

Normalization By Evaluation of Types in $R\omega\mu$

ALEX HUBERS, The University of Iowa, USA

ABSTRACT

We describe the normalization-by-evaluation (NbE) of types in $R\omega\mu$, a row calculus with recursive types, qualified types, and a novel *row complement* operator. Types are normalized to $\beta\eta$ -long forms modulo a type equivalence relation. Because the type system of $R\omega\mu$ is a strict extension of System $F\omega\mu$, much of the type reduction is isomorphic to reduction of terms in the STLC. Novel to this report are the reductions of row, record, and variant types.

1 THE $R\omega\mu$ CALCULUS

For reference, Figure 1 describes the syntax of kinds, predicates, and types in $R\omega\mu$. We forego further description to the next section.

Type variables $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ Labels $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$

Kinds $\kappa ::= \star \mid L \mid R^K \mid \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$
Predicates $\pi, \psi ::= \rho \lesssim \rho \mid \rho \odot \rho \sim \rho$
Types $\mathcal{T} \ni \phi, \tau, v, \rho, \xi ::= \alpha \mid \pi \Rightarrow \tau \mid \forall \alpha : \kappa. \tau \mid \lambda \alpha : \kappa. \tau \mid \tau \tau$
 $\mid \{\xi_i \triangleright \tau_i\}_{i \in 0 \dots m} \mid \ell \mid \# \tau \mid \phi \$ \rho \mid \rho \setminus \rho$
 $\mid \tau \rightarrow \tau \mid \Pi \mid \Sigma \mid \mu \phi$

Fig. 1. Syntax

1.1 Example types

Wand's problem and a record modifier:

```
wand :  $\forall l \ x \ y \ z \ t. \ x \odot y \sim z, \{l \triangleright t\} \lesssim z \Rightarrow \#l \rightarrow \Pi x \rightarrow \Pi y \rightarrow t$   
modify :  $\forall l \ t \ u \ y \ z1 \ z2. \{l \triangleright t\} \odot y \sim z1, \{l \triangleright u\} \odot y \sim z2 \Rightarrow$   
 $\#l \rightarrow (t \rightarrow u) \rightarrow \Pi z1 \rightarrow \Pi z2$ 
```

"Deriving" functor typeclass instances:

```
type Functor :  $(\star \rightarrow \star) \rightarrow \star$   
type Functor =  $\lambda f. \forall a \ b. (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow f \ a \rightarrow f \ b$ 
```

```
fmapS :  $\forall z : R[\star \rightarrow \star]. \Pi (Functor \ z) \rightarrow Functor (\Sigma \ z)$   
fmapP :  $\forall z : R[\star \rightarrow \star]. \Pi (Functor \ z) \rightarrow Functor (\Pi \ z)$ 
```

And a desugaring of booleans to Church encodings:

```
desugar :  $\forall y. BoolF \lesssim y, LamF \lesssim y \setminus BoolF \Rightarrow$   
 $\Pi (Functor (y \setminus BoolF)) \rightarrow \mu (\Sigma \ y) \rightarrow \mu (\Sigma (y \setminus BoolF))$ 
```

2 MECHANIZED SYNTAX

2.1 Kind syntax

Our formalization of $R\omega\mu$ types is *intrinsic*, meaning we define the syntax of *typing* and *kinding judgments*, foregoing any formalization of or indexing-by untyped syntax. The only "untyped" syntax is that of kinds, which are well-formed grammatically. We give the syntax of kinds and kinding environments below.

```
data Kind : Set where
  ★      : Kind
  L      : Kind
  _'→_   : Kind → Kind → Kind
  R[_]   : Kind → Kind

infixr 5 _'→_
```

The kind system of $R\omega\mu$ defines \star as the type of types; L as the type of labels; (\rightarrow) as the type of type operators; and $R[\kappa]$ as the type of rows containing types at kind κ .

The syntax of kinding environments is given below. Kinding environments are isomorphic to lists of kinds.

```
data KEnv : Set where
  ∅ : KEnv
  _»_ : KEnv → Kind → KEnv
```

Let the metavariables Δ and κ range over kinding environments and kinds, respectively. Correspondingly, we define *generalized variables* in Agda at these names.

```
private
variable
  Δ Δ1 Δ2 Δ3 : KEnv
  κ κ1 κ2 : Kind
```

The syntax of intrinsically well-scoped De-Brujin type variables is given below. Type variables indexed in this way are analogous to the $_ \in _$ relation for Agda lists—that is, each type variable is itself a proof of its location within the kinding environment.

```
data TVar : KEnv → Kind → Set where
  Z : TVar (Δ » κ) κ
  S : TVar Δ κ1 → TVar (Δ » κ2) κ1
```

2.1.1 Partitioning kinds. It will be necessary to partition kinds by two predicates. The predicate `NotLabel` κ is satisfied if κ is neither of label kind, a row of label kind, nor a type operator that returns a labeled kind. It is trivial to show that this predicate is decidable.

```

99
100   NotLabel : Kind → Set                               notLabel? : ∀ κ → Dec (NotLabel κ)
101   NotLabel ★ = ⊤                                       notLabel? ★ = yes tt
102   NotLabel L = ⊥                                       notLabel? L = no λ ()
103   NotLabel (κ1 '→ κ2) = NotLabel κ2               notLabel? (κ '→ κ1) = notLabel? κ1
104   NotLabel R[ κ ] = NotLabel κ                       notLabel? R[ κ ] = notLabel? κ
105

```

The predicate `Ground κ` is satisfied when κ is the kind of types or labels, and is necessary to reserve the promotion of neutral types to just those at these kinds. It is again trivial to show that this predicate is decidable, and so a definition of `ground?` is omitted.

```

109   Ground : Kind → Set
110   ground? : ∀ κ → Dec (Ground κ)
111   Ground ★ = ⊤
112   Ground L = ⊤
113   Ground (κ '→ κ1) = ⊥
114   Ground R[ κ ] = ⊥
115

```

2.2 Type syntax

We represent the judgment $\Gamma \vdash \tau : \kappa$ intrinsically as the data type `Type Δ κ`. The data type `Pred Type Δ R[κ]` represents well-kinded predicates indexed by `Type Δ κ`. The two are necessarily mutually inductive. Note that the syntax of predicates will be the same for both types and normalized types, and so the `Pred` data type is indexed abstractly by type `Ty`.

```

117
118
119   data Pred (Ty : KEnv → Kind → Set) Δ : Kind → Set
120   data Type Δ : Kind → Set
121

```

We must also define syntax for *simple rows*, that is, row literals. For uniformity of kind indexing, we define a `SimpleRow` by pattern matching on the syntax of kinds. Like with `Pred`, simple rows are indexed by abstract type `Ty` so that we may reuse the same pattern for normalized types.

```

122
123   SimpleRow : (Ty : KEnv → Kind → Set) → KEnv → Kind → Set
124   SimpleRow Ty Δ R[ κ ] = List (Label × Ty Δ κ)
125   SimpleRow _ _ _ = ⊥
126

```

A simple row is *ordered* if it is of length ≤ 1 or its corresponding labels are ordered according to some total order $<$. We will restrict the formation of row literals to just those that are ordered, which has two key consequences: first, it guarantees a normal form (later) for simple rows, and second, it enforces that labels be unique in each row. It is easy to show that the `Ordered` predicate is decidable.

```

127
128   Ordered : SimpleRow Type Δ R[ κ ] → Set
129   ordered? : ∀ (xs : SimpleRow Type Δ R[ κ ]) → Dec (Ordered xs)
130   Ordered [] = ⊤
131   Ordered (x :: []) = ⊤
132   Ordered ((l1 , _) :: (l2 , τ) :: xs) = l1 < l2 × Ordered ((l2 , τ) :: xs)
133

```

The syntax of well-kinded predicates is exactly as expected.

148 **data** **Pred** $Ty \Delta$ **where**

149 $_ \cdot _ _ : (\rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_3 : Ty \Delta R[\kappa]) \rightarrow Pred\ Ty \Delta R[\kappa]$

150 $_ \lesssim _ : (\rho_1 \rho_2 : Ty \Delta R[\kappa]) \rightarrow Pred\ Ty \Delta R[\kappa]$

151
152 The syntax of kinding judgments is given below. The formation rules for λ -abstractions, applica-
153 tions, arrow types, and \forall and μ types are standard and omitted.

154 **data** **Type** Δ **where**

155 $_ ' : (\alpha : TVar \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow Type \Delta \kappa$

156
157 The constructor $_ \Rightarrow _$ forms a qualified type given a well-kinded predicate π and a \star -kinded body
158 τ .

159 $_ \Rightarrow _ : (\pi : Pred\ Type \Delta R[\kappa_1]) \rightarrow (\tau : Type \Delta \star) \rightarrow Type \Delta \star$

160
161 Labels are formed from label literals and cast to kind \star via the $_ _$ constructor.

162 **lab** : $(l : Label) \rightarrow Type \Delta L$

163 $_ _ : (\tau : Type \Delta L) \rightarrow Type \Delta \star$

164
165 We finally describe row formation. The constructor $_ _$ forms a row literal from a well-ordered
166 simple row. We additionally allow the syntax $_ \triangleright _$ for constructing row singletons of (perhaps)
167 variable label; this role can be performed by $_ _$ when the label is a literal. The $_ <\$> _$ constructor
168 describes the map of a type operator over a row. Π and Σ form records and variants from rows for
169 which the `NotLabel` predicate is satisfied. Finally, the $_ \setminus _$ constructor forms the relative complement
170 of two rows. The novelty in this report will come from showing how types of these forms reduce.

171 $_ _ : (xs : SimpleRow\ Type \Delta R[\kappa]) (ordered : True (ordered? xs)) \rightarrow Type \Delta R[\kappa]$

172 $_ \triangleright _ : (l : Type \Delta L) \rightarrow (\tau : Type \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow Type \Delta R[\kappa]$

173 $_ <\$> _ : (\phi : Type \Delta (\kappa_1 \rightarrow \kappa_2)) \rightarrow (\tau : Type \Delta R[\kappa_1]) \rightarrow Type \Delta R[\kappa_2]$

174 $\Pi : \{notLabel : True (notLabel? \kappa)\} \rightarrow Type \Delta (R[\kappa] \rightarrow \kappa)$

175 $\Sigma : \{notLabel : True (notLabel? \kappa)\} \rightarrow Type \Delta (R[\kappa] \rightarrow \kappa)$

176 $_ \setminus _ : Type \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow Type \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow Type \Delta R[\kappa]$

177
178
179 **2.2.1 The ordered predicate.** We impose on the $_ _$ constructor a witness of the form `True`
180 `(ordered? xs)`, although it may seem more intuitive to have instead simply required a witness that
181 `Ordered xs`. The reason for this is that the `True` predicate quotients each proof down to a single
182 inhabitant `tt`, which grants us proof irrelevance when comparing rows. This is desirable and yields
183 congruence rules that would otherwise be blocked by two differing proofs of well-orderedness.
184 The congruence rule below asserts that two simple rows are equivalent even with differing proofs.
185 (This pattern is replicable for any decidable predicate.)

186
187 **cong-SimpleRow** : $\{sr_1 sr_2 : SimpleRow\ Type \Delta R[\kappa]\}$

188 $\{wf_1 : True (ordered? sr_1)\} \{wf_2 : True (ordered? sr_2)\} \rightarrow$

189 $sr_1 \equiv sr_2 \rightarrow (_ _ sr_1) wf_1 \equiv (_ _ sr_2) wf_2$

190 **cong-SimpleRow** $\{sr_1 = sr_1\} \{wf_1\} \{wf_2\}$ **refl**

191 **rewrite** **Dec** \rightarrow **Irrelevant** (**Ordered** sr_1) (**ordered?** sr_1) $wf_1\ wf_2 =$ **refl**

192
193 In the same fashion, we impose on Π and Σ a similar restriction that their kinds satisfy the
194 `NotLabel` predicate, although our reason for this restriction is instead metatheoretic: without it,
195 nonsensical labels could be formed such as $\Pi\ (\text{lab } "a" \triangleright \text{lab } "b")$ or $\Pi\ \epsilon$. Each of these types

have kind L , which violates a label canonicity theorem we later show that all label-kinded types in normal form are label literals or neutral.

