22

45

ALEX HUBERS, The University of Iowa, USA

ABSTRACT

We describe the normalization-by-evaluation (NbE) of types in $R\omega\mu$, a row calculus with recursive types, qualified types, and a novel row complement operator. Types are normalized to $\beta\eta$ -long forms modulo a type equivalence relation. Because the type system of $R\omega\mu$ is a strict extension of System $F\omega\mu$, much of the type reduction is isomorphic to reduction of terms in the STLC. Novel to this report are the reductions of row, record, and variant types.

1 THE $R\omega\mu$ CALCULUS

For reference, Figure 1 describes the syntax of kinds, predicates, and types in $R\omega\mu$. We forego further description to the next section.

> Type variables $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ Labels $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$

```
Kinds
                                               \kappa ::= \star | L | R^{\kappa} | \kappa \to \kappa
Predicates
                                          \pi, \psi ::= \rho \leq \rho \mid \rho \odot \rho \sim \rho
Types \mathcal{T} \ni \phi, \tau, v, \rho, \xi ::= \alpha \mid \pi \Rightarrow \tau \mid \forall \alpha : \kappa.\tau \mid \lambda \alpha : \kappa.\tau \mid \tau \tau
                                                        |\{\xi_i \triangleright \tau_i\}_{i \in 0...m} | \ell | \#\tau | \phi \$ \rho | \rho \setminus \rho
                                                        | \tau \rightarrow \tau | \Pi | \Sigma | \mu \phi
```

Fig. 1. Syntax

Example types

Wand's problem and a record modifier:

```
wand : \forall 1 x y z t. x \odot y \sim z, \{1 \triangleright t\} \lesssim z \Rightarrow #1 \rightarrow \Pi x \rightarrow \Pi y \rightarrow t
modify : \forall 1 t u y z1 z2. \{1 \triangleright t\} \odot y \sim z1, \{1 \triangleright u\} \odot y \sim z2 \Rightarrow
                  #1 \rightarrow (t \rightarrow u) \rightarrow \Pi z1 \rightarrow \Pi z2
```

"Deriving" functor typeclass instances:

```
type Functor : (\star \to \star) \to \star
   type Functor = \lambda f. \forall a b. (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow f a \rightarrow f b
   fmapS : \forall z : R[\star \rightarrow \star]. \Pi (Functor z) \rightarrow Functor (\Sigma z)
   fmapP : \forall z : R[\star \rightarrow \star]. \Pi (Functor z) \rightarrow Functor (\Pi z)
And a desugaring of booleans to Church encodings:
   desugar : \forall y. Boolf \lesssim y, LamF \lesssim y \ BoolF \Rightarrow
```

 Π (Functor (y \ BoolF)) $\rightarrow \mu$ (Σ y) $\rightarrow \mu$ (Σ (y \ BoolF))

2 MECHANIZED SYNTAX

2.1 Kind syntax

 Our formalization of $R\omega\mu$ types is *intrinsic*, meaning we define the syntax of *typing* and *kinding judgments*, foregoing any formalization of or indexing-by untyped syntax. The only "untyped" syntax is that of kinds, which are well-formed grammatically. We give the syntax of kinds and kinding environments below.

```
data Kind : Set wheredata KEnv : Set where\star : Kind\emptyset : KEnvL : Kind_,__ : KEnv \rightarrow Kind \rightarrow KEnv_'\rightarrow_ : Kind \rightarrow Kind_,__ : KEnv \rightarrow Kind \rightarrow KEnv
```

The kind system of $R\omega\mu$ defines \star as the type of types; L as the type of labels; (\rightarrow) as the type of type operators; and $R[\kappa]$ as the type of *rows* containing types at kind κ . Kinding environments are isomorphic to lists of kinds.

The syntax of intrinsically well-scoped De-Bruijn type variables is given below. Type variables indexed in this way are analogous to the $_\in_$ relation for Agda lists—that is, each type variable is itself a proof of its location within the kinding environment. Let the metavariables Δ and κ range over kinding environments and kinds, respectively.

```
data TVar : KEnv \rightarrow Kind \rightarrow Set where Z : TVar (\Delta, \kappa) \kappa
S : TVar \Delta \kappa_1 \rightarrow TVar (\Delta, \kappa_2) \kappa_1
```

2.1.1 Partitioning kinds. It will be necessary to partition kinds by two predicates. The predicate NotLabel κ is satisfied if κ is neither of label kind, a row of label kind, nor a type operator that returns a labeled kind. It is trivial to show that this predicate is decidable.

```
NotLabel : Kind \rightarrow Set notLabel? : \forall \kappa \rightarrow Dec (NotLabel \kappa) NotLabel \star = \top notLabel? \star = yes tt notLabel? L = no \lambda () NotLabel (\kappa_1 '\rightarrow \kappa_2) = NotLabel \kappa_2 notLabel? (\kappa '\rightarrow \kappa_1) = notLabel? \kappa_1 notLabel? R[\kappa] = NotLabel? \kappa
```

The predicate Ground κ is satisfied when κ is the kind of types or labels, and is necessary to reserve the promotion of neutral types to just those at these kinds. It is again trivial to show that this predicate is decidable, and so a definition of ground? is omitted.

```
Ground: Kind \rightarrow Set
ground?: \forall \kappa \rightarrow \text{Dec (Ground } \kappa)
Ground \star = \top
Ground (\kappa \rightarrow \kappa_1) = \bot
Ground (\kappa \cap \kappa_1) = \bot
```

2.2 Type syntax

We represent the judgment $\Gamma \vdash \tau : \kappa$ intrinsically as the data type Type $\Delta \kappa$. The data type Pred Type $\Delta R[\kappa]$ represents well-kinded predicates indexed by Type $\Delta \kappa$. The two are necessarily mutually inductive. Note that the syntax of predicates will be the same for both types and normalized types, and so the Pred data type is indexed abstractly by type Ty.

```
data Pred (Ty : KEnv \rightarrow Kind \rightarrow Set) \Delta : Kind \rightarrow Set data Type \Delta : Kind \rightarrow Set
```

We must also define syntax for *simple rows*, that is, row literals. For uniformity of kind indexing, we define a SimpleRow by pattern matching on the syntax of kinds. Like with Pred, simple rows are indexed by abstract type Ty so that we may reuse the same pattern for normalized types.

```
SimpleRow : (Ty: \mathsf{KEnv} \to \mathsf{Kind} \to \mathsf{Set}) \to \mathsf{KEnv} \to \mathsf{Kind} \to \mathsf{Set}
SimpleRow Ty \triangle R[\kappa] = \mathsf{List}(\mathsf{Label} \times Ty \triangle \kappa)
SimpleRow _ _ = = \bot
```

A simple row is *ordered* if it is of length ≤ 1 or its corresponding labels are ordered according to some total order <. We will restrict the formation of row literals to just those that are ordered, which has two key consequences: first, it guarantees a normal form (later) for simple rows, and second, it enforces that labels be unique in each row. It is easy to show that the Ordered predicate is decidable.

```
Ordered : SimpleRow Type \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow Set ordered? : \forall (xs: SimpleRow Type \Delta R[\kappa]) \rightarrow Dec (Ordered xs) Ordered [] = \top Ordered (x:: []) = \top Ordered ((l_1, _) :: (l_2, \tau) :: xs) = l_1 < l_2 × Ordered ((l_2, \tau) :: xs)
```

The syntax of well-kinded predicates is exactly as expected.

```
data Pred Ty \Delta where
\underline{\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot} : (\rho_1 \ \rho_2 \ \rho_3 : Ty \Delta \ R[\ \kappa\ ]) \rightarrow \text{Pred } Ty \Delta \ R[\ \kappa\ ]
\lesssim : (\rho_1 \ \rho_2 : Ty \Delta \ R[\ \kappa\ ]) \rightarrow \text{Pred } Ty \Delta \ R[\ \kappa\ ]
```

```
data Type \Delta where

': (\alpha : \text{TVar } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \text{Type } \Delta \kappa

-\Rightarrow_ : (\pi : \text{Pred Type } \Delta R[\kappa_1]) \rightarrow (\tau : \text{Type } \Delta \star) \rightarrow \text{Type } \Delta \star

lab : (l : \text{Label}) \rightarrow \text{Type } \Delta L
```

2.2.1 The ordered predicate. We impose on the (_) constructor a witness of the form True (ordered? xs), although it may seem more intuitive to have instead simply required a witness that Ordered xs. The reason for this is that the True predicate quotients each proof down to a single inhabitant tt, which grants us proof irrelevance when comparing rows. This is desirable and yields congruence rules that would otherwise be blocked by two differing proofs of well-orderedness. The congruence rule below asserts that two simple rows are equivalent even with differing proofs. (This pattern is replicable for any decidable predicate.)

```
cong-SimpleRow : \{sr_1 \ sr_2 : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta \ R[\ \kappa]\}

\{wf_1 : \text{True (ordered? } sr_1)\} \{wf_2 : \text{True (ordered? } sr_2)\} \rightarrow sr_1 \equiv sr_2 \rightarrow (sr_1 ) \ wf_1 \equiv (sr_2 ) \ wf_2

cong-SimpleRow \{sr_1 = sr_1\} \{ \} \{wf_1\} \{wf_2\} \text{ refl}

rewrite Dec\rightarrowIrrelevant (Ordered sr_1) (ordered? sr_1) wf_1 \ wf_2 = \text{refl}
```

In the same fashion, we impose on Π and Σ a similar restriction that their kinds satisfy the NotLabel predicate, although our reason for this restriction is instead metatheoretic: without it, nonsensical labels could be formed such as Π (lab "a" > lab "b") or Π ϵ . Each of these types have kind L, which violates a label canonicity theorem we later show that all label-kinded types in normal form are label literals or neutral.

2.2.2 Flipped map operator.

 Hubers and Morris [2023] had a left- and right-mapping operator, but only one is necessary. The flipped application (flap) operator is defined below. Its type reveals its purpose.

