

# Normalization By Evaluation of Types in $R\omega\mu$

ALEX HUBERS, The University of Iowa, USA

## Abstract

We describe the normalization-by-evaluation (NbE) of types in  $R\omega\mu$ , a row calculus with recursive types, qualified types, and a novel *row complement* operator. Types are normalized modulo  $\beta$ - and  $\eta$ -equivalence—that is, to  $\beta\eta$ -long forms. Because the type system of  $R\omega\mu$  is a strict extension of System  $F\omega\mu$ , type level computation for arrow kinds is isomorphic to reduction of arrow types in the STLC. Novel to this report are the reductions of  $\Pi$ ,  $\Sigma$ , and row types.

## 1 The $R\omega\mu$ calculus

For reference, Figure 1 describes the syntax of kinds, predicates, and types in  $R\omega\mu$ .

Type variables  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$       Labels  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$

Kinds  $\kappa ::= \star \mid L \mid R^\kappa \mid \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$   
Predicates  $\pi, \psi ::= \rho \lesssim \rho \mid \rho \odot \rho \sim \rho$   
Types  $\mathcal{T} \ni \phi, \tau, v, \rho, \xi ::= \alpha \mid \pi \Rightarrow \tau \mid \forall \alpha : \kappa. \tau \mid \lambda \alpha : \kappa. \tau \mid \tau \tau$   
|  $\{\xi_i \triangleright \tau_i\}_{i \in 0 \dots m} \mid \ell \mid \# \tau \mid \phi \$ \rho \mid \rho \setminus \rho$   
|  $\tau \rightarrow \tau \mid \Pi \mid \Sigma \mid \mu \phi$

Fig. 1. Syntax

### 1.1 Example types

Wand's problem and a record modifier:

wand :  $\forall l \ x \ y \ z \ t. \ x \odot y \sim z, \{l \triangleright t\} \lesssim z \Rightarrow \#l \rightarrow \Pi x \rightarrow \Pi y \rightarrow t$   
modify :  $\forall l \ t \ u \ y \ z1 \ z2. \{l \triangleright t\} \odot y \sim z1, \{l \triangleright u\} \odot y \sim z2 \Rightarrow$   
 $\#l \rightarrow (t \rightarrow u) \rightarrow \Pi z1 \rightarrow \Pi z2$

"Deriving" functor typeclass instances:

**type** Functor :  $(\star \rightarrow \star) \rightarrow \star$   
**type** Functor =  $\lambda f. \forall a \ b. (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow f \ a \rightarrow f \ b$

fmapS :  $\forall z : R[\star \rightarrow \star]. \Pi (\text{Functor } z) \rightarrow \text{Functor } (\Sigma \ z)$   
fmapP :  $\forall z : R[\star \rightarrow \star]. \Pi (\text{Functor } z) \rightarrow \text{Functor } (\Pi \ z)$

And a desugaring of booleans to Church encodings:

desugar :  $\forall y. \text{BoolF} \lesssim y, \text{LamF} \lesssim y \setminus \text{BoolF} \Rightarrow$   
 $\Pi (\text{Functor } (y \setminus \text{BoolF})) \rightarrow \mu (\Sigma \ y) \rightarrow \mu (\Sigma (y \setminus \text{BoolF}))$

## 2 Mechanized syntax

### 2.1 Kind syntax

Our formalization of  $R\omega\mu$  types is *intrinsic*, meaning we define the syntax of *typing* and *kinding judgments*, foregoing any formalization of or indexing-by untyped syntax. The only "untyped" syntax is that of kinds, which are well-formed grammatically. We give the syntax of kinds and kinding environments below.

```
data Kind : Set where
  ★      : Kind
  L      : Kind
  _'→_   : Kind → Kind → Kind
  R[_]   : Kind → Kind

infixr 5 _'→_
```

The kind system of  $R\omega\mu$  defines  $\star$  as the type of types;  $L$  as the type of labels;  $(\rightarrow)$  as the type of type operators; and  $R[\kappa]$  as the type of rows containing types at kind  $\kappa$ .

The syntax of kinding environments is given below. Kinding environments are isomorphic to lists of kinds.

```
data KEnv : Set where
  ∅ : KEnv
  _»_ : KEnv → Kind → KEnv
```

Let the metavariables  $\Delta$  and  $\kappa$  range over kinding environments and kinds, respectively. Correspondingly, we define *generalized variables* in Agda at these names.

```
private
variable
  Δ Δ1 Δ2 Δ3 : KEnv
  κ κ1 κ2 : Kind
```

The syntax of intrinsically well-scoped De-Brujin type variables is given below. Type variables indexed in this way are analogous to the  $\_ \in \_$  relation for Agda lists—that is, each type variable is itself a proof of its location within the kinding environment.

```
data TVar : KEnv → Kind → Set where
  Z : TVar (Δ » κ) κ
  S : TVar Δ κ1 → TVar (Δ » κ2) κ1
```

**2.1.1 Quotienting kinds.** We will find it necessary to quotient kinds by two partitions for reasons which we discuss later. The predicate `NotLabel κ` is satisfied if  $\kappa$  is neither of label kind, a row of label kind, nor a type operator that returns a labelled kind. It is trivial to show that this predicate is decidable.

```

99
100   NotLabel : Kind → Set                               notLabel? : ∀ κ → Dec (NotLabel κ)
101   NotLabel ★ = ⊤                                       notLabel? ★ = yes tt
102   NotLabel L = ⊥                                       notLabel? L = no λ ()
103   NotLabel (κ1 '→ κ2) = NotLabel κ2               notLabel? (κ '→ κ1) = notLabel? κ1
104   NotLabel R[ κ ] = NotLabel κ                       notLabel? R[ κ ] = notLabel? κ
105

```

The predicate `Ground κ` is satisfied when  $\kappa$  is the kind of types or labels, and is necessary to reserve the promotion of neutral types to just those at these kinds. It is again trivial to show that this predicate is decidable, and so a definition of `ground?` is omitted.

```

109   Ground : Kind → Set
110   ground? : ∀ κ → Dec (Ground κ)
111   Ground ★ = ⊤
112   Ground L = ⊤
113   Ground (κ '→ κ1) = ⊥
114   Ground R[ κ ] = ⊥
115

```

## 2.2 Type syntax

We now lay the groundwork to describe the type system of  $R\omega\mu$ . We represent the judgment  $\Gamma \vdash \tau : \kappa$  intrinsically as the data type `Type`; The data type `Pred` represents well-kinded predicates. The two are necessarily mutually inductive. Note that the syntax of predicates will be the same for both types and normalized types, and so the `Pred` datatype is indexed abstractly by type `Ty`.

```

123   infixr 2 _⇒_
124   infixl 5 _·_
125   infixr 5 _≲_
126   data Pred (Ty : KEnv → Kind → Set) Δ : Kind → Set
127   data Type Δ : Kind → Set
128

```

We must also define syntax for *simple rows*, that is, row literals. For uniformity of kind indexing, we define a `SimpleRow` by pattern matching on the syntax of kinds. Again, a row literal of `Types` and of types in normal form will not differ in shape, and so `SimpleRow` abstracts over its content type `Ty`.

```

134   SimpleRow : (Ty : KEnv → Kind → Set) → KEnv → Kind → Set
135   SimpleRow Ty Δ R[ κ ] = List (Label × Ty Δ κ)
136   SimpleRow _ _ _ = ⊥
137

```

A simple row is *ordered* if it is of length  $\leq 1$  or its corresponding labels are ordered ascendingly according to some total order  $<$ . We will restrict the formation of rows to just those that are ordered, which has three key consequences: first, it guarantees a normal form (later) for simple rows; second, it only permits variable labels in singleton rows; and third, it enforces that labels be unique in each row. It is easy to show that the `Ordered` predicate is decidable (definition omitted).

```

144   Ordered : SimpleRow Type Δ R[ κ ] → Set
145   ordered? : ∀ (xs : SimpleRow Type Δ R[ κ ]) → Dec (Ordered xs)
146   Ordered [] = ⊤
147

```

148 `Ordered`  $(x :: []) = \top$

149 `Ordered`  $((l_1, \_ :: (l_2, \tau) :: xs) = l_1 < l_2 \times \text{Ordered } ((l_2, \tau) :: xs)$

151 The syntax of well-kinded predicates is exactly as expected.

152 `data Pred`  $Ty \Delta$  `where`

153  $\_ \cdot \_ \sim \_ :$   
 154  $(\rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_3 : Ty \Delta R[\kappa]) \rightarrow$   
 155 `Pred`  $Ty \Delta R[\kappa]$

157  $\_ \lesssim \_ :$   
 158  $(\rho_1 \rho_2 : Ty \Delta R[\kappa]) \rightarrow$   
 159 `Pred`  $Ty \Delta R[\kappa]$

161 The syntax of kinding judgments is given below. The first 6 cases are standard for System  $F\omega\mu$ .

163 `data Type`  $\Delta$  `where`

164  $\_ :$   
 165  $(\alpha : TVar \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow$   
 166 `Type`  $\Delta \kappa$

167  $\_ \lambda :$   
 168  $(\tau : Type (\Delta \text{ ,, } \kappa_1) \kappa_2) \rightarrow$   
 169 `Type`  $\Delta (\kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\_} \kappa_2)$

171  $\_ \cdot \_ :$   
 172  $(\tau_1 : Type \Delta (\kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\_} \kappa_2)) \rightarrow$   
 173  $(\tau_2 : Type \Delta \kappa_1) \rightarrow$   
 174 `Type`  $\Delta \kappa_2$

176  $\_ \xrightarrow{\_} \_ :$   
 177  $(\tau_1 : Type \Delta \star) \rightarrow$   
 178  $(\tau_2 : Type \Delta \star) \rightarrow$   
 179 `Type`  $\Delta \star$

181  $\_ \forall :$   
 182  $\{\kappa : Kind\} \rightarrow (\tau : Type (\Delta \text{ ,, } \kappa) \star) \rightarrow$   
 183 `Type`  $\Delta \star$

185  $\_ \mu :$   
 186  $(\phi : Type \Delta (\star \xrightarrow{\_} \star)) \rightarrow$   
 187 `Type`  $\Delta \star$

189 The constructor  $\_ \Rightarrow \_$  forms a qualified type given a well-kinded predicate.

190  $\_ \Rightarrow \_ :$   
 191  $(\pi : Pred Type \Delta R[\kappa_1]) \rightarrow (\tau : Type \Delta \star) \rightarrow$   
 192 `Type`  $\Delta \star$

