12

13

18

20

26

44

45

46

ALEX HUBERS, The University of Iowa, USA

Abstract

We describe the normalization-by-evaluation (NbE) of types in $R\omega\mu$, a row calculus with recursive types, qualified types, and a novel row complement operator. Types are normalized to $\beta\eta$ -long forms modulo a type equivalence relation. Because the type system of $R\omega\mu$ is a strict extension of System $F\omega\mu$, much of the type reduction is isomorphic to reduction of terms in the STLC. Novel to this report are the reductions of row, record, and variant types.

1 The $\mathbf{R}\omega\mu$ calculus

For reference, Figure 1 describes the syntax of kinds, predicates, and types in $R\omega\mu$. We forego further description to the next section.

> Type variables $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ Labels $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$

```
Kinds
                                                \kappa ::= \star | L | R^{\kappa} | \kappa \to \kappa
Predicates
                                          \pi, \psi ::= \rho \leq \rho \mid \rho \odot \rho \sim \rho
Types \mathcal{T} \ni \phi, \tau, v, \rho, \xi ::= \alpha \mid \pi \Rightarrow \tau \mid \forall \alpha : \kappa.\tau \mid \lambda \alpha : \kappa.\tau \mid \tau \tau
                                                        | \{\xi_i \triangleright \tau_i\}_{i \in 0...m} | \ell | \#\tau | \phi \$ \rho | \rho \setminus \rho
                                                        | \tau \rightarrow \tau | \Pi | \Sigma | \mu \phi
```

Fig. 1. Syntax

Example types

Wand's problem and a record modifier:

```
wand : \forall 1 x y z t. x \odot y \sim z, \{1 \triangleright t\} \lesssim z \Rightarrow #1 \rightarrow \Pi x \rightarrow \Pi y \rightarrow t
modify : \forall 1 t u y z1 z2. \{1 \triangleright t\} \odot y \sim z1, \{1 \triangleright u\} \odot y \sim z2 \Rightarrow
                  #1 \rightarrow (t \rightarrow u) \rightarrow \Pi z1 \rightarrow \Pi z2
```

"Deriving" functor typeclass instances:

```
type Functor : (\star \to \star) \to \star
   type Functor = \lambda f. \forall a b. (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow f a \rightarrow f b
   fmapS : \forall z : R[\star \rightarrow \star]. \Pi (Functor z) \rightarrow Functor (\Sigma z)
   fmapP : \forall z : R[\star \rightarrow \star]. \Pi (Functor z) \rightarrow Functor (\Pi z)
And a desugaring of booleans to Church encodings:
   desugar : \forall y. BoolF \lesssim y, LamF \lesssim y \ BoolF \Rightarrow
```

 Π (Functor (y \ BoolF)) $\rightarrow \mu$ (Σ y) $\rightarrow \mu$ (Σ (y \ BoolF))

2 Mechanized syntax

2.1 Kind syntax

 Our formalization of $R\omega\mu$ types is *intrinsic*, meaning we define the syntax of *typing* and *kinding judgments*, foregoing any formalization of or indexing-by untyped syntax. The only "untyped" syntax is that of kinds, which are well-formed grammatically. We give the syntax of kinds and kinding environments below.

```
\begin{array}{lll} \textbf{data} \; \mathsf{Kind} : \mathsf{Set} \; \textbf{where} & & & & & \\ \star & : \mathsf{Kind} & & & & \\ \mathsf{L} & : \mathsf{Kind} & & & \\ & & - \\ & - \\ & & - \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ &
```

The kind system of $R\omega\mu$ defines \star as the type of types; L as the type of labels; (\rightarrow) as the type of type operators; and $R[\kappa]$ as the type of *rows* containing types at kind κ . Kinding environments are isomorphic to lists of kinds.

The syntax of intrinsically well-scoped De-Bruijn type variables is given below. Type variables indexed in this way are analogous to the $_\in_$ relation for Agda lists—that is, each type variable is itself a proof of its location within the kinding environment. Let the metavariables Δ and κ range over kinding environments and kinds, respectively.

```
data TVar : KEnv \rightarrow Kind \rightarrow Set where Z : TVar (\Delta, \kappa) \kappa S : TVar \Delta \kappa_1 \rightarrow TVar (\Delta, \kappa_2) \kappa_1
```

2.1.1 Partitioning kinds. It will be necessary to partition kinds by two predicates. The predicate NotLabel κ is satisfied if κ is neither of label kind, a row of label kind, nor a type operator that returns a labeled kind. It is trivial to show that this predicate is decidable.

```
\begin{aligned} & \text{NotLabel}: \text{Kind} \rightarrow \text{Set} & \text{notLabel}?: \forall \ \kappa \rightarrow \text{Dec} \ (\text{NotLabel} \ \kappa) \\ & \text{NotLabel} \ \star = \top & \text{notLabel}? \ \star = \text{yes tt} \\ & \text{NotLabel} \ L = \text{Instabel} \ L = \text{Instabel}? \ L = \text{Instabel}? \ L = \text{Instabel}? \ \kappa_1 \\ & \text{NotLabel} \ R \ [\ \kappa \ ] = \text{NotLabel}? \ \kappa \end{aligned}
```

The predicate Ground κ is satisfied when κ is the kind of types or labels, and is necessary to reserve the promotion of neutral types to just those at these kinds. It is again trivial to show that this predicate is decidable, and so a definition of ground? is omitted.

```
Ground: Kind \rightarrow Set
ground?: \forall \kappa \rightarrow \text{Dec (Ground } \kappa)
Ground \star = \top
Ground (\kappa \rightarrow \kappa_1) = \bot
Ground (\kappa \cap \kappa_1) = \bot
```

2.2 Type syntax

We represent the judgment $\Gamma \vdash \tau : \kappa$ intrinsically as the data type Type $\Delta \kappa$. The data type Pred Type $\Delta R[\kappa]$ represents well-kinded predicates indexed by Type $\Delta \kappa$. The two are necessarily mutually inductive. Note that the syntax of predicates will be the same for both types and normalized types, and so the Pred data type is indexed abstractly by type Ty.

```
data Pred (Ty : KEnv \rightarrow Kind \rightarrow Set) \Delta : Kind \rightarrow Set
data Type \Delta : Kind \rightarrow Set
```

We must also define syntax for *simple rows*, that is, row literals. For uniformity of kind indexing, we define a SimpleRow by pattern matching on the syntax of kinds. Like with Pred, simple rows are indexed by abstract type Ty so that we may reuse the same pattern for normalized types.

```
SimpleRow : (Ty: \mathsf{KEnv} \to \mathsf{Kind} \to \mathsf{Set}) \to \mathsf{KEnv} \to \mathsf{Kind} \to \mathsf{Set}
SimpleRow Ty \triangle R[\kappa] = \mathsf{List}(\mathsf{Label} \times Ty \triangle \kappa)
SimpleRow _ _ = = \bot
```

A simple row is *ordered* if it is of length ≤ 1 or its corresponding labels are ordered according to some total order <. We will restrict the formation of row literals to just those that are ordered, which has two key consequences: first, it guarantees a normal form (later) for simple rows, and second, it enforces that labels be unique in each row. It is easy to show that the Ordered predicate is decidable.

```
Ordered : SimpleRow Type \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow Set ordered? : \forall (xs: SimpleRow Type \Delta R[\kappa]) \rightarrow Dec (Ordered xs) Ordered [] = \top Ordered (x:: []) = \top Ordered ((l_1, _) :: (l_2, \tau) :: xs) = l_1 < l_2 × Ordered ((l_2, \tau) :: xs)
```

The syntax of well-kinded predicates is exactly as expected.

```
data Pred Ty \Delta where
\underline{\ } \cdot \underline{\ } \cdot \underline{\ } \cdot \underline{\ } : (\rho_1 \ \rho_2 \ \rho_3 : Ty \Delta \ R[\ \kappa\ ]) \rightarrow Pred \ Ty \Delta \ R[\ \kappa\ ]
\lesssim : (\rho_1 \ \rho_2 : Ty \Delta \ R[\ \kappa\ ]) \rightarrow Pred \ Ty \Delta \ R[\ \kappa\ ]
```

The syntax of kinding judgments is given below. The formation rules for λ -abstractions, applications, arrow types, and \forall and μ types are standard and omitted. The constructor $_\Rightarrow_$ forms a qualified type given a well-kinded predicate π and a \star -kinded body τ . Labels are formed from label literals and cast to kind \star via the \lfloor_\rfloor constructor. The remaining constructors describe row formation: The constructor $(\!\lfloor_\!\rfloor)$ forms a row literal from a well-ordered simple row. We additionally allow the syntax $_\triangleright_$ for constructing row singletons of (perhaps) variable label; this role can be performed by $(\!\!\!\!/_\!\!\!)$ when the label is a literal. The $_<\!\!\!\!\!>_$ constructor describes the map of a type operator over a row. Π and Σ form records and variants from rows for which the NotLabel predicate is satisfied. Finally, the $_\backslash$ _ constructor forms the relative complement of two rows. The novelty in this report will come from showing how types of these forms reduce.

```
data Type \Delta where

': (\alpha : \text{TVar } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \text{Type } \Delta \kappa

-\Rightarrow_: (\pi : \text{Pred Type } \Delta R[\kappa_1]) \rightarrow (\tau : \text{Type } \Delta \star) \rightarrow \text{Type } \Delta \star

lab: (l : \text{Label}) \rightarrow \text{Type } \Delta L
```

2.2.1 The ordered predicate. We impose on the (_) constructor a witness of the form True (ordered? xs), although it may seem more intuitive to have instead simply required a witness that Ordered xs. The reason for this is that the True predicate quotients each proof down to a single inhabitant tt, which grants us proof irrelevance when comparing rows. This is desirable and yields congruence rules that would otherwise be blocked by two differing proofs of well-orderedness. The congruence rule below asserts that two simple rows are equivalent even with differing proofs. (This pattern is replicable for any decidable predicate.)

```
cong-SimpleRow : \{sr_1 \ sr_2 : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta \ R[\ \kappa\ ]\}
\{wf_1 : \text{True (ordered? } sr_1)\} \{wf_2 : \text{True (ordered? } sr_2)\} \rightarrow sr_1 \equiv sr_2 \rightarrow (sr_1 \ wf_1 \equiv (sr_2 \ wf_2 \ cong-SimpleRow \{sr_1 = sr_1\} \{ \} \{wf_1\} \{wf_2\} \text{ refl}
rewrite Dec\rightarrowIrrelevant (Ordered sr_1) (ordered? sr_1) wf_1 \ wf_2 = \text{refl}
```

In the same fashion, we impose on Π and Σ a similar restriction that their kinds satisfy the NotLabel predicate, although our reason for this restriction is instead metatheoretic: without it, nonsensical labels could be formed such as Π (lab "a" > lab "b") or Π ϵ . Each of these types have kind L, which violates a label canonicity theorem we later show that all label-kinded types in normal form are label literals or neutral.

2.2.2 Flipped map operator.

 Hubers and Morris [2023] had a left- and right-mapping operator, but only one is necessary. The flipped application (flap) operator is defined below. Its type reveals its purpose.