2.2.3 The (syntactic) complement operator.

It is necessary to give a syntactic account of the computation incurred by the complement of two row literals so that we can state this computation later in the type equivalence relation. First, define a relation $\ell \in L$ ρ that is inhabited when the label literal ℓ occurs in the row ρ . This relation is decidable (_ \in L?_, definition omitted).

```
data \subseteq L_: (l: Label) \rightarrow SimpleRow Type \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow Set where
Here: \forall {\tau: Type \Delta\kappa} {xs: SimpleRow Type \Delta R[\kappa]} {l: Label} \rightarrow l \in L(l, \tau):: xs
There: \forall {\tau: Type \Delta\kappa} {xs: SimpleRow Type \Delta R[\kappa]} {l l': Label} \rightarrow
```

```
\begin{split} &l \in \mathsf{L} \; xs \to l \in \mathsf{L} \; (l' \,, \, \tau) :: xs \\ &\_ \in \mathsf{L}?\_: \forall \; (l: \mathsf{Label}) \; (xs: \mathsf{SimpleRow} \; \mathsf{Type} \; \Delta \; \mathsf{R}[\; \kappa \;]) \to \mathsf{Dec} \; (l \in \mathsf{L} \; xs) \end{split}
```

We now define the syntactic *row complement* effectively as a filter: when a label on the left is found in the row on the right, we exclude that labeled entry from the resulting row.

```
_\s_: \forall (xs ys: SimpleRow Type \triangle R[\kappa]) \rightarrow SimpleRow Type \triangle R[\kappa] [] \s ys = [] ((l, \tau) :: xs) \s ys with l ∈L? ys ... | yes _ = xs \s ys ... | no _ = (l, \tau) :: (xs \s ys)
```

2.2.4 Type renaming and substitution.

A type variable renaming is a map from type variables in environment Δ_1 to type variables in environment Δ_2 .

```
Renaming<sub>k</sub>: KEnv \rightarrow KEnv \rightarrow Set
Renaming<sub>k</sub> \Delta_1 \Delta_2 = \forall \{\kappa\} \rightarrow \mathsf{TVar} \Delta_1 \kappa \rightarrow \mathsf{TVar} \Delta_2 \kappa
```

This definition and approach is standard for the intrinsic style (cf. Chapman et al. [2019]; Wadler et al. [2022]) and so definitions are omitted. The only deviation of interest is that we have an obligation to show that renaming preserves the well-orderedness of simple rows. Note that we use the suffix $_{-k}$ for common operations over the Type and Pred syntax; we will use the suffix $_{-k}$ NF for equivalent operations over the normal type syntax.

```
orderedRenRow<sub>k</sub> : (r : Renaming_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \rightarrow (xs : SimpleRow Type \Delta_1 R[\kappa]) \rightarrow Ordered xs \rightarrow Ordered (renRow<sub>k</sub> r xs)
```

A substitution is a map from type variables to types.

```
Substitution<sub>k</sub>: KEnv \rightarrow KEnv \rightarrow Set
Substitution<sub>k</sub> \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2 = \forall \ \{\kappa\} \rightarrow \mathsf{TVar} \ \Delta_1 \ \kappa \rightarrow \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta_2 \ \kappa
```

Parallel renaming and substitution is likewise standard for this approach, and so definitions are omitted. As will become a theme, we must show that substitution preserves row well-orderedness.

```
orderedSubRow<sub>k</sub>: (\sigma : \text{Substitution}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \rightarrow (xs : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta_1 R[\kappa]) \rightarrow \text{Ordered } xs \rightarrow \text{Ordered } (\text{subRow}_k \sigma xs)
```

Two operations of note: extension of a substitution σ appends a new type A as the zero'th De Bruijn index. β -substitution is a special case of substitution in which we only substitute the most recently freed variable.

2.3 Type equivalence

 We define reduction on types $\tau \longrightarrow_{\mathcal{T}} \tau'$ by directing the following type equivalence judgment $\Delta \vdash \tau = \tau' : \kappa$ from left to right. We equate types under the relation $_\equiv t_$, predicates under the relation $_\equiv p_$, and row literals under the relation $_\equiv r_$.

```
data \_\equiv p\_: Pred Type \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow Pred Type \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow Set
data \_\equiv t\_: Type \Delta \kappa \rightarrow Type \Delta \kappa \rightarrow Set
data \_\equiv r\_: SimpleRow Type \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow SimpleRow Type \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow Set
```

Row literals and predicates are equated in an obvious fashion.

```
data \equivr_ where
eq-[]: \underline{=}r_{-}\{\Delta = \Delta\} \{\kappa = \kappa\} [] []
eq-cons: \{xs \ ys: SimpleRow \ Type \ \Delta \ R[\ \kappa\ ]\} \rightarrow
\ell_{1} \equiv \ell_{2} \rightarrow \tau_{1} \equiv t \ \tau_{2} \rightarrow xs \equiv r \ ys \rightarrow
((\ell_{1}, \tau_{1}) :: xs) \equiv r \ ((\ell_{2}, \tau_{2}) :: ys)
data \underline{=}p_{-} \text{ where}
\underline{=}eq-\leq : \tau_{1} \equiv t \ v_{1} \rightarrow \tau_{2} \equiv t \ v_{2} \rightarrow \tau_{1} \leq \tau_{2} \equiv p \ v_{1} \leq v_{2}
\underline{=}eq-\cdot \underline{=}: \tau_{1} \equiv t \ v_{1} \rightarrow \tau_{2} \equiv t \ v_{2} \rightarrow \tau_{3} \equiv t \ v_{3} \rightarrow
\tau_{1} \cdot \tau_{2} \sim \tau_{3} \equiv p \ v_{1} \cdot v_{2} \sim v_{3}
```

The first three type equivalence rules enforce that _≡t_ forms an equivalence relation.

```
data \equivt_ where

eq-refl: \tau \equivt \tau

eq-sym: \tau_1 \equivt \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 \equivt \tau_1

eq-trans: \tau_1 \equivt \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 \equivt \tau_3 \rightarrow \tau_1 \equivt \tau_3
```

We next have a number of congruence rules. As this is type-level normalization, we equate under binders such as λ and \forall . The rule for congruence under λ bindings is below; the remaining congruence rules are omitted.

```
eq-\lambda: \forall \{\tau \ v : \mathsf{Type} \ (\Delta, \kappa_1) \ \kappa_2\} \rightarrow \tau \equiv \mathsf{t} \ v \rightarrow \lambda \ \tau \equiv \mathsf{t} \ \lambda \ v
```

We have two "expansion" rules and one composition rule. Firstly, arrow-kinded types are η -expanded to have an outermost lambda binding. This later ensures canonicity of arrow-kinded types.

```
eq-\eta: \forall \{f: \mathsf{Type}\ \Delta\ (\kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2)\} \to f \equiv \mathsf{t} \ \lambda\ (\mathsf{weaken}_k\ f \cdot (\mathsf{Z}))
```

Analogously, row-kinded variables left alone are expanded to a map by the identity function. Additionally, nested maps are composed together into one map. These rules together ensure canonical forms for row-kinded normal types. (Observe that these two rules are effectively functorial laws.)

```
eq-map-id: \forall \{\kappa\} \{\tau : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa\ ]\} \to \tau \equiv \mathsf{t}\ (`\lambda \{\kappa_1 = \kappa\}\ (`\ Z)) < > \tau
eq-map-o: \forall \{\kappa_3\} \{f : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ (\kappa_2\ `\to \kappa_3)\} \{g : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ (\kappa_1\ `\to \kappa_2)\} \{\tau : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa_1\ ]\} \to (f < > (g < > \tau)) \equiv \mathsf{t}\ (`\lambda (\mathsf{weaken}_k\ f \cdot (\mathsf{weaken}_k\ g \cdot (`\ Z)))) < > \tau
```

 We now describe the computational rules that incur type reduction. Rule eq- β is the usual β -reduction rule. Rule eq-labTy asserts that the constructor $_\triangleright_$ is indeed superfluous when describing singleton rows with a label literal; singleton rows of the form ($\ell \triangleright \tau$) are normalized into row literals.

```
eq-\beta: \forall \{\tau_1 : \mathsf{Type}\ (\Delta \ ,, \ \kappa_1) \ \kappa_2\} \{\tau_2 : \mathsf{Type}\ \Delta \ \kappa_1\} \to ((\lambda \ \tau_1) \cdot \tau_2) \equiv \mathsf{t}\ (\tau_1 \ \beta_k[\ \tau_2\ ]) eq-labTy: \lambda \in \mathsf{l} \equiv \mathsf{l}\ \mathsf{lab}\ \ell \to (\lambda \in \mathsf{l}) \equiv \mathsf{t}\ (\lambda \in \mathsf{l}) \equiv \mathsf{l}\ \mathsf{lab}\ \ell \to (\lambda \in \mathsf{l})
```

The rule eq->\$ describes that mapping F over a singleton row is simply application of F over the row's contents. Rule eq-map asserts exactly the same except for row literals; the function map₂ is simply fmap over a pair's right component. Rule eq-<\$>-\ asserts that mapping F over a row complement is distributive.

```
eq-$\$: \forall {I} {\tau: Type \Delta \kappa_1} {F: Type \Delta (\kappa_1 '\rightarrow \kappa_2)} \rightarrow (F <$> (I > \tau)) \equivt (I > (F · \tau)) eq-map : \forall {F: Type \Delta (\kappa_1 '\rightarrow \kappa_2)} {\rho: SimpleRow Type \Delta R[ \kappa_1 ]} {o\rho: True (ordered? \rho)} \rightarrow {o\rho': True (ordered? (map (map<sub>2</sub> (F ·_)) \rho))} \rightarrow F <$> (\|\rho\| o\rho) \equivt \| map (map<sub>2</sub> (F ·_)) \rho \| o\rho' eq-<$>-\: \forall {F: Type \Delta (\kappa_1 '\rightarrow \kappa_2)} {\rho_2 \rho_1: Type \Delta R[ \kappa_1 ]} \rightarrow F <$> (\rho_2 \ \rho_1) \equivt (F <$> \rho_2) \ (F <$> \rho_2)
```

The rules eq- Π and eq- Σ give the defining equations of Π and Σ at nested row kind. This is to say, application of Π to a nested row is equivalent to mapping Π over the row.

```
eq-\Pi: \forall \{\rho: \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \mathsf{R}[\ \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa\ ]\ ]\} \{nl: \mathsf{True}\ (\mathsf{notLabel}?\ \kappa)\} \rightarrow \Pi \{\mathsf{notLabel} = \mathsf{nl}\} \cdot \rho \equiv \mathsf{t}\ \Pi \{\mathsf{notLabel} = \mathsf{nl}\} \cdot \$ > \rho
eq-\Sigma: \forall \{\rho: \mathsf{Type}\ \Delta \ \mathsf{R}[\ \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa\ ]\ ]\} \{nl: \mathsf{True}\ (\mathsf{notLabel}?\ \kappa)\} \rightarrow \Sigma \{\mathsf{notLabel} = \mathsf{nl}\} \cdot \rho \equiv \mathsf{t}\ \Sigma \{\mathsf{notLabel} = \mathsf{nl}\} \cdot \$ > \rho
```

The next two rules assert that Π and Σ can reassociate from left-to-right except with the new right-applicand "flapped".

```
eq-\Pi-assoc : \forall {\rho : Type \Delta (R[ \kappa_1 '\rightarrow \kappa_2 ])} {\tau : Type \Delta \kappa_1} {nl : True (notLabel? \kappa_2)} \rightarrow (\Pi {notLabel = nl} · \rho) · \tau \equivt \Pi {notLabel = nl} · (\rho ?? \tau) eq-\Sigma-assoc : \forall {\rho : Type \Delta (R[ \kappa_1 '\rightarrow \kappa_2 ])} {\tau : Type \Delta \kappa_1} {nl : True (notLabel? \kappa_2)} \rightarrow (\Sigma {notLabel = nl} · \rho) · \tau \equivt \Sigma {notLabel = nl} · (\rho ?? \tau)
```

Finally, the rule eq-compl gives computational content to the relative row complement operator applied to row literals. (We defined the syntactic complement _\s_ precisely for this reason.)

```
eq-compl : \forall {xs ys : SimpleRow Type \Delta R[\kappa]} {oxs : True (ordered? xs)} {oys : True (ordered? ys)} {ozs : True (ordered? (xs \setminus s ys))} \rightarrow ((| xs| oxs) \ ((| ys| oys) \equivt (| (xs \setminus s ys)| ozs
```

Before concluding, we share an auxiliary definition that reflects instances of propositional equality in Agda to proofs of type-equivalence. The same role could be performed via Agda's subst but without the convenience.

```
inst : \forall \{\tau_1 \ \tau_2 : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa\} \to \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \to \tau_1 \equiv \mathsf{t} \ \tau_2
inst refl = eq-refl
```