2.2.2 Flipped map operator.

Hubers and Morris [2023] had a left- and right-mapping operator, but only one is necessary. The flipped application (flap) operator is defined below. Its type reveals its purpose.

```
flap : Type  $\Delta$  (R[  $\kappa_1 \rightarrow \kappa_2$  ]  $\rightarrow$   $\kappa_1 \rightarrow$  R[  $\kappa_2$  ])
flap = 'λ ('λ (('λ (('Z) · ('(S Z)))) <$> ('(S Z))))
_??_ : Type  $\Delta$  (R[  $\kappa_1 \rightarrow \kappa_2$  ])  $\rightarrow$  Type  $\Delta$   $\kappa_1 \rightarrow$  Type  $\Delta$  R[  $\kappa_2$  ]
f ?? a = flap · f · a
```

2.2.3 The (syntactic) complement operator.

It is necessary to give a syntactic account of the computation incurred by the complement of two row literals so that we can state this computation later in the type equivalence relation. First, define a relation $\ell \in_L \rho$ that is inhabited when the label literal ℓ occurs in the row ρ . This relation is decidable ($\in_L?$, definition omitted).

```
data _∈L_ : (l : Label)  $\rightarrow$  SimpleRow Type  $\Delta$  R[  $\kappa$  ]  $\rightarrow$  Set where
  Here :  $\forall \{ \tau : \text{Type } \Delta \kappa \} \{ xs : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta \text{ R[ } \kappa \text{ ]} \} \{ l : \text{Label} \} \rightarrow$ 
     $l \in_L (l, \tau) :: xs$ 
  There :  $\forall \{ \tau : \text{Type } \Delta \kappa \} \{ xs : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta \text{ R[ } \kappa \text{ ]} \} \{ l' : \text{Label} \} \rightarrow$ 
     $l \in_L xs \rightarrow l \in_L (l', \tau) :: xs$ 
_∈L?_ :  $\forall (l : \text{Label}) (xs : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta \text{ R[ } \kappa \text{ ]}) \rightarrow \text{Dec } (l \in_L xs)$ 
```

We now define the syntactic row complement effectively as a filter: when a label on the left is found in the row on the right, we exclude that labeled entry from the resulting row.

```
_ \s_ :  $\forall (xs \ ys : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta \text{ R[ } \kappa \text{ ]}) \rightarrow \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta \text{ R[ } \kappa \text{ ]}$ 
[] \s ys = []
((l,  $\tau$ ) :: xs) \s ys with l ∈L? ys
... | yes _ = xs \s ys
... | no _ = (l,  $\tau$ ) :: (xs \s ys)
```

2.2.4 Type renaming and substitution.

A type variable renaming is a map from type variables in environment Δ_1 to type variables in environment Δ_2 .

```
Renamingk : KEnv  $\rightarrow$  KEnv  $\rightarrow$  Set
Renamingk  $\Delta_1 \Delta_2 = \forall \{ \kappa \} \rightarrow \text{TVar } \Delta_1 \kappa \rightarrow \text{TVar } \Delta_2 \kappa$ 
```

This definition and approach is standard for the intrinsic style (cf. Chapman et al. [2019]; Wadler et al. [2022]) and so definitions are omitted. The only deviation of interest is that we have an obligation to show that renaming preserves the well-orderedness of simple rows. Note that we use the suffix $_k$ for common operations over the Type and Pred syntax; we will use the suffix $_k\text{NF}$ for equivalent operations over the normal type syntax.

```
orderedRenRowk : (r : Renamingk  $\Delta_1 \Delta_2$ )  $\rightarrow$  (xs : SimpleRow Type  $\Delta_1$  R[  $\kappa$  ])  $\rightarrow$  Ordered xs  $\rightarrow$ 
  Ordered (renRowk r xs)
```

A substitution is a map from type variables to types.

```
Substitutionk : KEnv → KEnv → Set
Substitutionk Δ1 Δ2 = ∀ {κ} → TVar Δ1 κ → Type Δ2 κ
```

Parallel renaming and substitution is likewise standard for this approach, and so definitions are omitted. As will become a theme, we must show that substitution preserves row well-orderedness.

```
orderedSubRowk : (σ : Substitutionk Δ1 Δ2) → (xs : SimpleRow Type Δ1 R[κ]) → Ordered xs →
  Ordered (subRowk σ xs)
```

Two operations of note: extension of a substitution σ appends a new type A as the zero'th De Bruijn index. β -substitution is a special case of substitution in which we only substitute the most recently freed variable.

```
extendk : Substitutionk Δ1 Δ2 → (A : Type Δ2 κ) → Substitutionk (Δ1 ,, κ) Δ2
extendk σ A Z = A
extendk σ A (S x) = σ x
```

```
_βk[_] : Type (Δ ,, κ1) κ2 → Type Δ κ1 → Type Δ κ2
B βk[ A ] = subk (extendk ' A) B
```

2.3 Type equivalence

We define reduction on types $\tau \rightarrow_{\mathcal{T}} \tau'$ by directing the following type equivalence judgment $\Delta \vdash \tau = \tau' : \kappa$ from left to right. We equate types under the relation $\equiv_{\mathbf{t}}$, predicates under the relation $\equiv_{\mathbf{p}}$, and row literals under the relation $\equiv_{\mathbf{r}}$.

```
data _≡p_ : Pred Type Δ R[κ] → Pred Type Δ R[κ] → Set
data _≡t_ : Type Δ κ → Type Δ κ → Set
data _≡r_ : SimpleRow Type Δ R[κ] → SimpleRow Type Δ R[κ] → Set
```

Declare the following as generalized metavariables to reduce clutter. (N.b., generalized variables in Agda are not dependent upon each other, e.g., it is not true that ρ_1 and ρ_2 must have equal kinds when ρ_1 and ρ_2 appear in the same type signature.)

```
private
variable
  ℓ ℓ1 ℓ2 ℓ3 : Label
  l l1 l2 l3 : Type Δ L
  ρ1 ρ2 ρ3 : Type Δ R[κ]
  π1 π2 : Pred Type Δ R[κ]
  τ τ1 τ2 τ3 v v1 v2 v3 : Type Δ κ
```

Row literals and predicates are equated in an obvious fashion.

```
data _≡r_ where
  eq-[] : _≡r_ {Δ = Δ} {κ = κ} [] []
  eq-cons : {xs ys : SimpleRow Type Δ R[κ]} →
    ℓ1 ≡ ℓ2 → τ1 ≡t τ2 → xs ≡r ys →
    ((ℓ1 , τ1) :: xs) ≡r ((ℓ2 , τ2) :: ys)
```

295 **data** `_≡p_` **where**

296 `_eq-≤_` : $\tau_1 \equiv t \, v_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \equiv t \, v_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \lesssim \tau_2 \equiv p \, v_1 \lesssim v_2$
 297 `_eq-·~_` : $\tau_1 \equiv t \, v_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \equiv t \, v_2 \rightarrow \tau_3 \equiv t \, v_3 \rightarrow$
 298 $\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 \sim \tau_3 \equiv p \, v_1 \cdot v_2 \sim v_3$
 299

300 The first three type equivalence rules enforce that `_≡t_` forms an equivalence relation.

301 **data** `_≡t_` **where**

302 `eq-refl` : $\tau \equiv t \, \tau$
 303 `eq-sym` : $\tau_1 \equiv t \, \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 \equiv t \, \tau_1$
 304 `eq-trans` : $\tau_1 \equiv t \, \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 \equiv t \, \tau_3 \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv t \, \tau_3$
 305

306 We next have a number of congruence rules. As this is type-level normalization, we equate under binders such as λ and \forall . The rule for congruence under λ bindings is below; the remaining congruence rules are omitted.

310 `eq-λ` : $\forall \{ \tau \, v : \text{Type} \, (\Delta \, \kappa_1) \, \kappa_2 \} \rightarrow \tau \equiv t \, v \rightarrow ' \lambda \, \tau \equiv t \, ' \lambda \, v$
 311

312 We have two "expansion" rules and one composition rule. Firstly, arrow-kinded types are η -expanded to have an outermost lambda binding. This later ensures canonicity of arrow-kinded types.

315 `eq-η` : $\forall \{ f : \text{Type} \, \Delta \, (\kappa_1 \rightarrow \kappa_2) \} \rightarrow f \equiv t \, ' \lambda \, (\text{weaken}_k \, f \cdot (' \, Z))$
 316

317 Analogously, row-kinded variables left alone are expanded to a map by the identity function. Additionally, nested maps are composed together into one map. These rules together ensure canonical forms for row-kinded normal types. Observe that the last two rules are effectively functorial laws.

322 `eq-map-id` : $\forall \{ \kappa \} \{ \tau : \text{Type} \, \Delta \, R[\kappa] \} \rightarrow \tau \equiv t \, (' \lambda \, \{ \kappa_1 = \kappa \} \, (' \, Z)) <\$> \tau$
 323 `eq-map-◦` : $\forall \{ \kappa_3 \} \{ f : \text{Type} \, \Delta \, (\kappa_2 \rightarrow \kappa_3) \} \{ g : \text{Type} \, \Delta \, (\kappa_1 \rightarrow \kappa_2) \} \{ \tau : \text{Type} \, \Delta \, R[\kappa_1] \} \rightarrow$
 324 $(f <\$> (g <\$> \tau)) \equiv t \, (' \lambda \, (\text{weaken}_k \, f \cdot (\text{weaken}_k \, g \cdot (' \, Z)))) <\$> \tau$
 325

326 We now describe the computational rules that incur type reduction. Rule `eq-β` is the usual β -reduction rule. Rule `eq-labTy` asserts that the constructor `_>_` is indeed superfluous when describing singleton rows with a label literal; singleton rows of the form $(\ell \triangleright \tau)$ are normalized into row literals.

330 `eq-β` : $\forall \{ \tau_1 : \text{Type} \, (\Delta \, \kappa_1) \, \kappa_2 \} \{ \tau_2 : \text{Type} \, \Delta \, \kappa_1 \} \rightarrow$
 331 $((' \lambda \, \tau_1) \cdot \tau_2) \equiv t \, (\tau_1 \, \beta_k[\tau_2])$
 332 `eq-labTy` : $l \equiv t \, \text{lab} \, \ell \rightarrow (l \triangleright \tau) \equiv t \, ([(\ell, \tau)] \, \text{tt})$
 333

334 The rule `eq->$` describes that mapping F over a singleton row is simply application of F over the row's contents. Rule `eq-map` asserts exactly the same except for row literals; the function over $_r$ (definition omitted) is simply `fmap` over a pair's right component. Rule `eq-<$>-` asserts that mapping F over a row complement is distributive.