2.2.3 The (syntactic) complement operator.

It is necessary to give a syntactic account of the computation incurred by the complement of two row literals so that we can state this computation later in the type equivalence relation. First, define a relation $\ell \in L$ ρ that is inhabited when the label literal ℓ occurs in the row ρ . This relation is decidable (_ \in L?_, definition omitted).

```
data \subseteq L_: (l: Label) \rightarrow SimpleRow Type \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow Set where
Here: \forall {\tau: Type \Delta\kappa} {xs: SimpleRow Type \Delta R[\kappa]} {l: Label} \rightarrow l \in L(l, \tau):: xs
There: \forall {\tau: Type \Delta\kappa} {xs: SimpleRow Type \Delta R[\kappa]} {l l': Label} \rightarrow
```

```
\begin{split} &l \in \mathsf{L} \ xs \to l \in \mathsf{L} \ (l' \ , \ \tau) :: xs \\ &\_ \in \mathsf{L}?\_ : \forall \ (l: \mathsf{Label}) \ (xs : \mathsf{SimpleRow} \ \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa\ ]) \to \mathsf{Dec} \ (l \in \mathsf{L} \ xs) \end{split}
```

We now define the syntactic *row complement* effectively as a filter: when a label on the left is found in the row on the right, we exclude that labeled entry from the resulting row.

```
_\s_ : \forall (xs ys : SimpleRow Type \Delta R[\kappa]) \rightarrow SimpleRow Type \Delta R[\kappa] [] \s ys = [] ((l, \tau) :: xs) \s ys with l ∈L? ys ... | yes _ = xs \s ys ... | no _ = (l, \tau) :: (xs \s ys)
```

2.2.4 Type renaming and substitution.

A type variable renaming is a map from type variables in environment Δ_1 to type variables in environment Δ_2 .

```
Renaming<sub>k</sub>: KEnv \rightarrow KEnv \rightarrow Set
Renaming<sub>k</sub> \Delta_1 \Delta_2 = \forall \{\kappa\} \rightarrow \mathsf{TVar} \Delta_1 \kappa \rightarrow \mathsf{TVar} \Delta_2 \kappa
```

This definition and approach is standard for the intrinsic style (cf. Chapman et al. [2019]; Wadler et al. [2022]) and so definitions are omitted. The only deviation of interest is that we have an obligation to show that renaming preserves the well-orderedness of simple rows. Note that we use the suffix $_{-k}$ for common operations over the Type and Pred syntax; we will use the suffix $_{-k}$ NF for equivalent operations over the normal type syntax.

```
orderedRenRow<sub>k</sub>: (r : Renaming_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \rightarrow (xs : SimpleRow Type \Delta_1 R[\kappa]) \rightarrow Ordered xs \rightarrow Ordered (renRow<sub>k</sub> r xs)
```

A substitution is a map from type variables to types.

```
Substitution<sub>k</sub>: KEnv \rightarrow KEnv \rightarrow Set
Substitution<sub>k</sub> \Delta_1 \Delta_2 = \forall \{\kappa\} \rightarrow \text{TVar } \Delta_1 \kappa \rightarrow \text{Type } \Delta_2 \kappa
```

Parallel renaming and substitution is likewise standard for this approach, and so definitions are omitted. As will become a theme, we must show that substitution preserves row well-orderedness.

```
orderedSubRow<sub>k</sub>: (\sigma : \text{Substitution}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \rightarrow (xs : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta_1 R[\kappa]) \rightarrow \text{Ordered } xs \rightarrow \text{Ordered } (\text{subRow}_k \sigma xs)
```

Two operations of note: extension of a substitution σ appends a new type A as the zero'th De Bruijn index. β -substitution is a special case of substitution in which we only substitute the most recently freed variable.

2.3 Type equivalence

 We define reduction on types $\tau \longrightarrow_{\mathcal{T}} \tau'$ by directing the following type equivalence judgment $\Delta \vdash \tau = \tau' : \kappa$ from left to right. We equate types under the relation $_\equiv t_$, predicates under the relation $_\equiv p_$, and row literals under the relation $_\equiv r_$.

```
data \_\equiv p\_: Pred Type \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow Pred Type \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow Set
data \_\equiv t\_: Type \Delta \kappa \rightarrow Type \Delta \kappa \rightarrow Set
data \_\equiv r\_: SimpleRow Type \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow SimpleRow Type \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow Set
```

Declare the following as generalized metavariables to reduce clutter. (N.b., generalized variables in Agda are not dependent upon eachother, e.g., it is not true that ρ_1 and ρ_2 must have equal kinds when ρ_1 and ρ_2 appear in the same type signature.)

Row literals and predicates are equated in an obvious fashion.

```
data \_\equiv r\_ where
eq-[]: \_\equiv r\_ \{\Delta = \Delta\} \{\kappa = \kappa\} [] []
eq-cons: \{xs \ ys: SimpleRow \ Type \ \Delta \ R[\ \kappa\ ]\} \rightarrow
\ell_1 \equiv \ell_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv t \ \tau_2 \rightarrow xs \equiv r \ ys \rightarrow
((\ell_1 \ , \tau_1) :: xs) \equiv r \ ((\ell_2 \ , \tau_2) :: ys)
data \ \_\equiv p\_ \ where
\_eq-\lesssim\_: \tau_1 \equiv t \ v_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \equiv t \ v_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \lesssim \tau_2 \equiv p \ v_1 \lesssim v_2
\_eq--\_: \tau_1 \equiv t \ v_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \equiv t \ v_2 \rightarrow \tau_3 \equiv t \ v_3 \rightarrow
\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 \sim \tau_3 \equiv p \ v_1 \cdot v_2 \sim v_3
```

The first three type equivalence rules enforce that _\\equiv t_ forms an equivalence relation.

```
data \equivt_ where

eq-refl: \tau \equivt \tau

eq-sym: \tau_1 \equivt \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 \equivt \tau_1

eq-trans: \tau_1 \equivt \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 \equivt \tau_3 \rightarrow \tau_1 \equivt \tau_3
```

We next have a number of congruence rules. As this is type-level normalization, we equate under binders such as λ and \forall . The rule for congruence under λ bindings is below; the remaining congruence rules are omitted.

```
eq-\lambda: \forall \{\tau \ v : \mathsf{Type}\ (\Delta \ ,, \ \kappa_1) \ \kappa_2\} \to \tau \equiv \mathsf{t} \ v \to \ `\lambda \ \tau \equiv \mathsf{t} \ `\lambda \ v
```

We have two "expansion" rules and one composition rule. Firstly, arrow-kinded types are η -expanded to have an outermost lambda binding. This later ensures canonicity of arrow-kinded types.

```
eq-\eta: \forall \{f : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ (\kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2)\} \rightarrow f \equiv \mathsf{t} \ \lambda \ (\mathsf{weaken}_k \ f \cdot (\ \mathsf{Z}))
```

Analogously, row-kinded variables left alone are expanded to a map by the identity function. Additionally, nested maps are composed together into one map. These rules together ensure canonical forms for row-kinded normal types. Observe that the last two rules are effectively functorial laws.

```
eq-map-id: \forall \{\kappa\} \{\tau : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa\ ]\} \to \tau \equiv \mathsf{t}\ (`\lambda \{\kappa_1 = \kappa\}\ (`\ Z)) < > \tau eq-map-o: \forall \{\kappa_3\} \{f : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ (\kappa_2\ `\to \kappa_3)\} \{g : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ (\kappa_1\ `\to \kappa_2)\} \{\tau : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa_1\ ]\} \to (f < > (g < > \tau)) \equiv \mathsf{t}\ (`\lambda \ (\mathsf{weaken}_k\ f \cdot (\mathsf{weaken}_k\ g \cdot (`\ Z)))) < > \tau
```

We now describe the computational rules that incur type reduction. Rule eq- β is the usual β -reduction rule. Rule eq-labTy asserts that the constructor $_\triangleright_$ is indeed superfluous when describing singleton rows with a label literal; singleton rows of the form ($\ell \triangleright \tau$) are normalized into row literals.

```
eq-\beta: \forall {\tau_1: Type (\Delta, \kappa_1) \kappa_2} {\tau_2: Type \Delta \kappa_1} \rightarrow (('\lambda \tau_1) · \tau_2) \equivt (\tau_1 \beta_k[ \tau_2 ]) eq-labTy: l \equivt lab \ell \rightarrow (l \triangleright \tau) \equivt ([ (\ell, \tau) ] ) tt
```

The rule eq->\$ describes that mapping F over a singleton row is simply application of F over the row's contents. Rule eq-map asserts exactly the same except for row literals; the function over, (definition omitted) is simply fmap over a pair's right component. Rule eq-<\$>-\ asserts that mapping F over a row complement is distributive.

```
\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{eq} \to \$ : \forall \ \{l\} \ \{\tau : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa_1\} \ \{F : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ (\kappa_1 \ `\to \kappa_2)\} \to \\ (F < \$ > (l \triangleright \tau)) \equiv \mathsf{t} \ (l \triangleright (F \cdot \tau)) \\ \operatorname{eq-map} : \forall \ \{F : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ (\kappa_1 \ `\to \kappa_2)\} \ \{\rho : \mathsf{SimpleRow} \ \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa_1\ ]\} \ \{o\rho : \mathsf{True} \ (\mathsf{ordered?} \ \rho)\} \to \\ F < \$ > (\emptyset \ \rho \ \emptyset \ o\rho) \equiv \mathsf{t} \ \emptyset \ \mathsf{map} \ (\mathsf{over}_r \ (F \cdot \_)) \ \rho \ \emptyset \ (\mathsf{fromWitness} \ (\mathsf{map-over}_r \ \rho \ (F \cdot \_) \ (\mathsf{toWitness} \ o\rho))) \\ \operatorname{eq-<\$ > -} \ \forall \ \{F : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ (\kappa_1 \ `\to \kappa_2)\} \ \{\rho_2 \ \rho_1 : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa_1\ ]\} \to \\ F < \$ > (\rho_2 \ \backslash \ \rho_1) \equiv \mathsf{t} \ (F < \$ > \rho_2) \ \backslash \ (F < \$ > \rho_1) \end{array}
```

The rules eq- Π and eq- Σ give the defining equations of Π and Σ at nested row kind. This is to say, application of Π to a nested row is equivalent to mapping Π over the row.

```
eq-\Pi: \forall {\rho: Type \Delta R[ R[ \kappa ] ]} {nl: True (notLabel? \kappa)} \rightarrow \Pi {notLabel = nl} · \rho \equiv t \Pi {notLabel = nl} - s> \rho eq-\Sigma: \forall {\rho: Type \Delta R[ R[ \kappa ] ]} {nl: True (notLabel? \kappa)} \rightarrow \Sigma {notLabel = nl} · \rho \equiv t \Sigma {notLabel = nl} - s> \rho
```

The next two rules assert that Π and Σ can reassociate from left-to-right except with the new right-applicand "flapped".

```
eq-\Pi-assoc : \forall {\rho : Type \Delta (R[ \kappa_1 '\rightarrow \kappa_2 ])} {\tau : Type \Delta \kappa_1} {nl : True (notLabel? \kappa_2)} \rightarrow (\Pi {notLabel = nl} · \rho) · \tau \equivt \Pi {notLabel = nl} · (\rho ?? \tau) eq-\Sigma-assoc : \forall {\rho : Type \Delta (R[ \kappa_1 '\rightarrow \kappa_2 ])} {\tau : Type \Delta \kappa_1} {nl : True (notLabel? \kappa_2)} \rightarrow (\Sigma {notLabel = nl} · \rho) · \tau \equivt \Sigma {notLabel = nl} · (\rho ?? \tau)
```

Finally, the rule eq-compl gives computational content to the relative row complement operator applied to row literals.

```
eq-compl : \forall {xs ys : SimpleRow Type \Delta R[\kappa]} {oxs : True (ordered? xs)} {oys : True (ordered? ys)} {ozs : True (ordered? (xs \s ys))} \rightarrow (((xs)) ((ys)) ((ys)) ((ys)) ((xs)) ((xs)) ((ys)) ((ys))
```

Before concluding, we share an auxiliary definition that reflects instances of propositional equality in Agda to proofs of type-equivalence. The same role could be performed via Agda's subst but without the convenience.

```
inst : \forall \{\tau_1 \ \tau_2 : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa\} \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv \mathsf{t} \ \tau_2
inst refl = eq-refl
```

