐t_ : Type Δ κ → Type Δ κ → Set
395
396 private
397   variable
398     ℓ ℓ1 ℓ2 ℓ3 : Label
399     l l1 l2 l3 : Type Δ L
400     ρ1 ρ2 ρ3 : Type Δ R[ κ ]
401     π1 π2 : Pred Type Δ R[ κ ]
402     τ τ1 τ2 τ3 v v1 v2 v3 : Type Δ κ
403
404 data _≐r_ : SimpleRow Type Δ R[ κ ] → SimpleRow Type Δ R[ κ ] → Set where
405   eq-[] :
406
407     _≐r_ {Δ = Δ} {κ = κ} [] []
408
409   eq-cons : {xs ys : SimpleRow Type Δ R[ κ ]} →
410
411     ℓ1 ≐ ℓ2 → τ1 ≐t τ2 → xs ≐r ys →
412
413     
414       ((ℓ1 , τ1) :: xs) ≐r ((ℓ2 , τ2) :: ys)
415     
416
417 data _≐p_ where
418   _eq-≤_ :
419
420     τ1 ≐t v1 → τ2 ≐t v2 →
421
422     
423       τ1 ≤ τ2 ≐p v1 ≤ v2
424     
425
426   _eq-·~_ :
427
428     τ1 ≐t v1 → τ2 ≐t v2 → τ3 ≐t v3 →
429
430     
431       τ1 · τ2 ~ τ3 ≐p v1 · v2 ~ v3
432     
433
434 data _≐t_ where
435   - 
436     - Eq. relation
437   
438
439   eq-refl :
440
441     
442       τ ≐t τ
443     
444
445   eq-sym :
446
447     τ1 ≐t τ2 →
448
449     
450       τ2 ≐t τ1
451     

```

eq-trans :

$$\frac{\tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 \equiv \tau_3 \rightarrow}{\tau_1 \equiv \tau_3}$$

– Congruence rules

eq- \rightarrow :

$$\frac{\tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \rightarrow v_1 \equiv v_2 \rightarrow}{\tau_1 \overset{\text{eq}}{\rightarrow} v_1 \equiv \tau_2 \overset{\text{eq}}{\rightarrow} v_2}$$

eq- \forall :

$$\frac{\tau \equiv v \rightarrow}{\forall \tau \equiv \forall v}$$

eq- μ :

$$\frac{\tau \equiv v \rightarrow}{\mu \tau \equiv \mu v}$$

eq- λ : $\forall \{\tau v : \text{Type } (\Delta \text{ „ } \kappa_1) \kappa_2\} \rightarrow$

$$\frac{\tau \equiv v \rightarrow}{\lambda \tau \equiv \lambda v}$$

eq- \cdot :

$$\frac{\tau_1 \equiv v_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \equiv v_2 \rightarrow}{\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 \equiv v_1 \cdot v_2}$$

eq- $\langle \$ \rangle$: $\forall \{\tau_1 v_1 : \text{Type } \Delta (\kappa_1 \overset{\text{eq}}{\rightarrow} \kappa_2)\} \{\tau_2 v_2 : \text{Type } \Delta \mathbf{R}[\kappa_1]\} \rightarrow$

$$\frac{\tau_1 \equiv v_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \equiv v_2 \rightarrow}{\tau_1 \langle \$ \rangle \tau_2 \equiv v_1 \langle \$ \rangle v_2}$$

eq- $[\]$:

$$\frac{\tau \equiv v \rightarrow}{[\tau] \equiv [v]}$$

eq- \Rightarrow :

$$\pi_1 \equiv \pi_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \rightarrow$$

$$(\pi_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1) \equiv t (\pi_2 \Rightarrow \tau_2)$$

eq-lab :

$$\ell_1 \equiv \ell_2 \rightarrow$$

$$\text{lab } \{\Delta = \Delta\} \ell_1 \equiv t \text{lab } \ell_2$$

eq-row :

$$\forall \{\rho_1 \rho_2 : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta \text{ R}[\kappa]\} \{o\rho_1 : \text{True (ordered? } \rho_1)\} \\ \{o\rho_2 : \text{True (ordered? } \rho_2)\} \rightarrow$$

$$\rho_1 \equiv r \rho_2 \rightarrow$$

$$\langle \rho_1 \rangle o\rho_1 \equiv t \langle \rho_2 \rangle o\rho_2$$

eq- \rightarrow : $\forall \{l_1 l_2 : \text{Type } \Delta \text{ L}\} \{\tau_1 \tau_2 : \text{Type } \Delta \kappa\} \rightarrow$

$$l_1 \equiv t l_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv t \tau_2 \rightarrow$$

$$(l_1 \triangleright \tau_1) \equiv t (l_2 \triangleright \tau_2)$$

eq- \setminus : $\forall \{\rho_2 \rho_1 v_2 v_1 : \text{Type } \Delta \text{ R}[\kappa]\} \rightarrow$

$$\rho_2 \equiv t v_2 \rightarrow \rho_1 \equiv t v_1 \rightarrow$$

$$(\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) \equiv t (v_2 \setminus v_1)$$

- η -laws