339 `eq->$` : $\forall \{ l \} \{ \tau : \text{Type} \, \Delta \, \kappa_1 \} \{ F : \text{Type} \, \Delta \, (\kappa_1 \rightarrow \kappa_2) \} \rightarrow$
 340 $(F <\$> (l \triangleright \tau)) \equiv t \, (l \triangleright (F \cdot \tau))$
 341 `eq-map` : $\forall \{ F : \text{Type} \, \Delta \, (\kappa_1 \rightarrow \kappa_2) \} \{ \rho : \text{SimpleRow Type} \, \Delta \, R[\kappa_1] \} \{ op : \text{True} \, (\text{ordered?} \, \rho) \} \rightarrow$
 342 $F <\$> ([\rho] \, op) \equiv t \, ([\text{map} \, (\text{over}_r \, (F \cdot _)) \, \rho] \, (\text{fromWitness} \, (\text{map-over}_r \, \rho \, (F \cdot _)) \, (\text{toWitness} \, op))))$
 343

eq- $\langle \$ \rangle \setminus$: $\forall \{F : \text{Type} \Delta (\kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\text{'}} \kappa_2)\} \{\rho_2 \rho_1 : \text{Type} \Delta R[\kappa_1]\} \rightarrow$
 $F \langle \$ \rangle (\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) \equiv t (F \langle \$ \rangle \rho_2) \setminus (F \langle \$ \rangle \rho_1)$

The rules eq- Π and eq- Σ give the defining equations of Π and Σ at nested row kind. This is to say, application of Π to a nested row is equivalent to mapping Π over the row.

eq- Π : $\forall \{\rho : \text{Type} \Delta R[R[\kappa]]\} \{nl : \text{True} (\text{notLabel? } \kappa)\} \rightarrow$
 $\Pi \{notLabel = nl\} \cdot \rho \equiv t \Pi \{notLabel = nl\} \langle \$ \rangle \rho$
 eq- Σ : $\forall \{\rho : \text{Type} \Delta R[R[\kappa]]\} \{nl : \text{True} (\text{notLabel? } \kappa)\} \rightarrow$
 $\Sigma \{notLabel = nl\} \cdot \rho \equiv t \Sigma \{notLabel = nl\} \langle \$ \rangle \rho$

The next two rules assert that Π and Σ can reassociate from left-to-right except with the new right-applicand "flapped".

eq- Π -assoc : $\forall \{\rho : \text{Type} \Delta (R[\kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\text{'}} \kappa_2])\} \{\tau : \text{Type} \Delta \kappa_1\} \{nl : \text{True} (\text{notLabel? } \kappa_2)\} \rightarrow$
 $(\Pi \{notLabel = nl\} \cdot \rho) \cdot \tau \equiv t \Pi \{notLabel = nl\} \cdot (\rho ?? \tau)$
 eq- Σ -assoc : $\forall \{\rho : \text{Type} \Delta (R[\kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\text{'}} \kappa_2])\} \{\tau : \text{Type} \Delta \kappa_1\} \{nl : \text{True} (\text{notLabel? } \kappa_2)\} \rightarrow$
 $(\Sigma \{notLabel = nl\} \cdot \rho) \cdot \tau \equiv t \Sigma \{notLabel = nl\} \cdot (\rho ?? \tau)$

Finally, the rule eq-comp1 gives computational content to the relative row complement operator applied to row literals.

eq-comp1 : $\forall \{xs \ ys : \text{SimpleRow Type} \Delta R[\kappa]\}$
 $\{oxs : \text{True} (\text{ordered? } xs)\} \{oys : \text{True} (\text{ordered? } ys)\} \{ozs : \text{True} (\text{ordered? } (xs \setminus s \ ys))\} \rightarrow$
 $((\setminus xs) \setminus oxs) \setminus ((\setminus ys) \setminus oys) \equiv t ((\setminus xs \setminus s \ ys) \setminus ozs)$

Before concluding, we share an auxiliary definition that reflects instances of propositional equality in Agda to proofs of type-equivalence. The same role could be performed via Agda's `subst` but without the convenience.

inst : $\forall \{\tau_1 \ \tau_2 : \text{Type} \Delta \kappa\} \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv t \tau_2$
 inst refl = eq-refl

2.3.1 Some admissable rules. In early versions of this equivalence relation, we thought it would be necessary to impose the following two rules directly. However, we can confirm their admissability. The first rule states that Π is mapped over nested rows, and the second (definition omitted) states that λ -bindings η -expand over Π . (These results hold identically for Σ .)

eq- $\Pi \triangleright$: $\forall \{l\} \{\tau : \text{Type} \Delta R[\kappa]\} \{nl : \text{True} (\text{notLabel? } \kappa)\} \rightarrow$
 $(\Pi \{notLabel = nl\} \cdot (l \triangleright \tau)) \equiv t (l \triangleright (\Pi \{notLabel = nl\} \cdot \tau))$
 eq- $\Pi \triangleright$ = eq-trans eq- Π eq- $\triangleright \$$
 eq- $\Pi \lambda$: $\forall \{l\} \{\tau : \text{Type} (\Delta \text{'}, \kappa_1) \kappa_2\} \{nl : \text{True} (\text{notLabel? } \kappa_2)\} \rightarrow$
 $\Pi \{notLabel = nl\} \cdot (l \triangleright \text{' } \lambda \ \tau) \equiv t \text{' } \lambda (\Pi \{notLabel = nl\} \cdot (\text{weaken}_\kappa l \triangleright \tau))$

3 NORMAL FORMS

By directing the type equivalence relation we define computation on types. This serves as a sort of specification on the shape normal forms of types ought to have. Our grammar for normal types must be carefully crafted so as to be neither too "large" nor too "small". In particular, we wish our normalization algorithm to be *stable*, which implies surjectivity. Hence if the normal syntax is too large—i.e., it produces junk types—then these junk types will have pre-images in the domain of normalization. Inversely, if the normal syntax is too small, then there will be types whose normal forms cannot be expressed. Figure 2 specifies the syntax and typing of normal types, given as reference. We describe the syntax in more depth by describing its intrinsic mechanization.

	Type variables $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$	Labels $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$
Ground Kinds	$\gamma ::= \star \mid \mathbf{L}$	
Kinds	$\kappa ::= \gamma \mid \kappa \rightarrow \kappa \mid \mathbf{R}^\kappa$	
Row Literals	$\hat{\mathcal{P}} \ni \hat{\rho} ::= \{\ell_i \triangleright \hat{\tau}_i\}_{i \in 0 \dots m}$	
Neutral Types	$n ::= \alpha \mid n \hat{\tau}$	
Normal Types	$\hat{\mathcal{T}} \ni \hat{\tau}, \hat{\phi} ::= n \mid \hat{\phi} \$ n \mid \hat{\rho} \mid \hat{\pi} \Rightarrow \hat{\tau} \mid \forall \alpha : \kappa. \hat{\tau} \mid \lambda \alpha : \kappa. \hat{\tau}$ $\mid n \triangleright \hat{\tau} \mid \ell \mid \# \hat{\tau} \mid \hat{\tau} \setminus \hat{\tau} \mid \Pi \hat{\tau} \mid \Sigma \hat{\tau}$	

Fig. 2. Normal type forms

3.1 Mechanized syntax

We define `NormalTypes` and `NormalPreds` analogously to `Types` and `Preds`. Recall that `Pred` and `SimpleRow` are indexed by the type of their contents, so we can reuse some code.

```
data NormalType (Δ : KEnv) : Kind → Set
NormalPred : KEnv → Kind → Set
NormalPred = Pred NormalType
```

We must declare an analogous orderedness predicate, this time for normal types. Its definition is nearly identical.

```
NormalOrdered : SimpleRow NormalType Δ R[κ] → Set
normalOrdered? : ∀ (xs : SimpleRow NormalType Δ R[κ]) → Dec (NormalOrdered xs)
```

Further, we define the predicate `NotSimpleRow` ρ to be true precisely when ρ is not a simple row. This is necessary because the row complement $\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1$ should reduce when each ρ_i is a row literal. So it is necessary when forming normal row-complements to specify that at least one of the complement operands is a non-literal. The predicate `True` (`notSimpleRows? ρ_1 ρ_2`) is satisfied precisely in this case.

```
NotSimpleRow : NormalType Δ R[κ] → Set
notSimpleRows? : ∀ (τ1 τ2 : NormalType Δ R[κ]) →
  Dec (NotSimpleRow τ1 or NotSimpleRow τ2)
```

Neutral types are type variables and applications with type variables in head position.

```
data NeutralType Δ : Kind → Set where
  ' : (α : TVar Δ κ) → NeutralType Δ κ
```

```

442  _·_ : (f : NeutralType Δ (κ1 '→ κ)) → (τ : NormalType Δ κ1) →
443      NeutralType Δ κ
444

```

We define the normal type syntax firstly by restricting the promotion of neutral types to normal forms at only *ground* kind.

```

447  data NormalType Δ where
448    ne : (x : NeutralType Δ κ) → {ground : True (ground? κ)} → NormalType Δ κ
449

```

As discussed above, we restrict the formation of inert row complements to just those in which at least one operand is non-literal.

```

452  _\_ : (ρ2 ρ1 : NormalType Δ R[ κ ]) → {nsr : True (notSimpleRows? ρ2 ρ1)} →
453      NormalType Δ R[ κ ]
454

```

We define inert maps as part of the NormalType syntax rather than the NeutralType syntax. Observe that a consequence of this decision (as opposed to letting the form $_<\$>_$ be neutral) is that all inert maps must have the mapped function composed into just one applicand. For example, the type $\phi_2 <\$> (\phi_1 \ n)$ must recombine into $(\lambda \alpha. (\phi_2 (\phi_1 \ \alpha))) <\$> n$ to be in normal form.

```

460  _<\$>_ : (φ : NormalType Δ (κ1 '→ κ2)) → NeutralType Δ R[ κ1 ] → NormalType Δ R[ κ2 ]
461

```

we need only permit the formation of records and variants at kind \star , and we restrict the formation of neutral-labeled rows to just the singleton constructor $_>n_$.

```

464  Π : (ρ : NormalType Δ R[ ★ ]) → NormalType Δ ★
465  Σ : (ρ : NormalType Δ R[ ★ ]) → NormalType Δ ★
466  _>n_ : (l : NeutralType Δ L) (τ : NormalType Δ κ) → NormalType Δ R[ κ ]
467

```

The remaining cases are identical to the regular Type syntax and omitted.

3.2 Canonicity of normal types

The syntax of normal types is defined precisely so as to enjoy canonical forms based on kind. We first demonstrate that neutral types and inert complements cannot occur in empty contexts.

```

474  noNeutrals : NeutralType ∅ κ → ⊥
475

```

```

476  noNeutrals (n · τ) = noNeutrals n
477

```

```

477  noComplements : ∀ {ρ1 ρ2 ρ3 : NormalType ∅ R[ κ ]}
478    (nsr : True (notSimpleRows? ρ3 ρ2)) →
479    ρ1 ≡ (ρ3 \ ρ2) {nsr} →
480    ⊥
481

```

Now, in any context an arrow-kinded type is canonically λ -bound:

```

483  arrow-canonicity : (f : NormalType Δ (κ1 '→ κ2)) → ∃[ τ ] (f ≡ 'λ τ)
484  arrow-canonicity ('λ f) = f , refl
485

```

A row in an empty context is necessarily a row literal:

```

488  row-canonicity-∅ : (ρ : NormalType ∅ R[ κ ]) →
489    ∃[ xs ] Σ[ oks ∈ True (normalOrdered? xs) ]
490

```

```

491      ( $\rho \equiv \langle xs \rangle \text{ } oxs$ )
492 row-canonicity- $\emptyset$  ( $\langle \rho \rangle \text{ } op$ ) =  $\rho$  ,  $op$  , refl
493 row-canonicity- $\emptyset$  ( $\text{ne } x$ ) =  $\perp$ -elim (noNeutrals  $x$ )
494 row-canonicity- $\emptyset$  ( $(\rho \setminus \rho_1) \{nsr\}$ ) =  $\perp$ -elim (noComplements  $nsr$  refl)
495 row-canonicity- $\emptyset$  ( $l \triangleright_n \rho$ ) =  $\perp$ -elim (noNeutrals  $l$ )
496 row-canonicity- $\emptyset$  ( $(\phi <\$> \rho)$ ) =  $\perp$ -elim (noNeutrals  $\rho$ )
497

```

And a label-kinded type is necessarily a label literal:

```

498
499 label-canonicity- $\emptyset$  :  $\forall (l : \text{NormalType } \emptyset \text{ } L) \rightarrow \exists [s] (l \equiv \text{lab } s)$ 
500 label-canonicity- $\emptyset$  ( $\text{ne } x$ ) =  $\perp$ -elim (noNeutrals  $x$ )
501 label-canonicity- $\emptyset$  ( $\text{lab } s$ ) =  $s$  , refl
502

```

3.3 Renaming

Renaming over normal types is defined in an entirely straightforward manner. Types and definitions are omitted.