2.3.1 Some admissable rules. In early versions of this equivalence relation, we thought it would be necessary to impose the following two rules directly. However, we can confirm their admissability. The first rule states that Π is mapped over nested rows, and the second (definition omitted) states that λ -bindings η -expand over Π . (These results hold identically for Σ .)

```
eq-\Pi \triangleright : \forall \{l\} \{\tau : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa\ ]\} \{nl : \mathsf{True} \ (\mathsf{notLabel}?\ \kappa)\} \rightarrow \\ (\Pi \{ notLabel = nl\} \cdot (l \triangleright \tau)) \equiv \mathsf{t} \ (l \triangleright (\Pi \{ notLabel = nl\} \cdot \tau)) 
eq-\Pi \triangleright = \mathsf{eq}\text{-trans} \ \mathsf{eq}\text{-}\Pi \ \mathsf{eq}\text{-}\mathbb{P} 
eq-\Pi \lambda : \forall \{l\} \{\tau : \mathsf{Type} \ (\Delta\ ,, \kappa_1) \ \kappa_2\} \{ nl : \mathsf{True} \ (\mathsf{notLabel}?\ \kappa_2) \} \rightarrow \\ \Pi \{ notLabel = nl\} \cdot (l \triangleright `\lambda \ \tau) \equiv \mathsf{t} \ `\lambda \ (\Pi \{ notLabel = nl\} \cdot (\mathsf{weaken}_k \ l \triangleright \tau))
```

3 Normal forms

 By directing the type equivalence relation we define computation on types. This serves as a sort of specification on the shape normal forms of types ought to have. Our grammar for normal types must be carefully crafted so as to be neither too "large" nor too "small". In particular, we wish our normalization algorithm to be *stable*, which implies surjectivity. Hence if the normal syntax is too large—i.e., it produces junk types—then these junk types will have pre-images in the domain of normalization. Inversely, if the normal syntax is too small, then there will be types whose normal forms cannot be expressed. Figure 2 specifies the syntax and typing of normal types, given as reference. We describe the syntax in more depth by describing its intrinsic mechanization.

```
\begin{array}{lll} \text{Type variables} & \alpha \in \mathcal{A} & \text{Labels} & \ell \in \mathcal{L} \\ \text{Ground Kinds} & \gamma ::= \star \mid \mathsf{L} \\ \text{Kinds} & \kappa ::= \gamma \mid \kappa \to \kappa \mid \mathsf{R}^{\kappa} \\ \text{Row Literals} & \hat{\mathcal{P}} \ni \hat{\rho} ::= \{\ell_i \triangleright \hat{\tau_i}\}_{i \in 0 \dots m} \\ \text{Neutral Types} & n ::= \alpha \mid n \hat{\tau} \\ \text{Normal Types} & \hat{\mathcal{T}} \ni \hat{\tau}, \hat{\phi} ::= n \mid \hat{\phi} \$ n \mid \hat{\rho} \mid \hat{\pi} \Rightarrow \hat{\tau} \mid \forall \alpha : \kappa. \hat{\tau} \mid \lambda \alpha : \kappa. \hat{\tau} \\ & \mid n \triangleright \hat{\tau} \mid \ell \mid \# \hat{\tau} \mid \hat{\tau} \setminus \hat{\tau} \mid \Pi \hat{\tau} \mid \Sigma \hat{\tau} \\ \end{array}
```

Fig. 2. Normal type forms

3.1 Mechanized syntax

We define NormalTypes and NormalPreds analogously to Types and Preds. Recall that Pred and SimpleRow are indexed by the type of their contents, so we can reuse some code.

```
data NormalType (\Delta : KEnv) : Kind \rightarrow Set
NormalPred : KEnv \rightarrow Kind \rightarrow Set
NormalPred = Pred NormalType
```

We must declare an analogous orderedness predicate, this time for normal types. Its definition is nearly identical.

```
NormalOrdered : SimpleRow NormalType \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow Set normalOrdered? : \forall (xs : SimpleRow NormalType \Delta R[\kappa]) \rightarrow Dec (NormalOrdered xs)
```

Further, we define the predicate NotSimpleRow ρ to be true precisely when ρ is not a simple row. This is necessary because the row complement $\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1$ should reduce when each ρ_i is a row literal. So it is necessary when forming normal row-complements to specify that at least one of the complement operands is a non-literal. The predicate True (notSimpleRows? ρ_1 ρ_2) is satisfied precisely in this case.

```
NotSimpleRow : NormalType \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow Set notSimpleRows? : \forall (\tau_1 \tau_2 : NormalType \Delta R[\kappa]) \rightarrow Dec (NotSimpleRow \tau_1 or NotSimpleRow \tau_2)
```

Neutral types are type variables and applications with type variables in head position.

```
data NeutralType \Delta : Kind \rightarrow Set where
 `: (\alpha : \mathsf{TVar} \ \Delta \ \kappa) \to \mathsf{NeutralType} \ \Delta \ \kappa 
 \_\cdot\_: (f : \mathsf{NeutralType} \ \Delta \ (\kappa_1 \ `\rightarrow \kappa)) \to (\tau : \mathsf{NormalType} \ \Delta \ \kappa_1) \to \mathsf{NeutralType} \ \Delta \ \kappa
```

```
data NormalType \Delta where
\mathbf{ne} : (x : \mathsf{NeutralType} \ \Delta \ \kappa) \to \{\mathsf{ground} : \mathsf{True} \ (\mathsf{ground?} \ \kappa)\} \to \mathsf{NormalType} \ \Delta \ \kappa
\_ \setminus : (\rho_2 \ \rho_1 : \mathsf{NormalType} \ \Delta \ \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa \ ]) \to \{\mathsf{nsr} : \mathsf{True} \ (\mathsf{notSimpleRows?} \ \rho_2 \ \rho_1)\} \to \mathsf{NormalType} \ \Delta \ \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa \ ]
\_ < \$ >_: (\phi : \mathsf{NormalType} \ \Delta \ \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa \ ]) \to \mathsf{NormalType} \ \Delta \ \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa_1 \ ] \to \mathsf{NormalType} \ \Delta \ \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa_2 \ ]
\Pi : (\rho : \mathsf{NormalType} \ \Delta \ \mathsf{R}[\ \star \ ]) \to \mathsf{NormalType} \ \Delta \ \star
\Sigma : (\rho : \mathsf{NormalType} \ \Delta \ \mathsf{R}[\ \star \ ]) \to \mathsf{NormalType} \ \Delta \ \star
\_ \triangleright_{n} : (l : \mathsf{NeutralType} \ \Delta \ \mathsf{L}) \ (\tau : \mathsf{NormalType} \ \Delta \ \kappa) \to \mathsf{NormalType} \ \Delta \ \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa \ ]
```

3.2 Canonicity of normal types

 The syntax of normal types is defined precisely so as to enjoy canonical forms based on kind. We first demonstrate that neutral types and inert complements cannot occur in empty contexts.

```
noNeutrals : NeutralType \emptyset \ \kappa \to \bot noComplements : \forall noNeutrals (n \cdot \tau) = noNeutrals n \{\rho_1 \ \rho_2 \ \rho_3 : \text{NormalType } \emptyset \ \text{R}[\ \kappa\ ]\} (nsr: \text{True (notSimpleRows? } \rho_3 \ \rho_2)) \to \rho_1 \equiv (\rho_3 \setminus \rho_2) \ \{nsr\} \to \bot
```

Now, in any context an arrow-kinded type is canonically λ -bound:

```
arrow-canonicity : (f: \text{NormalType } \Delta \ (\kappa_1 \ `\rightarrow \kappa_2)) \rightarrow \exists [\ \tau\ ] \ (f\equiv `\lambda\ \tau) arrow-canonicity (`\lambda\ f) = f , refl
```

A row in an empty context is necessarily a row literal:

```
row-canonicity-\emptyset : (\rho : \text{NormalType } \emptyset \ R[\kappa]) \rightarrow \exists [xs] \Sigma [oxs \in \text{True (normalOrdered? } xs)] (\rho \equiv \|xs\| oxs) row-canonicity-\emptyset (\|\rho\| o\rho) = \rho , o\rho , refl
```

And a label-kinded type is necessarily a label literal:

```
label-canonicity-\emptyset: \forall (l: NormalType \emptyset L) \rightarrow \exists [s] (l \equiv lab s) label-canonicity-\emptyset (ne x) = \bot-elim (noNeutrals x) label-canonicity-\emptyset (lab s) = s, refl
```

3.3 Renaming

Renaming over normal types is defined in an entirely straightforward manner. Types and definitions are omitted.

3.4 Embedding

The goal is to normalize a given τ : Type Δ κ to a normal form at type NormalType Δ κ . It is of course much easier to first describe the inverse embedding, which recasts a normal form back to its original type. Definitions are expected and omitted.

Note that it is precisely in "embedding" the NormalOrdered predicate that we establish half of the requisite isomorphism between a normal row being normal-ordered and its embedding being ordered. We will have to show the other half (that is, that ordered rows have normal-ordered evaluations) during normalization.

```
Ordered\Uparrow: \forall \ (\rho: \mathsf{SimpleRow} \ \mathsf{NormalType} \ \Delta \ \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa\ ]) \to \mathsf{NormalOrdered} \ \rho \to \mathsf{Ordered} \ (\Uparrow \mathsf{Row} \ \rho)
```

4 Semantic types

 We have finally set the stage to discuss the process of normalizing types by evaluation. We first must define a semantic image of Types into which we will evaluate. Crucially, neutral types must *reflect* into this domain, and elements of this domain must *reify* to normal forms.

Let us first define the image of row literals to be Fin-indexed maps.

```
Row : Set \rightarrow Set
Row A = \exists [n](Fin n \rightarrow Label \times A)
```

Naturally, we required a predicate on such rows to indicate that they are well-ordered.

```
OrderedRow': \forall \{A : \text{Set}\} \rightarrow (n : \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow (\text{Fin } n \rightarrow \text{Label} \times A) \rightarrow \text{Set}
OrderedRow' zero P = \top
OrderedRow' (suc zero) P = \top
OrderedRow' (suc (suc n)) P = (P \text{ fzero .fst} < P \text{ (fsuc fzero) .fst)} \times \text{OrderedRow' (suc } n) (P \circ \text{fsuc})
OrderedRow : \forall \{A\} \rightarrow \text{Row } A \rightarrow \text{Set}
OrderedRow (n, P) = \text{OrderedRow' } n P
```

We may now define the totality of forms a row-kinded type might take in the semantic domain (the RowType data type). We evaluate row literals into Rows via the row constructor; note that the argument $\mathcal T$ maps kinding environments to types. In practice, this is how we specify that a row contains types in environment Δ .

```
data RowType (\Delta : KEnv) (\mathcal{T} : KEnv \rightarrow Set) : Kind \rightarrow Set
             \mathsf{NotRow} : \forall \ \{\Delta : \mathsf{KEnv}\} \ \{\mathcal{T} : \mathsf{KEnv} \to \mathsf{Set}\} \to \mathsf{RowType} \ \Delta \ \mathcal{T} \ \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa\ ] \to \mathsf{Set}
515
             data RowType \Delta \mathcal{T} where
516
                 row : (\rho : Row (\mathcal{T} \Delta)) \rightarrow OrderedRow \rho \rightarrow RowType \Delta \mathcal{T} R[\kappa]
517
518
                  \triangleright : NeutralType \triangle L \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \triangle \rightarrow RowType \triangle \mathcal{T} R[\kappa]
                 \_ \setminus \_ : (\rho_2 \ \rho_1 : \mathsf{RowType} \ \Delta \ \mathcal{T} \ \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa\ ]) \to \{ \mathit{nr} : \mathsf{NotRow} \ \rho_2 \ \mathsf{or} \ \mathsf{NotRow} \ \rho_1 \} \to
520
                      RowType \Delta \mathcal{T} R[\kappa]
                  _{<}$>_: (\phi : \forall \{\Delta'\} \rightarrow \mathsf{Renaming}_k \Delta \Delta' \rightarrow \mathsf{NeutralType} \Delta' \kappa_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \Delta') \rightarrow
522
                      NeutralType \Delta R[\kappa_1] \rightarrow
                      RowType \Delta \mathcal{T} R[\kappa_2]
524
```

Neutral-labeled singleton rows are evaluated into the $_\triangleright_$ constructor; inert complements are evaluated into the $_\setminus_$ constructor. Just as OrderedRow is the semantic version of row well-orderedness, the predicate NotRow asserts that a given RowType is not a row literal (constructed by row). This ensures that complements constructed by $_\setminus_$ are indeed inert. Regarding the inert map constructor, we would like to compose nested maps. Borrowing from Allais et al. [2013], we thus interpret the left applicand of a map as a Kripke function space mapping neutral types in environment Δ' to the type \mathcal{T} Δ' , which we will later specify to be that of semantic types in environment Δ' at kind κ . To avoid running afoul of Agda's positivity checker, we let the domain type of this Kripke function be *neutral types*, which may always be reflected into semantic types. We define semantic types (SemType) below, but replacing NeutralType Δ' κ_1 with SemType Δ' κ_1 would not be strictly positive.