eq- η : $\forall \{f : \text{Type } \Delta (\kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\cdot} \kappa_2)\} \rightarrow$

$$f \equiv t \lambda (\text{weaken}_k f \cdot ('Z))$$

- Computational laws

eq- β : $\forall \{\tau_1 : \text{Type } (\Delta \text{ „ } \kappa_1) \kappa_2\} \{\tau_2 : \text{Type } \Delta \kappa_1\} \rightarrow$

$$((\lambda \tau_1) \cdot \tau_2) \equiv t (\tau_1 \beta_k [\tau_2])$$

eq-labTy :

$$l \equiv t \text{lab } \ell \rightarrow$$

$$(l \triangleright \tau) \equiv \llbracket (\ell \quad , \tau) \rrbracket \text{ tt}$$

$$\text{eq-}\rightarrow\$: \forall \{l\} \{ \tau : \text{Type} \Delta \kappa_1 \} \{ F : \text{Type} \Delta (\kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\quad} \kappa_2) \} \rightarrow$$

$$(F \<\$> (l \triangleright \tau)) \equiv (l \triangleright (F \cdot \tau))$$

$$\text{eq-}\<\$>\backslash : \forall \{ F : \text{Type} \Delta (\kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\quad} \kappa_2) \} \{ \rho_2 \rho_1 : \text{Type} \Delta R[\kappa_1] \} \rightarrow$$

$$F \<\$> (\rho_2 \backslash \rho_1) \equiv (F \<\$> \rho_2) \backslash (F \<\$> \rho_1)$$

$$\text{eq-map} : \forall \{ F : \text{Type} \Delta (\kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\quad} \kappa_2) \} \{ \rho : \text{SimpleRow Type} \Delta R[\kappa_1] \} \{ op : \text{True} (\text{ordered? } \rho) \} \rightarrow$$

$$F \<\$> (\llbracket \rho \rrbracket op) \equiv \llbracket \text{map} (\text{over}_r (F \cdot _)) \rho \rrbracket (\text{fromWitness} (\text{map-over}_r \rho (F \cdot _) (\text{toWitness } op)))$$

$$\text{eq-map-id} : \forall \{ \kappa \} \{ \tau : \text{Type} \Delta R[\kappa] \} \rightarrow$$

$$(\lambda \{ \kappa_1 = \kappa \} (\lambda Z) \<\$> \tau \equiv \tau$$

$$\text{eq-map-}\circ : \forall \{ \kappa_3 \} \{ f : \text{Type} \Delta (\kappa_2 \xrightarrow{\quad} \kappa_3) \} \{ g : \text{Type} \Delta (\kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\quad} \kappa_2) \} \{ \tau : \text{Type} \Delta R[\kappa_1] \} \rightarrow$$

$$(f \<\$> (g \<\$> \tau)) \equiv (\lambda (\text{weaken}_\kappa f \cdot (\text{weaken}_\kappa g \cdot (\lambda Z))) \<\$> \tau$$

$$\text{eq-}\Pi : \forall \{ \rho : \text{Type} \Delta R[R[\kappa]] \} \{ nl : \text{True} (\text{notLabel? } \kappa) \} \rightarrow$$

$$\Pi \{ \text{notLabel} = nl \} \cdot \rho \equiv \Pi \{ \text{notLabel} = nl \} \<\$> \rho$$

$$\text{eq-}\Sigma : \forall \{ \rho : \text{Type} \Delta R[R[\kappa]] \} \{ nl : \text{True} (\text{notLabel? } \kappa) \} \rightarrow$$

$$\Sigma \{ \text{notLabel} = nl \} \cdot \rho \equiv \Sigma \{ \text{notLabel} = nl \} \<\$> \rho$$

$$\text{eq-}\Pi\text{-assoc} : \forall \{ \rho : \text{Type} \Delta (R[\kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\quad} \kappa_2]) \} \{ \tau : \text{Type} \Delta \kappa_1 \} \{ nl : \text{True} (\text{notLabel? } \kappa_2) \} \rightarrow$$

$$(\Pi \{ \text{notLabel} = nl \} \cdot \rho) \cdot \tau \equiv \Pi \{ \text{notLabel} = nl \} \cdot (\rho ?? \tau)$$

$$\text{eq-}\Sigma\text{-assoc} : \forall \{ \rho : \text{Type} \Delta (R[\kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\quad} \kappa_2]) \} \{ \tau : \text{Type} \Delta \kappa_1 \} \{ nl : \text{True} (\text{notLabel? } \kappa_2) \} \rightarrow$$

$$(\Sigma \{ \text{notLabel} = nl \} \cdot \rho) \cdot \tau \equiv \Sigma \{ \text{notLabel} = nl \} \cdot (\rho ?? \tau)$$

$$\text{eq-compl} : \forall \{ xs \, ys : \text{SimpleRow Type} \Delta R[\kappa] \}$$

$$\{ oxs : \text{True} (\text{ordered? } xs) \} \{ oys : \text{True} (\text{ordered? } ys) \} \{ ozs : \text{True} (\text{ordered? } (xs \setminus s \, ys)) \} \rightarrow$$