2.3.1 Some admissable rules. Early versions of this equivalence relation imposed the following two rules directly; they intuit how we think Π and Σ ought to reduce as applicands. However, we can confirm their admissability. The first rule states that Π is mapped over nested rows, and the second (definition omitted) states that λ -bindings η -expand over Π . (These results hold identically for Σ .)

```
eq-$\Pi$ : $\forall \{l\} \{\tau: \text{Type } \Delta \text{R}[\kappa]\} nl: \text{True (notLabel? }\kappa)\}$ $\to$ $$ ($\Pi$ \{notLabel = nl\} \cdot (l \neq \tau)$) $\eq$ $$ ($l \neq (\Pi) \text{ fl } \{notLabel = nl\} \cdot \tau)$) $$ eq-$\Pi$ $$ eq-$\Pi\lambda: $\forall \{l\} \{\tau: \text{Type } (\Delta, \kappa_1) \kappa_2\} \{nl: \text{True (notLabel? }\kappa_2)\}$ $\to$ $$ $\Pi$ \{notLabel = nl\} \cdot (\text{l} \neq \cdot \text{\text{Type }} \left(\Delta, \text{\text{\text{$\tau}}} \right) \equiv \frac{\text{$\tau}}{\text{$\text{$\tau}}} \left(\Pi \cdot \text{\text{$\tau}} \text{$\text{$\text{$\tau}}} \right)$ $$ $$ $\text{Type } (\Delta, \kappa_1) \text{$\text{$\tau}} \right)$ $$ $$ $\Pi$ \(\text{$\text{$\text{$\tau}$}} \right) \text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\text{$\te
```

3 NORMAL FORMS

 By directing the type equivalence relation we define computation on types. This serves as a sort of specification on the shape normal forms of types ought to have. Our grammar for normal types must be carefully crafted so as to be neither too "large" nor too "small". In particular, we wish our normalization algorithm to be *stable*, which implies surjectivity. Hence if the normal syntax is too large—i.e., it produces junk types—then these junk types will have pre-images in the domain of normalization. Inversely, if the normal syntax is too small, then there will be types whose normal forms cannot be expressed. Figure 2 specifies the syntax and typing of normal types, given as reference. We describe the syntax in more depth by describing its intrinsic mechanization.

Fig. 2. Normal type forms

3.1 Mechanized syntax

We define NormalTypes and NormalPreds analogously to Types and Preds. Recall that Pred and SimpleRow are indexed by the type of their contents, so we can reuse some code.

```
data NormalType (\Delta: KEnv): Kind \rightarrow Set
NormalPred: KEnv \rightarrow Kind \rightarrow Set
NormalPred = Pred NormalType
```

We must declare an analogous orderedness predicate, this time for normal types. Its definition is nearly identical.

```
NormalOrdered : SimpleRow NormalType \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow Set normalOrdered? : \forall (xs: SimpleRow NormalType \Delta R[\kappa]) \rightarrow Dec (NormalOrdered xs)
```

Further, we define the predicate NotSimpleRow ρ to be true precisely when ρ is not a simple row. This is necessary because the row complement $\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1$ should reduce when each ρ_i is a row literal. So it is necessary when forming normal row-complements to specify that at least one of the complement operands is a non-literal. The predicate True (notSimpleRows? ρ_1 ρ_2) is satisfied precisely in this case.

```
NotSimpleRow : NormalType \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow Set notSimpleRows? : \forall (\tau_1 \tau_2 : NormalType \Delta R[\kappa]) \rightarrow Dec (NotSimpleRow \tau_1 or NotSimpleRow \tau_2)
```

Neutral types are type variables and applications with type variables in head position.

```
data NeutralType \Delta: Kind \rightarrow Set where
`: (\alpha : \mathsf{TVar} \ \Delta \ \kappa) \to \mathsf{NeutralType} \ \Delta \ \kappa
\_\cdot\_: (f : \mathsf{NeutralType} \ \Delta \ (\kappa_1 \ `\rightarrow \kappa)) \to (\tau : \mathsf{NormalType} \ \Delta \ \kappa_1) \to \mathsf{NeutralType} \ \Delta \ \kappa
```

We define the normal type syntax firstly by restricting the promotion of neutral types to normal forms at only *ground* kind. As discussed above, we restrict the formation of inert row complements to just those in which at least one operand is non-literal. We define inert maps as part of the NormalType syntax rather than the NeutralType syntax. Observe that a consequence of this decision (as opposed to letting the form _<\$>_ be neutral) is that all inert maps must have the mapped function composed into just one applicand. For example, the type ϕ_2 <\$> $(\phi_1$ n) must recompose into (λ a. $(\phi_2$ (ϕ_1 a))) <\$> n to be in normal form. Finally, we need only permit the formation of records and variants at kind λ , and we restrict the formation of neutral-labeled rows to just the singleton constructor λ a. The remaining cases are identical to the regular Type syntax and omitted.

```
data NormalType Δ where
```

```
ne : (x : \text{NeutralType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \{ground : \text{True } (ground? \kappa)\} \rightarrow \text{NormalType } \Delta \kappa
\_ \setminus_{-} : (\rho_2 \ \rho_1 : \text{NormalType } \Delta \ R[\ \kappa\ ]) \rightarrow \{nsr : \text{True } (\text{notSimpleRows? } \rho_2 \ \rho_1)\} \rightarrow \text{NormalType } \Delta \ R[\ \kappa\ ]
\_ < \$ >_{-} : (\phi : \text{NormalType } \Delta \ R[\ \kappa\ ]) \rightarrow \text{NormalType } \Delta \ R[\ \kappa_1\ ] \rightarrow \text{NormalType } \Delta \ R[\ \kappa_2\ ]
\Pi : (\rho : \text{NormalType } \Delta \ R[\ \star\ ]) \rightarrow \text{NormalType } \Delta \ \star
\Sigma : (\rho : \text{NormalType } \Delta \ R[\ \star\ ]) \rightarrow \text{NormalType } \Delta \ \star
\_ \triangleright_{n_{-}} : (l : \text{NeutralType } \Delta \ L) \ (\tau : \text{NormalType } \Delta \ \kappa) \rightarrow \text{NormalType } \Delta \ R[\ \kappa\ ]
```

3.2 Canonicity of normal types

The syntax of normal types is defined precisely so as to enjoy canonical forms based on kind. We first demonstrate that neutral types and inert complements cannot occur in empty contexts.

```
noNeutrals : NeutralType \emptyset \ \kappa \to \bot noComplements : \forall noNeutrals (n \cdot \tau) = noNeutrals n \{\rho_1 \ \rho_2 \ \rho_3 : \text{NormalType} \ \emptyset \ \mathbb{R}[\ \kappa \ ]\} (nsr : \text{True (notSimpleRows? } \rho_3 \ \rho_2)) \to \rho_1 \equiv (\rho_3 \ \backslash \ \rho_2) \ \{nsr\} \to \bot
```

Now, in any context an arrow-kinded type is canonically λ -bound:

```
arrow-canonicity : (f : NormalType \Delta (\kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2)) \rightarrow \exists [\tau] (f \equiv \lambda \tau)
442
443
        arrow-canonicity ('\lambda f) = f, refl
445
        A row in an empty context is necessarily a row literal:
446
         row-canonicity-\emptyset : (\rho : NormalType \emptyset R[\kappa]) \rightarrow
447
                                       \exists [xs] \Sigma [oxs \in True (normalOrdered? xs)]
                                       (\rho \equiv (xs) oxs)
449
        row-canonicity-\emptyset (( | \rho | ) o\rho) = \rho, o\rho, refl
451
        And a label-kinded type is necessarily a label literal:
453
```

```
label-canonicity-\emptyset: \forall (l: NormalType \emptyset L) \rightarrow \exists [ s ] (l \equiv \text{lab } s) label-canonicity-\emptyset (ne x) = \bot-elim (noNeutrals x) label-canonicity-\emptyset (lab s) = s, refl
```

3.3 Renaming

 Renaming over normal types is defined in an entirely straightforward manner. Types and definitions are omitted.

3.4 Embedding

The goal is to normalize a given τ : Type Δ κ to a normal form at type NormalType Δ κ . It is of course much easier to first describe the inverse embedding, which recasts a normal form back to its original type. Definitions are expected and omitted.

Note that it is precisely in "embedding" the NormalOrdered predicate that we establish half of the requisite isomorphism between a normal row being normal-ordered and its embedding being ordered. We will have to show the other half (that is, that ordered rows have normal-ordered evaluations) during normalization.

```
Ordered\uparrow: \forall (\rho: SimpleRow NormalType \Delta R[\kappa]) \rightarrow NormalOrdered \rho \rightarrow Ordered (\uparrowRow \rho)
```

4 SEMANTIC TYPES

We have finally set the stage to discuss the process of normalizing types by evaluation. We first must define a semantic image of Types into which we will evaluate. Crucially, neutral types must *reflect* into this domain, and elements of this domain must *reify* to normal forms.

Let us first define the image of row literals to be Fin-indexed maps.

```
Row : Set \rightarrow Set
Row A = \exists [n] (Fin n \rightarrow Label \times A)
```

Naturally, we required a predicate on such rows to indicate that they are well-ordered.

```
OrderedRow': \forall {A : Set} \rightarrow (n : \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow (Fin n \rightarrow Label \times A) \rightarrow Set

OrderedRow' zero P = \top

OrderedRow' (suc zero) P = \top

OrderedRow' (suc (suc n)) P = (P \text{ fzero .fst } < P \text{ (fsuc fzero) .fst)} \times \text{OrderedRow' (suc } n) (P \circ \text{fsuc)}

OrderedRow: \forall {A} \rightarrow Row A \rightarrow Set

OrderedRow (n, P) = OrderedRow' n P
```

We may now define the totality of forms a row-kinded type might take in the semantic domain (the RowType data type). We evaluate row literals into Rows via the row constructor; note that the argument \mathcal{T} maps kinding environments to types. In practice, this is how we specify that a row contains types in environment Δ .

```
data RowType (\Delta : KEnv) (\mathcal{T} : KEnv \rightarrow Set) : Kind \rightarrow Set NotRow : \forall {\Delta : KEnv} {\mathcal{T} : KEnv \rightarrow Set} \rightarrow RowType \Delta \mathcal{T} R[\kappa] \rightarrow Set data RowType \Delta \mathcal{T} where row : (\rho : Row (\mathcal{T} \Delta)) \rightarrow OrderedRow \rho \rightarrow RowType \Delta \mathcal{T} R[\kappa] _{-}: NeutralType \Delta L \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \Delta \rightarrow RowType \Delta \mathcal{T} R[\kappa] _{-}: (\rho_2 \rho_1 : RowType \Delta \mathcal{T} R[\kappa]) \rightarrow {nr: NotRow \rho_2 or NotRow \rho_1} \rightarrow RowType \Delta \mathcal{T} R[\kappa] _{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
_{-}
```

Neutral-labeled singleton rows are evaluated into the $_\triangleright_$ constructor; inert complements are evaluated into the $_\setminus_$ constructor. Just as OrderedRow is the semantic version of row well-orderedness, the predicate NotRow asserts that a given RowType is not a row literal (constructed by row). This ensures that complements constructed by $_\setminus_$ are indeed inert. Regarding the inert map constructor, we would like to compose nested maps. Borrowing from Allais et al. [2013], we thus interpret the left applicand of a map as a Kripke function space mapping neutral types in environment Δ' to the type \mathcal{T} Δ' , which we will later specify to be that of semantic types in environment Δ' at kind κ . To avoid running afoul of Agda's positivity checker, we let the domain type of this Kripke function be *neutral types*, which may always be reflected into semantic types. We define semantic types (SemType) below, but replacing NeutralType Δ' κ_1 with SemType Δ' κ_1 would not be strictly positive.