195 Labels are formed from label literals and cast to kind  $\star$  via the  $[_]$  constructor.

196

```

197 lab :
198   (l : Label) →
199   Type Δ L
200
201 - label constant formation
202 [ ] :
203   (τ : Type Δ L) →
204   Type Δ ★
205
206 We finally describe row formation.
207 (|_) :
208   (xs : SimpleRow Type Δ R[ κ ]) (ordered : True (ordered? xs)) →
209   Type Δ R[ κ ]
210
211 ▶_ :
212   (l : Type Δ L) → (τ : Type Δ κ) →
213   Type Δ R[ κ ]
214
215 -<$>_ :
216   (φ : Type Δ (κ1 '→ κ2)) → (τ : Type Δ R[ κ1 ]) →
217   Type Δ R[ κ2 ]
218
219 - Record formation
220 Π :
221   {notLabel : True (notLabel? κ)} →
222   Type Δ (R[ κ ] '→ κ)
223
224 - Variant formation
225 Σ :
226   {notLabel : True (notLabel? κ)} →
227   Type Δ (R[ κ ] '→ κ)
228
229 _\_ :
230   Type Δ R[ κ ] → Type Δ R[ κ ] →
231   Type Δ R[ κ ]

```

2.2.1 *The ordering predicate.* We impose on the  $(|_)$  constructor a witness of the form  $\text{True } (\text{ordered? } xs)$  although it may seem more intuitive to have instead simply required a witness that  $\text{Ordered } xs$ . The reason for this is that the  $\text{True}$  predicate quotients each proof down to a single inhabitant  $\text{tt}$ , which grants us proof irrelevance when comparing rows. This is desirable and yields congruence rules that would otherwise be blocked by two differing proofs of well-orderedness. The congruence rule below asserts that two simple rows are equivalent even with differing proofs. (This pattern is replicable for any decidable predicate.)

```

232 cong-SimpleRow : {sr1 sr2 : SimpleRow Type Δ R[ κ ]} {wf1 : True (ordered? sr1)} {wf2 : True (ordered? sr2)} →
233   sr1 ≡ sr2 →
234   (| sr1 |) wf1 ≡ (| sr2 |) wf2
235 cong-SimpleRow {sr1 = sr1} { } {wf1} {wf2} refl
236   rewrite Dec→Irrelevant (Ordered sr1) (ordered? sr1) wf1 wf2 = refl

```

```

246 map-overr : ∀ (ρ : SimpleRow Type Δ1 R[κ1 ]) (f : Type Δ1 κ1 → Type Δ1 κ2) →
247   Ordered ρ → Ordered (map (overr f) ρ)
248 map-overr [] f op = tt
249 map-overr (x :: []) f op = tt
250 map-overr ((l1, _) :: (l2, _) :: ρ) f (l1 < l2, op) = l1 < l2, (map-overr ((l2, _) :: ρ) f op)
251
252 2.2.2 Flipped map operator.
253
254 - Flapping.
255 flap : Type Δ (R[κ1 '→ κ2 ] '→ κ1 '→ R[κ2 ])
256 flap = 'λ ('λ (('λ (('Z) · ('(S Z)))) <$> ('(S Z))))
257 _??_ : Type Δ (R[κ1 '→ κ2 ]) → Type Δ κ1 → Type Δ R[κ2 ]
258 f ?? a = flap · f · a
259
260 2.2.3 The (syntactic) complement operator.
261
262 infix 0 _∈L_
263
264 data _∈L_ : (l : Label) → SimpleRow Type Δ R[κ] → Set where
265   Here : ∀ {τ : Type Δ κ} {xs : SimpleRow Type Δ R[κ]} {l : Label} →
266     l ∈L (l, τ) :: xs
267   There : ∀ {τ : Type Δ κ} {xs : SimpleRow Type Δ R[κ]} {l l' : Label} →
268     l ∈L xs → l ∈L (l', τ) :: xs
269
270 _∈L?_ : ∀ (l : Label) (xs : SimpleRow Type Δ R[κ]) → Dec (l ∈L xs)
271 l ∈L? [] = no (λ { } )
272 l ∈L? ((l', _) :: xs) with l ? l'
273 ... | yes refl = yes Here
274 ... | no p with l ∈L? xs
275 ... | yes p = yes (There p)
276 ... | no q = no λ { Here → p refl ; (There x) → q x }
277
278 _\s_ : ∀ (xs ys : SimpleRow Type Δ R[κ]) → SimpleRow Type Δ R[κ]
279 [] \s ys = []
280 ((l, τ) :: xs) \s ys with l ∈L? ys
281 ... | yes _ = xs \s ys
282 ... | no _ = (l, τ) :: (xs \s ys)
283
284 2.2.4 Type renaming. Renamingk : KEnv → KEnv → Set
285 Renamingk Δ1 Δ2 = ∀ {κ} → TVar Δ1 κ → TVar Δ2 κ
286
287 - lifting over binders.
288 liftk : Renamingk Δ1 Δ2 → Renamingk (Δ1 „ κ) (Δ2 „ κ)
289 liftk ρ Z = Z
290 liftk ρ (S x) = S (ρ x)
291
292 renk : Renamingk Δ1 Δ2 → Type Δ1 κ → Type Δ2 κ
293 renPredk : Renamingk Δ1 Δ2 → Pred Type Δ1 R[κ] → Pred Type Δ2 R[κ]
294 renRowk : Renamingk Δ1 Δ2 → SimpleRow Type Δ1 R[κ] → SimpleRow Type Δ2 R[κ]

```

```

295 orderedRenRowk : (r : Renamingk Δ1 Δ2) → (xs : SimpleRow Type Δ1 R[κ]) → Ordered xs →
296       Ordered (renRowk r xs)
297
298 renk r (‘ x) = ‘ (r x)
299 renk r (‘ λ τ) = ‘ λ (renk (liftk r) τ)
300 renk r (τ1 · τ2) = (renk r τ1) · (renk r τ2)
301 renk r (τ1 ‘→ τ2) = (renk r τ1) ‘→ (renk r τ2)
302 renk r (π ⇒ τ) = renPredk r π ⇒ renk r τ
303 renk r (‘ ∀ τ) = ‘ ∀ (renk (liftk r) τ)
304 renk r (μ F) = μ (renk r F)
305 renk r (Π {notLabel = nl}) = Π {notLabel = nl}
306 renk r (Σ {notLabel = nl}) = Σ {notLabel = nl}
307 renk r (lab x) = lab x
308 renk r [ ℓ ] = [ (renk r ℓ) ]
309 renk r (f <$> m) = renk r f <$> renk r m
310 renk r (⟦ xs ⟧ oxs) = (⟦ renRowk r xs ⟧ (fromWitness (orderedRenRowk r xs (toWitness oxs))))
311 renk r (ρ2 \ ρ1) = renk r ρ2 \ renk r ρ1
312 renk r (l ▷ τ) = renk r l ▷ renk r τ
313
314 renPredk ρ (ρ1 · ρ2 ~ ρ3) = renk ρ ρ1 · renk ρ ρ2 ~ renk ρ ρ3
315 renPredk ρ (ρ1 ⩽ ρ2) = (renk ρ ρ1) ⩽ (renk ρ ρ2)
316
317 renRowk r [] = []
318 renRowk r ((l, τ) :: xs) = (l, renk r τ) :: renRowk r xs
319
320 orderedRenRowk r [] oxs = tt
321 orderedRenRowk r ((l, τ) :: []) oxs = tt
322 orderedRenRowk r ((l1, τ) :: (l2, v) :: xs) (l1 < l2, oxs) = l1 < l2, orderedRenRowk r ((l2, v) :: xs) oxs
323
324 weakenk : Type Δ κ2 → Type (Δ „ κ1) κ2
325 weakenk = renk S
326
327 weakenPredk : Pred Type Δ R[κ2] → Pred Type (Δ „ κ1) R[κ2]
328 weakenPredk = renPredk S
329
330 2.2.5 Type substitution. Substitutionk : KEnv → KEnv → Set
331 Substitutionk Δ1 Δ2 = ∀ {κ} → TVar Δ1 κ → Type Δ2 κ
332
333 - lifting a substitution over binders.
334 liftsk : Substitutionk Δ1 Δ2 → Substitutionk(Δ1 „ κ) (Δ2 „ κ)
335 liftsk σ Z = ‘ Z
336 liftsk σ (S x) = weakenk (σ x)
337
338 - This is simultaneous substitution: Given subst σ and type τ, we replace *all*
339 - variables in τ with the types mapped to by σ.
340 subk : Substitutionk Δ1 Δ2 → Type Δ1 κ → Type Δ2 κ
341 subPredk : Substitutionk Δ1 Δ2 → Pred Type Δ1 κ → Pred Type Δ2 κ
342 subRowk : Substitutionk Δ1 Δ2 → SimpleRow Type Δ1 R[κ] → SimpleRow Type Δ2 R[κ]
343 orderedSubRowk : (σ : Substitutionk Δ1 Δ2) → (xs : SimpleRow Type Δ1 R[κ]) → Ordered xs →

```

```

344 Ordered (subRowk σ xs)
345 - subk σ ε = ε
346 subk σ ('x) = σ x
347 subk σ ('λ τ) = 'λ (subk (liftsk σ) τ)
348 subk σ (τ1 · τ2) = (subk σ τ1) · (subk σ τ2)
349 subk σ (τ1 '→ τ2) = (subk σ τ1) '→ (subk σ τ2)
350 subk σ (π ⇒ τ) = subPredk σ π ⇒ subk σ τ
351 subk σ ('∀ τ) = '∀ (subk (liftsk σ) τ)
352 subk σ (μ F) = μ (subk σ F)
353 subk σ (Π {notLabel = nl}) = Π {notLabel = nl}
354 subk σ (Σ {notLabel = nl}) = Σ {notLabel = nl}
355 subk σ (lab x) = lab x
356 subk σ [ ℓ ] = [ (subk σ ℓ) ]
357 subk σ (f <$> a) = subk σ f <$> subk σ a
358 subk σ (ρ2 \ ρ1) = subk σ ρ2 \ subk σ ρ1
359 subk σ (⟦ xs ⟧ oxs) = (⟦ subRowk σ xs ⟧ (fromWitness (orderedSubRowk σ xs (toWitness oxs))))
360 subk σ (l ▷ τ) = (subk σ l) ▷ (subk σ τ)
361 subRowk σ [] = []
362 subRowk σ ((l, τ) :: xs) = (l, subk σ τ) :: subRowk σ xs
363
364 orderedSubRowk r [] oxs = tt
365 orderedSubRowk r ((l, τ) :: []) oxs = tt
366 orderedSubRowk r ((l1, τ) :: (l2, v) :: xs) (l1 < l2, oxs) = l1 < l2, orderedSubRowk r ((l2, v) :: xs) oxs
367
368 subRowk-isMap : ∀ (σ : Substitutionk Δ1 Δ2) (xs : SimpleRow Type Δ1 R[κ]) →
369 subRowk σ xs ≡ map (overr (subk σ)) xs
370
371 subRowk-isMap σ [] = refl
372 subRowk-isMap σ (x :: xs) = cong2 _::_ refl (subRowk-isMap σ xs)
373
374 subPredk σ (ρ1 · ρ2 ~ ρ3) = subk σ ρ1 · subk σ ρ2 ~ subk σ ρ3
375 subPredk σ (ρ1 ⩽ ρ2) = (subk σ ρ1) ⩽ (subk σ ρ2)
376
377 - Extension of a substitution by A
378 extendk : Substitutionk Δ1 Δ2 → (A : Type Δ2 κ) → Substitutionk(Δ1 ,, κ) Δ2
379 extendk σ A Z = A
380 extendk σ A (S x) = σ x
381
382 - Single variable subkstitution is a special case of simultaneous subkstitution.
383 _βk[_] : Type (Δ ,, κ1) κ2 → Type Δ κ1 → Type Δ κ2
384 B βk[ A ] = subk (extendk 'A) B