$$(\llbracket xs \rrbracket oxs) \backslash (\llbracket ys \rrbracket oys) \equiv \llbracket (xs \setminus s \, ys) \rrbracket ozs$$

	Type variables	$\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$	Labels	$\ell \in \mathcal{L}$
589	Ground Kinds	$\gamma ::= \star \mid L$		
590	Kinds	$\kappa ::= \gamma \mid \kappa \rightarrow \kappa \mid R^\kappa$		
591	Row Literals	$\hat{\mathcal{P}} \ni \hat{\rho} ::= \{\ell_i \triangleright \hat{\tau}_i\}_{i \in 0 \dots m}$		
592	Neutral Types	$n ::= \alpha \mid n \hat{\tau}$		
593	Normal Types	$\hat{\mathcal{T}} \ni \hat{\tau}, \hat{\phi} ::= n \mid \hat{\phi}^\star n \mid \hat{\rho} \mid \hat{\pi} \Rightarrow \hat{\tau} \mid \forall \alpha : \kappa. \hat{\tau} \mid \lambda \alpha : \kappa. \hat{\tau}$		
594		$\mid n \triangleright \hat{\tau} \mid \ell \mid \# \hat{\tau} \mid \hat{\tau} \setminus \hat{\tau} \mid \Pi^{(\star)} \hat{\tau} \mid \Sigma^{(\star)} \hat{\tau}$		
595				
596				
597				
598				
599				
600				
601				
602				
603				
604				
605				
606				
607				
608				
609				
610				
611				
612				
613				
614				
615				
616				
617				
618				
619				
620				
621				
622				
623				
624				
625				
626				
627				
628				
629				
630				
631				
632				
633				
634				
635				
636				
637				

Fig. 2. Normal type forms

2.3.1 *Some admissable rules.* We confirm that (i) Π and Σ are mapped over nested rows, and (ii) λ -bindings η -expand over Π and Σ .

eq- $\Pi \triangleright$: $\forall \{l\} \{\tau : \text{Type } \Delta \text{ R}[\kappa]\} \{nl : \text{True } (\text{notLabel? } \kappa)\} \rightarrow$
 $(\Pi \{ \text{notLabel} = nl \} \cdot (l \triangleright \tau)) \equiv t (l \triangleright (\Pi \{ \text{notLabel} = nl \} \cdot \tau))$
eq- $\Pi \triangleright$ = eq-trans eq- Π eq- \triangleright \$
eq- $\Pi \lambda$: $\forall \{l\} \{\tau : \text{Type } (\Delta \text{ „ } \kappa_1) \kappa_2\} \{nl : \text{True } (\text{notLabel? } \kappa_2)\} \rightarrow$
 $\Pi \{ \text{notLabel} = nl \} \cdot (l \triangleright \lambda \tau) \equiv \lambda (\Pi \{ \text{notLabel} = nl \} \cdot (\text{weaken}_\kappa l \triangleright \tau))$

3 NORMAL FORMS

We define reduction on types $\tau \rightarrow_{\mathcal{T}} \tau'$ by directing the type equivalence judgment $\varepsilon \vdash \tau = \tau' : \kappa$ from left to right (with the exception of rule (E-MAP_{id}), which reduces right-to-left).

3.1 Mechanized syntax

data NormalType ($\Delta : \text{KEnv}$) : Kind \rightarrow Set

NormalPred : KEnv \rightarrow Kind \rightarrow Set

NormalPred = Pred NormalType

NormalOrdered : SimpleRow NormalType Δ R[κ] \rightarrow Set

normalOrdered? : $\forall (xs : \text{SimpleRow NormalType } \Delta \text{ R}[\kappa]) \rightarrow \text{Dec } (\text{NormalOrdered } xs)$

IsNeutral IsNormal : NormalType Δ $\kappa \rightarrow$ Set

isNeutral? : $\forall (\tau : \text{NormalType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \text{Dec } (\text{IsNeutral } \tau)$

isNormal? : $\forall (\tau : \text{NormalType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \text{Dec } (\text{IsNormal } \tau)$

NotSimpleRow : NormalType Δ R[κ] \rightarrow Set

notSimpleRows? : $\forall (\tau_1 \tau_2 : \text{NormalType } \Delta \text{ R}[\kappa]) \rightarrow \text{Dec } (\text{NotSimpleRow } \tau_1 \text{ or NotSimpleRow } \tau_2)$

data NeutralType $\Delta : \text{Kind} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ where

‘ :

($\alpha : \text{TVar } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow$