3.4 Embedding

The goal is to normalize a given $\tau : \text{Type } \Delta \kappa$ to a normal form at type $\text{NormalType } \Delta \kappa$. It is of course much easier to first describe the inverse embedding, which recasts a normal form back to its original type. Definitions are expected and omitted.

```

512  $\uparrow : \text{NormalType } \Delta \kappa \rightarrow \text{Type } \Delta \kappa$ 
513  $\uparrow \text{Row} : \text{SimpleRow NormalType } \Delta \text{R}[\kappa] \rightarrow \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta \text{R}[\kappa]$ 
514  $\uparrow \text{NE} : \text{NeutralType } \Delta \kappa \rightarrow \text{Type } \Delta \kappa$ 
515  $\uparrow \text{Pred} : \text{NormalPred } \Delta \text{R}[\kappa] \rightarrow \text{Pred Type } \Delta \text{R}[\kappa]$ 
516

```

Note that it is precisely in "embedding" the NormalOrdered predicate that we establish half of the requisite isomorphism between a normal row being normal-ordered and its embedding being ordered. We will have to show the other half (that is, that ordered rows have normal-ordered evaluations) during normalization.

```

522 Ordered $\uparrow$  :  $\forall (\rho : \text{SimpleRow NormalType } \Delta \text{R}[\kappa]) \rightarrow \text{NormalOrdered } \rho \rightarrow$ 
523   Ordered ( $\uparrow \text{Row } \rho$ )
524

```

4 SEMANTIC TYPES

We have finally set the stage to discuss the process of normalizing types by evaluation. We first must define a semantic image of Types into which we will evaluate. Crucially, neutral types must *reflect* into this domain, and elements of this domain must *reify* to normal forms.

Let us first define the image of row literals to be Fin-indexed maps.

```

531 Row : Set  $\rightarrow$  Set
532 Row A =  $\exists [n] (\text{Fin } n \rightarrow \text{Label } \times A)$ 
533

```

Naturally, we required a predicate on such rows to indicate that they are well-ordered.

```

536 OrderedRow' :  $\forall \{A : \text{Set}\} \rightarrow (n : \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow (\text{Fin } n \rightarrow \text{Label } \times A) \rightarrow \text{Set}$ 
537 OrderedRow' zero P =  $\top$ 
538 OrderedRow' (suc zero) P =  $\top$ 
539

```

`OrderedRow' (suc (suc n)) P = (P fzero .fst < P (fsuc fzero) .fst) × OrderedRow' (suc n) (P ∘ fsuc)`

`OrderedRow : ∀ {A} → Row A → Set`

`OrderedRow (n , P) = OrderedRow' n P`

We may now define the totality of forms a row-kinded type might take in the semantic domain (the `RowType` data type). We evaluate row literals into `Rows` via the row constructor; note that the argument \mathcal{T} maps kinding environments to types. In practice, this is how we specify that a row contains types in environment Δ .

`data RowType (Δ : KEnv) (T : KEnv → Set) : Kind → Set`

`NotRow : ∀ {Δ : KEnv} {T : KEnv → Set} → RowType Δ T R[κ] → Set`

`data RowType Δ T where`

`row : (ρ : Row (T Δ)) → OrderedRow ρ → RowType Δ T R[κ]`

Neutral-labeled singleton rows are evaluated into the `_▷_` constructor; inert complements are evaluated into the `__` constructor. Just as `OrderedRow` is the semantic version of row well-orderedness, the predicate `NotRow` asserts that a given `RowType` is not a row literal (constructed by `row`). This ensures that complements constructed by `__` are indeed inert.

`_▷_ : NeutralType Δ L → T Δ → RowType Δ T R[κ]`

`__ : (ρ2 ρ1 : RowType Δ T R[κ]) → {nr : NotRow ρ2 or NotRow ρ1} → RowType Δ T R[κ]`

We would like to compose nested maps. Borrowing from Allais et al. [2013], we thus interpret the left applicand of a map as a Kripke function space mapping neutral types in environment Δ' to the type $\mathcal{T} \Delta'$, which we will later specify to be that of semantic types in environment Δ' at kind κ . To avoid running afoul of Agda's positivity checker, we let the domain type of this Kripke function be *neutral types*, which may always be reflected into semantic types. We define semantic types (`SemType`) below, but replacing `NeutralType Δ' κ1` with `SemType Δ' κ1` would not be strictly positive.

`_<$>_ : (φ : ∀ {Δ'} → Renamingk Δ Δ' → NeutralType Δ' κ1 → T Δ') → NeutralType Δ R[κ1] → RowType Δ T R[κ2]`

We finally define the semantic domain by induction on the kind κ . Types with \star and label kind are simply `NormalTypes`.

`SemType : KEnv → Kind → Set`

`SemType Δ ★ = NormalType Δ ★`

`SemType Δ L = NormalType Δ L`

We interpret functions into *Kripke function spaces*—that is, functions that operate over `SemType` inputs at any possible environment Δ_2 , provided a renaming into Δ_2 .

`SemType Δ1 (κ1 '→ κ2) = (∀ {Δ2} → (r : Renamingk Δ1 Δ2) (v : SemType Δ2 κ1) → SemType Δ2 κ2)`

We interpret row-kinded types into the `RowType` type, defined above. Note some more trickery which we have borrowed from Allais et al. [2013]: we cannot pass `SemType` itself as an argument

to RowType (which would violate termination checking), but we can instead pass to RowType the function $(\lambda \Delta' \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta' \kappa)$, which enforces a strictly smaller recursive call on the kind κ . Observe too that abstraction over the kinding environment Δ' is necessary because our representation of inert maps $_<\$>_$ interprets the mapped applicand as a Kripke function space over neutral type domain.

$\text{SemType } \Delta \text{ R}[\kappa] = \text{RowType } \Delta (\lambda \Delta' \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta' \kappa) \text{ R}[\kappa]$

For abbreviation later, we alias our two types of Kripke function spaces as so:

$\text{KripkeFunction} : \text{KEnv} \rightarrow \text{Kind} \rightarrow \text{Kind} \rightarrow \text{Set}$
 $\text{KripkeFunctionNE} : \text{KEnv} \rightarrow \text{Kind} \rightarrow \text{Kind} \rightarrow \text{Set}$
 $\text{KripkeFunction } \Delta_1 \kappa_1 \kappa_2 = (\forall \{\Delta_2\} \rightarrow \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta_2 \kappa_1 \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta_2 \kappa_2)$
 $\text{KripkeFunctionNE } \Delta_1 \kappa_1 \kappa_2 = (\forall \{\Delta_2\} \rightarrow \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{NeutralType } \Delta_2 \kappa_1 \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta_2 \kappa_2)$

4.1 Renaming

Renaming over normal types is defined in a straightforward manner. Observe that renaming a Kripke function is nothing more than providing the appropriate renaming to the function.

$\text{renKripke} : \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{KripkeFunction } \Delta_1 \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow \text{KripkeFunction } \Delta_2 \kappa_1 \kappa_2$
 $\text{renKripke } \{\Delta_1\} \rho F \{\Delta_2\} = \lambda \rho' \rightarrow F (\rho' \circ \rho)$

We will make some reference to semantic renaming, so we give it the name renSem here. Its definition is expected.

$\text{renSem} : \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta_1 \kappa \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta_2 \kappa$

5 NORMALIZATION BY EVALUATION

$\text{reflect} : \forall \{\kappa\} \rightarrow \text{NeutralType } \Delta \kappa \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa$
 $\text{reify} : \forall \{\kappa\} \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa \rightarrow \text{NormalType } \Delta \kappa$

$\text{reflect } \{\kappa = \star\} \tau = \text{ne } \tau$
 $\text{reflect } \{\kappa = \text{L}\} \tau = \text{ne } \tau$
 $\text{reflect } \{\kappa = \text{R}[\kappa]\} \rho = (\lambda r n \rightarrow \text{reflect } n) <\$> \rho$
 $\text{reflect } \{\kappa = \kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\text{'}} \kappa_2\} \tau = \lambda \rho v \rightarrow \text{reflect } (\text{ren}_k \text{NE } \rho \tau \cdot \text{reify } v)$

$\text{reifyKripke} : \text{KripkeFunction } \Delta \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow \text{NormalType } \Delta (\kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\text{'}} \kappa_2)$
 $\text{reifyKripkeNE} : \text{KripkeFunctionNE } \Delta \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow \text{NormalType } \Delta (\kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\text{'}} \kappa_2)$
 $\text{reifyKripke } \{\kappa_1 = \kappa_1\} F = \lambda (\text{reify } (F \text{ S } (\text{reflect } \{\kappa = \kappa_1\} ((\text{' } Z))))))$
 $\text{reifyKripkeNE } F = \lambda (\text{reify } (F \text{ S } (\text{' } Z)))$

$\text{reifyRow}' : (n : \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow (\text{Fin } n \rightarrow \text{Label} \times \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \text{SimpleRow NormalType } \Delta \text{ R}[\kappa]$

$\text{reifyRow}' \text{ zero } P = []$

$\text{reifyRow}' (\text{succ } n) P \text{ with } P \text{ fzero}$

$\dots | (l, \tau) = (l, \text{reify } \tau) :: \text{reifyRow}' n (P \circ \text{fsucc})$

$\text{reifyRow} : \text{Row } (\text{SemType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \text{SimpleRow NormalType } \Delta \text{ R}[\kappa]$