We finally define the semantic domain by induction on the kind κ . Types with \star and label kind are simply NormalTypes. We interpret functions into *Kripke function spaces*—that is, functions that operate over SemType inputs at any possible environment Δ_2 , provided a renaming into Δ_2 . We interpret row-kinded types into the RowType type, defined above. Note some more trickery which we have borrowed from Allais et al. [2013]: we cannot pass SemType itself as an argument to RowType (which would violate termination checking), but we can instead pass to RowType the function (λ Δ' \rightarrow SemType Δ' κ), which enforces a strictly smaller recursive call on the kind κ . Observe too that abstraction over the kinding environment Δ' is necessary because our representation of inert maps _<\$>_ interprets the mapped applicand as a Kripke function space over neutral type

```
540 SemType: KEnv \rightarrow Kind \rightarrow Set

541 SemType \Delta \star = NormalType \Delta \star

542 SemType \Delta L = NormalType \Delta L

543 SemType \Delta_1 (\kappa_1 '\rightarrow \kappa_2) = (\forall {\Delta_2} \rightarrow (r: Renaming_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2)

544 (v: SemType \Delta_2 \kappa_1) \rightarrow SemType \Delta_2 \kappa_2)

545 SemType \Delta R[\kappa] = RowType \Delta (\lambda \Delta '\rightarrow SemType \Delta '\kappa) R[\kappa]
```

For abbreviation later, we alias our two types of Kripke function spaces as so:

```
\label{eq:KripkeFunction:Kenv} \begin{split} \text{KripkeFunction: KEnv} &\rightarrow \text{Kind} \rightarrow \text{Kind} \rightarrow \text{Set} \\ \text{KripkeFunction } \Delta_1 \ \kappa_1 \ \kappa_2 = \\ (\forall \ \{\Delta_2\} \rightarrow \text{Renaming}_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2 \rightarrow \\ \text{SemType } \Delta_2 \ \kappa_1 \rightarrow \text{SemType} \ \Delta_2 \ \kappa_2) \end{split} \qquad \begin{aligned} \text{KripkeFunctionNE: KEnv} &\rightarrow \text{Kind} \rightarrow \text{Kind} \rightarrow \text{Set} \\ \text{KripkeFunctionNE: } \Delta_1 \ \kappa_1 \ \kappa_2 = \\ (\forall \ \{\Delta_2\} \rightarrow \text{Renaming}_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2 \rightarrow \\ \text{SemType } \Delta_2 \ \kappa_1 \rightarrow \text{SemType} \ \Delta_2 \ \kappa_2) \end{aligned}
```

4.1 Renaming

547

549

551

553

555 556

557

558

559

560

561

563

564 565

567

569

570

571

572

573

574 575

576

577

578

579

580 581

582

583

584

585

586

587 588 Renaming a Kripke function is nothing more than providing the appropriate renaming to the function.

```
renSem : Renaming<sub>k</sub> \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow SemType \Delta_1 \kappa \rightarrow SemType \Delta_2 \kappa renKripke : Renaming<sub>k</sub> \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow KripkeFunction \Delta_1 \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow KripkeFunction \Delta_2 \kappa_1 \kappa_2 renKripke \{\Delta_1\} \rho F \{\Delta_2\} = \lambda \rho' \rightarrow F (\rho' \circ \rho)
```

Renaming a row is simply pre-composition of the renaming r over the row's map P. The helper map_2 lifts renSem r over the tuple, applying renSem r to the second component.

```
renRow : Renaming_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow Row (SemType \Delta_1 \kappa) \rightarrow Row (SemType \Delta_2 \kappa) renRow r (n, P) = n, map_2 (renSem r) \circ P
```

Renaming over semantic types is otherwise defined in a straightforward manner. At kinds \star and L, we defer to the renaming of normal types. The other cases are described above or simply compositional. Some care must be given to ensure that the NotRow and well-ordered predicates are preserved. (We omit the auxiliary lemmas orderedRenRow and nrRenSem'.)

```
renSem \{\kappa = \star\} r \tau = \operatorname{ren}_k \operatorname{NF} r \tau

renSem \{\kappa = \mathsf{L}\} r \tau = \operatorname{ren}_k \operatorname{NF} r \tau

renSem \{\kappa = \kappa \hookrightarrow \kappa_1\} r F = \operatorname{renKripke} r F

renSem \{\kappa = \mathsf{R}[\kappa]\} r (\phi < > x) = (\lambda r' \to \phi (r' \circ r)) < > (\operatorname{ren}_k \operatorname{NE} r x)

renSem \{\kappa = \mathsf{R}[\kappa]\} r (\operatorname{row}(n, P) q) = \operatorname{row}(\operatorname{renRow} r (n, P)) (orderedRenRow r q)

renSem \{\kappa = \mathsf{R}[\kappa]\} r (l \succ \tau) = (\operatorname{ren}_k \operatorname{NE} r l) \succ \operatorname{renSem} r \tau

renSem \{\kappa = \mathsf{R}[\kappa]\} r (\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) \{nr\} = (renSem r \rho_2 \setminus \operatorname{renSem} r \rho_1) \{nr = \operatorname{nrRenSem}' r \rho_2 \rho_1 nr\}
```

5 NORMALIZATION BY EVALUATION (NBE)

We have now declared three domains: the syntax of types, the syntax of normal and neutral types, and the embedded domain of semantic types. Normalization by evaluation (NbE), as we follows it, involves producing a *reflection* from neutral types to semantic types, a *reification* from semantic types to normal types, and an *evaluation* from types to semantic types. It follows thereafter that normalization is the reification of evaluation. Because we reason about types modulo η -expansion,

reify $\{\kappa = \kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2\} F = \text{reifyKripke } F$

reify $\{\kappa = R[\kappa]\} (l \triangleright \tau) = (l \triangleright_n (reify \tau))$

635

636 637

reflection and reification are necessarily mutually recursive. (This is not the case however with e.g. 589 Chapman et al. [2019].) 590 591 We describe the reflection logic before reification. Types at kind ★ and L can be promoted 592 straightforwardly with the ne constructor. A neutral row (e.g., a row variable) must be expanded 593 into an inert mapping by $(\lambda r n \rightarrow reflect n)$, which is effectively the identity function. Finally, 594 neutral types at arrow kind must be expanded into Kripke functions. Note that the input v has type 595 SemType $\Delta \kappa_1$ and must be reified. 596 reflect : $\forall \{\kappa\} \rightarrow \text{NeutralType } \Delta \kappa \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa$ 597 reify : $\forall \{\kappa\} \rightarrow \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa \rightarrow \mathsf{NormalType} \ \Delta \ \kappa$ 598 599 reflect $\{\kappa = \star\} \tau$ 600 reflect $\{\kappa = L\} \tau$ = ne τ 601 reflect $\{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\}\ \rho = (\lambda \ r \ n \rightarrow \text{reflect } n) < > \rho$ 602 reflect $\{\kappa = \kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2\} \tau = \lambda \rho \nu \rightarrow \text{reflect } (\text{ren}_k \text{NE } \rho \tau \cdot \text{reify } \nu)$ 603 604 Stopping here. 605 reifyKripke : KripkeFunction $\Delta \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow \text{NormalType } \Delta (\kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2)$ 606 607 reifyKripkeNE : KripkeFunctionNE $\Delta \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow \text{NormalType } \Delta (\kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2)$ reifyKripke $\{\kappa_1 = \kappa_1\}$ $F = \lambda$ (reify $(F S \text{ (reflect } \{\kappa = \kappa_1\} \text{ ((`Z))))})$ reifyKripkeNE $F = \lambda (\text{reify } (F S (Z)))$ 610 reifyRow': $(n : \mathbb{N}) \to (\text{Fin } n \to \text{Label} \times \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa) \to \text{SimpleRow NormalType } \Delta R[\kappa]$ 611 reifyRow' zero P = []reifyRow' (suc n) P with P fzero 613 ... $|(l, \tau)| = (l, reify \tau) :: reifyRow' n (P \circ fsuc)$ 615 reifyRow : Row (SemType $\Delta \kappa$) \rightarrow SimpleRow NormalType $\Delta R[\kappa]$ 616 reifyRow(n, P) = reifyRow'n P617 618 reifyRowOrdered : \forall (ρ : Row (SemType $\Delta \kappa$)) \rightarrow OrderedRow $\rho \rightarrow$ NormalOrdered (reifyRow ρ) 619 reifyRowOrdered': $\forall (n : \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow (P : \text{Fin } n \rightarrow \text{Label} \times \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow$ 620 OrderedRow $(n, P) \rightarrow NormalOrdered (reifyRow <math>(n, P))$ 621 reifyRowOrdered' zero $P o \rho = tt$ 622 reifyRowOrdered' (suc zero) $P \circ \rho = tt$ 624 reifyRowOrdered' (suc (suc n)) $P(l_1 < l_2, ih) = l_1 < l_2, (reifyRowOrdered' (suc <math>n$) ($P \circ fsuc$) ih) 625 reifyRowOrdered (n, P) $o\rho$ = reifyRowOrdered' $n P o\rho$ 626 627 reifyPreservesNR: $\forall (\rho_1, \rho_2 : RowType \Delta (\lambda \Delta' \rightarrow SemType \Delta' \kappa) R[\kappa]) \rightarrow$ 628 $(nr: NotRow \ \rho_1 \ or \ NotRow \ \rho_2) \rightarrow NotSimpleRow (reify \ \rho_1) \ or \ NotSimpleRow (reify \ \rho_2)$ 629 630 reifyPreservesNR': $\forall (\rho_1 \ \rho_2 : RowType \ \Delta \ (\lambda \ \Delta' \rightarrow SemType \ \Delta' \kappa) \ R[\kappa]) \rightarrow$ 631 $(nr : \text{NotRow } \rho_1 \text{ or NotRow } \rho_2) \rightarrow \text{NotSimpleRow (reify } ((\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2) \{nr\}))$ 632 reify $\{\kappa = \star\} \tau = \tau$ 633 reify $\{\kappa = L\} \tau = \tau$ 634

```
reify \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\} (row \rho q) = \{\text{reifyRow }\rho\} (fromWitness (reifyRowOrdered \rho q))
638
639
                         reify \{\kappa = R[\kappa]\} ((\phi < \$ > \tau)) = (reifyKripkeNE \phi < \$ > \tau)
640
                         reify \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\}\ ((\phi < \tau) \setminus \rho_2) = (\text{reify } (\phi < \tau) \setminus \text{reify } \rho_2) \{nsr = tt\}
641
                         reify \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\}\ ((l \triangleright \tau) \setminus \rho) = (\text{reify } (l \triangleright \tau) \setminus (\text{reify } \rho)) \{nsr = \text{tt}\}
642
                         reify \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\}\ (\text{row } \rho \ x \setminus \rho'(\omega(x_1 \triangleright x_2)) = (\text{reify } (\text{row } \rho \ x) \setminus \text{reify } \rho') \{nsr = tt\}
643
                         reify \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\}\ ((\text{row }\rho\ x \setminus \text{row }\rho_1\ x_1)\ \{\text{left }()\})
644
                         reify \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\} ((row \rho x \setminus \text{row } \rho_1 x_1) \{\text{right } ()\})
645
                         reify \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\}\ (\text{row }\rho\ x\setminus (\phi < >\tau)) = (\text{reify }(\text{row }\rho\ x)\setminus \text{reify }(\phi < >\tau)) \{nsr = tt\}
646
                         reify \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\} ((\text{row } \rho x \setminus \rho'@((\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2) \{nr'\})) \{nr\}) = ((\text{reify } (\text{row } \rho x)) \setminus (\text{reify } ((\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2) \{nr'\}))) \{nsr = \text{fron } ((\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2) \{nr'\})) \{nsr = \text{fron } ((\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2) \{nr'\})\} \{nsr = \text{fron } ((\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2) \{nr'\})) \{nsr = \text{fron } ((\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2) \{nr'\})\} \{nsr = \text{fron } ((\rho_1 \setminus \rho
647
                          reify \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\} ((((\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) \{nr'\}) \ \rho) \{nr'\}) = ((reify ((\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) \{nr'\})) \ reify \rho) \{fromWitness (reifyPreservesNew PreservesNew Pr
649
                         reifyPreservesNR (x_1 \triangleright x_2) \rho_2 (left x) = left tt
650
                         reifyPreservesNR ((\rho_1 \setminus \rho_3) {nr}) \rho_2 (left x) = left (reifyPreservesNR' \rho_1 \rho_3 nr)
651
                         reifyPreservesNR (\phi <$> \rho) \rho_2 (left x) = left tt
652
                         reifyPreservesNR \rho_1 (x \triangleright x_1) (right y) = right tt
653
654
                         reifyPreservesNR \rho_1 ((\rho_2 \setminus \rho_3) {nr}) (right \gamma) = right (reifyPreservesNR' \rho_2 \rho_3 nr)
655
                         reifyPreservesNR \rho_1 ((\phi <$> \rho_2)) (right y) = right tt
                         reifyPreservesNR' (x_1 \triangleright x_2) \rho_2 (left x) = tt
657
                         reifyPreservesNR' (\rho_1 \setminus \rho_3) \rho_2 (left x) = tt
658
                         reifyPreservesNR' (\phi <$> n) \rho_2 (left x) = tt
659
660
                         reifyPreservesNR' (\phi < $> n) \rho_2 (right y) = tt
                         reifyPreservesNR' (x \triangleright x_1) \rho_2 (right y) = tt
661
662
                         reifyPreservesNR' (row \rho x) (x_1 > x_2) (right y) = tt
663
                         reifyPreservesNR' (row \rho x) (\rho_2 \setminus \rho_3) (right y) = tt
                         reifyPreservesNR' (row \rho x) (\phi <$> n) (right y) = tt
665
                          reifyPreservesNR' (\rho_1 \setminus \rho_3) \rho_2 (right \nu) = tt
666
667
                          - \eta normalization of neutral types
669
                         \eta-norm : NeutralType \Delta \kappa \rightarrow NormalType \Delta \kappa
670
                         \eta-norm = reify \circ reflect
671
672
673
                         - - Semantic environments
674
                         Env : KEnv \rightarrow KEnv \rightarrow Set
675
                         Env \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2 = \forall \{\kappa\} \rightarrow \mathsf{TVar} \ \Delta_1 \ \kappa \rightarrow \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta_2 \ \kappa
676
677
                         idEnv : Env \Delta \Delta
678
                         idEnv = reflect o '
679
680
                         extende : (\eta : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2) \rightarrow (V : \text{SemType } \Delta_2 \ \kappa) \rightarrow \text{Env } (\Delta_1 \ ,, \ \kappa) \ \Delta_2
681
                         extende \eta V Z = V
682
                         extende \eta V(S x) = \eta x
683
                         lifte : Env \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{Env} \ (\Delta_1 \ ,, \ \kappa) \ (\Delta_2 \ ,, \ \kappa)
684
                         lifte \{\Delta_1\} \{\Delta_2\} \{\kappa\} \eta = extende (weakenSem \circ \eta) (idEnv Z)
685
```