```

638      _____
639      NeutralType Δ κ
640
641      _·_ :
642
643      (f : NeutralType Δ (κ1 '→ κ)) →
644      (τ : NormalType Δ κ1) →
645      _____
646      NeutralType Δ κ
647
648      data NormalType Δ where
649      ne :
650
651      (x : NeutralType Δ κ) → {ground : True (ground? κ)} →
652      _____
653      NormalType Δ κ
654
655      _<$>_ : (φ : NormalType Δ (κ1 '→ κ2)) → NeutralType Δ R[ κ1 ] →
656      _____
657      NormalType Δ R[ κ2 ]
658
659      'λ :
660
661      (τ : NormalType (Δ „ κ1) κ2) →
662      _____
663      NormalType Δ (κ1 '→ κ2)
664
665      _'→_ :
666
667      (τ1 τ2 : NormalType Δ ★) →
668      _____
669      NormalType Δ ★
670
671      '∀ :
672
673      (τ : NormalType (Δ „ κ) ★) →
674      _____
675      NormalType Δ ★
676
677      μ :
678
679      (φ : NormalType Δ (★ '→ ★)) →
680      _____
681      NormalType Δ ★
682
683      - Qualified types
684
685      _⇒_ :
686
687      (π : NormalPred Δ R[ κ1 ]) → (τ : NormalType Δ ★) →

```

```

687
688     NormalType Δ ★
689
690   - Rω business
691
692   (⌊_⌋) : (ρ : SimpleRow NormalType Δ R[ κ ]) → (op : True (normalOrdered? ρ)) →
693
694     NormalType Δ R[ κ ]
695
696   - - labels
697   lab :
698
699     (l : Label) →
700
701     NormalType Δ L
702
703   - label constant formation
704   ⌊_⌋ :
705     (l : NormalType Δ L) →
706
707     NormalType Δ ★
708
709   Π :
710
711     (ρ : NormalType Δ R[ ★ ]) →
712
713     NormalType Δ ★
714
715   Σ :
716
717     (ρ : NormalType Δ R[ ★ ]) →
718
719     NormalType Δ ★
720
721   _\_ : (ρ2 ρ1 : NormalType Δ R[ κ ]) → {nsr : True (notSimpleRows? ρ2 ρ1)} →
722     NormalType Δ R[ κ ]
723
724   _▷n_ : (l : NeutralType Δ L) (τ : NormalType Δ κ) →
725
726     NormalType Δ R[ κ ]
727
728   ----- - Ordered predicate
729   NormalOrdered [] = ⊤
730   NormalOrdered ((l , _ ) :: []) = ⊤
731   NormalOrdered ((l1 , _ ) :: (l2 , τ ) :: xs) = l1 < l2 × NormalOrdered ((l2 , τ ) :: xs)
732   normalOrdered? [] = yes tt
733   normalOrdered? ((l , τ ) :: []) = yes tt
734   normalOrdered? ((l1 , _ ) :: (l2 , _ ) :: xs) with l1 <? l2 | normalOrdered? ((l2 , _ ) :: xs)
735

```