We finally define the semantic domain by induction on the kind κ . Types with \star and label kind are simply NormalTypes. We interpret functions into *Kripke function spaces*—that is, functions

that operate over SemType inputs at any possible environment Δ_2 , provided a renaming into Δ_2 . We interpret row-kinded types into the RowType type, defined above. Note some more trickery which we have borrowed from Allais et al. [2013]: we cannot pass SemType itself as an argument to RowType (which would violate termination checking), but we can instead pass to RowType the function ($\lambda \Delta' \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta' \kappa$), which enforces a strictly smaller recursive call on the kind κ . Observe too that abstraction over the kinding environment Δ' is necessary because our representation of inert maps _<\$>_ interprets the mapped applicand as a Kripke function space over neutral type

```
SemType: KEnv \rightarrow Kind \rightarrow Set
549
          SemType \Delta \star = NormalType \Delta \star
           SemType \Delta L = NormalType \Delta L
551
          SemType \Delta_1 (\kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2) = (\forall \{\Delta_2\} \rightarrow (r : \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2))
                                                       (v : \mathsf{SemType}\ \Delta_2\ \kappa_1) \to \mathsf{SemType}\ \Delta_2\ \kappa_2)
553
           SemType \Delta R[\kappa] = RowType \Delta (\lambda \Delta' \rightarrow SemType \Delta' \kappa) R[\kappa]
```

For abbreviation later, we alias our two types of Kripke function spaces as so:

```
KripkeFunction : KEnv \rightarrow Kind \rightarrow Kind \rightarrow Set KripkeFunctionNE : KEnv \rightarrow Kind \rightarrow Kind \rightarrow Set
                                                                                            KripkeFunctionNE \Delta_1 \kappa_1 \kappa_2 =
KripkeFunction \Delta_1 \kappa_1 \kappa_2 =
                                                                                                (\forall \{\Delta_2\} \rightarrow \mathsf{Renaming}_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2 \rightarrow
   (\forall \{\Delta_2\} \rightarrow \mathsf{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow
   SemType \Delta_2 \kappa_1 \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta_2 \kappa_2)
                                                                                                NeutralType \Delta_2 \kappa_1 \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta_2 \kappa_2)
```

4.1 Renaming

540

541 542

543

544

545

546 547

548

555

557

563

565

567

568

569

571

572 573

574

575 576

577

578

579

580

588

Renaming a Kripke function is nothing more than providing the appropriate renaming to the function.

```
renSem : Renaming<sub>k</sub> \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta_1 \kappa \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta_2 \kappa
renKripke : Renaming<sub>k</sub> \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow KripkeFunction \Delta_1 \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow KripkeFunction \Delta_2 \kappa_1 \kappa_2
renKripke \{\Delta_1\} \rho F \{\Delta_2\} = \lambda \rho' \rightarrow F (\rho' \circ \rho)
```

Renaming a row is simply pre-composition of the renaming r over the row's map P. The helper over, lifts renSem r over the tuple, applying renSem r to the second component.

```
renRow : Renaming<sub>k</sub> \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{Row (SemType } \Delta_1 \kappa) \rightarrow \text{Row (SemType } \Delta_2 \kappa)
\operatorname{renRow} r(n, P) = n, \operatorname{over}_r(\operatorname{renSem} r) \circ P
```

Renaming over semantic types is otherwise defined in a straightforward manner. At kinds ★ and L, we defer to the renaming of normal types. The other cases are described above or simply compositional. Some care must be given to ensure that the NotRow and well-ordered predicates are preserved. (We omit the auxiliary lemmas orderedRenRow and nrRenSem'.)

```
renSem \{\kappa = \star\} r \tau = \text{ren}_k \text{NF } r \tau
581
            renSem {\kappa = L} r \tau = \text{ren}_k \text{NF } r \tau
582
            renSem \{\kappa = \kappa \hookrightarrow \kappa_1\} r F = \text{renKripke } r F
583
            renSem {\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]} r(\phi < x) = (\lambda r' \rightarrow \phi(r' \circ r)) < x (ren_k \mathbb{R}[r] x)
584
            renSem \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\}\ r\ (\text{row}\ (n, P)\ q) = \text{row}\ (\text{renRow}\ r\ (n, P))\ (\text{orderedRenRow}\ r\ q)
585
            renSem \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\}\ r(l \triangleright \tau) = (\text{ren}_k \mathbb{NE}\ r\ l) \triangleright \text{renSem}\ r\ \tau
586
            renSem \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\}\ r\ ((\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1)\ \{nr\}) = (\text{renSem } r\ \rho_2 \setminus \text{renSem } r\ \rho_1)\ \{nr = \text{nrRenSem}'\ r\ \rho_2\ \rho_1\ nr\}
587
```

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

600

601

602 603

637

5 Normalization by Evaluation (NbE)

We have now declared three domains: the syntax of types, the syntax of normal and neutral types, and the embedded domain of semantic types. Normalization by evaluation (NbE), as we follows it, involves producing a *reflection* from neutral types to semantic types, a *reification* from semantic types to normal types, and an *evaluation* from types to semantic types. It follows thereafter that normalization is the reification of evaluation. Because we reason about types modulo η -expansion, reflection and reification are necessarily mutually recursive. (This is not the case however with e.g. Chapman et al. [2019].)