5.1 Helping evaluation

```
688
689
           - Semantic application
690
           \cdot V_{-}: SemType \Delta (\kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2) \rightarrow SemType \Delta \kappa_1 \rightarrow SemType \Delta \kappa_2
691
           F \cdot V V = F \text{ id } V
692
693
694
           - Semantic complement
695
           \in \text{Row} : \forall \{m\} \rightarrow (l : \text{Label}) \rightarrow
696
697
                               (Q: \mathsf{Fin}\ m \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType}\ \Delta\ \kappa) \to
698
699
           \subseteq \text{Row} \{m = m\} \ l \ Q = \sum [i \in \text{Fin } m] \ (l \equiv Q \ i \cdot \text{fst})
700
           \in \text{Row}? : \forall \{m\} \rightarrow (l : \text{Label}) \rightarrow
701
                               (Q : \mathsf{Fin}\ m \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType}\ \Delta\ \kappa) \to
702
                               Dec(l \in Row Q)
703
704
           _{\in}Row?_{\setminus}{m = zero} lQ = no \lambda { () }
705
           \in Row?_{\{m = suc m\}} l Q with l \stackrel{?}{=} Q fzero .fst
706
           ... | yes p = yes (fzero, p)
707
                            p with l \in Row? (Q \circ fsuc)
708
           ... | yes (n, q) = yes ((fsuc n), q)
709
                                       q = \text{no } \lambda \{ (\text{fzero }, q') \rightarrow p \ q'; (\text{fsuc } n, q') \rightarrow q \ (n, q') \}
           ... | no
710
711
           compl : \forall \{n \ m\} \rightarrow
712
                        (P: \mathsf{Fin}\ n \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType}\ \Delta\ \kappa)
713
                        (Q: \mathsf{Fin}\ m \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType}\ \Delta\ \kappa) \to
714
                         Row (SemType \Delta \kappa)
715
           compl \{n = \text{zero}\} \{m\} P Q = \epsilon V
716
           compl \{n = \text{suc } n\} \{m\} P Q \text{ with } P \text{ fzero .fst } \in \text{Row? } Q
717
           ... | yes \_ = compl (P \circ fsuc) Q
718
           ... | no \_ = (P \text{ fzero}) :: (compl (P \circ fsuc) Q)
719
720
721
           - - Semantic complement preserves well-ordering
722
           lemma: \forall \{n \ m \ q\} \rightarrow
723
                             (P : \mathsf{Fin} (\mathsf{suc} \ n) \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa)
724
                             (Q: \mathsf{Fin}\ m \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType}\ \Delta\ \kappa) \to
725
                             (R : \mathsf{Fin} (\mathsf{suc} \ q) \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa) \to
726
                                  OrderedRow (suc n, P) \rightarrow
727
728
                                  compl (P \circ \text{fsuc}) Q \equiv (\text{suc } q, R) \rightarrow
729
                             P fzero .fst < R fzero .fst
730
           lemma \{n = \text{suc } n\} \{q = q\} P Q R o P e q_1 \text{ with } P \text{ (fsuc fzero) } .fst \in \text{Row? } Q
731
           lemma \{\kappa = 1\} \{\text{suc } n\} \{q = q\} P Q R o P \text{ refl} \mid \text{no } 1 = o P . \text{fst}
732
           ... | yes = <-trans \{i = P \text{ fzero .fst}\} \{j = P \text{ (fsuc fzero ) .fst}\} \{k = R \text{ fzero .fst}\} (oP .fst) (lemma <math>\{n = n\} (P \circ \text{fsuc } Q) \in P \}
733
           ordered-::: \forall \{n \ m\} \rightarrow
734
735
```

```
(P: \mathsf{Fin} (\mathsf{suc} \ n) \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa)
736
737
                                 (Q: \mathsf{Fin}\ m \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType}\ \Delta\ \kappa) \to
738
                                 OrderedRow (suc n, P) \rightarrow
739
                                 OrderedRow (compl (P \circ fsuc) Q) \rightarrow OrderedRow (P fzero :: compl (<math>P \circ fsuc) Q)
740
         ordered-:: \{n = n\} P Q o P o C with compl (P \circ fsuc) Q \mid inspect (compl <math>(P \circ fsuc)) Q
741
         \dots \mid \text{zero}, R \mid \_ = \text{tt}
742
         ... | \operatorname{suc} n, R | [[eq]] = \operatorname{lemma} P Q R \circ P eq, \circ C
743
         ordered-compl : \forall \{n \ m\} \rightarrow
744
745
                                 (P: \mathsf{Fin}\ n \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType}\ \Delta\ \kappa)
746
                                 (Q: \mathsf{Fin}\ m \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType}\ \Delta\ \kappa) \to
747
                                 OrderedRow (n, P) \rightarrow \text{OrderedRow } (m, Q) \rightarrow \text{OrderedRow } (\text{compl } P Q)
748
         ordered-compl \{n = \text{zero}\}\ P\ Q\ o\rho_1\ o\rho_2 = \text{tt}
749
         ordered-compl \{n = \text{suc } n\} P Q o \rho_1 o \rho_2 \text{ with } P \text{ fzero .fst } \in \text{Row? } Q
750
         ... | yes _ = ordered-compl (P \circ \text{fsuc}) Q (ordered-cut o\rho_1) o\rho_2
751
         ... | no _ = ordered-:: P Q o \rho_1 (ordered-compl (P \circ fsuc) Q (ordered-cut o \rho_1) o \rho_2)
752
753
754
         - Semantic complement on Rows
755
756
         757
         (n, P) \setminus v(m, Q) = compl P Q
758
759
         ordered\forall v : \forall (\rho_2 \ \rho_1 : Row (SemType \ \Delta \ \kappa)) \rightarrow OrderedRow \ \rho_2 \rightarrow OrderedRow \ \rho_1 \rightarrow OrderedRow \ (\rho_2 \ \forall v \ \rho_1)
760
         ordered\v (n, P) (m, Q) o\rho_2 o\rho_1 = ordered-compl P Q o\rho_2 o\rho_1
761
762
         --- Semantic lifting
763
764
         <$>V_: SemType \Delta (\kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2) \rightarrow SemType \Delta R[\kappa_1] \rightarrow SemType \Delta R[\kappa_2]
765
         NotRow<$> : \forall \{F : \text{SemType } \Delta \ (\kappa_1 \ `\rightarrow \kappa_2)\} \{\rho_2 \ \rho_1 : \text{RowType } \Delta \ (\lambda \ \Delta' \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta' \kappa_1) \ R[\kappa_1]\} \rightarrow
766
                               NotRow \rho_2 or NotRow \rho_1 \rightarrow \text{NotRow} (F < V \rho_2) or NotRow (F < V \rho_1)
767
768
         F < >V (l > \tau) = l > (F \cdot V \tau)
769
         F < V row (n, P) q = row (n, map_2 (F id) \circ P) (orderedMap_2 (F id) q)
770
         F < V ((\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) \{nr\}) = ((F < V \rho_2) \setminus (F < V \rho_1)) \{NotRow < nr\}
771
         F < >V (G < >n) = (\lambda \{\Delta'\} r \rightarrow F r \circ G r) < >n
772
         NotRow<$> \{F = F\} \{x_1 > x_2\} \{\rho_1\} \text{ (left } x) = \text{left tt}
773
         NotRow<$> \{F = F\} \{\rho_2 \setminus \rho_3\} \{\rho_1\} (\text{left } x) = \text{left tt}
774
         NotRow<$> \{F = F\} \{\phi 
775
776
         NotRow<$> \{F = F\} \{\rho_2\} \{x \triangleright x_1\} \text{ (right } y) = \text{ right tt}
777
         NotRow<F = F {\rho_2} {\rho_1 \setminus \rho_3} (right \gamma) = right tt
778
         779
780
781
          --- Semantic complement on SemTypes
782
```

```
785
786
           row \rho_2 o\rho_2 \lor row \rho_1 o\rho_1 = row (\rho_2 \lor v \rho_1) (ordered \lor v \rho_2 \rho_1 o\rho_2 o\rho_1)
787
           \rho_2@(x \triangleright x_1) \setminus V \rho_1 = (\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) \{nr = \text{left tt}\}
788
           \rho_2@(row \rho x) \V \rho_1@(x_1 > x_2) = (\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) {nr = \text{right tt}}
789
           \rho_2@(\text{row }\rho\ x)\setminus V \rho_1@(\_\setminus\_) = (\rho_2\setminus\rho_1)\{nr = \text{right tt}\}
790
           \rho_2@(row \rho x) \V \rho_1@(_ <$> _) = (\rho_2 \ \rho_1) {nr = right tt}
791
           \rho@(\rho_2 \setminus \rho_3) \setminus V \rho' = (\rho \setminus \rho') \{nr = \text{left tt}\}
           \rho \otimes (\phi < \$ > n) \setminus V \rho' = (\rho \setminus \rho') \{nr = \text{left tt}\}
793
794
795
           - - Semantic flap
           apply : SemType \Delta \kappa_1 \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta ((\kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2) \hookrightarrow \kappa_2)
797
           apply a = \lambda \rho F \rightarrow F \cdot V (renSem \rho a)
799
           infixr 0 <?>V
800
           \_<?>V\_: SemType \triangle R[\kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2] \rightarrow SemType \triangle \kappa_1 \rightarrow SemType \triangle R[\kappa_2]
801
          f < ?>V a = apply a < $>V f
802
803
           5.2 \Pi and \Sigma as operators
804
           record Xi: Set where
805
              field
806
                  \Xi \star : \forall \{\Delta\} \rightarrow \text{NormalType } \Delta \ R[\ \star\ ] \rightarrow \text{NormalType } \Delta \star
                  ren-\star: \forall (\rho : \text{Renaming}_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2) \rightarrow (\tau : \text{NormalType} \ \Delta_1 \ R[\ \star\ ]) \rightarrow \text{ren}_k \text{NF} \ \rho \ (\Xi \star \tau) \equiv \Xi \star (\text{ren}_k \text{NF} \ \rho \ \tau)
           open Xi
810
           \xi : \forall \{\Delta\} \to Xi \to SemType \Delta R[\kappa] \to SemType \Delta \kappa
811
           \xi \{ \kappa = \star \} \Xi x = \Xi . \Xi \star (\text{reify } x)
812
           \xi \{ \kappa = L \} \Xi x = lab "impossible"
813
           \xi \{ \kappa = \kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2 \} \Xi F = \lambda \rho \nu \rightarrow \xi \Xi \text{ (renSem } \rho F <?>V \nu \text{)}
814
           \xi \{ \kappa = R[\kappa] \} \Xi x = (\lambda \rho \nu \rightarrow \xi \Xi \nu) < > V x
815
816
           \Pi-rec Σ-rec : Xi
817
           \Pi-rec = record
818
              \{\Xi \star = \Pi ; \text{ren-} \star = \lambda \rho \tau \rightarrow \text{refl} \}
819
           \Sigma-rec =
820
              record
821
              \{\Xi \star = \Sigma ; \text{ren-} \star = \lambda \rho \tau \rightarrow \text{refl} \}
822
823
           \Pi V \Sigma V : \forall \{\Delta\} \rightarrow SemType \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow SemType \Delta \kappa
824
           \Pi V = \xi \Pi-rec
825
           \Sigma V = \xi \Sigma - rec
826
827
           \xi-Kripke : Xi \rightarrow KripkeFunction \Delta R[\kappa] \kappa
828
           \xi-Kripke \Xi \rho v = \xi \Xi v
829
           Π-Kripke Σ-Kripke : KripkeFunction \Delta R[\kappa] \kappa
830
           \Pi-Kripke = ξ-Kripke \Pi-rec
831
           \Sigma-Kripke = \xi-Kripke \Sigma-rec
832
```

```
5.3 Evaluation
834
835
            eval : Type \Delta_1 \kappa \to \text{Env } \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \to \text{SemType } \Delta_2 \kappa
836
            evalPred : Pred Type \Delta_1 R[\kappa] \rightarrow Env \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow NormalPred \Delta_2 R[\kappa]
837
            evalRow : (\rho : \mathsf{SimpleRow} \; \mathsf{Type} \; \Delta_1 \; \mathsf{R}[\; \kappa \;]) \to \mathsf{Env} \; \Delta_1 \; \Delta_2 \to \mathsf{Row} \; (\mathsf{SemType} \; \Delta_2 \; \kappa)
838
            evalRowOrdered : (\rho : SimpleRow Type \Delta_1 R[\kappa]) \rightarrow (\eta : Env \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \rightarrow Ordered \rho \rightarrow OrderedRow (evalRow Envalues))
839
840
            evalRow [] \eta = \epsilon V
841
            evalRow ((l, \tau) :: \rho) \eta = (l, (\text{eval } \tau \eta)) :: \text{evalRow } \rho \eta
842
843
            \| \text{Row-isMap} : \forall (\eta : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \rightarrow (xs : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta_1 \text{ R}[\kappa]) \rightarrow (xs : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta_1 \text{ R}[\kappa]) \rightarrow (xs : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta_1 \text{ R}[\kappa])
844
                                                        reifyRow (evalRow xs \eta) \equiv map (\lambda \{(l, \tau) \rightarrow l, (reify (eval \tau \eta))\}) <math>xs
845
            \|Row-isMap \eta\| = refl
846
            \|Row-isMap \eta (x :: xs) = cong<sub>2</sub> ::: refl (\|Row-isMap \eta xs)
847
            evalPred (\rho_1 \cdot \rho_2 \sim \rho_3) \eta = reify (eval \rho_1 \eta) · reify (eval \rho_2 \eta) ~ reify (eval \rho_3 \eta)
848
            evalPred (\rho_1 \leq \rho_2) \eta = reify (eval \rho_1 \eta) \leq reify (eval \rho_2 \eta)
849
850
            eval \{\kappa = \kappa\} ('x) \eta = \eta x
851
            eval \{\kappa = \kappa\} (\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2) \eta = (\text{eval } \tau_1 \eta) \cdot V (\text{eval } \tau_2 \eta)
852
            eval \{\kappa = \kappa\} (\tau_1 \to \tau_2) \eta = (\text{eval } \tau_1 \eta) \to (\text{eval } \tau_2 \eta)
853
            eval \{\kappa = \star\}\ (\pi \Rightarrow \tau)\ \eta = \text{evalPred }\pi\ \eta \Rightarrow \text{eval }\tau\ \eta