$\text{reifyRow } (n, P) = \text{reifyRow}' n P$

```

638 reifyRowOrdered :  $\forall (\rho : \text{Row } (\text{SemType } \Delta \kappa)) \rightarrow \text{OrderedRow } \rho \rightarrow \text{NormalOrdered } (\text{reifyRow } \rho)$ 
639 reifyRowOrdered' :  $\forall (n : \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow (P : \text{Fin } n \rightarrow \text{Label} \times \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow$ 
640    $\text{OrderedRow } (n, P) \rightarrow \text{NormalOrdered } (\text{reifyRow } (n, P))$ 
641
642 reifyRowOrdered' zero P op = tt
643 reifyRowOrdered' (suc zero) P op = tt
644 reifyRowOrdered' (suc (suc n)) P (l1 < l2 , ih) = l1 < l2 , (reifyRowOrdered' (suc n) (P ∘ fsuc) ih)
645
646 reifyRowOrdered (n , P) op = reifyRowOrdered' n P op
647
648 reifyPreservesNR :  $\forall (\rho_1 \rho_2 : \text{RowType } \Delta (\lambda \Delta' \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta' \kappa) \text{ R}[\kappa]) \rightarrow$ 
649    $(nr : \text{NotRow } \rho_1 \text{ or NotRow } \rho_2) \rightarrow \text{NotSimpleRow } (\text{reify } \rho_1) \text{ or NotSimpleRow } (\text{reify } \rho_2)$ 
650
651 reifyPreservesNR' :  $\forall (\rho_1 \rho_2 : \text{RowType } \Delta (\lambda \Delta' \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta' \kappa) \text{ R}[\kappa]) \rightarrow$ 
652    $(nr : \text{NotRow } \rho_1 \text{ or NotRow } \rho_2) \rightarrow \text{NotSimpleRow } (\text{reify } ((\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2) \{nr\}))$ 
653
654 reify {κ = ★} τ = τ
655 reify {κ = L} τ = τ
656 reify {κ = κ1 '→ κ2} F = reifyKripke F
657 reify {κ = R[κ]} (l ▷ τ) = (l ▷n (reify τ))
658 reify {κ = R[κ]} (row ρ q) = (reifyRow ρ q) (fromWitness (reifyRowOrdered ρ q))
659 reify {κ = R[κ]} ((φ <$> τ)) = (reifyKripkeNE φ <$> τ)
660 reify {κ = R[κ]} ((φ <$> τ) \ ρ2) = (reify (φ <$> τ) \ reify ρ2) {nsr = tt}
661 reify {κ = R[κ]} ((l ▷ τ) \ ρ) = (reify (l ▷ τ) \ (reify ρ)) {nsr = tt}
662 reify {κ = R[κ]} (row ρ x \ ρ'@(x1 ▷ x2)) = (reify (row ρ x) \ reify ρ') {nsr = tt}
663 reify {κ = R[κ]} ((row ρ x \ row ρ1 x1) {left ()})
664 reify {κ = R[κ]} ((row ρ x \ row ρ1 x1) {right ()})
665 reify {κ = R[κ]} (row ρ x \ (φ <$> τ)) = (reify (row ρ x) \ reify (φ <$> τ)) {nsr = tt}
666 reify {κ = R[κ]} ((row ρ x \ ρ'@((ρ1 \ ρ2) {nr'})) {nr'}) = ((reify (row ρ x) \ (reify ((ρ1 \ ρ2) {nr'}))) {nsr = fromWitness (reifyPreservesNR ρ1 ρ2 nr')}}
667 reify {κ = R[κ]} (((ρ2 \ ρ1) {nr'}) \ ρ) {nr'}) = ((reify ((ρ2 \ ρ1) {nr'})) \ reify ρ) {fromWitness (reifyPreservesNR ρ1 ρ2 nr')}
668
669 reifyPreservesNR (x1 ▷ x2) ρ2 (left x) = left tt
670 reifyPreservesNR ((ρ1 \ ρ3) {nr'}) ρ2 (left x) = left (reifyPreservesNR' ρ1 ρ3 nr)
671 reifyPreservesNR (φ <$> ρ) ρ2 (left x) = left tt
672 reifyPreservesNR ρ1 (x ▷ x1) (right y) = right tt
673 reifyPreservesNR ρ1 ((ρ2 \ ρ3) {nr'}) (right y) = right (reifyPreservesNR' ρ2 ρ3 nr)
674 reifyPreservesNR ρ1 ((φ <$> ρ2)) (right y) = right tt
675
676 reifyPreservesNR' (x1 ▷ x2) ρ2 (left x) = tt
677 reifyPreservesNR' (ρ1 \ ρ3) ρ2 (left x) = tt
678 reifyPreservesNR' (φ <$> n) ρ2 (left x) = tt
679 reifyPreservesNR' (φ <$> n) ρ2 (right y) = tt
680 reifyPreservesNR' (x ▷ x1) ρ2 (right y) = tt
681 reifyPreservesNR' (row ρ x) (x1 ▷ x2) (right y) = tt
682 reifyPreservesNR' (row ρ x) (ρ2 \ ρ3) (right y) = tt
683 reifyPreservesNR' (row ρ x) (φ <$> n) (right y) = tt
684 reifyPreservesNR' (ρ1 \ ρ3) ρ2 (right y) = tt
685
686

```

```

687 -----
688 -  $\eta$  normalization of neutral types
689
690  $\eta\text{-norm} : \text{NeutralType } \Delta \kappa \rightarrow \text{NormalType } \Delta \kappa$ 
691  $\eta\text{-norm} = \text{reify} \circ \text{reflect}$ 
692 -----
693 - - Semantic environments
694
695  $\text{Env} : \text{KEnv} \rightarrow \text{KEnv} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ 
696  $\text{Env } \Delta_1 \Delta_2 = \forall \{ \kappa \} \rightarrow \text{TVar } \Delta_1 \kappa \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta_2 \kappa$ 
697
698  $\text{idEnv} : \text{Env } \Delta \Delta$ 
699  $\text{idEnv} = \text{reflect} \circ \text{'}$ 
700
701  $\text{extende} : (\eta : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \rightarrow (V : \text{SemType } \Delta_2 \kappa) \rightarrow \text{Env } (\Delta_1 \text{ ,, } \kappa) \Delta_2$ 
702  $\text{extende } \eta \ V \ Z = V$ 
703  $\text{extende } \eta \ V \ (S \ x) = \eta \ x$ 
704
705  $\text{lifte} : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{Env } (\Delta_1 \text{ ,, } \kappa) (\Delta_2 \text{ ,, } \kappa)$ 
706  $\text{lifte } \{ \Delta_1 \} \{ \Delta_2 \} \{ \kappa \} \eta = \text{extende } (\text{weakenSem} \circ \eta) (\text{idEnv } Z)$ 
707
708 5.1 Helping evaluation
709 -----
710 - Semantic application
711
712  $\_ \cdot V \_ : \text{SemType } \Delta (\kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\text{'}} \kappa_2) \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa_1 \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa_2$ 
713  $F \cdot V \ V = F \ \text{id} \ V$ 
714 -----
715 - Semantic complement
716
717  $\_ \in \text{Row} \_ : \forall \{ m \} \rightarrow (l : \text{Label}) \rightarrow$ 
718  $(Q : \text{Fin } m \rightarrow \text{Label} \times \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow$ 
719  $\text{Set}$ 
720  $\_ \in \text{Row} \_ \{ m = m \} \ l \ Q = \Sigma [ i \in \text{Fin } m ] (l \equiv Q \ i \ . \text{fst})$ 
721
722  $\_ \in \text{Row?} \_ : \forall \{ m \} \rightarrow (l : \text{Label}) \rightarrow$ 
723  $(Q : \text{Fin } m \rightarrow \text{Label} \times \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow$ 
724  $\text{Dec } (l \in \text{Row } Q)$ 
725  $\_ \in \text{Row?} \_ \{ m = \text{zero} \} \ l \ Q = \text{no } \lambda \{ () \}$ 
726  $\_ \in \text{Row?} \_ \{ m = \text{suc } m \} \ l \ Q \ \text{with } l \stackrel{?}{=} Q \ \text{fzero} \ . \text{fst}$ 
727  $\dots \mid \text{yes } p = \text{yes } (\text{fzero} \ , \ p)$ 
728  $\dots \mid \text{no } \quad p \ \text{with } l \in \text{Row?} \ (Q \circ \text{fsuc})$ 
729  $\dots \mid \text{yes } (n \ , \ q) = \text{yes } ((\text{fsuc } n) \ , \ q)$ 
730  $\dots \mid \text{no } \quad \quad q = \text{no } \lambda \{ (\text{fzero} \ , \ q') \rightarrow p \ q' ; (\text{fsuc } n \ , \ q') \rightarrow q \ (n \ , \ q') \}$ 
731
732  $\text{compl} : \forall \{ n \ m \} \rightarrow$ 
733  $(P : \text{Fin } n \rightarrow \text{Label} \times \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa)$ 
734  $(Q : \text{Fin } m \rightarrow \text{Label} \times \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow$ 
735

```

```

736      Row (SemType Δ κ)
737      compl {n = zero} {m} P Q = εV
738      compl {n = suc n} {m} P Q with P fzero .fst ∈ Row? Q
739      ... | yes _ = compl (P ∘ fsuc) Q
740      ... | no _ = (P fzero) :: (compl (P ∘ fsuc) Q)
741
742      - -----
743      - - Semantic complement preserves well-ordering
744      lemma : ∀ {n m q} →
745        (P : Fin (suc n) → Label × SemType Δ κ)
746        (Q : Fin m → Label × SemType Δ κ) →
747        (R : Fin (suc q) → Label × SemType Δ κ) →
748        OrderedRow (suc n, P) →
749        compl (P ∘ fsuc) Q ≡ (suc q, R) →
750        P fzero .fst < R fzero .fst
751      lemma {n = suc n} {q = q} P Q R oP eq₁ with P (fsuc fzero) .fst ∈ Row? Q
752      lemma {κ = _} {suc n} {q = q} P Q R oP refl | no _ = oP .fst
753      ... | yes _ = <-trans {i = P fzero .fst} {j = P (fsuc fzero) .fst} {k = R fzero .fst} (oP .fst) (lemma {n = n} (P ∘ fsuc) Q)
754
755      ordered-:: : ∀ {n m} →
756        (P : Fin (suc n) → Label × SemType Δ κ)
757        (Q : Fin m → Label × SemType Δ κ) →
758        OrderedRow (suc n, P) →
759        OrderedRow (compl (P ∘ fsuc) Q) → OrderedRow (P fzero :: compl (P ∘ fsuc) Q)
760
761      ordered-:: {n = n} P Q oP oC with compl (P ∘ fsuc) Q | inspect (compl (P ∘ fsuc) Q)
762      ... | zero, R | _ = tt
763      ... | suc n, R | [[ eq ]] = lemma P Q R oP eq, oC
764
765      ordered-compl : ∀ {n m} →
766        (P : Fin n → Label × SemType Δ κ)
767        (Q : Fin m → Label × SemType Δ κ) →
768        OrderedRow (n, P) → OrderedRow (m, Q) → OrderedRow (compl P Q)
769
770      ordered-compl {n = zero} P Q op₁ op₂ = tt
771      ordered-compl {n = suc n} P Q op₁ op₂ with P fzero .fst ∈ Row? Q
772      ... | yes _ = ordered-compl (P ∘ fsuc) Q (ordered-cut op₁) op₂
773      ... | no _ = ordered-:: P Q op₁ (ordered-compl (P ∘ fsuc) Q (ordered-cut op₁) op₂)
774
775      - -----
776      - Semantic complement on Rows
777
778      _\v_ : Row (SemType Δ κ) → Row (SemType Δ κ) → Row (SemType Δ κ)
779      (n, P) \v (m, Q) = compl P Q
780
781      ordered\v : ∀ (ρ₂ ρ₁ : Row (SemType Δ κ)) → OrderedRow ρ₂ → OrderedRow ρ₁ → OrderedRow (ρ₂ \v ρ₁)
782      ordered\v (n, P) (m, Q) op₂ op₁ = ordered-compl P Q op₂ op₁
783
784      - - - -----

```


785 **--- Semantic lifting**

786 $_<\$>V_ : \text{SemType } \Delta (\kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\quad} \kappa_2) \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta R[\kappa_1] \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta R[\kappa_2]$
 787 $\text{NotRow}<\$> : \forall \{F : \text{SemType } \Delta (\kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\quad} \kappa_2)\} \{\rho_2 \rho_1 : \text{RowType } \Delta (\lambda \Delta' \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta' \kappa_1) R[\kappa_1]\} \rightarrow$
 788 $\text{NotRow } \rho_2 \text{ or NotRow } \rho_1 \rightarrow \text{NotRow } (F <\$>V \rho_2) \text{ or NotRow } (F <\$>V \rho_1)$
 789 $F <\$>V (l \triangleright \tau) = l \triangleright (F \cdot V \tau)$
 790 $F <\$>V \text{ row } (n, P) q = \text{row } (n, \text{over}_r (F \text{ id} \circ P) (\text{orderedOver}_r (F \text{ id}) q))$
 791 $F <\$>V ((\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) \{nr\}) = ((F <\$>V \rho_2) \setminus (F <\$>V \rho_1)) \{\text{NotRow}<\$> nr\}$
 792 $F <\$>V (G <\$> n) = (\lambda \{\Delta'\} r \rightarrow F r \circ G r) <\$> n$
 793 $\text{NotRow}<\$> \{F = F\} \{x_1 \triangleright x_2\} \{\rho_1\} (\text{left } x) = \text{left tt}$
 794 $\text{NotRow}<\$> \{F = F\} \{\rho_2 \setminus \rho_3\} \{\rho_1\} (\text{left } x) = \text{left tt}$
 795 $\text{NotRow}<\$> \{F = F\} \{\phi <\$> n\} \{\rho_1\} (\text{left } x) = \text{left tt}$
 796 $\text{NotRow}<\$> \{F = F\} \{\rho_2\} \{x \triangleright x_1\} (\text{right } y) = \text{right tt}$
 797 $\text{NotRow}<\$> \{F = F\} \{\rho_2\} \{\rho_1 \setminus \rho_3\} (\text{right } y) = \text{right tt}$
 798 $\text{NotRow}<\$> \{F = F\} \{\rho_2\} \{\phi <\$> n\} (\text{right } y) = \text{right tt}$