We describe the reflection logic before reification. Types at kind \star and L can be promoted straightforwardly with the ne constructor. A neutral row (e.g., a row variable) must be expanded into an inert mapping by (λ r n \rightarrow reflect n), which is effectively the identity function. Finally, neutral types at arrow kind must be expanded into Kripke functions. Note that the input v has type SemType Δ κ_1 and must be reified.

```
reflect : \forall \{\kappa\} \rightarrow \text{NeutralType } \Delta \kappa \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa
604
          reify : \forall \{\kappa\} \rightarrow \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa \rightarrow \mathsf{NormalType} \ \Delta \ \kappa
605
          reflect \{\kappa = \star\} \tau
                                          = ne \tau
606
          reflect \{\kappa = L\} \tau
                                          = ne \tau
607
          reflect \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\}\ \rho = (\lambda \ r \ n \rightarrow \text{reflect } n) < > \rho
608
          reflect \{\kappa = \kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2\} \tau = \lambda \rho \nu \rightarrow \text{reflect } (\text{ren}_k \text{NE } \rho \tau \cdot \text{reify } \nu)
609
610
              Stopping here.
611
          reifyKripke : KripkeFunction \Delta \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow \text{NormalType } \Delta (\kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2)
          reifyKripkeNE : KripkeFunctionNE \Delta \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow \text{NormalType } \Delta (\kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2)
          reifyKripke \{\kappa_1 = \kappa_1\} F = \lambda (reify (F S \text{ (reflect } \{\kappa = \kappa_1\} \text{ ((`Z))))})
615
          reifyKripkeNE F = \lambda (\text{reify } (F \text{ S } (Z)))
616
617
          reifyRow': (n : \mathbb{N}) \to (\text{Fin } n \to \text{Label} \times \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa) \to \text{SimpleRow NormalType } \Delta R[\kappa]
          reifyRow' zero P = []
618
          reifyRow' (suc n) P with P fzero
620
          ... |(l, \tau)| = (l, reify \tau) :: reifyRow' n (P \circ fsuc)
621
          reifyRow : Row (SemType \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow SimpleRow NormalType \Delta R[\kappa]
622
          reifyRow(n, P) = reifyRow'nP
623
624
          reifyRowOrdered : \forall (\rho : Row (SemType \Delta \kappa)) \rightarrow OrderedRow \rho \rightarrow NormalOrdered (reifyRow \rho)
625
          reifyRowOrdered': \forall (n : \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow (P : \text{Fin } n \rightarrow \text{Label} \times \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow
626
                                              OrderedRow (n, P) \rightarrow NormalOrdered (reifyRow <math>(n, P))
627
628
          reifyRowOrdered' zero P o \rho = tt
629
          reifyRowOrdered' (suc zero) P o \rho = tt
630
          reifyRowOrdered' (suc (suc n)) P(l_1 < l_2, ih) = l_1 < l_2, (reifyRowOrdered' (suc <math>n) (P \circ fsuc) ih)
631
          reifyRowOrdered (n, P) o\rho = reifyRowOrdered' n P o\rho
632
633
          reifyPreservesNR: \forall (\rho_1 \ \rho_2 : RowType \ \Delta \ (\lambda \ \Delta' \rightarrow SemType \ \Delta' \kappa) \ R[\kappa]) \rightarrow
634
                                              (nr : \text{NotRow } \rho_1 \text{ or NotRow } \rho_2) \rightarrow \text{NotSimpleRow (reify } \rho_1) \text{ or NotSimpleRow (reify } \rho_2)
635
          reifyPreservesNR': \forall (\rho_1 \ \rho_2 : RowType \ \Delta \ (\lambda \ \Delta' \rightarrow SemType \ \Delta' \kappa) \ R[\kappa]) \rightarrow
636
```

```
(nr : \text{NotRow } \rho_1 \text{ or NotRow } \rho_2) \rightarrow \text{NotSimpleRow (reify } ((\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2) \{nr\}))
638
639
                        reify \{\kappa = \star\} \tau = \tau
640
                        reify \{\kappa = L\} \tau = \tau
641
                        reify \{\kappa = \kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2\} F = \text{reifyKripke } F
642
                        reify \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\}\ (l \triangleright \tau) = (l \triangleright_n (\text{reify }\tau))
643
                        reify \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\}\ (row \rho q) = \{\text{reifyRow }\rho\}\ (from Witness (reify Row Ordered \rho q))
644
645
                        reify {\kappa = R[\kappa]} ((\phi < > \tau)) = (reifyKripkeNE \phi < > \tau)
                        reify \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\}\ ((\phi < \tau) \setminus \rho_2) = (\text{reify } (\phi < \tau) \setminus \text{reify } \rho_2) \{nsr = tt\}
646
647
                        reify \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\}\ ((l \triangleright \tau) \setminus \rho) = (\text{reify } (l \triangleright \tau) \setminus (\text{reify } \rho)) \{nsr = \text{tt}\}
648
                        reify \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\}\ (\text{row }\rho\ x \setminus \rho'@(x_1 \triangleright x_2)) = (\text{reify }(\text{row }\rho\ x) \setminus \text{reify }\rho')\ \{nsr = tt\}
649
                        reify \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\}\ ((\text{row }\rho\ x \setminus \text{row }\rho_1\ x_1)\ \{\text{left }()\})
650
                        reify \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\} ((row \rho x \setminus \text{row } \rho_1 x_1) \{\text{right } ()\})
651
                        reify \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\} (row \rho \times (\phi < \tau)) = (reify (row \rho \times \tau) \ reify (\phi < \tau)) \{nsr = tt\}
652
                        \operatorname{reify}\left\{\kappa = \mathbb{R}\left[\kappa\right]\right\}\left((\operatorname{row}\rho\ x \setminus \rho'@((\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2)\{nr'\}))\{nr\}\right) = \left((\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{row}\rho\ x)\right) \setminus (\operatorname{reify}\left((\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2)\{nr'\}\right))\{nr\} = \operatorname{fron}\left((\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{row}\rho\ x\right)) \setminus (\operatorname{reify}\left((\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2)\{nr'\}\right))\{nr\}\right) = \operatorname{fron}\left((\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{row}\rho\ x\right)) \setminus (\operatorname{reify}\left((\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2)\{nr'\}\right))\{nr'\}\right) = \operatorname{fron}\left((\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{row}\rho\ x\right)) \setminus (\operatorname{reify}\left((\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2)\{nr'\}\right))(nr')\right) = \operatorname{fron}\left((\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left(\operatorname{reify}\left
653
                        654
655
                        reifyPreservesNR (x_1 > x_2) \rho_2 (left x) = left tt
656
                        reifyPreservesNR ((\rho_1 \setminus \rho_3) {nr}) \rho_2 (left x) = left (reifyPreservesNR' \rho_1 \rho_3 nr)
657
                        reifyPreservesNR (\phi <$> \rho) \rho_2 (left x) = left tt
658
                        reifyPreservesNR \rho_1 (x \triangleright x_1) (right y) = right tt
659
660
                        reifyPreservesNR \rho_1 ((\rho_2 \setminus \rho_3) {nr}) (right \gamma) = right (reifyPreservesNR' \rho_2 \rho_3 nr)
661
                        reifyPreservesNR \rho_1 ((\phi <$> \rho_2)) (right y) = right tt
662
                        reifyPreservesNR' (x_1 > x_2) \rho_2 (left x) = tt
663
                        reifyPreservesNR' (\rho_1 \setminus \rho_3) \rho_2 (left x) = tt
664
                        reifyPreservesNR' (\phi <$> n) \rho_2 (left x) = tt
665
                        reifyPreservesNR' (\phi < $> n) \rho_2 (right y) = tt
666
667
                        reifyPreservesNR' (x \triangleright x_1) \rho_2 (right y) = tt
                        reifyPreservesNR' (row \rho x) (x_1 > x_2) (right y) = tt
669
                        reifyPreservesNR' (row \rho x) (\rho_2 \setminus \rho_3) (right y) = tt
670
                        reifyPreservesNR' (row \rho x) (\phi <$> n) (right y) = tt
671
                        reifyPreservesNR' (\rho_1 \setminus \rho_3) \rho_2 (right y) = tt
672
673
674
                        - \eta normalization of neutral types
675
                        \eta-norm : NeutralType \Delta \kappa \rightarrow NormalType \Delta \kappa
676
                        \eta-norm = reify \circ reflect
677
678
679
                        - - Semantic environments
680
681
                        Env : KEnv \rightarrow KEnv \rightarrow Set
682
                         Env \Delta_1 \Delta_2 = \forall \{\kappa\} \rightarrow \mathsf{TVar} \Delta_1 \kappa \rightarrow \mathsf{SemType} \Delta_2 \kappa
683
                        idEnv : Env \Delta \Delta
684
                        idEnv = reflect o '
685
```

```
687
           extende : (\eta : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2) \rightarrow (V : \text{SemType } \Delta_2 \ \kappa) \rightarrow \text{Env } (\Delta_1 \ ,, \kappa) \ \Delta_2
688
           extende \eta V Z = V
689
           extende \eta V(S x) = \eta x
690
691
           lifte : Env \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{Env} \ (\Delta_1 \ , \kappa) \ (\Delta_2 \ , \kappa)
692
           lifte \{\Delta_1\} \{\Delta_2\} \{\kappa\} \eta = extende (weakenSem \circ \eta) (idEnv Z)
693
694
           5.1 Helping evaluation
695
696
           - Semantic application
698
            \_\cdot V_-: SemType \Delta (\kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2) \rightarrow SemType \Delta \kappa_1 \rightarrow SemType \Delta \kappa_2
           F \cdot V V = F \text{ id } V
700
701
702
           - Semantic complement
703
           \_\in \mathsf{Row}_- : \forall \{m\} \rightarrow (l : \mathsf{Label}) \rightarrow
704
                                (Q: \mathsf{Fin}\ m \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType}\ \Delta\ \kappa) \to
705
706
           \subseteq \text{Row}_{\{m = m\}} \ l \ Q = \Sigma [i \in \text{Fin } m] \ (l \equiv Q i . \text{fst})
707
708
           \_\in Row?_\_: \forall \{m\} \rightarrow (l: Label) \rightarrow
709
                                (Q: \mathsf{Fin}\ m \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType}\ \Delta\ \kappa) \to
710
                                Dec(l \in Row Q)
711
           \in \text{Row}? \{m = \text{zero}\}\ l\ Q = \text{no }\lambda\{()\}
712
713
           _{\in}Row?_{\in}{m = \text{suc } m} l Q \text{ with } l \stackrel{?}{=} Q \text{ fzero .fst}
714
           ... | yes p = yes (fzero, p)
715
           ... | no
                             p with l \in Row? (Q \circ fsuc)
716
           ... | yes (n, q) = yes ((fsuc n), q)
717
                                        q = \text{no } \lambda \{ (\text{fzero }, q') \rightarrow p \ q'; (\text{fsuc } n, q') \rightarrow q \ (n, q') \}
           ... | no
718
719
           compl: \forall \{n \ m\} \rightarrow
720
                         (P: \mathsf{Fin}\ n \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType}\ \Delta\ \kappa)
721
                         (Q: \mathsf{Fin}\ m \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType}\ \Delta\ \kappa) \to
722
                         Row (SemType \Delta \kappa)
723
           compl \{n = \text{zero}\} \{m\} P Q = \epsilon V
724
           compl \{n = \text{suc } n\} \{m\} P Q \text{ with } P \text{ fzero .fst } \in \text{Row? } Q
725
           ... | yes \_ = compl (P \circ fsuc) Q
726
           ... | no = (P \text{ fzero}) :: (\text{compl} (P \circ \text{fsuc}) Q)
727
728
729
           - - Semantic complement preserves well-ordering
730
           lemma: \forall \{n \ m \ q\} \rightarrow
731
                              (P : \mathsf{Fin} (\mathsf{suc} \ n) \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa)
732
                              (Q: \mathsf{Fin}\ m \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType}\ \Delta\ \kappa) \to
733
                              (R: \mathsf{Fin} (\mathsf{suc} \ q) \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa) \to
734
```

```
OrderedRow (suc n, P) \rightarrow
736
737
                                                      compl (P \circ \text{fsuc}) Q \equiv (\text{suc } q, R) \rightarrow
738
                                              P fzero .fst < R fzero .fst
739
                 lemma \{n = \text{suc } n\} \{q = q\} P Q R o P e q_1 \text{ with } P \text{ (fsuc fzero) .fst } \in \text{Row? } Q
740
                  lemma \{\kappa = 1\} \{\text{suc } n\} \{q = q\} P Q R oP refl | no 1 = oP .fst
741
                  ... | yes \_ = <-trans \{i = P \text{ fzero .fst}\} \{j = P \text{ (fsuc fzero) .fst}\} \{k = R \text{ fzero .fst}\} (oP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} \{k = R \text{ fzero .fst}\} (oP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} \{k = R \text{ fzero .fst}\} (oP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} \{k = R \text{ fzero .fst}\} (oP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} \{k = R \text{ fzero .fst}\} (oP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} \{k = R \text{ fzero .fst}\} (oP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} \{k = R \text{ fzero .fst}\} (oP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} \{k = R \text{ fzero .fst}\} (oP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} \{k = R \text{ fzero .fst}\} (nP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} \{k = R \text{ fzero .fst}\} (nP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} \{k = R \text{ fzero .fst}\} (nP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} \{k = R \text{ fzero .fst}\} (nP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} (nP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} (nP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} (nP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} (nP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} (nP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} (nP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} (nP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} (nP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} (nP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} (nP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} (nP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} (nP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} (nP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} (nP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} (nP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} (nP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} (nP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} (nP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} (nP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} (nP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} (nP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} (nP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} (nP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} (nP .fst) (lemma \{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} (nP .fst) (lemma \{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} (nP .fst) (lemma \{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} (nP .fst) (lemma \{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} (nP .fst) (lemma \{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} (nP .fst) (lemma \{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc)}\} (nP .fst) (lem
742
                 ordered-:: : \forall \{n \ m\} \rightarrow
743
744
                                                              (P: \mathsf{Fin} (\mathsf{suc} \ n) \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa)
745
                                                              (Q: \mathsf{Fin}\ m \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType}\ \Delta\ \kappa) \to
746
                                                              OrderedRow (suc n, P) \rightarrow
747
                                                              OrderedRow (compl (P \circ \text{fsuc}) Q) \rightarrow OrderedRow (P \text{ fzero} :: \text{compl } (P \circ \text{fsuc}) Q)
748
                 ordered-:: \{n = n\} P Q o P o C with compl (P \circ fsuc) Q \mid inspect (compl <math>(P \circ fsuc)) Q
749
                 \dots \mid \mathsf{zero} \; , R \mid_{-} = \mathsf{tt}
750
                 ... | \operatorname{suc} n, R | [[eq]] = \operatorname{lemma} P Q R \circ P eq, \circ C
751
                 ordered-compl : \forall \{n \ m\} \rightarrow
752
753
                                                              (P: \mathsf{Fin}\ n \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType}\ \Delta\ \kappa)
754
                                                              (Q: \mathsf{Fin}\ m \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType}\ \Delta\ \kappa) \to
755
                                                              OrderedRow (n, P) \rightarrow \text{OrderedRow } (m, Q) \rightarrow \text{OrderedRow } (\text{compl } P Q)
756
                 ordered-compl \{n = \text{zero}\}\ P\ Q\ o\rho_1\ o\rho_2 = \text{tt}
757
                 ordered-compl \{n = \text{suc } n\} P Q o \rho_1 o \rho_2 \text{ with } P \text{ fzero .fst } \in \text{Row? } Q
758
                 ... | yes _ = ordered-compl (P \circ \text{fsuc}) Q (ordered-cut o\rho_1) o\rho_2
759
                 ... | no _ = ordered-:: P Q o \rho_1 (ordered-compl (P \circ fsuc) Q (ordered-cut o \rho_1) o \rho_2)
760
761
762
                 - Semantic complement on Rows
763
764
                  765
                 (n, P) \setminus v(m, Q) = compl P Q
766
                 ordered\forall v : \forall (\rho_2 \ \rho_1 : Row (SemType \ \Delta \ \kappa)) \rightarrow OrderedRow \ \rho_2 \rightarrow OrderedRow \ \rho_1 \rightarrow OrderedRow \ (\rho_2 \ \forall v \ \rho_1)
767
768
                 ordered\v (n, P) (m, Q) o\rho_2 o\rho_1 = ordered-compl P Q o\rho_2 o\rho_1
769
770
                  --- Semantic lifting
771
772
                  _<$>V_ : SemType \Delta (\kappa_1 '\to \kappa_2) \to SemType \Delta R[ \kappa_1 ] \to SemType \Delta R[ \kappa_2 ]
773
                  NotRow<>>: \forall \{F : SemType \Delta (\kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2)\} \{\rho_2 \rho_1 : RowType \Delta (\lambda \Delta \hookrightarrow SemType \Delta \kappa_1) R[\kappa_1]\} \rightarrow
774
                                                            NotRow \rho_2 or NotRow \rho_1 \rightarrow \text{NotRow} (F < V \rho_2) or NotRow (F < V \rho_1)
775
                 F < \$ > \lor (l \triangleright \tau) = l \triangleright (F \cdot \lor \tau)
776
                 F < V row (n, P) q = row (n, over_r (F id) \circ P) (orderedOver_r (F id) q)
777
778
                 F < V ((\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) \{nr\}) = ((F < P_2) \setminus (F < P_1)) \{NotRow < nr\}
779
                 F < >V (G < >n) = (\lambda \{\Delta'\} r \rightarrow F r \circ G r) < >n
780
                 NotRow<$> \{F = F\} \{x_1 > x_2\} \{\rho_1\} (\text{left } x) = \text{left tt}
781
                 NotRow<$> \{F = F\} \{\rho_2 \setminus \rho_3\} \{\rho_1\} (\text{left } x) = \text{left tt}
782
                  NotRow<$> \{F = F\} \{\phi < $> n\} \{\rho_1\} (\text{left } x) = \text{left tt}
783
784
```