855
            eval \{\Delta_1\} \{\kappa = \star\} ('\forall \tau) \eta = '\forall (eval \tau (lifte \eta))
856
            eval \{\kappa = \star\} (\mu \tau) \eta = \mu (reify (eval \tau \eta))
857
            eval \{\kappa = \star\} \ \lfloor \tau \rfloor \eta = \lfloor \text{reify (eval } \tau \eta) \rfloor
858
            eval (\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) \eta = eval \rho_2 \eta \setminus V eval \rho_1 \eta
859
            eval \{\kappa = L\} (lab l) \eta = lab l
860
            eval \{\kappa = \kappa_1 \to \kappa_2\} ('\lambda \tau) \eta = \lambda \rho \nu \rightarrow \text{eval } \tau \text{ (extende } (\lambda \{\kappa\} \nu' \rightarrow \text{renSem } \{\kappa = \kappa\} \rho (\eta \nu')) \nu)
861
            eval \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa] \hookrightarrow \kappa\} \prod \eta = \Pi-Kripke
862
            eval \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa] \hookrightarrow \kappa\} \Sigma \eta = \Sigma-Kripke
863
            eval \{\kappa = R[\kappa]\}\ (f < \ a) \eta = (\text{eval } f \eta) < \ \text{veval } a \eta
865
            eval (( \rho ) o \rho) \eta = \text{row (evalRow } \rho \eta) \text{ (evalRowOrdered } \rho \eta \text{ (toWitness } o \rho))}
            eval (l \triangleright \tau) \eta with eval l \eta
867
            ... | ne x = (x \triangleright \text{eval } \tau \eta)
868
            ... | lab l_1 = row (1, \lambda { fzero \rightarrow (l_1, eval \tau \eta) }) tt
869
            evalRowOrdered [] \eta o\rho = tt
870
            evalRowOrdered (x_1 :: []) \eta o \rho = tt
871
            evalRowOrdered ((l_1, \tau_1) :: (l_2, \tau_2) :: \rho) \eta (l_1 < l_2, o\rho) with
872
                evalRow \rho \eta | evalRowOrdered ((l_2, \tau_2) :: \rho) \eta o \rho
873
            ... | zero , P | ih = l_1 < l_2 , tt
874
            ... | suc n, P \mid ih_1, ih_2 = l_1 < l_2, ih_1, ih_2
875
876
877
            5.4 Normalization
878
            \downarrow : \forall \{\Delta\} \rightarrow \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa \rightarrow \mathsf{NormalType} \ \Delta \ \kappa
879
            \Downarrow \tau = \text{reify (eval } \tau \text{ idEnv)}
880
            \Downarrow \operatorname{Pred} : \forall \{\Delta\} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pred} \operatorname{Type} \Delta \operatorname{R}[\kappa] \rightarrow \operatorname{Pred} \operatorname{NormalType} \Delta \operatorname{R}[\kappa]
```

```
||Pred \pi = evalPred \pi idEnv
883
884
                        \Downarrow Row : \forall \{\Delta\} \rightarrow SimpleRow Type \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow SimpleRow NormalType \Delta R[\kappa]
885
                        \Downarrow Row \rho = reifyRow (evalRow \rho idEnv)
886
887
                        \parallel NE : \forall \{\Delta\} \rightarrow NeutralType \Delta \kappa \rightarrow NormalType \Delta \kappa
888
                        \DownarrowNE \tau = reify (eval (\uparrowNE \tau) idEnv)
889
890
                        6 METATHEORY
891
                        6.1 Stability
892
                        stability : \forall (\tau : NormalType \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \Downarrow (\uparrow \tau) \equiv \tau
                        stabilityNE : \forall (\tau : \text{NeutralType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \text{eval } (\uparrow \text{NE } \tau) \text{ (idEnv } \{\Delta\}) \equiv \text{reflect } \tau
                        stabilityPred : \forall (\pi : NormalPred \Delta R[\kappa]) \rightarrow evalPred (\uparrow Pred \pi) idEnv \equiv \pi
895
                        stabilityRow : \forall (\rho : SimpleRow NormalType \Delta R[\kappa]) \rightarrow reifyRow (evalRow (<math>\uparrow Row \rho) idEnv) \equiv \rho
896
897
                                 Stability implies surjectivity and idempotency.
898
899
                        idempotency: \forall (\tau : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa) \rightarrow (\uparrow \circ \downarrow \downarrow \circ \uparrow \circ \downarrow) \ \tau \equiv (\uparrow \circ \downarrow) \ \tau
900
                        idempotency \tau rewrite stability (\Downarrow \tau) = refl
901
                        surjectivity: \forall (\tau : NormalType \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \exists [v] (\Downarrow v \equiv \tau)
902
                        surjectivity \tau = (\uparrow \tau, \text{ stability } \tau)
903
904
                                 Dual to surjectivity, stability also implies that embedding is injective.
905
                        \uparrow-inj : \forall (\tau_1 \ \tau_2 : NormalType <math>\Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \uparrow \tau_1 \equiv \uparrow \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2
906
907
                        \uparrow-inj \tau_1 \tau_2 eq = trans (sym (stability \tau_1)) (trans (cong \downarrow eq) (stability \tau_2))
908
909
                        6.2 A logical relation for completeness
910
                        subst-Row : \forall \{A : \mathsf{Set}\} \{n \ m : \mathbb{N}\} \to (n \equiv m) \to (f : \mathsf{Fin} \ n \to A) \to \mathsf{Fin} \ m \to A
911
                        subst-Row refl f = f
912
913
                        - Completeness relation on semantic types
914
                        _{\sim}: SemType \Delta \kappa \rightarrow SemType \Delta \kappa \rightarrow Set
915
                        = \approx_2 : \forall \{A\} \rightarrow (x \ y : A \times SemType \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow Set
916
                        (l_1, \tau_1) \approx_2 (l_2, \tau_2) = l_1 \equiv l_2 \times \tau_1 \approx \tau_2
917
                        \mathbb{R} : (\rho_1 \ \rho_2 : \mathsf{Row} \ (\mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa)) \to \mathsf{Set}
918
                        (n, P) \approx R(m, Q) = \sum [pf \in (n \equiv m)] (\forall (i : Fin m) \rightarrow (subst-Row pf P) i \approx_2 Q i)
919
                        PointEqual-\approx: \forall \{\Delta_1\} \{\kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2\} (F G : KripkeFunction \Delta_1 \kappa_1 \kappa_2) \rightarrow Set
920
921
                        PointEqualNE-\approx: \forall \{\Delta_1\} \{\kappa_2\} \{\kappa_2\} \{\kappa_3\} \{\kappa_2\} \{\kappa_3\} \{\kappa_4\} \{\kappa_5\} \{\kappa_5\} \{\kappa_6\} \{\kappa
922
                        Uniform : \forall \{\Delta\} \{\kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2\} \rightarrow \text{KripkeFunction } \Delta \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow \text{Set}
923
                        UniformNE : \forall \{\Delta\} \{\kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2\} \rightarrow KripkeFunctionNE \Delta \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow Set
924
                        convNE : \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2 \rightarrow \text{NeutralType } \Delta \text{ R[} \kappa_1 \text{ ]} \rightarrow \text{NeutralType } \Delta \text{ R[} \kappa_2 \text{ ]}
925
                        convNE refl n = n
926
927
                        convKripkeNE<sub>1</sub>: \forall \{\kappa_1'\} \rightarrow \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_1' \rightarrow \text{KripkeFunctionNE } \Delta \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow \text{KripkeFunctionNE } \Delta \kappa_1' \kappa_2
928
                        convKripkeNE_1 refl f = f
929
                         \geq \{\kappa = \star\} \tau_1 \tau_2 = \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 
930
```

```
\mathbb{L} \approx \mathbb{L} \{ \kappa = \mathsf{L} \} \ \tau_1 \ \tau_2 = \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2
932
933
                      \approx \{\Delta_1\} \{\kappa = \kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2\} F G =
934
                             Uniform F \times \text{Uniform } G \times \text{PointEqual-} \approx \{\Delta_1\} F G
935
                      = \{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa_2]\} (-< \{\kappa_1\} \phi_1 n_1) (-< \{\kappa_1\} \phi_2 n_2) =
936
                             \Sigma [ pf \in (\kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_1') ]
937
                                    UniformNE \phi_1
938
                             \times UniformNE \phi_2
939
                             \times (PointEqualNE-\approx (convKripkeNE<sub>1</sub> pf \phi_1) \phi_2
940
                             \times convNE pf n_1 \equiv n_2)
941
                      = \{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa_2]\} (\phi_1 < > n_1) = \bot
                      = \{\Delta_1\} \{ R[\kappa_2] \} = (\phi_1 < > n_1) = \bot
943
                      = \{\Delta_1\} \{ R[\kappa] \} (l_1 \triangleright \tau_1) (l_2 \triangleright \tau_2) = l_1 \equiv l_2 \times \tau_1 \approx \tau_2
                      \approx \{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa]\} (x_1 \triangleright x_2) (row \rho x_3) = \perp
945
                      \approx \{\Delta_1\}\{R[\kappa]\}(x_1 \triangleright x_2)(\rho_2 \setminus \rho_3) = \bot
946
947
                      \approx \{\Delta_1\}\{R[\kappa]\} (row \rho x_1) (x_2 \triangleright x_3) = \bot
948
                      = \{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa]\} (row(n, P) x_1) (row(m, Q) x_2) = (n, P) \approx R(m, Q)
949
                      \approx \{\Delta_1\}\{R[\kappa]\} \text{ (row } \rho x_1) (\rho_2 \setminus \rho_3) = \bot
950
                      \approx \{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa]\} (\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2) (x_1 \triangleright x_2) = \bot
951
                      = \{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa]\} (\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2) \text{ (row } \rho x_1) = \bot
952
                      = \{\Delta_1\} \{R[\kappa]\} (\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2) (\rho_3 \setminus \rho_4) = \rho_1 \approx \rho_3 \times \rho_2 \approx \rho_4
953
                      PointEqual-\approx \{\Delta_1\} \{\kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2\} F G =
955
                             \forall \{\Delta_2\} (\rho : \mathsf{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \{V_1 \ V_2 : \mathsf{SemType} \Delta_2 \ \kappa_1\} \rightarrow
                             V_1 \approx V_2 \rightarrow F \rho \ V_1 \approx G \rho \ V_2
957
                      PointEqualNE-\approx \{\Delta_1\} \{\kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2\} F G =
958
959
                             \forall \{\Delta_2\} (\rho : \mathsf{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) (V : \mathsf{NeutralType} \Delta_2 \kappa_1) \rightarrow
                             F \rho V \approx G \rho V
961
                      Uniform \{\Delta_1\}\{\kappa_1\}\{\kappa_2\}F =
962
                             \forall \{\Delta_2 \ \Delta_3\} \ (\rho_1 : \mathsf{Renaming}_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2) \ (\rho_2 : \mathsf{Renaming}_k \ \Delta_2 \ \Delta_3) \ (V_1 \ V_2 : \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta_2 \ \kappa_1) \to
963
                              V_1 \approx V_2 \rightarrow (\text{renSem } \rho_2 \ (F \ \rho_1 \ V_1)) \approx (\text{renKripke } \rho_1 \ F \ \rho_2 \ (\text{renSem } \rho_2 \ V_2))
964
965
                      UniformNE \{\Delta_1\} \{\kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2\} F =
966
                             \forall \{\Delta_2 \ \Delta_3\} \ (\rho_1 : \mathsf{Renaming}_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2) \ (\rho_2 : \mathsf{Renaming}_k \ \Delta_2 \ \Delta_3) \ (V : \mathsf{NeutralType} \ \Delta_2 \ \kappa_1) \to \mathsf{NeutralType} \ 
967
                             (\text{renSem } \rho_2 (F \rho_1 V)) \approx F (\rho_2 \circ \rho_1) (\text{ren}_k \text{NE } \rho_2 V)
968
969
                      \mathsf{Env}\text{-}\!\approx : (\eta_1 \ \eta_2 : \mathsf{Env} \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2) \to \mathsf{Set}
970
                      Env-\approx \eta_1 \ \eta_2 = \forall \{\kappa\} (x : \mathsf{TVar}_{\kappa}) \to (\eta_1 \ x) \approx (\eta_2 \ x)
971
                      - extension
972
                      extend-\approx: \forall \{\eta_1 \ \eta_2 : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\} \rightarrow \text{Env-} \approx \eta_1 \ \eta_2 \rightarrow
973
                                                                   \{V_1 \ V_2 : \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta_2 \ \kappa\} \rightarrow
974