802 **-----**
 803 **--- Semantic complement on SemTypes**

804 $_ \setminus V_ : \text{SemType } \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta R[\kappa]$
 805 $\text{row } \rho_2 \rho_{o2} \setminus V \text{ row } \rho_1 \rho_{o1} = \text{row } (\rho_2 \setminus V \rho_1) (\text{ordered} \setminus V \rho_2 \rho_1 \rho_{o2} \rho_{o1})$
 806 $\rho_2 @ (x \triangleright x_1) \setminus V \rho_1 = (\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) \{nr = \text{left tt}\}$
 807 $\rho_2 @ (\text{row } \rho x) \setminus V \rho_1 @ (x_1 \triangleright x_2) = (\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) \{nr = \text{right tt}\}$
 808 $\rho_2 @ (\text{row } \rho x) \setminus V \rho_1 @ (_ \setminus _) = (\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) \{nr = \text{right tt}\}$
 809 $\rho_2 @ (\text{row } \rho x) \setminus V \rho_1 @ (_ <\$> _) = (\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) \{nr = \text{right tt}\}$
 810 $\rho @ (\rho_2 \setminus \rho_3) \setminus V \rho' = (\rho \setminus \rho') \{nr = \text{left tt}\}$
 811 $\rho @ (\phi <\$> n) \setminus V \rho' = (\rho \setminus \rho') \{nr = \text{left tt}\}$

815 **-- Semantic flap**

816 $\text{apply} : \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa_1 \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta ((\kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\quad} \kappa_2) \xrightarrow{\quad} \kappa_2)$
 817 $\text{apply } a = \lambda \rho F \rightarrow F \cdot V (\text{renSem } \rho a)$
 818 $\text{infixr } 0 _<?>V_$
 819 $_<?>V_ : \text{SemType } \Delta R[\kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\quad} \kappa_2] \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa_1 \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta R[\kappa_2]$
 820 $f <?>V a = \text{apply } a <\$>V f$

824 **5.2 Π and Σ as operators**

825 **record Xi : Set where**
 826 **field**
 827 $\Xi \star : \forall \{\Delta\} \rightarrow \text{NormalType } \Delta R[\star] \rightarrow \text{NormalType } \Delta \star$
 828 $\text{ren-}\star : \forall (\rho : \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \rightarrow (\tau : \text{NormalType } \Delta_1 R[\star]) \rightarrow \text{ren}_k \text{NF } \rho (\Xi \star \tau) \equiv \Xi \star (\text{ren}_k \text{NF } \rho \tau)$
 829 **open Xi**
 830 $\xi : \forall \{\Delta\} \rightarrow \text{Xi} \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa$
 831 $\xi \{\kappa = \star\} \Xi x = \Xi . \Xi \star (\text{reify } x)$

```

834  $\xi \{ \kappa = L \} \Xi x = \text{lab } \text{"impossible"}$ 
835  $\xi \{ \kappa = \kappa_1 \overset{\cdot}{\rightarrow} \kappa_2 \} \Xi F = \lambda \rho \ v \rightarrow \xi \Xi (\text{renSem } \rho \ F \text{ <?> } v)$ 
836  $\xi \{ \kappa = R[ \kappa ] \} \Xi x = (\lambda \rho \ v \rightarrow \xi \Xi v) \text{ <\$> } x$ 
837
838  $\Pi\text{-rec } \Sigma\text{-rec} : \text{Xi}$ 
839  $\Pi\text{-rec} = \text{record}$ 
840  $\{ \Xi \star = \Pi ; \text{ren-}\star = \lambda \rho \ \tau \rightarrow \text{refl} \}$ 
841  $\Sigma\text{-rec} =$ 
842  $\text{record}$ 
843  $\{ \Xi \star = \Sigma ; \text{ren-}\star = \lambda \rho \ \tau \rightarrow \text{refl} \}$ 
844
845  $\Pi V \Sigma V : \forall \{ \Delta \} \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta \ R[ \kappa ] \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta \ \kappa$ 
846  $\Pi V = \xi \Pi\text{-rec}$ 
847  $\Sigma V = \xi \Sigma\text{-rec}$ 
848
849  $\xi\text{-Kripke} : \text{Xi} \rightarrow \text{KripkeFunction } \Delta \ R[ \kappa ] \ \kappa$ 
850  $\xi\text{-Kripke } \Xi \rho \ v = \xi \Xi v$ 
851  $\Pi\text{-Kripke } \Sigma\text{-Kripke} : \text{KripkeFunction } \Delta \ R[ \kappa ] \ \kappa$ 
852  $\Pi\text{-Kripke} = \xi\text{-Kripke } \Pi\text{-rec}$ 
853  $\Sigma\text{-Kripke} = \xi\text{-Kripke } \Sigma\text{-rec}$ 
854

```

5.3 Evaluation

```

855
856  $\text{eval} : \text{Type } \Delta_1 \ \kappa \rightarrow \text{Env } \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta_2 \ \kappa$ 
857  $\text{evalPred} : \text{Pred Type } \Delta_1 \ R[ \kappa ] \rightarrow \text{Env } \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{NormalPred } \Delta_2 \ R[ \kappa ]$ 
858
859  $\text{evalRow} : (\rho : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta_1 \ R[ \kappa ]) \rightarrow \text{Env } \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{Row } (\text{SemType } \Delta_2 \ \kappa)$ 
860  $\text{evalRowOrdered} : (\rho : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta_1 \ R[ \kappa ]) \rightarrow (\eta : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2) \rightarrow \text{Ordered } \rho \rightarrow \text{OrderedRow } (\text{evalRow}$ 
861  $\text{evalRow } [] \eta = \epsilon V$ 
862  $\text{evalRow } ((l, \tau) :: \rho) \eta = (l, (\text{eval } \tau \eta)) :: \text{evalRow } \rho \eta$ 
863
864  $\Downarrow \text{Row-isMap} : \forall (\eta : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2) \rightarrow (xs : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta_1 \ R[ \kappa ]) \rightarrow$ 
865  $\text{reifyRow } (\text{evalRow } xs \eta) \equiv \text{map } (\lambda \{ (l, \tau) \rightarrow l, (\text{reify } (\text{eval } \tau \eta)) \}) xs$ 
866
867  $\Downarrow \text{Row-isMap } \eta [] = \text{refl}$ 
868  $\Downarrow \text{Row-isMap } \eta (x :: xs) = \text{cong}_2 \_ :: \_ \text{refl } (\Downarrow \text{Row-isMap } \eta xs)$ 
869
870  $\text{evalPred } (\rho_1 \cdot \rho_2 \sim \rho_3) \eta = \text{reify } (\text{eval } \rho_1 \eta) \cdot \text{reify } (\text{eval } \rho_2 \eta) \sim \text{reify } (\text{eval } \rho_3 \eta)$ 
871  $\text{evalPred } (\rho_1 \lesssim \rho_2) \eta = \text{reify } (\text{eval } \rho_1 \eta) \lesssim \text{reify } (\text{eval } \rho_2 \eta)$ 
872
873  $\text{eval } \{ \kappa = \kappa \} (\overset{\cdot}{x}) \eta = \eta \ x$ 
874  $\text{eval } \{ \kappa = \kappa \} (\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2) \eta = (\text{eval } \tau_1 \eta) \cdot V (\text{eval } \tau_2 \eta)$ 
875  $\text{eval } \{ \kappa = \kappa \} (\tau_1 \overset{\cdot}{\rightarrow} \tau_2) \eta = (\text{eval } \tau_1 \eta) \overset{\cdot}{\rightarrow} (\text{eval } \tau_2 \eta)$ 
876
877  $\text{eval } \{ \kappa = \star \} (\pi \Rightarrow \tau) \eta = \text{evalPred } \pi \eta \Rightarrow \text{eval } \tau \eta$ 
878  $\text{eval } \{ \Delta_1 \} \{ \kappa = \star \} (\forall \tau) \eta = \forall (\text{eval } \tau (\text{lifte } \eta))$ 
879  $\text{eval } \{ \kappa = \star \} (\mu \tau) \eta = \mu (\text{reify } (\text{eval } \tau \eta))$ 
880  $\text{eval } \{ \kappa = \star \} [ \tau ] \eta = [ \text{reify } (\text{eval } \tau \eta) ]$ 
881  $\text{eval } (\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) \eta = \text{eval } \rho_2 \eta \setminus V \text{eval } \rho_1 \eta$ 
882  $\text{eval } \{ \kappa = L \} (\text{lab } l) \eta = \text{lab } l$ 

```

```

883 eval {κ = κ1 '→ κ2} (λ τ) η = λ ρ v → eval τ (extende (λ {κ} v' → renSem {κ = κ} ρ (η v')) v)
884 eval {κ = R[ κ ] '→ κ} Π η = Π-Kripke
885 eval {κ = R[ κ ] '→ κ} Σ η = Σ-Kripke
886 eval {κ = R[ κ ]} (f <$> a) η = (eval f η) <$>V (eval a η)
887 eval ((ρ ↓) op) η = row (evalRow ρ η) (evalRowOrdered ρ η (toWitness op))
888 eval (l ▷ τ) η with eval l η
889 ... | ne x = (x ▷ eval τ η)
890 ... | lab l1 = row (1, λ { fzero → (l1, eval τ η) }) tt
891 evalRowOrdered [] η op = tt
892 evalRowOrdered (x1 :: []) η op = tt
893 evalRowOrdered ((l1, τ1) :: (l2, τ2) :: ρ) η (l1 < l2, op) with
894   evalRow ρ η | evalRowOrdered ((l2, τ2) :: ρ) η op
895 ... | zero, P | ih = l1 < l2, tt
896 ... | suc n, P | ih1, ih2 = l1 < l2, ih1, ih2

```

5.4 Normalization

```

900 ↓↓ : ∀ {Δ} → Type Δ κ → NormalType Δ κ
901 ↓↓ τ = reify (eval τ idEnv)
902
903 ↓↓Pred : ∀ {Δ} → Pred Type Δ R[ κ ] → Pred NormalType Δ R[ κ ]
904 ↓↓Pred π = evalPred π idEnv
905
906 ↓↓Row : ∀ {Δ} → SimpleRow Type Δ R[ κ ] → SimpleRow NormalType Δ R[ κ ]
907 ↓↓Row ρ = reifyRow (evalRow ρ idEnv)
908
909 ↓↓NE : ∀ {Δ} → NeutralType Δ κ → NormalType Δ κ
910 ↓↓NE τ = reify (eval (↑↑NE τ) idEnv)

```

6 METATHEORY

6.1 Stability

```

914 stability : ∀ (τ : NormalType Δ κ) → ↓↓ (↑↑ τ) ≡ τ
915 stabilityNE : ∀ (τ : NeutralType Δ κ) → eval (↑↑NE τ) (idEnv {Δ}) ≡ reflect τ
916 stabilityPred : ∀ (π : NormalPred Δ R[ κ ]) → evalPred (↑↑Pred π) idEnv ≡ π
917 stabilityRow : ∀ (ρ : SimpleRow NormalType Δ R[ κ ]) → reifyRow (evalRow (↑↑Row ρ) idEnv) ≡ ρ