```

### 2.3 Type equivalence

```

386 infix 0 _≡t_
387 infix 0 _≡p_
388
389 data _≡p_ : Pred Type Δ R[κ] → Pred Type Δ R[κ] → Set
390 data _≡t_ : Type Δ κ → Type Δ κ → Set

```



```

393 private
394   variable
395      $\ell \ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 : \text{Label}$ 
396      $l \ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 : \text{Type } \Delta \text{ L}$ 
397      $\rho_1 \rho_2 \rho_3 : \text{Type } \Delta \text{ R}[\kappa]$ 
398      $\pi_1 \pi_2 : \text{Pred Type } \Delta \text{ R}[\kappa]$ 
399      $\tau \tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_3 v v_1 v_2 v_3 : \text{Type } \Delta \kappa$ 
400
401 data  $\_ \equiv \_$  : SimpleRow Type  $\Delta \text{ R}[\kappa] \rightarrow \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta \text{ R}[\kappa] \rightarrow \text{Set where}$ 
402   eq-[] :
403
404    $\_ \equiv \_ \{ \Delta = \Delta \} \{ \kappa = \kappa \} [] []$ 
405
406   eq-cons :  $\{xs \ ys : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta \text{ R}[\kappa]\} \rightarrow$ 
407      $\ell_1 \equiv \ell_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \rightarrow xs \equiv \tau_2 \rightarrow ys \rightarrow$ 
408      $\frac{}{((\ell_1, \tau_1) :: xs) \equiv ((\ell_2, \tau_2) :: ys)}$ 
409
410 data  $\_ \equiv \_$  where
411    $\_ \text{eq-} \_ \_$  :
412      $\tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \rightarrow$ 
413      $\frac{}{\tau_1 \text{eq-} \tau_2 \equiv v_1 \text{eq-} v_2}$ 
414
415    $\_ \text{eq-} \_ \_$  :
416      $\tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \rightarrow \tau_3 \equiv \tau_4 \rightarrow v_3 \rightarrow v_4 \rightarrow$ 
417      $\frac{}{\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 \text{eq-} \tau_3 \equiv v_1 \cdot v_2 \text{eq-} v_3}$ 
418
419 data  $\_ \equiv \_$  where
420   -  $\frac{}{\tau \equiv \tau}$ 
421   - Eq. relation
422
423   eq-refl :
424      $\tau \equiv \tau$ 
425
426   eq-sym :
427      $\tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \rightarrow$ 
428      $\frac{}{\tau_2 \equiv \tau_1}$ 
429
430   eq-trans :

```

```

442       $\tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 \equiv \tau_3 \rightarrow$ 
443       $\hline$ 
444       $\tau_1 \equiv \tau_3$ 
445
446  -  $\hline$ 
447  - Congruence rules
448
449  eq- $\rightarrow$  :
450       $\tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \rightarrow v_1 \equiv v_2 \rightarrow$ 
451       $\hline$ 
452       $\tau_1 \overset{\text{eq}}{\rightarrow} v_1 \equiv \tau_2 \overset{\text{eq}}{\rightarrow} v_2$ 
453
454  eq- $\forall$  :
455       $\tau \equiv v \rightarrow$ 
456       $\hline$ 
457       $\forall \tau \equiv \forall v$ 
458
459  eq- $\mu$  :
460       $\tau \equiv v \rightarrow$ 
461       $\hline$ 
462       $\mu \tau \equiv \mu v$ 
463
464  eq- $\lambda$  :  $\forall \{ \tau v : \text{Type } (\Delta \gg \kappa_1) \kappa_2 \} \rightarrow$ 
465       $\tau \equiv v \rightarrow$ 
466       $\hline$ 
467       $\lambda \tau \equiv \lambda v$ 
468
469  eq- $\cdot$  :
470       $\tau_1 \equiv v_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \equiv v_2 \rightarrow$ 
471       $\hline$ 
472       $\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 \equiv v_1 \cdot v_2$ 
473
474  eq- $\langle \$ \rangle$  :  $\forall \{ \tau_1 v_1 : \text{Type } \Delta (\kappa_1 \overset{\text{eq}}{\rightarrow} \kappa_2) \} \{ \tau_2 v_2 : \text{Type } \Delta R[\kappa_1] \} \rightarrow$ 
475       $\tau_1 \equiv v_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \equiv v_2 \rightarrow$ 
476       $\hline$ 
477       $\tau_1 \langle \$ \rangle \tau_2 \equiv v_1 \langle \$ \rangle v_2$ 
478
479  eq- $[\ ]$  :
480       $\tau \equiv v \rightarrow$ 
481       $\hline$ 
482       $[\ \tau \ ] \equiv [\ v \ ]$ 
483
484  eq- $\Rightarrow$  :
485       $\pi_1 \equiv \pi_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \rightarrow$ 
486       $\hline$ 
487       $(\pi_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1) \equiv (\pi_2 \Rightarrow \tau_2)$ 
488
489
490

```

eq-lab :

$$\ell_1 \equiv \ell_2 \rightarrow$$

$$\text{lab } \{\Delta = \Delta\} \ell_1 \equiv \text{lab } \ell_2$$

eq-row :

$$\forall \{\rho_1 \rho_2 : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta \text{ R}[\kappa]\} \{op_1 : \text{True (ordered? } \rho_1)\} \\ \{op_2 : \text{True (ordered? } \rho_2)\} \rightarrow$$

$$\rho_1 \equiv \rho_2 \rightarrow$$

$$(\rho_1 \triangleright op_1) \equiv (\rho_2 \triangleright op_2)$$

eq- $\rightarrow$  :  $\forall \{l_1 \ l_2 : \text{Type } \Delta \text{ L}\} \{\tau_1 \ \tau_2 : \text{Type } \Delta \ \kappa\} \rightarrow$

$$l_1 \equiv l_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \rightarrow$$

$$(l_1 \triangleright \tau_1) \equiv (l_2 \triangleright \tau_2)$$

eq- $\setminus$  :  $\forall \{\rho_2 \ \rho_1 \ v_2 \ v_1 : \text{Type } \Delta \text{ R}[\kappa]\} \rightarrow$

$$\rho_2 \equiv v_2 \rightarrow \rho_1 \equiv v_1 \rightarrow$$

$$(\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) \equiv (v_2 \setminus v_1)$$

-  $\eta$ -laws

eq- $\eta$  :  $\forall \{f : \text{Type } \Delta \ (\kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \kappa_2)\} \rightarrow$

$$f \equiv \lambda (\text{weaken}_k f \cdot (\epsilon \ Z))$$

- Computational laws

eq- $\beta$  :  $\forall \{\tau_1 : \text{Type } (\Delta \text{ „ } \kappa_1) \ \kappa_2\} \{\tau_2 : \text{Type } \Delta \ \kappa_1\} \rightarrow$

$$((\lambda \ \tau_1) \cdot \tau_2) \equiv (\tau_1 \ \beta_k[\tau_2])$$

eq-labTy :

$$l \equiv \text{lab } \ell \rightarrow$$

$$(l \triangleright \tau) \equiv ([\ell \ , \ \tau]) \ \text{tt}$$

eq- $\rightarrow$ \$ :  $\forall \{l\} \{\tau : \text{Type } \Delta \ \kappa_1\} \{F : \text{Type } \Delta \ (\kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \kappa_2)\} \rightarrow$

---

$(F \<\$> (l \triangleright \tau)) \equiv t (l \triangleright (F \cdot \tau))$

$\text{eq-}\<\$>\backslash : \forall \{F : \text{Type} \Delta (\kappa_1 \overset{\text{'}}{\rightarrow} \kappa_2)\} \{\rho_2 \rho_1 : \text{Type} \Delta \mathbf{R}[\kappa_1]\} \rightarrow$

---

$F \<\$> (\rho_2 \backslash \rho_1) \equiv t (F \<\$> \rho_2) \backslash (F \<\$> \rho_1)$

$\text{eq-map} : \forall \{F : \text{Type} \Delta (\kappa_1 \overset{\text{'}}{\rightarrow} \kappa_2)\} \{\rho : \text{SimpleRow Type} \Delta \mathbf{R}[\kappa_1]\} \{op : \text{True} (\text{ordered? } \rho)\} \rightarrow$

---

$F \<\$> ((\rho \triangleright) op) \equiv t (\text{map } (\text{over}_r (F \cdot \_)) \rho) (\text{fromWitness } (\text{map-over}_r \rho (F \cdot \_) (\text{toWitness } op)))$

$\text{eq-map-id} : \forall \{\kappa\} \{\tau : \text{Type} \Delta \mathbf{R}[\kappa]\} \rightarrow$

---

$(\lambda \{\kappa_1 = \kappa\} (\text{' } Z)) \<\$> \tau \equiv t \tau$

$\text{eq-map-}\circ : \forall \{\kappa_3\} \{f : \text{Type} \Delta (\kappa_2 \overset{\text{'}}{\rightarrow} \kappa_3)\} \{g : \text{Type} \Delta (\kappa_1 \overset{\text{'}}{\rightarrow} \kappa_2)\} \{\tau : \text{Type} \Delta \mathbf{R}[\kappa_1]\} \rightarrow$

---

$(f \<\$> (g \<\$> \tau)) \equiv t (\lambda (\text{weaken}_\kappa f \cdot (\text{weaken}_\kappa g \cdot (\text{' } Z)))) \<\$> \tau$

$\text{eq-}\Pi : \forall \{\rho : \text{Type} \Delta \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{R}[\kappa]]\} \{nl : \text{True} (\text{notLabel? } \kappa)\} \rightarrow$

---

$\Pi \{notLabel = nl\} \cdot \rho \equiv t \Pi \{notLabel = nl\} \<\$> \rho$

$\text{eq-}\Sigma : \forall \{\rho : \text{Type} \Delta \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{R}[\kappa]]\} \{nl : \text{True} (\text{notLabel? } \kappa)\} \rightarrow$

---

$\Sigma \{notLabel = nl\} \cdot \rho \equiv t \Sigma \{notLabel = nl\} \<\$> \rho$

$\text{eq-}\Pi\text{-assoc} : \forall \{\rho : \text{Type} \Delta (\mathbf{R}[\kappa_1 \overset{\text{'}}{\rightarrow} \kappa_2])\} \{\tau : \text{Type} \Delta \kappa_1\} \{nl : \text{True} (\text{notLabel? } \kappa_2)\} \rightarrow$

---

$(\Pi \{notLabel = nl\} \cdot \rho) \cdot \tau \equiv t \Pi \{notLabel = nl\} \cdot (\rho ?? \tau)$

$\text{eq-}\Sigma\text{-assoc} : \forall \{\rho : \text{Type} \Delta (\mathbf{R}[\kappa_1 \overset{\text{'}}{\rightarrow} \kappa_2])\} \{\tau : \text{Type} \Delta \kappa_1\} \{nl : \text{True} (\text{notLabel? } \kappa_2)\} \rightarrow$

---

$(\Sigma \{notLabel = nl\} \cdot \rho) \cdot \tau \equiv t \Sigma \{notLabel = nl\} \cdot (\rho ?? \tau)$

$\text{eq-comp1} : \forall \{xs \ ys : \text{SimpleRow Type} \Delta \mathbf{R}[\kappa]\} \\ \{oxs : \text{True} (\text{ordered? } xs)\} \{oys : \text{True} (\text{ordered? } ys)\} \{ozs : \text{True} (\text{ordered? } (xs \backslash s \ ys))\} \rightarrow$

---

$((\rho \triangleright xs) \triangleright oxs) \backslash ((\rho \triangleright ys) \triangleright oys) \equiv t ((xs \backslash s \ ys) \triangleright ozs)$

Finally, it is helpful to reflect instances of propositional equality in Agda to proofs of type-equivalence.