                                                                    V_1 \approx V_2 \rightarrow
975
976
                                                                    Env-\approx (extende \eta_1 \ V_1) (extende \eta_2 \ V_2)
977
                      extend-\approx p q Z = q
978
                      extend-\approx p q (S v) = p v
979
```

```
6.2.1 Properties.
981
982
             reflect-\approx: \forall \{\tau_1 \ \tau_2 : \text{NeutralType } \Delta \ \kappa\} \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \rightarrow \text{reflect } \tau_1 \approx \text{reflect } \tau_2
983
             reify-\approx : \forall \{V_1 \ V_2 : \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa\} \rightarrow V_1 \approx V_2 \rightarrow \mathsf{reify} \ V_1 \equiv \mathsf{reify} \ V_2
984
             reifyRow-\approx: \forall \{n\} (P Q : \text{Fin } n \rightarrow \text{Label} \times \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow
985
                                            (\forall (i : \mathsf{Fin}\ n) \to P\ i \approx_2 Q\ i) \to
986
                                             reifyRow(n, P) \equiv reifyRow(n, Q)
987
988
989
990
             6.3 The fundamental theorem and completeness
             fundC : \forall \{\tau_1 \ \tau_2 : \text{Type } \Delta_1 \ \kappa\} \{\eta_1 \ \eta_2 : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\} \rightarrow
992
                                Env-\approx \eta_1 \ \eta_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv t \ \tau_2 \rightarrow \text{eval } \tau_1 \ \eta_1 \approx \text{eval } \tau_2 \ \eta_2
993
             fundC-pred : \forall \{\pi_1 \ \pi_2 : \text{Pred Type } \Delta_1 \ \text{R}[\kappa]\} \{\eta_1 \ \eta_2 : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\} \rightarrow
994
995
                                           Env-\approx \eta_1 \ \eta_2 \rightarrow \pi_1 \equiv p \ \pi_2 \rightarrow \text{evalPred } \pi_1 \ \eta_1 \equiv \text{evalPred } \pi_2 \ \eta_2
996
             fundC-Row : \forall \{\rho_1 \ \rho_2 : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta_1 \ \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa\ ]\} \{\eta_1 \ \eta_2 : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\} \rightarrow
997
                                           Env-\approx \eta_1 \ \eta_2 \rightarrow \rho_1 \equiv r \ \rho_2 \rightarrow \text{evalRow } \rho_1 \ \eta_1 \approx R \text{ evalRow } \rho_2 \ \eta_2
998
999
             idEnv-\approx : \forall \{\Delta\} \rightarrow Env-\approx (idEnv \{\Delta\}) (idEnv \{\Delta\})
1000
             idEnv-\approx x = reflect-\approx refl
1001
             completeness : \forall \{\tau_1 \ \tau_2 : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa\} \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv \mathsf{t} \ \tau_2 \rightarrow \ \ \tau_1 \equiv \ \ \ \tau_2
1002
             completeness eq = reify - \approx (fundC idEnv - \approx eq)
1003
1004
             completeness-row : \forall \{\rho_1 \ \rho_2 : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta \ R[\kappa]\} \rightarrow \rho_1 \equiv r \ \rho_2 \rightarrow \text{$\downarrow$Row } \rho_1 \equiv \text{$\downarrow$Row } \rho_2
1005
1006
             6.4 A logical relation for soundness
1007
             1008
             [\![\ ]\!] \approx : \forall \{\kappa\} \rightarrow \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa \rightarrow \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa \rightarrow \mathsf{Set}
1009
             [\![]\!] \approx \text{ne}_{\cdot} : \forall \{\kappa\} \rightarrow \text{Type } \Delta \kappa \rightarrow \text{NeutralType } \Delta \kappa \rightarrow \text{Set}
1010
1011
             \llbracket \ \rrbracket r \approx : \forall \{\kappa\} \rightarrow \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta \ R \llbracket \kappa \rrbracket \rightarrow \text{Row (SemType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \text{Set}
1012
             \llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx_{2\_} \colon \forall \ \{\kappa\} \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa \to \mathsf{Label} \times \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa \to \mathsf{Set}
1013
             \llbracket (l_1, \tau) \rrbracket \approx_2 (l_2, V) = (l_1 \equiv l_2) \times (\llbracket \tau \rrbracket \approx V)
1014
             SoundKripke : Type \Delta_1 (\kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2) \rightarrow KripkeFunction \Delta_1 \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow Set
1015
1016
             SoundKripkeNE : Type \Delta_1 (\kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2) \rightarrow KripkeFunctionNE \Delta_1 \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow Set
1017
             - \tau is equivalent to neutral 'n' if it's equivalent
1018
             - to the \eta and map-id expansion of n
1019
             \| \approx \text{ne} \quad \tau \quad n = \tau \equiv t \uparrow (\eta - \text{norm } n)
1020
1021
             [\![]\!] \approx [\kappa = \star] \tau_1 \tau_2 = \tau_1 \equiv t \uparrow \tau_2
1022
             \llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx \_ \{ \kappa = \mathsf{L} \} \ \tau_1 \ \tau_2 = \tau_1 \equiv \mathsf{t} \ \uparrow \uparrow \tau_2 
1023
             [\![ ]\!] \approx \{\Delta_1\} \{ \kappa = \kappa_1 \hookrightarrow \kappa_2 \} f F = SoundKripke f F
1024
             [\![]\!] \approx \{\Delta\} \{\kappa = R[\kappa]\} \tau (row (n, P) o\rho) =
1025
                 let xs = \text{$\mathbb{I}$} \text{Row (reifyRow } (n, P)) \text{ in}
1026
                 (\tau \equiv t \mid xs) (from Witness (Ordered) (reify Row (n, P)) (reify Row Ordered' (n, P)))) \times
1027
                 (\llbracket xs \rrbracket r \approx (n, P))
1028
```

```
[\![]\!] \approx \{\Delta\} \{\kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa]\} \tau (l \triangleright V) = (\tau \equiv \mathsf{t} (\text{NE } l \triangleright \text{n} (\mathsf{reify} V))) \times ([\![]\!] (\mathsf{reify} V) ]\!] \approx V
1030
1031
                          1032
                          [\![]\!] \approx [\Delta] \{ \kappa = \mathbb{R}[\kappa] \} \tau (\phi < > n) =
1033
                                  \exists [f] ((\tau \equiv t (f < \$ > \uparrow NE n)) \times (SoundKripkeNE f \phi))
1034
                          1035
                         [] r \approx (suc n, P) = \bot
1036
                         [x :: \rho] r \approx (\text{zero}, P) = \bot
1037
                         [\![ x :: \rho ]\!] r \approx (\text{suc } n, P) = ([\![ x ]\!] \approx_2 (P \text{ fzero})) \times [\![ \rho ]\!] r \approx (n, P \circ \text{fsuc})
1038
1039
                          SoundKripke \{\Delta_1 = \Delta_1\} \{\kappa_1 = \kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2 = \kappa_2\} f F =
1040
                                  \forall \{\Delta_2\} (\rho : \mathsf{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \{v \ V\} \rightarrow
1041
                                           \llbracket v \rrbracket \approx V \rightarrow
1042
                                          [\![ (\operatorname{ren}_k \rho \ f \cdot v) ]\!] \approx (\operatorname{renKripke} \rho \ F \cdot V \ V)
1043
                         SoundKripkeNE \{\Delta_1 = \Delta_1\} \{\kappa_1 = \kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2 = \kappa_2\} f F =
1044
                                  \forall \{\Delta_2\} (r : \mathsf{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \{v \ V\} \rightarrow
1045
                                           \llbracket v \rrbracket \approx \text{ne } V \rightarrow
1047
                                          [\![ (\operatorname{ren}_k r f \cdot v) ]\!] \approx (F r V)
1048
1049
                          6.4.1 Properties.
1050
                          reflect-[] \approx : \forall \{\tau : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa\} \{v : \mathsf{NeutralType} \ \Delta \ \kappa\} \rightarrow
1051
                                                                                 \tau \equiv t \uparrow NE \ v \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \approx (reflect \ v)
1052
                         reify-[]\approx: \forall \{\tau : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa\} \{V : \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa\} \rightarrow
1053
                                                                                      \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \approx V \rightarrow \tau \equiv t \uparrow \text{ (reify } V)
1054
                         \eta-norm-\equivt : \forall (\tau : NeutralType \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \uparrow (\eta-norm \tau) \equivt \uparrowNE \tau
1055
                         subst-[] \approx : \forall \{\tau_1 \ \tau_2 : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa\} \rightarrow
1056
1057
                                  \tau_1 \equiv \mathsf{t} \ \tau_2 \to \{V : \mathsf{SemType} \ \Delta \ \kappa\} \to \llbracket \ \tau_1 \ \rrbracket \approx V \to \llbracket \ \tau_2 \ \rrbracket \approx V
1058
1059
                          6.4.2 Logical environments.
1060
                          [\![]\!] \approx e_{-} : \forall \{\Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\} \rightarrow Substitution_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2 \rightarrow Env \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2 \rightarrow Set
1061
                         [\![ \_ ]\!] \approx e_{\_} \{\Delta_1\} \ \sigma \ \eta = \forall \{\kappa\} \ (\alpha : \mathsf{TVar} \ \Delta_1 \ \kappa) \to [\![ \ (\sigma \ \alpha) \ ]\!] \approx (\eta \ \alpha)
1062
1063
                         - Identity relation
                         idSR: \forall \left\{\Delta_1\right\} \rightarrow \llbracket \text{ ` } \rrbracket \approx e \text{ } (idEnv \left\{\Delta_1\right\})
1064
1065
                         idSR \alpha = reflect-[]] \approx eq-refl
1066
1067
                         6.5 The fundamental theorem and soundness
1068
                         fundS : \forall \{\Delta_1 \ \Delta_2 \ \kappa\}(\tau : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta_1 \ \kappa)\{\sigma : \mathsf{Substitution}_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\}\{\eta : \mathsf{Env} \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\} \rightarrow
1069
                                                                               \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \approx \eta \rightarrow \llbracket \operatorname{sub}_k \sigma \tau \rrbracket \approx (\operatorname{eval} \tau \eta)
1070
                         fundSRow : \forall \{\Delta_1 \ \Delta_2 \ \kappa\}(xs : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta_1 \ R[\ \kappa\ ]) \{\sigma : \text{Substitution}_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\} \{\eta : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\} \rightarrow \blacksquare
1071
                                                                              \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \approx \eta \rightarrow \llbracket \operatorname{subRow}_k \sigma xs \rrbracket r \approx (\operatorname{evalRow} xs \eta)
1072
                         \mathsf{fundSPred} : \forall \ \{\Delta_1 \ \kappa\} (\pi : \mathsf{Pred} \ \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta_1 \ \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa\ ]) \\ \{\sigma : \mathsf{Substitution}_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\} \\ \{\eta : \mathsf{Env} \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\} \\ \rightarrow \mathsf{Pred} \ \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta_1 \ \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa\ ] \\ \{\sigma : \mathsf{Substitution}_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\} \\ \{\eta : \mathsf{Env} \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\} \\ \rightarrow \mathsf{R}[\ \kappa\ ] \\ \{\sigma : \mathsf{Substitution}_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\} \\ \{\eta : \mathsf{Env} \ \Delta_2 \ \Delta_2\} \\ \{\eta : \mathsf{Env} \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2\} \\ \{\eta : \mathsf{Env} \ \Delta_2 \ \Delta_2\} \\ \{\eta : \mathsf{Env} \ \Delta_2 \ \Delta_2 \ \Delta_2 \ \Delta_2\} \\ \{\eta : \mathsf{Env} \ \Delta_2 \\ \{\eta : \mathsf{Env} \ \Delta_2 \ \Delta_
1073
                                                                               \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \approx \eta \rightarrow (\mathsf{subPred}_k \ \sigma \ \pi) \equiv \mathsf{p} \ \mathsf{\uparrow\!Pred} \ (\mathsf{evalPred} \ \pi \ \eta)
1074
1075
```