 $\Pi V = \xi \Pi$ -rec

```
785
          NotRow<$> {F = F} {\rho_2} {x \triangleright x_1} (right y) = right tt
786
          NotRow<$> {F = F} {\rho_2} {\rho_1 \setminus \rho_3}  (right \nu) = right tt
787
           NotRow<$> \{F = F\} \{\rho_2\} \{\phi 
788
789
790

    - - - Semantic complement on SemTypes

791
792
          793
          row \rho_2 o\rho_2 \setminus V row \rho_1 o\rho_1 = row (\rho_2 \setminus V \rho_1) (ordered \setminus V \rho_2 \rho_1 o\rho_2 o\rho_1)
794
          \rho_2@(x \triangleright x_1) \setminus V \rho_1 = (\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) \{nr = \text{left tt}\}
          \rho_2@(row \rho x) \V \rho_1@(x_1 > x_2) = (\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) {nr = \text{right tt}}
          \rho_2@(row \rho x) \ \nabla \rho_1@(_ \ _) = (\rho_2 \ \rho_1) {nr = right tt}
797
          \rho_2@(row \rho x) \V \rho_1@(_ <$> _) = (\rho_2 \ \rho_1) {nr = right tt}
          \rho@(\rho_2 \setminus \rho_3) \setminus V \rho' = (\rho \setminus \rho') \{nr = \text{left tt}\}
          \rho @ (\phi < \$ > n) \ V \rho' = (\rho \setminus \rho') \{ nr = \text{left tt} \}
800
801
802

    - Semantic flap

803
          apply : SemType \Delta \kappa_1 \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta ((\kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2) \hookrightarrow \kappa_2)
          apply a = \lambda \rho F \rightarrow F \cdot V (renSem \rho a)
806
          infixr 0 <?>V
807
           _<?>V_ : SemType \triangle R[ \kappa_1 '\rightarrow \kappa_2 ] \rightarrow SemType \triangle \kappa_1 \rightarrow SemType \triangle R[ \kappa_2 ]
808
          f < ?>V a = apply a < $>V f
809
810
811
          5.2 \Pi and \Sigma as operators
812
          record Xi: Set where
813
              field
814
                 \Xi \star : \forall \{\Delta\} \rightarrow \text{NormalType } \Delta \ R[\ \star\ ] \rightarrow \text{NormalType } \Delta \star
815
                 \operatorname{ren-} \star : \forall (\rho : \operatorname{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \to (\tau : \operatorname{NormalType} \Delta_1 \operatorname{R}[\star]) \to \operatorname{ren}_k \operatorname{NF} \rho (\Xi \star \tau) \equiv \Xi \star (\operatorname{ren}_k \operatorname{NF} \rho \tau)
816
817
818
          \xi : \forall \{\Delta\} \to Xi \to SemType \Delta R[\kappa] \to SemType \Delta \kappa
819
          \xi \{ \kappa = \star \} \Xi x = \Xi . \Xi \star (\text{reify } x)
820
          \xi \{ \kappa = L \} \Xi x = lab "impossible"
821
          \xi \{ \kappa = \kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2 \} \Xi F = \lambda \rho \nu \rightarrow \xi \Xi \text{ (renSem } \rho F <?>V \nu \text{)}
822
          \xi \{ \kappa = R[\kappa] \} \Xi x = (\lambda \rho \nu \rightarrow \xi \Xi \nu) < > V x
823
          \Pi-rec Σ-rec : Xi
824
          \Pi-rec = record
825
             \{\Xi \star = \Pi ; \text{ren-} \star = \lambda \rho \tau \rightarrow \text{refl} \}
826
827
          \Sigma-rec =
828
              record
829
              \{\Xi \star = \Sigma ; \text{ren-} \star = \lambda \rho \tau \rightarrow \text{refl} \}
830
          \Pi V \Sigma V : \forall \{\Delta\} \rightarrow SemType \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow SemType \Delta \kappa
831
```

```
\Sigma V = \xi \Sigma - rec
834
835
           \xi-Kripke : Xi \rightarrow KripkeFunction \Delta R[\kappa] \kappa
836
           \xi-Kripke \Xi \rho v = \xi \Xi v
837
838
           Π-Kripke Σ-Kripke : KripkeFunction \Delta R[\kappa] \kappa
839
           \Pi-Kripke = ξ-Kripke \Pi-rec
840
           \Sigma-Kripke = \xi-Kripke \Sigma-rec
841
842
           5.3 Evaluation
843
           eval : Type \Delta_1 \kappa \to \mathsf{Env} \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2 \to \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta_2 \kappa
844
           evalPred : Pred Type \Delta_1 R[\kappa] \rightarrow Env \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow NormalPred \Delta_2 R[\kappa]
845
846
           evalRow : (\rho : SimpleRow Type \Delta_1 R[\kappa]) \rightarrow Env \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow Row (SemType \Delta_2 \kappa)
847
           evalRowOrdered : (\rho : SimpleRow Type \Delta_1 R[\kappa]) \rightarrow (\eta : Env \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \rightarrow Ordered \rho \rightarrow OrderedRow (evalRow Envalues))
848
           evalRow [] \eta = \epsilon V
849
           evalRow ((l, \tau) :: \rho) \eta = (l, (\text{eval } \tau \eta)) :: \text{evalRow } \rho \eta
850
851
           \Downarrow Row-isMap : \forall (\eta : Env \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \rightarrow (xs : SimpleRow Type \Delta_1 R[\kappa]) \rightarrow
852
                                                  reifyRow (evalRow xs \eta) \equiv map (\lambda \{ (l, \tau) \rightarrow l, (reify (eval \tau \eta)) \}) <math>xs
853
           \|Row-isMap \eta\| = refl
854
           \parallel \text{Row-isMap } \eta (x :: xs) = \text{cong}_2 :: _ \text{refl} (\parallel \text{Row-isMap } \eta xs)
855
           evalPred (\rho_1 \cdot \rho_2 \sim \rho_3) \eta = reify (eval \rho_1 \eta) · reify (eval \rho_2 \eta) ~ reify (eval \rho_3 \eta)
857
           evalPred (\rho_1 \leq \rho_2) \eta = reify (eval \rho_1 \eta) \leq reify (eval \rho_2 \eta)
858
           eval \{\kappa = \kappa\} ('x) \eta = \eta x
859
           eval \{\kappa = \kappa\} (\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2) \eta = (\text{eval } \tau_1 \eta) \cdot V (\text{eval } \tau_2 \eta)
860
           eval \{\kappa = \kappa\} (\tau_1 \to \tau_2) \eta = (\text{eval } \tau_1 \eta) \to (\text{eval } \tau_2 \eta)
861
862
           eval \{\kappa = \star\} (\pi \Rightarrow \tau) \eta = \text{evalPred } \pi \eta \Rightarrow \text{eval } \tau \eta
863
           eval \{\Delta_1\} \{\kappa = \star\} ('\forall \tau) \eta = '\forall (eval \tau (lifte \eta))
864
           eval \{\kappa = \star\} (\mu \tau) \eta = \mu (reify (eval \tau \eta))
865
           eval \{\kappa = \star\} \ \lfloor \tau \ \rfloor \ \eta = \ \lfloor \text{reify (eval } \tau \ \eta) \ \rfloor
866
           eval (\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) \eta = eval \rho_2 \eta \setminus V eval \rho_1 \eta
867
           eval \{\kappa = L\} (lab l) \eta = lab l
868
           eval \{\kappa = \kappa_1 \to \kappa_2\} ('\lambda \tau) \eta = \lambda \rho \nu \rightarrow \text{eval } \tau \text{ (extende } (\lambda \{\kappa\} \nu' \rightarrow \text{renSem } \{\kappa = \kappa\} \rho (\eta \nu')) \nu)
869
870
           eval \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa] \hookrightarrow \kappa\} \Pi \eta = \Pi-Kripke
871
           eval \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa] \hookrightarrow \kappa\} \Sigma \eta = \Sigma-Kripke
872
           eval \{\kappa = R[\kappa]\}\ (f < a) \eta = (eval f \eta) < V (eval a \eta)
873
           eval (( \rho ) o \rho) \eta = \text{row (evalRow } \rho \eta) \text{ (evalRowOrdered } \rho \eta \text{ (toWitness } o \rho))}
874
           eval (l \triangleright \tau) \eta with eval l \eta
875
           ... | ne x = (x \triangleright \text{eval } \tau \eta)
876
           ... | lab l_1 = row (1, \lambda { fzero \rightarrow (l_1, eval \tau \eta) }) tt
877
           evalRowOrdered [] \eta o \rho = tt
878
           evalRowOrdered (x_1 :: []) \eta \ o \rho = tt
879
           evalRowOrdered ((l_1, \tau_1) :: (l_2, \tau_2) :: \rho) \eta (l_1 < l_2, o\rho) with
880
              evalRow \rho \eta | evalRowOrdered ((l_2, \tau_2) :: \rho) \eta o \rho
881
882
```

```
... | zero , P \mid ih = l_1 < l_2 , tt
883
884
           ... | suc n, P \mid ih_1, ih_2 = l_1 < l_2, ih_1, ih_2
885
886
           5.4 Normalization
887
           \Downarrow : \forall \{\Delta\} \rightarrow \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa \rightarrow \mathsf{NormalType} \ \Delta \ \kappa
888
           \downarrow \downarrow \tau = \text{reify (eval } \tau \text{ idEnv)}
889
           \Downarrow \operatorname{Pred} : \forall \{\Delta\} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pred} \operatorname{Type} \Delta \operatorname{R}[\kappa] \rightarrow \operatorname{Pred} \operatorname{NormalType} \Delta \operatorname{R}[\kappa]
890
           ||Pred \pi = evalPred \pi idEnv||
891
892
           \Downarrow Row : \forall \{\Delta\} \rightarrow SimpleRow Type \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow SimpleRow NormalType \Delta R[\kappa]
           \parallel \text{Row } \rho = \text{reifyRow (evalRow } \rho \text{ idEnv)}
895
           \parallel NE : \forall \{\Delta\} \rightarrow NeutralType \Delta \kappa \rightarrow NormalType \Delta \kappa
896
           \DownarrowNE \tau = reify (eval (\uparrowNE \tau) idEnv)
897
898
           6 Metatheory
899
           6.1 Stability
900
           stability : \forall (\tau : NormalType \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \downarrow (\uparrow \tau) \equiv \tau
901
           stabilityNE : \forall (\tau : \text{NeutralType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \text{eval } (\uparrow \text{NE } \tau) \text{ (idEnv } \{\Delta\}) \equiv \text{reflect } \tau
902
           stabilityPred : \forall (\pi : NormalPred \Delta R[\kappa]) \rightarrow evalPred (\uparrow Pred \pi) idEnv \equiv \pi
903
           stabilityRow : \forall (\rho : SimpleRow NormalType \Delta R[\kappa]) \rightarrow reifyRow (evalRow (<math>\uparrow Row \rho) idEnv) \equiv \rho
904
               Stability implies surjectivity and idempotency.
906
           idempotency : \forall (\tau : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa) \rightarrow (\uparrow \circ \downarrow \circ \uparrow \circ \downarrow) \ \tau \equiv (\uparrow \circ \downarrow) \ \tau
907
           idempotency \tau rewrite stability (\Downarrow \tau) = refl
909
           surjectivity : \forall (\tau : NormalType \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \exists [v] (\Downarrow v \equiv \tau)
910
           surjectivity \tau = (\uparrow \tau, \text{ stability } \tau)
911
912
               Dual to surjectivity, stability also implies that embedding is injective.
913
           \uparrow-inj : \forall (\tau_1 \ \tau_2 : NormalType <math>\Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \uparrow \tau_1 \equiv \uparrow \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2
914
915
           \uparrow-inj \tau_1 \tau_2 eq = trans (sym (stability \tau_1)) (trans (cong \downarrow eq) (stability \tau_2))
916
917
           6.2 A logical relation for completeness
918
           subst-Row : \forall \{A : \mathsf{Set}\} \{n \ m : \mathbb{N}\} \to (n \equiv m) \to (f : \mathsf{Fin} \ n \to A) \to \mathsf{Fin} \ m \to A
919
           subst-Row refl f = f
920
           - Completeness relation on semantic types
921
           \approx : SemType \Delta \kappa \rightarrow SemType \Delta \kappa \rightarrow Set
922
           _{\sim 2}: \forall \{A\} \rightarrow (x \ y : A \times SemType \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow Set
923
924
           (l_1, \tau_1) \approx_2 (l_2, \tau_2) = l_1 \equiv l_2 \times \tau_1 \approx \tau_2
925
           {\sim} R_{-}: (\rho_1 \ \rho_2: Row (SemType \Delta \kappa)) \rightarrow Set
926
           (n, P) \approx R(m, Q) = \sum [pf \in (n \equiv m)] (\forall (i : Fin m) \rightarrow (subst-Row pf P) i \approx_2 Q i)
927
           PointEqual-\approx: \forall \{\Delta_1\} \{\kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2\} (F G : KripkeFunction \Delta_1 \kappa_1 \kappa_2) \rightarrow Set
928
           PointEqualNE-\approx: \forall \{\Delta_1\} \{\kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2\} (F G : KripkeFunctionNE \Delta_1 \kappa_1 \kappa_2) \rightarrow Set
929
           Uniform : \forall \{\Delta\} \{\kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2\} \rightarrow KripkeFunction \Delta \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow Set
930
```

```
UniformNE : \forall \{\Delta\} \{\kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2\} \rightarrow KripkeFunctionNE \Delta \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow Set
932
933
            convNE : \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2 \rightarrow \text{NeutralType } \Delta \text{ R}[\kappa_1] \rightarrow \text{NeutralType } \Delta \text{ R}[\kappa_2]
934
            convNE refl n = n
935
936
            convKripkeNE<sub>1</sub>: \forall \{\kappa_1'\} \rightarrow \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_1' \rightarrow \text{KripkeFunctionNE } \Delta \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow \text{KripkeFunctionNE } \Delta \kappa_1' \kappa_2
937
            convKripkeNE_1 refl f = f
938
            = \kappa = \star \tau_1 \tau_2 = \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2
939
            \approx {\kappa = L} \tau_1 \tau_2 = \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2
940
             = \{\Delta_1\} \{\kappa = \kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2\} F G =
941
                 Uniform F \times \text{Uniform } G \times \text{PointEqual-} \approx \{\Delta_1\} F G
942
943
             \approx \{\Delta_1\}\{R[\kappa_2]\}(\ <\$>\ \{\kappa_1\}\phi_1\ n_1)(\ <\$>\ \{\kappa_1\}\phi_2\ n_2) =
944
                 \Sigma [ pf \in (\kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_1') ]
945
                     UniformNE \phi_1
946
                 \times UniformNE \phi_2
947
                 \times (PointEqualNE-\approx (convKripkeNE<sub>1</sub> pf \phi_1) \phi_2
                 \times convNE pf n_1 \equiv n_2)
949
             \approx \{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa_2]\} (\phi_1 < > n_1) = \bot
950
            = \{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa_2]\} = (\phi_1 < > n_1) = \bot
951
            = \{\Delta_1\} {R[\kappa]} (l_1 \triangleright \tau_1) (l_2 \triangleright \tau_2) = l_1 \equiv l_2 \times \tau_1 \approx \tau_2
952
            \approx \{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa]\} (x_1 \triangleright x_2) \text{ (row } \rho x_3) = \bot
953
            \approx \{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa]\} (x_1 \triangleright x_2) (\rho_2 \setminus \rho_3) = \perp
            \approx \{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa]\} (row \rho x_1) (x_2 \triangleright x_3) = \perp
955
            \approx \{\Delta_1\} \{ R[\kappa] \} (row(n, P) x_1) (row(m, Q) x_2) = (n, P) \approx R(m, Q)
957
            = \{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa]\} (row \rho x_1) (\rho_2 \setminus \rho_3) = \bot
958
             = \{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa]\} (\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2) (x_1 \triangleright x_2) = \bot
959
            \approx \{\Delta_1\}\{R[\kappa]\}(\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2) \text{ (row } \rho x_1) = \bot
960
            = \{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa]\} (\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2) (\rho_3 \setminus \rho_4) = \rho_1 \approx \rho_3 \times \rho_2 \approx \rho_4
961
             PointEqual-\approx \{\Delta_1\} \{\kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2\} F G =
962
963
                 \forall \{\Delta_2\} (\rho : \mathsf{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \{V_1 \ V_2 : \mathsf{SemType} \Delta_2 \ \kappa_1\} \rightarrow
                 V_1 \approx V_2 \rightarrow F \rho \ V_1 \approx G \rho \ V_2
965
            PointEqualNE-\approx \{\Delta_1\} \{\kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2\} F G =
966
                 \forall \{\Delta_2\} (\rho : \mathsf{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) (V : \mathsf{NeutralType} \Delta_2 \kappa_1) \rightarrow
967
                 F \rho V \approx G \rho V
968
969
            Uniform \{\Delta_1\} \{\kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2\} F =
970
                 \forall \{\Delta_2 \ \Delta_3\} \ (\rho_1 : \mathsf{Renaming}_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2) \ (\rho_2 : \mathsf{Renaming}_k \ \Delta_2 \ \Delta_3) \ (V_1 \ V_2 : \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta_2 \ \kappa_1) \rightarrow \emptyset
971
                 V_1 \approx V_2 \rightarrow (\text{renSem } \rho_2 \ (F \ \rho_1 \ V_1)) \approx (\text{renKripke } \rho_1 \ F \ \rho_2 \ (\text{renSem } \rho_2 \ V_2))
972
973
             UniformNE \{\Delta_1\} \{\kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2\} F =
974
                 \forall \{\Delta_2 \ \Delta_3\} \ (\rho_1 : \mathsf{Renaming}_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2) \ (\rho_2 : \mathsf{Renaming}_k \ \Delta_2 \ \Delta_3) \ (V : \mathsf{NeutralType} \ \Delta_2 \ \kappa_1) \rightarrow
975
                 (\text{renSem } \rho_2 \ (F \ \rho_1 \ V)) \approx F \ (\rho_2 \circ \rho_1) \ (\text{ren}_k \text{NE} \ \rho_2 \ V)
976
            \mathsf{Env}\text{-}\!\approx : (\eta_1 \ \eta_2 : \mathsf{Env} \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2) \to \mathsf{Set}
977
            Env-\approx \eta_1 \ \eta_2 = \forall \{\kappa\} (x : \mathsf{TVar}_{\kappa}) \to (\eta_1 \ x) \approx (\eta_2 \ x)
978
979
```

```
- extension
981
982
             extend-\approx: \forall \{\eta_1 \ \eta_2 : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\} \rightarrow \text{Env-} \approx \eta_1 \ \eta_2 \rightarrow
983
                                       \{V_1 \ V_2 : \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta_2 \ \kappa\} \rightarrow
984
                                       V_1 \approx V_2 \rightarrow
985
                                       Env-\approx (extende \eta_1 V_1) (extende \eta_2 V_2)
986
            extend-\approx p q Z = q
987
            extend-\approx p q (S v) = p v
988
989
             6.2.1 Properties.
990
            reflect-\approx: \forall \{\tau_1 \ \tau_2 : \text{NeutralType } \Delta \ \kappa\} \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \rightarrow \text{reflect } \tau_1 \approx \text{reflect } \tau_2
991
             reify-\approx : \forall \{V_1 \ V_2 : \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa\} \to V_1 \approx V_2 \to \mathsf{reify} \ V_1 \equiv \mathsf{reify} \ V_2
992
            reifyRow-\approx: \forall \{n\} (P Q : \text{Fin } n \rightarrow \text{Label} \times \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow
993
                                            (\forall (i : \mathsf{Fin}\ n) \to P\ i \approx_2 Q\ i) \to
                                            reifyRow(n, P) \equiv reifyRow(n, Q)
997
998
999
            6.3 The fundamental theorem and completeness
1000
            fundC : \forall \{\tau_1 \ \tau_2 : \text{Type } \Delta_1 \ \kappa\} \{\eta_1 \ \eta_2 : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\} \rightarrow
1001
                                Env-\approx \eta_1 \, \eta_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv t \, \tau_2 \rightarrow \text{eval } \tau_1 \, \eta_1 \approx \text{eval } \tau_2 \, \eta_2
1002
            fundC-pred : \forall \{\pi_1 \ \pi_2 : \text{Pred Type } \Delta_1 \ \text{R}[\ \kappa\ ]\} \{\eta_1 \ \eta_2 : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\} \rightarrow
1003
                                          Env-\approx \eta_1 \ \eta_2 \rightarrow \pi_1 \equiv p \ \pi_2 \rightarrow \text{evalPred } \pi_1 \ \eta_1 \equiv \text{evalPred } \pi_2 \ \eta_2
1004
            fundC-Row : \forall \{\rho_1 \ \rho_2 : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta_1 \ \text{R}[\kappa]\} \{\eta_1 \ \eta_2 : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\} \rightarrow
1005
                                          Env-\approx \eta_1 \ \eta_2 \rightarrow \rho_1 \equiv r \ \rho_2 \rightarrow \text{evalRow } \rho_1 \ \eta_1 \approx R \text{ evalRow } \rho_2 \ \eta_2
1006
1007
            idEnv\text{-}\approx:\forall\;\{\Delta\}\rightarrow Env\text{-}\approx(idEnv\;\{\Delta\})\;(idEnv\;\{\Delta\})
1008
            idEnv \approx x = reflect \approx refl
1009
            completeness : \forall \{\tau_1 \ \tau_2 : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa\} \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv \mathsf{t} \ \tau_2 \rightarrow \Downarrow \tau_1 \equiv \Downarrow \tau_2
1010
            completeness eq = reify - \approx (fundC idEnv - \approx eq)
1011
1012
            completeness-row : \forall \{\rho_1 \ \rho_2 : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta \ R[\ \kappa\ ]\} \rightarrow \rho_1 \equiv r \ \rho_2 \rightarrow \text{$\downarrow$Row } \rho_1 \equiv \text{$\downarrow$Row } \rho_2
1013
1014
            6.4 A logical relation for soundness
1015
            infix 0 ||||≈_
1016
             [\![]\!] \approx : \forall \{\kappa\} \rightarrow \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa \rightarrow \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa \rightarrow \mathsf{Set}
1017
            [\![]\!] \approx \text{ne}_{\cdot} : \forall \{\kappa\} \rightarrow \text{Type } \Delta \kappa \rightarrow \text{NeutralType } \Delta \kappa \rightarrow \text{Set}
1018
1019
            [\![]\!]r\approx: \forall \{\kappa\} \rightarrow \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta \ R[\kappa] \rightarrow \text{Row (SemType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \text{Set}
1020
            [\![\ ]\!] \approx_2 : \forall \{\kappa\} \rightarrow \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa \rightarrow \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa \rightarrow \mathsf{Set}
1021
            [\![(l_1,\tau)]\!] \approx_2 (l_2,V) = (l_1 \equiv l_2) \times ([\![\tau]\!] \approx V)
1022
1023
            SoundKripke : Type \Delta_1 (\kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2) \rightarrow KripkeFunction \Delta_1 \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow Set
1024
            \mathsf{SoundKripkeNE}: \mathsf{Type}\ \Delta_1\ (\kappa_1 \ `\rightarrow \kappa_2) \to \mathsf{KripkeFunctionNE}\ \Delta_1\ \kappa_1\ \kappa_2 \to \mathsf{Set}
1025
            - \tau is equivalent to neutral 'n' if it's equivalent
1026
            - to the \eta and map-id expansion of n
1027
            [\![ ]\!] \approx \text{ne} \ \tau \ n = \tau \equiv t \ (\eta - \text{norm } n)
1028
1029
```

```
1030
                            [\![]\!] \approx [\![ \kappa = \star ]\!] \tau_1 \tau_2 = \tau_1 \equiv t \cap \tau_2
1031
                           \llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx \_ \{ \kappa = \mathsf{L} \} \ \tau_1 \ \tau_2 = \tau_1 \equiv \mathsf{t} \ \uparrow \uparrow \tau_2 
1032
                           [\![ ]\!] \approx _{-} \{\Delta_{1}\} \{\kappa = \kappa_{1} \hookrightarrow \kappa_{2}\} f F = SoundKripke f F
1033
                            [\![]\!] \approx \{\Delta\} \{\kappa = R[\kappa]\} \tau (row (n, P) o\rho) =
1034
1035
                                    let xs = \text{$\mathbb{I}$} \text{Row (reifyRow } (n, P)) \text{ in}
1036
                                    (\tau \equiv t \mid xs) (from Witness (Ordered) (reify Row (n, P)) (reify Row Ordered (n P \circ \rho)))) \times
1037
                                    (\llbracket xs \rrbracket r \approx (n, P))
1038
                            [\![]\!] \approx \{\Delta\} \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\} \tau (l \triangleright V) = (\tau \equiv t (\text{NE } l \triangleright \text{ }) (\text{reify } V))) \times ([\![]\!] (\text{reify } V) ]\!] \approx V)
1039
                           \|\|\| = \{\Delta\} \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa] \} \tau ((\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) \{nr\}) = (\tau \equiv \mathsf{t} ((\mathsf{reify}((\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) \{nr\})))) \times (\||(\mathsf{reify}(\rho_2))\| \approx \rho_2)) \times (\||(\mathsf{reify}(\rho_2)\| \approx \rho_2)) \times (\||(\mathsf{re
1040
                           \| \approx \{\Delta\} \{ \kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa] \} \tau (\phi < > n) =
                                    \exists [f] ((\tau \equiv t (f < \$ > \uparrow NE n)) \times (SoundKripkeNE f \phi))
1042
                           [ ] r \approx (\text{zero}, P) = T
1043
                           [ ] r \approx (\operatorname{suc} n, P) = \bot
1044
                           \llbracket x :: \rho \rrbracket r \approx (\text{zero }, P) = \bot
1045
                           [\![ x :: \rho ]\!] r \approx (\text{suc } n, P) = ([\![ x ]\!] \approx_2 (P \text{ fzero})) \times [\![ \rho ]\!] r \approx (n, P \circ \text{fsuc})
1046
1047
                           SoundKripke \{\Delta_1 = \Delta_1\} \{\kappa_1 = \kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2 = \kappa_2\} f F =
1048
                                    \forall \{\Delta_2\} (\rho : \mathsf{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \{v \ V\} \rightarrow
1049
                                             \llbracket v \rrbracket \approx V \rightarrow
1050
1051
                                             [\![ (\operatorname{ren}_k \rho f \cdot v) ]\!] \approx (\operatorname{renKripke} \rho F \cdot V V)
1052
                           SoundKripkeNE \{\Delta_1 = \Delta_1\} \{\kappa_1 = \kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2 = \kappa_2\} f F =
1053
                                    \forall \{\Delta_2\} (r : \mathsf{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \{v \ V\} \rightarrow
1054
1055
                                             \llbracket v \rrbracket \approx \text{ne } V \rightarrow
1056
                                             [\![ (\operatorname{ren}_k r f \cdot v) ]\!] \approx (F r V)
1057
1058
                           6.4.1 Properties.
1059
1060
                           reflect-[] \approx : \forall \{ \tau : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa \} \{ v : \mathsf{NeutralType} \ \Delta \ \kappa \} \rightarrow
1061
                                                                                      \tau \equiv t \uparrow NE \ v \rightarrow \llbracket \ \tau \ \rrbracket \approx (reflect \ v)
1062
                            reify-[] \approx : \forall \{\tau : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa\} \{V : \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa\} \rightarrow
1063
                                                                                           \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \approx V \rightarrow \tau \equiv t \uparrow \text{ (reify } V)
1064
                           \eta-norm-\equivt : \forall (\tau : NeutralType \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \uparrow (\eta-norm \tau) \equivt \uparrowNE \tau
1065
                           subst-[] \approx : \forall \{\tau_1 \ \tau_2 : \text{Type } \Delta \ \kappa\} \rightarrow
1066
                                    \tau_1 \equiv t \ \tau_2 \rightarrow \{V : \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa\} \rightarrow \llbracket \ \tau_1 \ \rrbracket \approx V \rightarrow \llbracket \ \tau_2 \ \rrbracket \approx V
1067
1068
1069
                           6.4.2 Logical environments.
1070
                           [\![]\!] \approx e_{-} : \forall \{\Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\} \rightarrow Substitution_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2 \rightarrow Env \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2 \rightarrow Set
1071
                           [\![ ]\!] \approx e_{\{\Delta_1\}} \sigma \eta = \forall \{\kappa\} (\alpha : \mathsf{TVar} \Delta_1 \kappa) \to [\![ (\sigma \alpha) ]\!] \approx (\eta \alpha)
1072
1073
                           - Identity relation
1074
                           idSR : \forall \{\Delta_1\} \rightarrow [ ] ` ] \approx e (idEnv \{\Delta_1\})
1075
                           idSR \alpha = reflect-\| ≈ eq-refl
1076
1077
```