	Type variables	$\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$	Labels	$\ell \in \mathcal{L}$
589	Ground Kinds	$\gamma ::= \star \mid L$		
590	Kinds	$\kappa ::= \gamma \mid \kappa \rightarrow \kappa \mid R^\kappa$		
591	Row Literals	$\hat{\mathcal{P}} \ni \hat{\rho} ::= \{\ell_i \triangleright \hat{\tau}_i\}_{i \in 0 \dots m}$		
592	Neutral Types	$n ::= \alpha \mid n \hat{\tau}$		
593	Normal Types	$\hat{\mathcal{T}} \ni \hat{\tau}, \hat{\phi} ::= n \mid \hat{\phi}^\star n \mid \hat{\rho} \mid \hat{\pi} \Rightarrow \hat{\tau} \mid \forall \alpha : \kappa. \hat{\tau} \mid \lambda \alpha : \kappa. \hat{\tau}$		
594		$\mid n \triangleright \hat{\tau} \mid \ell \mid \# \hat{\tau} \mid \hat{\tau} \setminus \hat{\tau} \mid \Pi^{(\star)} \hat{\tau} \mid \Sigma^{(\star)} \hat{\tau}$		
595				
596				
597				
598				
599				
600				
601				
602				
603				
604				
605				
606				
607				
608				
609				
610				
611				
612				
613				
614				
615				
616				
617				
618				
619				
620				
621				
622				
623				
624				
625				
626				
627				
628				
629				
630				
631				
632				
633				
634				
635				
636				
637				

Fig. 2. Normal type forms

$\text{inst} : \forall \{\tau_1 \tau_2 : \text{Type } \Delta \kappa\} \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2$

$\text{inst refl} = \text{eq-refl}$

2.3.1 *Some admissable rules.* We confirm that (i)  $\Pi$  and  $\Sigma$  are mapped over nested rows, and (ii)  $\lambda$ -bindings  $\eta$ -expand over  $\Pi$  and  $\Sigma$ .

$\text{eq-}\Pi \triangleright : \forall \{l\} \{\tau : \text{Type } \Delta R[\kappa]\} \{nl : \text{True } (\text{notLabel? } \kappa)\} \rightarrow$   
 $(\Pi \{ \text{notLabel} = nl \} \cdot (l \triangleright \tau)) \equiv (l \triangleright (\Pi \{ \text{notLabel} = nl \} \cdot \tau))$

$\text{eq-}\Pi \triangleright = \text{eq-trans eq-}\Pi \text{ eq-}\triangleright$

$\text{eq-}\Pi \lambda : \forall \{l\} \{\tau : \text{Type } (\Delta \text{ „ } \kappa_1) \kappa_2\} \{nl : \text{True } (\text{notLabel? } \kappa_2)\} \rightarrow$   
 $\Pi \{ \text{notLabel} = nl \} \cdot (l \triangleright \lambda \tau) \equiv \lambda (\Pi \{ \text{notLabel} = nl \} \cdot (\text{weaken}_\kappa l \triangleright \tau))$

### 3 Normal forms

We define reduction on types  $\tau \rightarrow_{\mathcal{T}} \tau'$  by directing the type equivalence judgment  $\varepsilon \vdash \tau = \tau' : \kappa$  from left to right (with the exception of rule (E-MAP<sub>id</sub>), which reduces right-to-left).

#### 3.1 Mechanized syntax

$\text{data NormalType } (\Delta : \text{KEnv}) : \text{Kind} \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$\text{NormalPred} : \text{KEnv} \rightarrow \text{Kind} \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$\text{NormalPred} = \text{Pred NormalType}$

$\text{NormalOrdered} : \text{SimpleRow NormalType } \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$\text{normalOrdered?} : \forall (xs : \text{SimpleRow NormalType } \Delta R[\kappa]) \rightarrow \text{Dec } (\text{NormalOrdered } xs)$

$\text{IsNeutral IsNormal} : \text{NormalType } \Delta \kappa \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$\text{isNeutral?} : \forall (\tau : \text{NormalType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \text{Dec } (\text{IsNeutral } \tau)$

$\text{isNormal?} : \forall (\tau : \text{NormalType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \text{Dec } (\text{IsNormal } \tau)$

$\text{NotSimpleRow} : \text{NormalType } \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$\text{notSimpleRows?} : \forall (\tau_1 \tau_2 : \text{NormalType } \Delta R[\kappa]) \rightarrow \text{Dec } (\text{NotSimpleRow } \tau_1 \text{ or NotSimpleRow } \tau_2)$

```

638 data NeutralType Δ : Kind → Set where
639   ' :
640     (α : TVar Δ κ) →
641     -----
642     NeutralType Δ κ
643
644   _' _ :
645
646     (f : NeutralType Δ (κ1 '→ κ)) →
647     (τ : NormalType Δ κ1) →
648     -----
649     NeutralType Δ κ
650
651 data NormalType Δ where
652   ne :
653
654     (x : NeutralType Δ κ) → {ground : True (ground? κ)} →
655     -----
656     NormalType Δ κ
657
658   _<$>_ : (φ : NormalType Δ (κ1 '→ κ2)) → NeutralType Δ R[ κ1 ] →
659     -----
660     NormalType Δ R[ κ2 ]
661
662   'λ :
663
664     (τ : NormalType (Δ „ κ1) κ2) →
665     -----
666     NormalType Δ (κ1 '→ κ2)
667
668   _'→_ :
669
670     (τ1 τ2 : NormalType Δ ★) →
671     -----
672     NormalType Δ ★
673
674   '∀ :
675
676     (τ : NormalType (Δ „ κ) ★) →
677     -----
678     NormalType Δ ★
679
680   μ :
681
682     (φ : NormalType Δ (★ '→ ★)) →
683     -----
684     NormalType Δ ★
685
686   - Qualified types

```

```

687   $\_ \Rightarrow \_ :$ 
688
689       $(\pi : \text{NormalPred } \Delta \text{ R } [\kappa_1]) \rightarrow (\tau : \text{NormalType } \Delta \star) \rightarrow$ 
690       $\frac{}{\text{NormalType } \Delta \star}$ 
691
692  -----
693  -  $R\omega$  business
694
695   $(\llbracket \_ \rrbracket) : (\rho : \text{SimpleRow NormalType } \Delta \text{ R } [\kappa]) \rightarrow (op : \text{True } (\text{normalOrdered? } \rho)) \rightarrow$ 
696   $\frac{}{\text{NormalType } \Delta \text{ R } [\kappa]}$ 
697
698  - - labels
699  lab :
700
701       $(l : \text{Label}) \rightarrow$ 
702       $\frac{}{\text{NormalType } \Delta \text{ L}}$ 
703
704  - label constant formation
705   $\llbracket \_ \rrbracket :$ 
706   $(l : \text{NormalType } \Delta \text{ L}) \rightarrow$ 
707   $\frac{}{\text{NormalType } \Delta \star}$ 
708
709   $\Pi :$ 
710   $(\rho : \text{NormalType } \Delta \text{ R } [\star]) \rightarrow$ 
711   $\frac{}{\text{NormalType } \Delta \star}$ 
712
713   $\Sigma :$ 
714   $(\rho : \text{NormalType } \Delta \text{ R } [\star]) \rightarrow$ 
715   $\frac{}{\text{NormalType } \Delta \star}$ 
716
717   $\_ \backslash \_ : (\rho_2 \rho_1 : \text{NormalType } \Delta \text{ R } [\kappa]) \rightarrow \{nsr : \text{True } (\text{notSimpleRows? } \rho_2 \rho_1)\} \rightarrow$ 
718   $\text{NormalType } \Delta \text{ R } [\kappa]$ 
719
720   $\_ \triangleright_{n\_} : (l : \text{NeutralType } \Delta \text{ L}) (\tau : \text{NormalType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow$ 
721   $\frac{}{\text{NormalType } \Delta \text{ R } [\kappa]}$ 
722
723  -----
724  - Ordered predicate
725
726  NormalOrdered [] =  $\top$ 
727  NormalOrdered ((l, _) :: []) =  $\top$ 
728  NormalOrdered ((l1, _) :: (l2,  $\tau$ ) :: xs) =  $l_1 < l_2 \times \text{NormalOrdered } ((l_2, \tau) :: xs)$ 
729
730
731
732
733
734
735

```

```

736 normalOrdered? [] = yes tt
737 normalOrdered? ((l, τ) :: []) = yes tt
738 normalOrdered? ((l1, _) :: (l2, _) :: xs) with l1 <? l2 | normalOrdered? ((l2, _) :: xs)
739 ... | yes p | yes q = yes (p, q)
740 ... | yes p | no q = no (λ { (_, oxs) → q oxs })
741 ... | no p | yes q = no (λ { (x, _) → p x })
742 ... | no p | no q = no (λ { (x, _) → p x })
743
744
745 NotSimpleRow (ne x) = T
746 NotSimpleRow ((φ <$> τ)) = T
747 NotSimpleRow ((ρ ▷ op) op) = ⊥
748 NotSimpleRow (τ \ τ1) = T
749 NotSimpleRow (x ▷n τ) = T
750
751
752