- Fundamental theorem when substitution is the identity

1076

```
\operatorname{sub}_k-id: \forall (\tau : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa) \rightarrow \operatorname{sub}_k \ \tau \equiv \tau
1079
1080
             \vdash \llbracket \  \, \rrbracket \approx \  \, : \forall \  \, (\tau : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \ \kappa) \rightarrow \llbracket \  \, \tau \  \, \rrbracket \approx \mathsf{eval} \  \, \tau \  \, \mathsf{idEnv}
             \parallel \tau \parallel \approx \text{ = subst-} \parallel \approx \text{ (inst (sub}_k\text{-id }\tau)) \text{ (fundS }\tau \text{ idSR)}
1082
1083
1084
             - Soundness claim
1085
1086
             soundness: \forall \{\Delta_1 \kappa\} \rightarrow (\tau : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta_1 \ \kappa) \rightarrow \tau \equiv \mathsf{t} \ \uparrow (\downarrow \tau)
             soundness \tau = \text{reify-}[] \approx (\vdash [\![ \tau ]\!] \approx)
1087
1088
1089
             - If \tau_1 normalizes to \parallel \tau_2 then the embedding of \tau_1 is equivalent to \tau_2
1090
1091
             embed-\equivt : \forall \{\tau_1 : NormalType \Delta \kappa\} \{\tau_2 : Type \Delta \kappa\} \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv (\downarrow \downarrow \tau_2) \rightarrow \uparrow \tau_1 \equiv t \tau_2
1092
             embed-\equivt {\tau_1 = \tau_1} {\tau_2} refl = eq-sym (soundness \tau_2)
1093
             - Soundness implies the converse of completeness, as desired
1095
1096
             Completeness<sup>-1</sup>: \forall \{\Delta \kappa\} \rightarrow (\tau_1 \ \tau_2 : \mathsf{Type} \ \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \ \ \tau_1 \equiv \ \ \ \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv \mathsf{t} \ \tau_2
1097
             Completeness<sup>-1</sup> \tau_1 \tau_2 eq = eq-trans (soundness \tau_1) (embed-\equivt eq)
1098
```

7 THE REST OF THE PICTURE

In the remainder of the development, we intrinsically represent terms as typing judgments indexed by normal types. We then give a typed reduction relation on terms and show progress.

8 MOST CLOSELY RELATED WORK

8.0.1 Chapman et al. [2019].

8.0.2 Allais et al. [2013].

REFERENCES

Guillaume Allais, Pierre Boutillier, and Conor McBride. New equations for neutral terms: A sound and complete decision procedure, formalized, 2013. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/1304.0809.

James Chapman, Roman Kireev, Chad Nester, and Philip Wadler. System F in agda, for fun and profit. In Graham Hutton, editor, *Mathematics of Program Construction - 13th International Conference, MPC 2019, Porto, Portugal, October 7-9, 2019, Proceedings*, volume 11825 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 255–297. Springer, 2019. ISBN 978-3-030-33635-6. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-33636-3_10. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-33636-3_10.

Alex Hubers and J. Garrett Morris. Generic programming with extensible data types: Or, making ad hoc extensible data types less ad hoc. *Proc. ACM Program. Lang.*, 7(ICFP):356–384, 2023. doi: 10.1145/3607843. URL https://doi.org/10.1145/3607843. Philip Wadler, Wen Kokke, and Jeremy G. Siek. *Programming Language Foundations in Agda.* August 2022. URL https://plfa.inf.ed.ac.uk/20.08/.