6.5 The fundamental theorem and soundness

```
1080
              fundS: \forall \{\Delta_1 \ \Delta_2 \ \kappa\}(\tau : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta_1 \ \kappa)\{\sigma : \mathsf{Substitution}_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\}\{\eta : \mathsf{Env} \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\} \rightarrow
1081
                                            \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \approx \mathfrak{e} \ \eta \rightarrow \llbracket \operatorname{sub}_k \sigma \tau \rrbracket \approx (\operatorname{eval} \tau \eta)
              fundSRow : \forall \{\Delta_1 \ \Delta_2 \ \kappa\}(xs : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta_1 \ \text{R}[\ \kappa\ ]) \{\sigma : \text{Substitution}_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\} \{\eta : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\} \rightarrow \blacksquare
1082
1083
                                            \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \approx \eta \rightarrow \llbracket \operatorname{subRow}_k \sigma xs \rrbracket r \approx (\operatorname{evalRow} xs \eta)
1084
              fundSPred : \forall \{\Delta_1 \ \kappa\}(\pi : \text{Pred Type } \Delta_1 \ \text{R}[\ \kappa\ ]) \{\sigma : \text{Substitution}_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\} \{\eta : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\} \rightarrow \Lambda_1 \ \Lambda_2 \}
1085
                                            \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \approx \eta \rightarrow (\mathsf{subPred}_k \ \sigma \ \pi) \equiv \mathsf{p} \ \mathsf{\uparrow\!Pred} \ (\mathsf{evalPred} \ \pi \ \eta)
1086
1087
1088
              - Fundamental theorem when substitution is the identity
1089
              \operatorname{sub}_{k}-id : \forall (\tau : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa) \rightarrow \operatorname{sub}_{k} \ \ \tau \equiv \tau
1091
              \vdash \llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx : \forall \ (\tau : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa) \rightarrow \llbracket \ \tau \ \rrbracket \approx \mathsf{eval} \ \tau \ \mathsf{idEnv}
1092
              \parallel \tau \parallel \approx \text{ = subst-} \parallel \approx \text{ (inst (sub}_k\text{-id }\tau)) \text{ (fundS }\tau \text{ idSR)}
1093
1094
1095
              - Soundness claim
1096
              soundness : \forall \{\Delta_1 \ \kappa\} \rightarrow (\tau : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta_1 \ \kappa) \rightarrow \tau \equiv \mathsf{t} \ \uparrow (\Downarrow \tau)
1097
              soundness \tau = \text{reify-}[] \approx (\vdash [\![ \tau ]\!] \approx)
1098
1099
1100
              - If 	au_1 normalizes to 	extstyle 	au_2 then the embedding of 	au_1 is equivalent to 	au_2
1101
              embed-\equivt : \forall \{\tau_1 : NormalType \Delta \kappa\} \{\tau_2 : Type \Delta \kappa\} \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv (\downarrow \downarrow \tau_2) \rightarrow \uparrow \tau_1 \equiv t \tau_2
1102
1103
              embed-\equivt {\tau_1 = \tau_1} {\tau_2} refl = eq-sym (soundness \tau_2)
1104
1105
              - Soundness implies the converse of completeness, as desired
1106
1107
              Completeness<sup>-1</sup>: \forall \{\Delta \kappa\} \rightarrow (\tau_1 \ \tau_2 : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \ \ \tau_1 \equiv \ \ \ \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv \mathsf{t} \ \tau_2
1108
```