```

### 3.2 Properties of normal types

The syntax of normal types is defined precisely so as to enjoy canonical forms based on kind. We first demonstrate that neutral types and inert complements cannot occur in empty contexts.

```

752 noNeutrals : NeutralType ∅ κ → ⊥
753
754 noNeutrals (n · τ) = noNeutrals n
755
756 noComplements : ∀ {ρ1 ρ2 ρ3 : NormalType ∅ R[ κ ]}
757   (nsr : True (notSimpleRows? ρ3 ρ2)) →
758   ρ1 ≡ (ρ3 \ ρ2) {nsr} →
759   ⊥
760
761
762
763
764
765

```

Now:

```

766
767 arrow-canonicity : (f : NormalType Δ (κ1 '→ κ2)) → ∃[ τ ] (f ≡ 'λ τ)
768 arrow-canonicity ('λ f) = f, refl
769
770 row-canonicity-∅ : (ρ : NormalType ∅ R[ κ ]) →
771   ∃[ xs ] Σ[ oxs ∈ True (normalOrdered? xs) ]
772   (ρ ≡ (λ xs) oxs)
773
774 row-canonicity-∅ (ne x) = ⊥-elim (noNeutrals x)
775 row-canonicity-∅ ((ρ ▷ op) op) = ρ, op, refl
776 row-canonicity-∅ ((ρ \ ρ1) {nsr}) = ⊥-elim (noComplements nsr refl)
777 row-canonicity-∅ (l ▷n ρ) = ⊥-elim (noNeutrals l)
778 row-canonicity-∅ ((φ <$> ρ)) = ⊥-elim (noNeutrals ρ)
779
780 label-canonicity-∅ : ∀ (l : NormalType ∅ L) → ∃[ s ] (l ≡ lab s)
781 label-canonicity-∅ (ne x) = ⊥-elim (noNeutrals x)
782 label-canonicity-∅ (lab s) = s, refl
783
784

```



### 3.3 Renaming

Renaming over normal types is defined in an entirely straightforward manner.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ren}_k\text{NE} &: \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{NeutralType } \Delta_1 \kappa \rightarrow \text{NeutralType } \Delta_2 \kappa \\ \text{ren}_k\text{NF} &: \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{NormalType } \Delta_1 \kappa \rightarrow \text{NormalType } \Delta_2 \kappa \\ \text{renRow}_k\text{NF} &: \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{SimpleRow NormalType } \Delta_1 \text{R}[\kappa] \rightarrow \text{SimpleRow NormalType } \Delta_2 \text{R}[\kappa] \\ \text{renPred}_k\text{NF} &: \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{NormalPred } \Delta_1 \text{R}[\kappa] \rightarrow \text{NormalPred } \Delta_2 \text{R}[\kappa] \end{aligned}$$

Care must be given to ensure that the NormalOrdered and NotSimpleRow predicates are preserved.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{orderedRenRow}_k\text{NF} &: (r : \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \rightarrow (xs : \text{SimpleRow NormalType } \Delta_1 \text{R}[\kappa]) \rightarrow \text{NormalOrdered } x \\ &\quad \text{NormalOrdered } (\text{renRow}_k\text{NF } r \text{ xs}) \\ \text{nsrRen}_k\text{NF} &: \forall (r : \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) (\rho_1 \rho_2 : \text{NormalType } \Delta_1 \text{R}[\kappa]) \rightarrow \text{NotSimpleRow } \rho_2 \text{ or NotSimpleRow } \\ &\quad \text{NotSimpleRow } (\text{ren}_k\text{NF } r \rho_2) \text{ or NotSimpleRow } (\text{ren}_k\text{NF } r \rho_1) \\ \text{nsrRen}_k\text{NF}' &: \forall (r : \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) (\rho : \text{NormalType } \Delta_1 \text{R}[\kappa]) \rightarrow \text{NotSimpleRow } \rho \rightarrow \\ &\quad \text{NotSimpleRow } (\text{ren}_k\text{NF } r \rho) \end{aligned}$$

### 3.4 Embedding

$$\begin{aligned} \uparrow\uparrow &: \text{NormalType } \Delta \kappa \rightarrow \text{Type } \Delta \kappa \\ \uparrow\uparrow\text{Row} &: \text{SimpleRow NormalType } \Delta \text{R}[\kappa] \rightarrow \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta \text{R}[\kappa] \\ \uparrow\uparrow\text{NE} &: \text{NeutralType } \Delta \kappa \rightarrow \text{Type } \Delta \kappa \\ \uparrow\uparrow\text{Pred} &: \text{NormalPred } \Delta \text{R}[\kappa] \rightarrow \text{Pred Type } \Delta \text{R}[\kappa] \\ \text{Ordered}\uparrow\uparrow &: \forall (\rho : \text{SimpleRow NormalType } \Delta \text{R}[\kappa]) \rightarrow \text{NormalOrdered } \rho \rightarrow \\ &\quad \text{Ordered } (\uparrow\uparrow\text{Row } \rho) \\ \uparrow\uparrow (\text{ne } x) &= \uparrow\uparrow\text{NE } x \\ \uparrow\uparrow (' \lambda \tau) &= ' \lambda (\uparrow\uparrow \tau) \\ \uparrow\uparrow (\tau_1 ' \rightarrow \tau_2) &= \uparrow\uparrow \tau_1 ' \rightarrow \uparrow\uparrow \tau_2 \\ \uparrow\uparrow (' \forall \tau) &= ' \forall (\uparrow\uparrow \tau) \\ \uparrow\uparrow (\mu \tau) &= \mu (\uparrow\uparrow \tau) \\ \uparrow\uparrow (\text{lab } l) &= \text{lab } l \\ \uparrow\uparrow [\tau] &= [\uparrow\uparrow \tau] \\ \uparrow\uparrow (\Pi x) &= \Pi \cdot \uparrow\uparrow x \\ \uparrow\uparrow (\Sigma x) &= \Sigma \cdot \uparrow\uparrow x \\ \uparrow\uparrow (\pi \Rightarrow \tau) &= (\uparrow\uparrow\text{Pred } \pi) \Rightarrow (\uparrow\uparrow \tau) \\ \uparrow\uparrow ((\rho) \text{ op}) &= (\uparrow\uparrow\text{Row } \rho) (\text{fromWitness } (\text{Ordered}\uparrow\uparrow \rho (\text{toWitness } \text{op}))) \\ \uparrow\uparrow (\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) &= \uparrow\uparrow \rho_2 \setminus \uparrow\uparrow \rho_1 \\ \uparrow\uparrow (l \triangleright_n \tau) &= (\uparrow\uparrow\text{NE } l) \triangleright (\uparrow\uparrow \tau) \\ \uparrow\uparrow ((F <\$> \tau)) &= (\uparrow\uparrow F) <\$> (\uparrow\uparrow\text{NE } \tau) \\ \uparrow\uparrow\text{Row } [] &= [] \\ \uparrow\uparrow\text{Row } ((l, \tau) :: \rho) &= ((l, \uparrow\uparrow \tau) :: \uparrow\uparrow\text{Row } \rho) \\ \text{Ordered}\uparrow\uparrow [] \text{ op} &= \text{tt} \\ \text{Ordered}\uparrow\uparrow (x :: []) \text{ op} &= \text{tt} \\ \text{Ordered}\uparrow\uparrow ((l_1, \_ ) :: (l_2, \_ ) :: \rho) (l_1 < l_2, \text{op}) &= l_1 < l_2, \text{Ordered}\uparrow\uparrow ((l_2, \_ ) :: \rho) \text{op} \end{aligned}$$

```

834  $\Uparrow\text{Row-isMap} : \forall (xs : \text{SimpleRow NormalType } \Delta_1 \text{ R}[\kappa]) \rightarrow$ 
835  $\Uparrow\text{Row } xs \equiv \text{map } (\lambda \{ (l, \tau) \rightarrow l, \Uparrow \tau \}) xs$ 
836
837  $\Uparrow\text{Row-isMap } [] = \text{refl}$ 
838  $\Uparrow\text{Row-isMap } (x :: xs) = \text{cong}_2 \_::\_ \text{refl } (\Uparrow\text{Row-isMap } xs)$ 
839
840  $\Uparrow\text{NE } (x) = x$ 
841  $\Uparrow\text{NE } (\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2) = (\Uparrow\text{NE } \tau_1) \cdot (\Uparrow\text{NE } \tau_2)$ 
842
843  $\Uparrow\text{Pred } (\rho_1 \cdot \rho_2 \sim \rho_3) = (\Uparrow \rho_1) \cdot (\Uparrow \rho_2) \sim (\Uparrow \rho_3)$ 
844  $\Uparrow\text{Pred } (\rho_1 \leq \rho_2) = (\Uparrow \rho_1) \leq (\Uparrow \rho_2)$ 

```

#### 4 Semantic types

---

– Semantic types (definition)

```

850  $\text{Row} : \text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ 
851  $\text{Row } A = \exists [n] (\text{Fin } n \rightarrow \text{Label} \times A)$ 

```

---

– Ordered predicate on semantic rows

```

855  $\text{OrderedRow}' : \forall \{A : \text{Set}\} \rightarrow (n : \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow (\text{Fin } n \rightarrow \text{Label} \times A) \rightarrow \text{Set}$ 
856  $\text{OrderedRow}' \text{ zero } P = \top$ 
857  $\text{OrderedRow}' (\text{suc zero}) P = \top$ 
858  $\text{OrderedRow}' (\text{suc } (\text{suc } n)) P = (P \text{ fzero } .\text{fst} < P (\text{fsuc fzero}) .\text{fst}) \times \text{OrderedRow}' (\text{suc } n) (P \circ \text{fsuc})$ 
859
860  $\text{OrderedRow} : \forall \{A\} \rightarrow \text{Row } A \rightarrow \text{Set}$ 
861  $\text{OrderedRow } (n, P) = \text{OrderedRow}' n P$ 

```

---

– Defining SemType  $\Delta \text{ R}[\kappa]$

```

865  $\text{data RowType } (\Delta : \text{KEnv}) (\mathcal{T} : \text{KEnv} \rightarrow \text{Set}) : \text{Kind} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ 
866  $\text{NotRow} : \forall \{\Delta : \text{KEnv}\} \{\mathcal{T} : \text{KEnv} \rightarrow \text{Set}\} \rightarrow \text{RowType } \Delta \mathcal{T} \text{ R}[\kappa] \rightarrow \text{Set}$ 
867  $\text{notRows?} : \forall \{\Delta : \text{KEnv}\} \{\mathcal{T} : \text{KEnv} \rightarrow \text{Set}\} \rightarrow (\rho_2 \rho_1 : \text{RowType } \Delta \mathcal{T} \text{ R}[\kappa]) \rightarrow \text{Dec } (\text{NotRow } \rho_2 \text{ or NotRow } \rho_1)$ 
868
869  $\text{data RowType } \Delta \mathcal{T} \text{ where}$ 
870  $\_<\$>\_ : (\phi : \forall \{\Delta'\} \rightarrow \text{Renaming}_k \Delta \Delta' \rightarrow \text{NeutralType } \Delta' \kappa_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \Delta') \rightarrow$ 
871  $\text{NeutralType } \Delta \text{ R}[\kappa_1] \rightarrow$ 
872  $\text{RowType } \Delta \mathcal{T} \text{ R}[\kappa_2]$ 
873
874  $\_ \triangleright \_ : \text{NeutralType } \Delta \text{ L} \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \Delta \rightarrow \text{RowType } \Delta \mathcal{T} \text{ R}[\kappa]$ 
875
876  $\text{row} : (\rho : \text{Row } (\mathcal{T} \Delta)) \rightarrow \text{OrderedRow } \rho \rightarrow \text{RowType } \Delta \mathcal{T} \text{ R}[\kappa]$ 
877
878  $\_ \backslash \_ : (\rho_2 \rho_1 : \text{RowType } \Delta \mathcal{T} \text{ R}[\kappa]) \rightarrow \{nr : \text{NotRow } \rho_2 \text{ or NotRow } \rho_1\} \rightarrow$ 
879  $\text{RowType } \Delta \mathcal{T} \text{ R}[\kappa]$ 
880
881  $\text{NotRow } (x \triangleright x_1) = \top$ 
882  $\text{NotRow } (\text{row } \rho x) = \perp$ 