```

Stability implies surjectivity and idempotency.

```

921 idempotency : ∀ (τ : Type Δ κ) → (↑↑ ∘ ↓↓ ∘ ↑↑ ∘ ↓↓) τ ≡ (↑↑ ∘ ↓↓) τ
922 idempotency τ rewrite stability (↓↓ τ) = refl
923
924 surjectivity : ∀ (τ : NormalType Δ κ) → ∃[ v ] (↓↓ v ≡ τ)
925 surjectivity τ = (↑↑ τ, stability τ)

```

Dual to surjectivity, stability also implies that embedding is injective.

```

928 ↑↑-inj : ∀ (τ1 τ2 : NormalType Δ κ) → ↑↑ τ1 ≡ ↑↑ τ2 → τ1 ≡ τ2
929 ↑↑-inj τ1 τ2 eq = trans (sym (stability τ1)) (trans (cong ↓↓ eq) (stability τ2))

```

6.2 A logical relation for completeness

`subst-Row` : $\forall \{A : \text{Set}\} \{n m : \mathbb{N}\} \rightarrow (n \equiv m) \rightarrow (f : \text{Fin } n \rightarrow A) \rightarrow \text{Fin } m \rightarrow A$
`subst-Row` `refl` $f = f$

- Completeness relation on semantic types

`_≈_` : `SemType` $\Delta \kappa \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa \rightarrow \text{Set}$

`_≈₂_` : $\forall \{A\} \rightarrow (x y : A \times \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$(l_1, \tau_1) \approx_2 (l_2, \tau_2) = l_1 \equiv l_2 \times \tau_1 \approx \tau_2$

`_≈R_` : $(\rho_1 \rho_2 : \text{Row } (\text{SemType } \Delta \kappa)) \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$(n, P) \approx R (m, Q) = \Sigma [pf \in (n \equiv m)] (\forall (i : \text{Fin } m) \rightarrow (\text{subst-Row } pf P) i \approx_2 Q i)$

`PointEqual≈` : $\forall \{\Delta_1\} \{\kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2\} (F G : \text{KripkeFunction } \Delta_1 \kappa_1 \kappa_2) \rightarrow \text{Set}$

`PointEqualNE≈` : $\forall \{\Delta_1\} \{\kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2\} (F G : \text{KripkeFunctionNE } \Delta_1 \kappa_1 \kappa_2) \rightarrow \text{Set}$

`Uniform` : $\forall \{\Delta\} \{\kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2\} \rightarrow \text{KripkeFunction } \Delta \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow \text{Set}$

`UniformNE` : $\forall \{\Delta\} \{\kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2\} \rightarrow \text{KripkeFunctionNE } \Delta \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow \text{Set}$

`convNE` : $\kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2 \rightarrow \text{NeutralType } \Delta R[\kappa_1] \rightarrow \text{NeutralType } \Delta R[\kappa_2]$

`convNE` `refl` $n = n$

`convKripkeNE1` : $\forall \{\kappa_1'\} \rightarrow \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_1' \rightarrow \text{KripkeFunctionNE } \Delta \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow \text{KripkeFunctionNE } \Delta \kappa_1' \kappa_2$

`convKripkeNE1` `refl` $f = f$

`_≈_` $\{\kappa = \star\} \tau_1 \tau_2 = \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2$

`_≈_` $\{\kappa = L\} \tau_1 \tau_2 = \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2$

`_≈_` $\{\Delta_1\} \{\kappa = \kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\text{'}} \kappa_2\} F G =$

`Uniform` $F \times \text{Uniform } G \times \text{PointEqual≈} \{\Delta_1\} F G$

`_≈_` $\{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa_2]\} (_<\$>_ \{\kappa_1\} \phi_1 n_1) (_<\$>_ \{\kappa_1'\} \phi_2 n_2) =$

$\Sigma [pf \in (\kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_1')]$

`UniformNE` ϕ_1

$\times \text{UniformNE } \phi_2$

$\times (\text{PointEqualNE≈} (\text{convKripkeNE}_1 pf \phi_1) \phi_2$

$\times \text{convNE } pf n_1 \equiv n_2)$

`_≈_` $\{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa_2]\} (\phi_1 <\$> n_1) _ = \perp$

`_≈_` $\{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa_2]\} _ (\phi_1 <\$> n_1) = \perp$

`_≈_` $\{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa]\} (l_1 \triangleright \tau_1) (l_2 \triangleright \tau_2) = l_1 \equiv l_2 \times \tau_1 \approx \tau_2$

`_≈_` $\{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa]\} (x_1 \triangleright x_2) (\text{row } \rho x_3) = \perp$

`_≈_` $\{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa]\} (x_1 \triangleright x_2) (\rho_2 \setminus \rho_3) = \perp$

`_≈_` $\{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa]\} (\text{row } \rho x_1) (x_2 \triangleright x_3) = \perp$

`_≈_` $\{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa]\} (\text{row } (n, P) x_1) (\text{row } (m, Q) x_2) = (n, P) \approx R (m, Q)$

`_≈_` $\{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa]\} (\text{row } \rho x_1) (\rho_2 \setminus \rho_3) = \perp$

`_≈_` $\{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa]\} (\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2) (x_1 \triangleright x_2) = \perp$

`_≈_` $\{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa]\} (\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2) (\text{row } \rho x_1) = \perp$

`_≈_` $\{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa]\} (\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2) (\rho_3 \setminus \rho_4) = \rho_1 \approx \rho_3 \times \rho_2 \approx \rho_4$

`PointEqual≈` $\{\Delta_1\} \{\kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2\} F G =$

$\forall \{\Delta_2\} (\rho : \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \{V_1 V_2 : \text{SemType } \Delta_2 \kappa_1\} \rightarrow$

$V_1 \approx V_2 \rightarrow F \rho V_1 \approx G \rho V_2$

$\text{PointEqualNE} \approx \{ \Delta_1 \} \{ \kappa_1 \} \{ \kappa_2 \} F G =$
 $\forall \{ \Delta_2 \} (\rho : \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) (V : \text{NeutralType } \Delta_2 \kappa_1) \rightarrow$
 $F \rho V \approx G \rho V$

$\text{Uniform } \{ \Delta_1 \} \{ \kappa_1 \} \{ \kappa_2 \} F =$
 $\forall \{ \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \} (\rho_1 : \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) (\rho_2 : \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_2 \Delta_3) (V_1 V_2 : \text{SemType } \Delta_2 \kappa_1) \rightarrow$
 $V_1 \approx V_2 \rightarrow (\text{renSem } \rho_2 (F \rho_1 V_1)) \approx (\text{renKripke } \rho_1 F \rho_2 (\text{renSem } \rho_2 V_2))$

$\text{UniformNE } \{ \Delta_1 \} \{ \kappa_1 \} \{ \kappa_2 \} F =$
 $\forall \{ \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \} (\rho_1 : \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) (\rho_2 : \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_2 \Delta_3) (V : \text{NeutralType } \Delta_2 \kappa_1) \rightarrow$
 $(\text{renSem } \rho_2 (F \rho_1 V)) \approx F (\rho_2 \circ \rho_1) (\text{ren}_k \text{NE } \rho_2 V)$

$\text{Env} \approx : (\eta_1 \eta_2 : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \rightarrow \text{Set}$
 $\text{Env} \approx \eta_1 \eta_2 = \forall \{ \kappa \} (x : \text{TVar } _ \kappa) \rightarrow (\eta_1 x) \approx (\eta_2 x)$

– extension

$\text{extend} \approx : \forall \{ \eta_1 \eta_2 : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \} \rightarrow \text{Env} \approx \eta_1 \eta_2 \rightarrow$
 $\{ V_1 V_2 : \text{SemType } \Delta_2 \kappa \} \rightarrow$
 $V_1 \approx V_2 \rightarrow$
 $\text{Env} \approx (\text{extende } \eta_1 V_1) (\text{extende } \eta_2 V_2)$

$\text{extend} \approx p q \text{Z} = q$
 $\text{extend} \approx p q (\text{S } v) = p v$

6.2.1 Properties.

$\text{reflect} \approx : \forall \{ \tau_1 \tau_2 : \text{NeutralType } \Delta \kappa \} \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \rightarrow \text{reflect } \tau_1 \approx \text{reflect } \tau_2$
 $\text{reify} \approx : \forall \{ V_1 V_2 : \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa \} \rightarrow V_1 \approx V_2 \rightarrow \text{reify } V_1 \equiv \text{reify } V_2$
 $\text{reifyRow} \approx : \forall \{ n \} (P Q : \text{Fin } n \rightarrow \text{Label} \times \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow$
 $(\forall (i : \text{Fin } n) \rightarrow P i \approx_2 Q i) \rightarrow$
 $\text{reifyRow } (n, P) \equiv \text{reifyRow } (n, Q)$

6.3 The fundamental theorem and completeness

$\text{fundC} : \forall \{ \tau_1 \tau_2 : \text{Type } \Delta_1 \kappa \} \{ \eta_1 \eta_2 : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \} \rightarrow$
 $\text{Env} \approx \eta_1 \eta_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \rightarrow \text{eval } \tau_1 \eta_1 \approx \text{eval } \tau_2 \eta_2$

$\text{fundC-pred} : \forall \{ \pi_1 \pi_2 : \text{Pred Type } \Delta_1 \text{R}[\kappa] \} \{ \eta_1 \eta_2 : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \} \rightarrow$
 $\text{Env} \approx \eta_1 \eta_2 \rightarrow \pi_1 \equiv_p \pi_2 \rightarrow \text{evalPred } \pi_1 \eta_1 \equiv \text{evalPred } \pi_2 \eta_2$

$\text{fundC-Row} : \forall \{ \rho_1 \rho_2 : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta_1 \text{R}[\kappa] \} \{ \eta_1 \eta_2 : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \} \rightarrow$
 $\text{Env} \approx \eta_1 \eta_2 \rightarrow \rho_1 \equiv_r \rho_2 \rightarrow \text{evalRow } \rho_1 \eta_1 \approx_R \text{evalRow } \rho_2 \eta_2$

$\text{idEnv} \approx : \forall \{ \Delta \} \rightarrow \text{Env} \approx (\text{idEnv } \{ \Delta \}) (\text{idEnv } \{ \Delta \})$
 $\text{idEnv} \approx x = \text{reflect} \approx \text{refl}$

$\text{completeness} : \forall \{ \tau_1 \tau_2 : \text{Type } \Delta \kappa \} \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \rightarrow \Downarrow \tau_1 \equiv \Downarrow \tau_2$
 $\text{completeness } eq = \text{reify} \approx (\text{fundC } \text{idEnv} \approx eq)$

$\text{completeness-row} : \forall \{ \rho_1 \rho_2 : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta \text{R}[\kappa] \} \rightarrow \rho_1 \equiv_r \rho_2 \rightarrow \Downarrow \text{Row } \rho_1 \equiv \Downarrow \text{Row } \rho_2$