```

736 ... | yes p | yes q = yes (p , q)
737 ... | yes p | no q = no (λ { ( _ , oks) → q oks })
738 ... | no p | yes q = no (λ { (x , _) → p x})
739 ... | no p | no q = no (λ { (x , _) → p x})
740
741
742 NotSimpleRow (ne x) = ⊤
743 NotSimpleRow ((φ <$> τ)) = ⊤
744 NotSimpleRow ((| ρ |) op) = ⊥
745 NotSimpleRow (τ \ τ1) = ⊤
746 NotSimpleRow (x ▷n τ) = ⊤
747
748
749

```

3.2 Properties of normal types

The syntax of normal types is defined precisely so as to enjoy canonical forms based on kind. We first demonstrate that neutral types and inert complements cannot occur in empty contexts.

```

754 noNeutrals : NeutralType ∅ κ → ⊥
755
756 noNeutrals (n · τ) = noNeutrals n
757
758 noComplements : ∀ {ρ1 ρ2 ρ3 : NormalType ∅ R[ κ ]}
759   (nsr : True (notSimpleRows? ρ3 ρ2)) →
760   ρ1 ≡ (ρ3 \ ρ2) {nsr} →
761   ⊥
762
763
764

```

Now:

```

766 arrow-canonicity : (f : NormalType Δ (κ1 '→ κ2)) → ∃[ τ ] (f ≡ 'λ τ)
767 arrow-canonicity ('λ f) = f , refl
768
769 row-canonicity-∅ : (ρ : NormalType ∅ R[ κ ]) →
770   ∃[ xs ] Σ[ oks ∈ True (normalOrdered? xs) ]
771   (ρ ≡ (| xs |) oks)
772
773 row-canonicity-∅ (ne x) = ⊥-elim (noNeutrals x)
774 row-canonicity-∅ ((| ρ |) op) = ρ , op , refl
775 row-canonicity-∅ ((ρ \ ρ1) {nsr}) = ⊥-elim (noComplements nsr refl)
776 row-canonicity-∅ (l ▷n ρ) = ⊥-elim (noNeutrals l)
777 row-canonicity-∅ ((φ <$> ρ)) = ⊥-elim (noNeutrals ρ)
778
779 label-canonicity-∅ : ∀ (l : NormalType ∅ L) → ∃[ s ] (l ≡ lab s)
780 label-canonicity-∅ (ne x) = ⊥-elim (noNeutrals x)
781 label-canonicity-∅ (lab s) = s , refl
782
783
784

```


4 SEMANTIC TYPES

4.1 Renaming and substitution

5 NORMALIZATION BY EVALUATION

5.1 Helping evaluation

5.2 Evaluation

6 METATHEORY

6.1 A logical relation for completeness

6.1.1 *Properties.*

6.2 The fundamental theorem and completeness

6.3 A logical relation for soundness

6.3.1 *Properties.*

6.4 The fundamental theorem and soundness

7 THE REST OF THE PICTURE

8 MOST CLOSELY RELATED WORK

8.0.1 *Chapman et al. [2019].*

8.0.2 *Allais et al. [2013].*

REFERENCES

- Guillaume Allais, Pierre Boutillier, and Conor McBride. New equations for neutral terms: A sound and complete decision procedure, formalized, 2013. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1304.0809>.
- James Chapman, Roman Kireev, Chad Nester, and Philip Wadler. System F in agda, for fun and profit. In Graham Hutton, editor, *Mathematics of Program Construction - 13th International Conference, MPC 2019, Porto, Portugal, October 7-9, 2019, Proceedings*, volume 11825 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 255–297. Springer, 2019. ISBN 978-3-030-33635-6. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-33636-3_10. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-33636-3_10.