```

```

883 NotRow ( $\rho \setminus \rho_1$ ) =  $\top$ 
884 NotRow ( $\phi <\$> \rho$ ) =  $\top$ 
885
886 notRows? ( $x \triangleright x_1$ )  $\rho_1$  = yes (left tt)
887 notRows? ( $\rho_2 \setminus \rho_3$ )  $\rho_1$  = yes (left tt)
888 notRows? ( $\phi <\$> \rho$ )  $\rho_1$  = yes (left tt)
889 notRows? (row  $\rho$   $x$ ) ( $x_1 \triangleright x_2$ ) = yes (right tt)
890 notRows? (row  $\rho$   $x$ ) (row  $\rho_1$   $x_1$ ) = no ( $\lambda \{ (\text{left } ()) ; (\text{right } ()) \}$ )
891 notRows? (row  $\rho$   $x$ ) ( $\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2$ ) = yes (right tt)
892 notRows? (row  $\rho$   $x$ ) ( $\phi <\$> \tau$ ) = yes (right tt)
893
894
895 - Defining Semantic types
896
897 SemType : KEnv  $\rightarrow$  Kind  $\rightarrow$  Set
898 SemType  $\Delta \star$  = NormalType  $\Delta \star$ 
899 SemType  $\Delta \mathsf{L}$  = NormalType  $\Delta \mathsf{L}$ 
900 SemType  $\Delta_1$  ( $\kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\quad} \kappa_2$ ) = ( $\forall \{ \Delta_2 \} \rightarrow (r : \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) (v : \text{SemType } \Delta_2 \kappa_1) \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta_2 \kappa_2$ )
901 SemType  $\Delta \mathsf{R}[\kappa]$  = RowType  $\Delta (\lambda \Delta' \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta' \kappa) \mathsf{R}[\kappa]$ 
902
903
904 - aliases
905
906 KripkeFunction : KEnv  $\rightarrow$  Kind  $\rightarrow$  Kind  $\rightarrow$  Set
907 KripkeFunctionNE : KEnv  $\rightarrow$  Kind  $\rightarrow$  Kind  $\rightarrow$  Set
908 KripkeFunction  $\Delta_1 \kappa_1 \kappa_2$  = ( $\forall \{ \Delta_2 \} \rightarrow \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta_2 \kappa_1 \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta_2 \kappa_2$ )
909 KripkeFunctionNE  $\Delta_1 \kappa_1 \kappa_2$  = ( $\forall \{ \Delta_2 \} \rightarrow \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{NeutralType } \Delta_2 \kappa_1 \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta_2 \kappa_2$ )
910
911
912 - Truncating a row preserves ordering
913
914 ordered-cut :  $\forall \{ n : \mathbb{N} \} \rightarrow \{ P : \text{Fin } (\text{succ } n) \rightarrow \text{Label} \times \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa \} \rightarrow$ 
915   OrderedRow ( $\text{succ } n, P$ )  $\rightarrow$  OrderedRow ( $n, P \circ \text{fsuc}$ )
916 ordered-cut  $\{ n = \text{zero} \} op$  = tt
917 ordered-cut  $\{ n = \text{succ } n \} op$  =  $op \text{.snd}$ 
918
919
920 - Ordering is preserved by mapping
921
922 orderedOverr :  $\forall \{ n \} \{ P : \text{Fin } n \rightarrow \text{Label} \times \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa_1 \} \rightarrow$ 
923   ( $f : \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa_1 \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa_2$ )  $\rightarrow$ 
924   OrderedRow ( $n, P$ )  $\rightarrow$  OrderedRow ( $n, \text{over}_r f \circ P$ )
925 orderedOverr  $\{ n = \text{zero} \} \{ P \} f op$  = tt
926 orderedOverr  $\{ n = \text{succ } \text{zero} \} \{ P \} f op$  = tt
927 orderedOverr  $\{ n = \text{succ } (\text{succ } n) \} \{ P \} f op$  = ( $op \text{.fst}$ ) , ( $\text{orderedOver}_r f (op \text{.snd})$ )
928
929
930 - Semantic row operators
931
932 _::_ : Label  $\times$  SemType  $\Delta \kappa \rightarrow$  Row (SemType  $\Delta \kappa$ )  $\rightarrow$  Row (SemType  $\Delta \kappa$ )
933

```

```

932  $\tau :: (n, P) = \text{succ } n, \lambda \{ \text{fzero} \rightarrow \tau$ 
933  $; (\text{fsucc } x) \rightarrow P \ x \}$ 
934

```

– the empty row

```

936  $\epsilon V : \text{Row } (\text{SemType } \Delta \ \kappa)$ 

```

```

937  $\epsilon V = 0, \lambda \ ()$ 

```

#### 4.1 Renaming and substitution

```

940  $\text{renKripke} : \text{Renaming}_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{KripkeFunction } \Delta_1 \ \kappa_1 \ \kappa_2 \rightarrow \text{KripkeFunction } \Delta_2 \ \kappa_1 \ \kappa_2$ 

```

```

941  $\text{renKripke } \{\Delta_1\} \ \rho \ F \ \{\Delta_2\} = \lambda \ \rho' \rightarrow F \ (\rho' \circ \rho)$ 

```

```

943  $\text{renSem} : \text{Renaming}_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta_1 \ \kappa \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta_2 \ \kappa$ 

```

```

944  $\text{renRow} : \text{Renaming}_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2 \rightarrow$ 

```

```

945  $\text{Row } (\text{SemType } \Delta_1 \ \kappa) \rightarrow$ 

```

```

946  $\text{Row } (\text{SemType } \Delta_2 \ \kappa)$ 

```

```

948  $\text{orderedRenRow} : \forall \{n\} \{P : \text{Fin } n \rightarrow \text{Label} \times \text{SemType } \Delta_1 \ \kappa\} \rightarrow (r : \text{Renaming}_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2) \rightarrow$ 
949  $\text{OrderedRow}' \ n \ P \rightarrow \text{OrderedRow}' \ n \ (\lambda \ i \rightarrow (P \ i \ . \text{fst}), \text{renSem } r \ (P \ i \ . \text{snd}))$ 

```

```

951  $\text{nrRenSem} : \forall (r : \text{Renaming}_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2) \rightarrow (\rho : \text{RowType } \Delta_1 \ (\lambda \ \Delta' \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta' \ \kappa) \ \text{R}[\ \kappa \ ]) \rightarrow$ 
952  $\text{NotRow } \rho \rightarrow \text{NotRow } (\text{renSem } r \ \rho)$ 

```

```

953  $\text{nrRenSem}' : \forall (r : \text{Renaming}_k \ \Delta_1 \ \Delta_2) \rightarrow (\rho_2 \ \rho_1 : \text{RowType } \Delta_1 \ (\lambda \ \Delta' \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta' \ \kappa) \ \text{R}[\ \kappa \ ]) \rightarrow$ 
954  $\text{NotRow } \rho_2 \text{ or } \text{NotRow } \rho_1 \rightarrow \text{NotRow } (\text{renSem } r \ \rho_2) \text{ or } \text{NotRow } (\text{renSem } r \ \rho_1)$ 

```

```

956  $\text{renSem } \{\kappa = \star\} \ r \ \tau = \text{ren}_k \text{NF } r \ \tau$ 

```

```

957  $\text{renSem } \{\kappa = \text{L}\} \ r \ \tau = \text{ren}_k \text{NF } r \ \tau$ 

```

```

958  $\text{renSem } \{\kappa = \kappa' \rightarrow \kappa_1\} \ r \ F = \text{renKripke } r \ F$ 

```

```

959  $\text{renSem } \{\kappa = \text{R}[\ \kappa \ ]\} \ r \ (\phi \ \<\$> \ x) = (\lambda \ r' \rightarrow \phi \ (r' \circ r)) \ \<\$> \ (\text{ren}_k \text{NE } r \ x)$ 

```

```

960  $\text{renSem } \{\kappa = \text{R}[\ \kappa \ ]\} \ r \ (l \triangleright \tau) = (\text{ren}_k \text{NE } r \ l) \triangleright \text{renSem } r \ \tau$ 

```

```

961  $\text{renSem } \{\kappa = \text{R}[\ \kappa \ ]\} \ r \ (\text{row } (n, P) \ q) = \text{row } (n, (\text{over}_r \ (\text{renSem } r) \circ P)) \ (\text{orderedRenRow } r \ q)$ 

```

```

962  $\text{renSem } \{\kappa = \text{R}[\ \kappa \ ]\} \ r \ ((\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) \{nr\}) = (\text{renSem } r \ \rho_2 \setminus \text{renSem } r \ \rho_1) \{nr = \text{nrRenSem}' \ r \ \rho_2 \ \rho_1 \ nr\}$ 

```

```

964  $\text{nrRenSem}' \ r \ \rho_2 \ \rho_1 \ (\text{left } x) = \text{left } (\text{nrRenSem } r \ \rho_2 \ x)$ 

```

```

965  $\text{nrRenSem}' \ r \ \rho_2 \ \rho_1 \ (\text{right } y) = \text{right } (\text{nrRenSem } r \ \rho_1 \ y)$ 

```

```

966
967  $\text{nrRenSem } r \ (x \triangleright x_1) \ nr = \text{tt}$ 

```

```

968  $\text{nrRenSem } r \ (\rho \setminus \rho_1) \ nr = \text{tt}$ 

```

```

969  $\text{nrRenSem } r \ (\phi \ \<\$> \ \rho) \ nr = \text{tt}$ 

```

```

970
971  $\text{orderedRenRow } \{n = \text{zero}\} \ \{P\} \ r \ o = \text{tt}$ 

```

```

972  $\text{orderedRenRow } \{n = \text{succ zero}\} \ \{P\} \ r \ o = \text{tt}$ 