6.4 A logical relation for soundness

```

1030 infix 0  $\llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx \_$ 
1031  $\llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx \_ : \forall \{ \kappa \} \rightarrow \text{Type } \Delta \ \kappa \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta \ \kappa \rightarrow \text{Set}$ 
1032  $\llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx \text{ne\_} : \forall \{ \kappa \} \rightarrow \text{Type } \Delta \ \kappa \rightarrow \text{NeutralType } \Delta \ \kappa \rightarrow \text{Set}$ 
1033  $\llbracket \_ \rrbracket \text{r} \approx \_ : \forall \{ \kappa \} \rightarrow \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta \ \text{R} [ \ \kappa \ ] \rightarrow \text{Row (SemType } \Delta \ \kappa) \rightarrow \text{Set}$ 
1034  $\llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx_2 \_ : \forall \{ \kappa \} \rightarrow \text{Label} \times \text{Type } \Delta \ \kappa \rightarrow \text{Label} \times \text{SemType } \Delta \ \kappa \rightarrow \text{Set}$ 
1035  $\llbracket (l_1, \tau) \rrbracket \approx_2 (l_2, V) = (l_1 \equiv l_2) \times (\llbracket \tau \rrbracket \approx V)$ 
1036  $\text{SoundKripke} : \text{Type } \Delta_1 \ (\kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\text{'}} \kappa_2) \rightarrow \text{KripkeFunction } \Delta_1 \ \kappa_1 \ \kappa_2 \rightarrow \text{Set}$ 
1037  $\text{SoundKripkeNE} : \text{Type } \Delta_1 \ (\kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\text{'}} \kappa_2) \rightarrow \text{KripkeFunctionNE } \Delta_1 \ \kappa_1 \ \kappa_2 \rightarrow \text{Set}$ 
1038  $\text{-- } \tau \text{ is equivalent to neutral 'n' if it's equivalent}$ 
1039  $\text{-- to the } \eta \text{ and map-id expansion of n}$ 
1040  $\llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx \text{ne\_} \ \tau \ n = \tau \equiv \uparrow (\eta\text{-norm } n)$ 
1041  $\llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx \_ \{ \kappa = \star \} \ \tau_1 \ \tau_2 = \tau_1 \equiv \uparrow \ \tau_2$ 
1042  $\llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx \_ \{ \kappa = \text{L} \} \ \tau_1 \ \tau_2 = \tau_1 \equiv \uparrow \ \tau_2$ 
1043  $\llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx \_ \{ \Delta_1 \} \{ \kappa = \kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\text{'}} \kappa_2 \} \ f \ F = \text{SoundKripke } f \ F$ 
1044  $\llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx \_ \{ \Delta \} \{ \kappa = \text{R} [ \ \kappa \ ] \} \ \tau \ (\text{row } (n, P) \text{ op}) =$ 
1045  $\text{let } xs = \uparrow \text{Row (reifyRow } (n, P)) \text{ in}$ 
1046  $(\tau \equiv \llbracket xs \rrbracket) (\text{fromWitness (Ordered} \uparrow (\text{reifyRow } (n, P)) (\text{reifyRowOrdered' } n \ P \text{ op}))) \times$ 
1047  $(\llbracket xs \rrbracket \text{r} \approx (n, P))$ 
1048  $\llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx \_ \{ \Delta \} \{ \kappa = \text{R} [ \ \kappa \ ] \} \ \tau \ (l \triangleright V) = (\tau \equiv (\uparrow \text{NE } l \triangleright \uparrow (\text{reify } V))) \times (\llbracket \uparrow (\text{reify } V) \rrbracket \approx V)$ 
1049  $\llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx \_ \{ \Delta \} \{ \kappa = \text{R} [ \ \kappa \ ] \} \ \tau \ ((\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) \{nr\}) = (\tau \equiv (\uparrow (\text{reify } ((\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) \{nr\})))) \times (\llbracket \uparrow (\text{reify } \rho_2) \rrbracket \approx \rho_2) \times (\llbracket \uparrow (\text{reify } \rho_1) \rrbracket \approx \rho_1)$ 
1050  $\llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx \_ \{ \Delta \} \{ \kappa = \text{R} [ \ \kappa \ ] \} \ \tau \ (\phi \text{ <\$> } n) =$ 
1051  $\exists [f] ((\tau \equiv (f \text{ <\$> } \uparrow \text{NE } n)) \times (\text{SoundKripkeNE } f \ \phi))$ 
1052  $\llbracket [] \rrbracket \text{r} \approx (\text{zero}, P) = \top$ 
1053  $\llbracket [] \rrbracket \text{r} \approx (\text{suc } n, P) = \perp$ 
1054  $\llbracket x :: \rho \rrbracket \text{r} \approx (\text{zero}, P) = \perp$ 
1055  $\llbracket x :: \rho \rrbracket \text{r} \approx (\text{suc } n, P) = (\llbracket x \rrbracket \approx_2 (P \text{ fzero})) \times \llbracket \rho \rrbracket \text{r} \approx (n, P \circ \text{fsuc})$ 
1056  $\text{SoundKripke } \{ \Delta_1 = \Delta_1 \} \{ \kappa_1 = \kappa_1 \} \{ \kappa_2 = \kappa_2 \} \ f \ F =$ 
1057  $\forall \{ \Delta_2 \} (\rho : \text{Renaming}_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2) \{ v \ V \} \rightarrow$ 
1058  $\llbracket v \rrbracket \approx V \rightarrow$ 
1059  $\llbracket (\text{ren}_k \ \rho \ f \cdot v) \rrbracket \approx (\text{renKripke } \rho \ F \cdot V \ V)$ 
1060  $\text{SoundKripkeNE } \{ \Delta_1 = \Delta_1 \} \{ \kappa_1 = \kappa_1 \} \{ \kappa_2 = \kappa_2 \} \ f \ F =$ 
1061  $\forall \{ \Delta_2 \} (r : \text{Renaming}_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2) \{ v \ V \} \rightarrow$ 
1062  $\llbracket v \rrbracket \approx \text{ne\_} \ V \rightarrow$ 
1063  $\llbracket (\text{ren}_k \ r \ f \cdot v) \rrbracket \approx (F \ r \ V)$ 
1064
1065
1066
1067
1068
1069
1070
1071 6.4.1 Properties.
1072  $\text{reflect-} \llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx : \forall \{ \tau : \text{Type } \Delta \ \kappa \} \{ v : \text{NeutralType } \Delta \ \kappa \} \rightarrow$ 
1073  $\tau \equiv \uparrow \text{NE } v \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \approx (\text{reflect } v)$ 
1074  $\text{reify-} \llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx : \forall \{ \tau : \text{Type } \Delta \ \kappa \} \{ V : \text{SemType } \Delta \ \kappa \} \rightarrow$ 
1075  $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket \approx V \rightarrow \tau \equiv \uparrow (\text{reify } V)$ 
1076  $\eta\text{-norm-} \equiv : \forall (\tau : \text{NeutralType } \Delta \ \kappa) \rightarrow \uparrow (\eta\text{-norm } \tau) \equiv \uparrow \text{NE } \tau$ 

```

$\text{subst-}\llbracket _ \rrbracket \approx : \forall \{ \tau_1 \tau_2 : \text{Type } \Delta \kappa \} \rightarrow$
 $\tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \rightarrow \{ V : \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa \} \rightarrow \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket \approx V \rightarrow \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket \approx V$

6.4.2 Logical environments.

$\llbracket _ \rrbracket \approx \text{e_} : \forall \{ \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \} \rightarrow \text{Substitution}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{Env } \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{Set}$
 $\llbracket _ \rrbracket \approx \text{e_} \{ \Delta_1 \} \sigma \eta = \forall \{ \kappa \} (\alpha : \text{TVar } \Delta_1 \kappa) \rightarrow \llbracket (\sigma \alpha) \rrbracket \approx (\eta \alpha)$

– Identity relation

$\text{idSR} : \forall \{ \Delta_1 \} \rightarrow \llbracket _ \rrbracket \approx \text{e } (\text{idEnv } \{ \Delta_1 \})$
 $\text{idSR } \alpha = \text{reflect-}\llbracket _ \rrbracket \approx \text{eq-refl}$

6.5 The fundamental theorem and soundness

$\text{fundS} : \forall \{ \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \kappa \} (\tau : \text{Type } \Delta_1 \kappa) \{ \sigma : \text{Substitution}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \} \{ \eta : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \} \rightarrow$
 $\llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \approx \text{e } \eta \rightarrow \llbracket \text{sub}_k \sigma \tau \rrbracket \approx (\text{eval } \tau \eta)$
 $\text{fundSRow} : \forall \{ \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \kappa \} (xs : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta_1 \text{ R } [\kappa]) \{ \sigma : \text{Substitution}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \} \{ \eta : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \} \rightarrow$
 $\llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \approx \text{e } \eta \rightarrow \llbracket \text{subRow}_k \sigma xs \rrbracket \text{r} \approx (\text{evalRow } xs \eta)$
 $\text{fundSPred} : \forall \{ \Delta_1 \kappa \} (\pi : \text{Pred Type } \Delta_1 \text{ R } [\kappa]) \{ \sigma : \text{Substitution}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \} \{ \eta : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \} \rightarrow$
 $\llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \approx \text{e } \eta \rightarrow (\text{subPred}_k \sigma \pi) \equiv \uparrow \text{Pred } (\text{evalPred } \pi \eta)$

– Fundamental theorem when substitution is the identity

$\text{sub}_k\text{-id} : \forall (\tau : \text{Type } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \text{sub}_k \tau \equiv \tau$
 $\vdash \llbracket _ \rrbracket \approx : \forall (\tau : \text{Type } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \approx \text{eval } \tau \text{ idEnv}$
 $\vdash \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \approx = \text{subst-}\llbracket _ \rrbracket \approx (\text{inst } (\text{sub}_k\text{-id } \tau)) (\text{fundS } \tau \text{ idSR})$

– Soundness claim

$\text{soundness} : \forall \{ \Delta_1 \kappa \} \rightarrow (\tau : \text{Type } \Delta_1 \kappa) \rightarrow \tau \equiv \uparrow \downarrow \tau$
 $\text{soundness } \tau = \text{reify-}\llbracket _ \rrbracket \approx (\vdash \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \approx)$

– If τ_1 normalizes to $\downarrow \tau_2$ then the embedding of τ_1 is equivalent to τ_2

$\text{embed-}\equiv : \forall \{ \tau_1 : \text{NormalType } \Delta \kappa \} \{ \tau_2 : \text{Type } \Delta \kappa \} \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv (\downarrow \tau_2) \rightarrow \uparrow \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2$
 $\text{embed-}\equiv \{ \tau_1 = \tau_1 \} \{ \tau_2 \} \text{ refl} = \text{eq-sym } (\text{soundness } \tau_2)$

– Soundness implies the converse of completeness, as desired

$\text{Completeness}^{-1} : \forall \{ \Delta \kappa \} \rightarrow (\tau_1 \tau_2 : \text{Type } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \downarrow \tau_1 \equiv \downarrow \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2$
 $\text{Completeness}^{-1} \tau_1 \tau_2 \text{ eq} = \text{eq-trans } (\text{soundness } \tau_1) (\text{embed-}\equiv \text{ eq})$

7 THE REST OF THE PICTURE

In the remainder of the development, we intrinsically represent terms as typing judgments indexed by normal types. We then give a typed reduction relation on terms and show progress.

8 MOST CLOSELY RELATED WORK

8.0.1 Chapman et al. [2019].

8.0.2 Allais et al. [2013].

REFERENCES

Guillaume Allais, Pierre Boutillier, and Conor McBride. New equations for neutral terms: A sound and complete decision procedure, formalized, 2013. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1304.0809>.

James Chapman, Roman Kireev, Chad Nester, and Philip Wadler. System F in agda, for fun and profit. In Graham Hutton, editor, *Mathematics of Program Construction - 13th International Conference, MPC 2019, Porto, Portugal, October 7-9, 2019, Proceedings*, volume 11825 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 255–297. Springer, 2019. ISBN 978-3-030-33635-6. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-33636-3_10. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-33636-3_10.

Alex Hubers and J. Garrett Morris. Generic programming with extensible data types: Or, making ad hoc extensible data types less ad hoc. *Proc. ACM Program. Lang.*, 7(ICFP):356–384, 2023. doi: 10.1145/3607843. URL <https://doi.org/10.1145/3607843>.

Philip Wadler, Wen Kokke, and Jeremy G. Siek. *Programming Language Foundations in Agda*. August 2022. URL <https://plfa.inf.ed.ac.uk/20.08/>.