7 The rest of the picture

In the remainder of the development, we intrinsically represent terms as typing judgments indexed by normal types. We then give a typed reduction relation on terms and show progress.

Completeness⁻¹ τ_1 τ_2 eq = eq-trans (soundness τ_1) (embed- \equiv t eq)

8 Most closely related work

```
8.0.1 Chapman et al. [2019].
```

8.0.2 Allais et al. [2013].

References

 Guillaume Allais, Pierre Boutillier, and Conor McBride. New equations for neutral terms: A sound and complete decision procedure, formalized, 2013. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/1304.0809.

James Chapman, Roman Kireev, Chad Nester, and Philip Wadler. System F in agda, for fun and profit. In Graham Hutton, editor, *Mathematics of Program Construction - 13th International Conference, MPC 2019, Porto, Portugal, October 7-9, 2019, Proceedings*, volume 11825 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 255–297. Springer, 2019. ISBN 978-3-030-33635-6. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-33636-3_10. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-33636-3_10.

Alex Hubers and J. Garrett Morris. Generic programming with extensible data types: Or, making ad hoc extensible data types less ad hoc. *Proc. ACM Program. Lang.*, 7(ICFP):356–384, 2023. doi: 10.1145/3607843. URL https://doi.org/10.1145/3607843.

Philip Wadler, Wen Kokke, and Jeremy G. Siek. *Programming Language Foundations in Agda.* August 2022. URL https://plfa.inf.ed.ac.uk/20.08/.