```

```

973  $\text{orderedRenRow } \{n = \text{succ } (\text{succ } n)\} \ \{P\} \ r \ (l_1 < l_2, o) = l_1 < l_2, (\text{orderedRenRow } \{n = \text{succ } n\} \ \{P \circ \text{fsucc}\} \ r \ o)$ 

```

```

974
975  $\text{renRow } \phi \ (n, P) = n, \text{over}_r \ (\text{renSem } \phi) \circ P$ 

```

```

976
977  $\text{weakenSem} : \text{SemType } \Delta \ \kappa_1 \rightarrow \text{SemType } (\Delta \ , \ \kappa_2) \ \kappa_1$ 

```

```

978  $\text{weakenSem } \{\Delta\} \ \{\kappa_1\} \ \tau = \text{renSem } \{\Delta_1 = \Delta\} \ \{\kappa = \kappa_1\} \ S \ \tau$ 

```

```

979

```

```

980

```

## 5 Normalization by Evaluation

`reflect` :  $\forall \{\kappa\} \rightarrow \text{NeutralType } \Delta \kappa \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa$

`reify` :  $\forall \{\kappa\} \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa \rightarrow \text{NormalType } \Delta \kappa$

`reflect`  $\{\kappa = \star\} \tau = \text{ne } \tau$

`reflect`  $\{\kappa = \mathbf{L}\} \tau = \text{ne } \tau$

`reflect`  $\{\kappa = \mathbf{R}[\kappa]\} \rho = (\lambda r n \rightarrow \text{reflect } n) \langle \$ \rangle \rho$

`reflect`  $\{\kappa = \kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\quad} \kappa_2\} \tau = \lambda \rho v \rightarrow \text{reflect } (\text{ren}_\kappa \text{NE } \rho \tau \cdot \text{reify } v)$

`reifyKripke` :  $\text{KripkeFunction } \Delta \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow \text{NormalType } \Delta (\kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\quad} \kappa_2)$

`reifyKripkeNE` :  $\text{KripkeFunctionNE } \Delta \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow \text{NormalType } \Delta (\kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\quad} \kappa_2)$

`reifyKripke`  $\{\kappa_1 = \kappa_1\} F = \lambda (\text{reify } (F \text{ S } (\text{reflect } \{\kappa = \kappa_1\} ((\text{Z}))))))$

`reifyKripkeNE`  $F = \lambda (\text{reify } (F \text{ S } (\text{Z})))$

`reifyRow'` :  $(n : \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow (\text{Fin } n \rightarrow \text{Label} \times \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \text{SimpleRow NormalType } \Delta \mathbf{R}[\kappa]$

`reifyRow'` `zero`  $P = []$

`reifyRow'` `(suc n)`  $P$  `with`  $P$  `fzero`

$\dots \mid (l, \tau) = (l, \text{reify } \tau) :: \text{reifyRow}' n (P \circ \text{fsuc})$

`reifyRow` :  $\text{Row } (\text{SemType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \text{SimpleRow NormalType } \Delta \mathbf{R}[\kappa]$

`reifyRow`  $(n, P) = \text{reifyRow}' n P$

`reifyRowOrdered` :  $\forall (\rho : \text{Row } (\text{SemType } \Delta \kappa)) \rightarrow \text{OrderedRow } \rho \rightarrow \text{NormalOrdered } (\text{reifyRow } \rho)$

`reifyRowOrdered'` :  $\forall (n : \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow (P : \text{Fin } n \rightarrow \text{Label} \times \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow$

$\text{OrderedRow } (n, P) \rightarrow \text{NormalOrdered } (\text{reifyRow } (n, P))$

`reifyRowOrdered'` `zero`  $P \text{ op} = \text{tt}$

`reifyRowOrdered'` `(suc zero)`  $P \text{ op} = \text{tt}$

`reifyRowOrdered'` `(suc (suc n))`  $P (l_1 < l_2, ih) = l_1 < l_2, (\text{reifyRowOrdered}' (\text{suc } n) (P \circ \text{fsuc}) ih)$

`reifyRowOrdered`  $(n, P) \text{ op} = \text{reifyRowOrdered}' n P \text{ op}$

`reifyPreservesNR` :  $\forall (\rho_1 \rho_2 : \text{RowType } \Delta (\lambda \Delta' \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta' \kappa) \mathbf{R}[\kappa]) \rightarrow$

$(nr : \text{NotRow } \rho_1 \text{ or NotRow } \rho_2) \rightarrow \text{NotSimpleRow } (\text{reify } \rho_1) \text{ or NotSimpleRow } (\text{reify } \rho_2)$

`reifyPreservesNR'` :  $\forall (\rho_1 \rho_2 : \text{RowType } \Delta (\lambda \Delta' \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta' \kappa) \mathbf{R}[\kappa]) \rightarrow$

$(nr : \text{NotRow } \rho_1 \text{ or NotRow } \rho_2) \rightarrow \text{NotSimpleRow } (\text{reify } ((\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2) \{nr\}))$

`reify`  $\{\kappa = \star\} \tau = \tau$

`reify`  $\{\kappa = \mathbf{L}\} \tau = \tau$

`reify`  $\{\kappa = \kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\quad} \kappa_2\} F = \text{reifyKripke } F$

`reify`  $\{\kappa = \mathbf{R}[\kappa]\} (l \triangleright \tau) = (l \triangleright_n (\text{reify } \tau))$

`reify`  $\{\kappa = \mathbf{R}[\kappa]\} (\text{row } \rho \text{ q}) = (\text{reifyRow } \rho \text{ q}) (\text{fromWitness } (\text{reifyRowOrdered } \rho \text{ q}))$

`reify`  $\{\kappa = \mathbf{R}[\kappa]\} ((\phi \langle \$ \rangle \tau)) = (\text{reifyKripkeNE } \phi \langle \$ \rangle \tau)$

`reify`  $\{\kappa = \mathbf{R}[\kappa]\} ((\phi \langle \$ \rangle \tau) \setminus \rho_2) = (\text{reify } (\phi \langle \$ \rangle \tau) \setminus \text{reify } \rho_2) \{nsr = \text{tt}\}$

`reify`  $\{\kappa = \mathbf{R}[\kappa]\} ((l \triangleright \tau) \setminus \rho) = (\text{reify } (l \triangleright \tau) \setminus (\text{reify } \rho)) \{nsr = \text{tt}\}$

`reify`  $\{\kappa = \mathbf{R}[\kappa]\} (\text{row } \rho \text{ x} \setminus \rho' @ (x_1 \triangleright x_2)) = (\text{reify } (\text{row } \rho \text{ x}) \setminus \text{reify } \rho') \{nsr = \text{tt}\}$

`reify`  $\{\kappa = \mathbf{R}[\kappa]\} ((\text{row } \rho \text{ x} \setminus \text{row } \rho_1 \text{ x}_1) \{\text{left } ()\})$

`reify`  $\{\kappa = \mathbf{R}[\kappa]\} ((\text{row } \rho \text{ x} \setminus \text{row } \rho_1 \text{ x}_1) \{\text{right } ()\})$

`reify`  $\{\kappa = \mathbf{R}[\kappa]\} (\text{row } \rho \text{ x} \setminus (\phi \langle \$ \rangle \tau)) = (\text{reify } (\text{row } \rho \text{ x}) \setminus \text{reify } (\phi \langle \$ \rangle \tau)) \{nsr = \text{tt}\}$

```

1030 reify {κ = R[ κ ]} ((row ρ x \ ρ'@((ρ1 \ ρ2) {nr'})) {nr'}) = ((reify (row ρ x)) \ (reify ((ρ1 \ ρ2) {nr'}))) {nsr = from
1031 reify {κ = R[ κ ]} (((ρ2 \ ρ1) {nr'}) \ ρ) {nr'}) = ((reify ((ρ2 \ ρ1) {nr'})) \ reify ρ) {fromWitness (reifyPreservesN
1032
1033 reifyPreservesNR (x1 ▷ x2) ρ2 (left x) = left tt
1034 reifyPreservesNR ((ρ1 \ ρ3) {nr'}) ρ2 (left x) = left (reifyPreservesNR' ρ1 ρ3 nr)
1035 reifyPreservesNR (φ <$> ρ) ρ2 (left x) = left tt
1036 reifyPreservesNR ρ1 (x ▷ x1) (right y) = right tt
1037 reifyPreservesNR ρ1 ((ρ2 \ ρ3) {nr'}) (right y) = right (reifyPreservesNR' ρ2 ρ3 nr)
1038 reifyPreservesNR ρ1 ((φ <$> ρ2)) (right y) = right tt
1039
1040 reifyPreservesNR' (x1 ▷ x2) ρ2 (left x) = tt
1041 reifyPreservesNR' (ρ1 \ ρ3) ρ2 (left x) = tt
1042 reifyPreservesNR' (φ <$> n) ρ2 (left x) = tt
1043 reifyPreservesNR' (φ <$> n) ρ2 (right y) = tt
1044 reifyPreservesNR' (x ▷ x1) ρ2 (right y) = tt
1045 reifyPreservesNR' (row ρ x) (x1 ▷ x2) (right y) = tt
1046 reifyPreservesNR' (row ρ x) (ρ2 \ ρ3) (right y) = tt
1047 reifyPreservesNR' (row ρ x) (φ <$> n) (right y) = tt
1048 reifyPreservesNR' (ρ1 \ ρ3) ρ2 (right y) = tt
1049
1050
1051 - η normalization of neutral types
1052
1053 η-norm : NeutralType Δ κ → NormalType Δ κ
1054 η-norm = reify ∘ reflect
1055
1056 - - Semantic environments
1057
1058 Env : KEnv → KEnv → Set
1059 Env Δ1 Δ2 = ∀ {κ} → TVar Δ1 κ → SemType Δ2 κ
1060
1061 idEnv : Env Δ Δ
1062 idEnv = reflect ∘ ‘
1063
1064 extende : (η : Env Δ1 Δ2) → (V : SemType Δ2 κ) → Env (Δ1 „ κ) Δ2
1065 extende η V Z = V
1066 extende η V (S x) = η x
1067
1068 lifte : Env Δ1 Δ2 → Env (Δ1 „ κ) (Δ2 „ κ)
1069 lifte {Δ1} {Δ2} {κ} η = extende (weakenSem ∘ η) (idEnv Z)
1070
1071 5.1 Helping evaluation
1072
1073 - Semantic application
1074
1075 _·V_ : SemType Δ (κ1 ‘→ κ2) → SemType Δ κ1 → SemType Δ κ2
1076 F ·V V = F id V
1077
1078

```

```

1079 - Semantic complement
1080
1081 _∈Row_ : ∀ {m} → (l : Label) →
1082         (Q : Fin m → Label × SemType Δ κ) →
1083         Set
1084 _∈Row_ {m = m} l Q = Σ[ i ∈ Fin m ] (l ≡ Q i .fst)
1085
1086 _∈Row?_ : ∀ {m} → (l : Label) →
1087         (Q : Fin m → Label × SemType Δ κ) →
1088         Dec (l ∈Row Q)
1089 _∈Row?_ {m = zero} l Q = no λ { () }
1090 _∈Row?_ {m = suc m} l Q with l ?= Q fzero .fst
1091 ... | yes p = yes (fzero , p)
1092 ... | no    p with l ∈Row? (Q ∘ fsuc)
1093 ... | yes (n , q) = yes ((fsuc n) , q)
1094 ... | no          q = no λ { (fzero , q') → p q' ; (fsuc n , q') → q (n , q') }
1095
1096 compl : ∀ {n m} →
1097         (P : Fin n → Label × SemType Δ κ)
1098         (Q : Fin m → Label × SemType Δ κ) →
1099         Row (SemType Δ κ)
1100 compl {n = zero} {m} P Q = εV
1101 compl {n = suc n} {m} P Q with P fzero .fst ∈Row? Q
1102 ... | yes _ = compl (P ∘ fsuc) Q
1103 ... | no _ = (P fzero) :: (compl (P ∘ fsuc) Q)
1104
1105 -----
1106 - - Semantic complement preserves well-ordering
1107 lemma : ∀ {n m q} →
1108         (P : Fin (suc n) → Label × SemType Δ κ)
1109         (Q : Fin m → Label × SemType Δ κ) →
1110         (R : Fin (suc q) → Label × SemType Δ κ) →
1111         OrderedRow (suc n , P) →
1112         compl (P ∘ fsuc) Q ≡ (suc q , R) →
1113         P fzero .fst < R fzero .fst
1114 lemma {n = suc n} {q = q} P Q R oP eq₁ with P (fsuc fzero) .fst ∈Row? Q
1115 lemma {κ = _} {suc n} {q = q} P Q R oP refl | no _ = oP .fst
1116 ... | yes _ = <-trans {i = P fzero .fst} {j = P (fsuc fzero) .fst} {k = R fzero .fst} (oP .fst) (lemma {n = n} (P ∘ fsuc) Q)
1117
1118 ordered-:: : ∀ {n m} →
1119         (P : Fin (suc n) → Label × SemType Δ κ)
1120         (Q : Fin m → Label × SemType Δ κ) →
1121         OrderedRow (suc n , P) →
1122         OrderedRow (compl (P ∘ fsuc) Q) → OrderedRow (P fzero :: compl (P ∘ fsuc) Q)
1123 ordered-:: {n = n} P Q oP oC with compl (P ∘ fsuc) Q | inspect (compl (P ∘ fsuc)) Q
1124 ... | zero , R | _ = tt
1125 ... | suc n , R | [[ eq ]] = lemma P Q R oP eq , oC
1126
1127

```

```

1128 ordered-compl :  $\forall \{n\ m\} \rightarrow$ 
1129           ( $P : \text{Fin } n \rightarrow \text{Label} \times \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa$ )
1130           ( $Q : \text{Fin } m \rightarrow \text{Label} \times \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa$ )  $\rightarrow$ 
1131           OrderedRow ( $n, P$ )  $\rightarrow$  OrderedRow ( $m, Q$ )  $\rightarrow$  OrderedRow (compl  $P\ Q$ )
1132 ordered-compl { $n = \text{zero}$ }  $P\ Q\ op_1\ op_2 = \text{tt}$ 
1133 ordered-compl { $n = \text{succ } n$ }  $P\ Q\ op_1\ op_2$  with  $P\ \text{fzero}.\text{fst} \in \text{Row? } Q$ 
1134 ... | yes _ = ordered-compl ( $P \circ \text{fsuc}$ )  $Q$  (ordered-cut  $op_1$ )  $op_2$ 
1135 ... | no _ = ordered-::  $P\ Q\ op_1$  (ordered-compl ( $P \circ \text{fsuc}$ )  $Q$  (ordered-cut  $op_1$ )  $op_2$ )
1136
1137 -----
1138 - Semantic complement on Rows
1139
1140
1141  $\_ \backslash v\_ : \text{Row } (\text{SemType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \text{Row } (\text{SemType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \text{Row } (\text{SemType } \Delta \kappa)$ 
1142 ( $n, P$ )  $\backslash v$  ( $m, Q$ ) = compl  $P\ Q$ 
1143
1144 ordered $\backslash v$  :  $\forall (\rho_2\ \rho_1 : \text{Row } (\text{SemType } \Delta \kappa)) \rightarrow \text{OrderedRow } \rho_2 \rightarrow \text{OrderedRow } \rho_1 \rightarrow \text{OrderedRow } (\rho_2 \backslash v\ \rho_1)$ 
1145 ordered $\backslash v$  ( $n, P$ ) ( $m, Q$ )  $op_2\ op_1 = \text{ordered-compl } P\ Q\ op_2\ op_1$ 
1146
1147 -----
1148 - - - - Semantic lifting
1149
1149  $\_ <\$> V\_ : \text{SemType } \Delta (\kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\text{'}} \kappa_2) \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta R[\kappa_1] \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta R[\kappa_2]$ 
1150 NotRow $<\$>$  :  $\forall \{F : \text{SemType } \Delta (\kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\text{'}} \kappa_2)\} \{\rho_2\ \rho_1 : \text{RowType } \Delta (\lambda\ \Delta' \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta' \kappa_1) R[\kappa_1]\} \rightarrow$ 
1151           NotRow  $\rho_2$  or NotRow  $\rho_1 \rightarrow \text{NotRow } (F <\$> V\ \rho_2)$  or NotRow ( $F <\$> V\ \rho_1$ )
1152
1153  $F <\$> V\ (l \triangleright \tau) = l \triangleright (F \cdot V\ \tau)$ 
1154  $F <\$> V\ \text{row } (n, P)\ q = \text{row } (n, \text{over}_r\ (F\ \text{id}) \circ P)\ (\text{orderedOver}_r\ (F\ \text{id})\ q)$ 
1155  $F <\$> V\ ((\rho_2 \backslash \rho_1)\ \{nr\}) = ((F <\$> V\ \rho_2) \backslash (F <\$> V\ \rho_1))\ \{\text{NotRow}<\$>\ nr\}$ 
1156  $F <\$> V\ (G <\$> n) = (\lambda\ \{\Delta'\}\ r \rightarrow F\ r \circ G\ r)\ <\$> n$ 
1157
1158 NotRow $<\$>$  { $F = F$ } { $x_1 \triangleright x_2$ } { $\rho_1$ } (left  $x$ ) = left tt
1159 NotRow $<\$>$  { $F = F$ } { $\rho_2 \backslash \rho_3$ } { $\rho_1$ } (left  $x$ ) = left tt
1160 NotRow $<\$>$  { $F = F$ } { $\phi <\$> n$ } { $\rho_1$ } (left  $x$ ) = left tt
1161
1162 NotRow $<\$>$  { $F = F$ } { $\rho_2$ } { $x \triangleright x_1$ } (right  $y$ ) = right tt
1163 NotRow $<\$>$  { $F = F$ } { $\rho_2$ } { $\rho_1 \backslash \rho_3$ } (right  $y$ ) = right tt
1164 NotRow $<\$>$  { $F = F$ } { $\rho_2$ } { $\phi <\$> n$ } (right  $y$ ) = right tt
1165
1166 -----
1167 - - - - Semantic complement on SemTypes
1168
1168  $\_ \backslash V\_ : \text{SemType } \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta R[\kappa]$ 
1169 row  $\rho_2\ op_2 \backslash V$  row  $\rho_1\ op_1 = \text{row } (\rho_2 \backslash v\ \rho_1)\ (\text{ordered}\backslash v\ \rho_2\ op_1\ op_2\ op_1)$ 
1170  $\rho_2 @ (x \triangleright x_1) \backslash V\ \rho_1 = (\rho_2 \backslash \rho_1)\ \{nr = \text{left tt}\}$ 
1171  $\rho_2 @ (\text{row } \rho\ x) \backslash V\ \rho_1 @ (x_1 \triangleright x_2) = (\rho_2 \backslash \rho_1)\ \{nr = \text{right tt}\}$ 
1172  $\rho_2 @ (\text{row } \rho\ x) \backslash V\ \rho_1 @ (\_ \backslash \_) = (\rho_2 \backslash \rho_1)\ \{nr = \text{right tt}\}$ 
1173  $\rho_2 @ (\text{row } \rho\ x) \backslash V\ \rho_1 @ (\_ <\$> \_) = (\rho_2 \backslash \rho_1)\ \{nr = \text{right tt}\}$ 
1174  $\rho @ (\rho_2 \backslash \rho_3) \backslash V\ \rho' = (\rho \backslash \rho')\ \{nr = \text{left tt}\}$ 
1175
1176

```



```

1177  $\rho @ (\phi \text{ <\$> } n) \setminus \forall \rho' = (\rho \setminus \rho') \{nr = \text{left tt}\}$ 
1178
1179  $\text{-- Semantic flap}$ 
1180
1181  $\text{apply} : \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa_1 \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta ((\kappa_1 \text{ '}\rightarrow \kappa_2) \text{ '}\rightarrow \kappa_2)$ 
1182  $\text{apply } a = \lambda \rho F \rightarrow F \cdot \forall (\text{renSem } \rho a)$ 
1183
1184  $\text{infixr } 0 \text{ _<?>V\_}$ 
1185  $\text{_<?>V\_} : \text{SemType } \Delta R[\kappa_1 \text{ '}\rightarrow \kappa_2] \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa_1 \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta R[\kappa_2]$ 
1186  $f \text{ <?>V } a = \text{apply } a \text{ <\$>V } f$ 
1187
1188 5.2  $\Pi$  and  $\Sigma$  as operators
1189  $\text{record Xi : Set where}$ 
1190    $\text{field}$ 
1191      $\Xi \star : \forall \{\Delta\} \rightarrow \text{NormalType } \Delta R[\star] \rightarrow \text{NormalType } \Delta \star$ 
1192      $\text{ren-}\star : \forall (\rho : \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \rightarrow (\tau : \text{NormalType } \Delta_1 R[\star]) \rightarrow \text{ren}_k \text{NF } \rho (\Xi \star \tau) \equiv \Xi \star (\text{ren}_k \text{NF } \rho \tau)$ 
1193
1194  $\text{open Xi}$ 
1195  $\xi : \forall \{\Delta\} \rightarrow \text{Xi} \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa$ 
1196  $\xi \{\kappa = \star\} \Xi x = \Xi . \Xi \star (\text{reify } x)$ 
1197  $\xi \{\kappa = \text{L}\} \Xi x = \text{lab "impossible"}$ 
1198  $\xi \{\kappa = \kappa_1 \text{ '}\rightarrow \kappa_2\} \Xi F = \lambda \rho v \rightarrow \xi \Xi (\text{renSem } \rho F \text{ <?>V } v)$ 
1199  $\xi \{\kappa = R[\kappa]\} \Xi x = (\lambda \rho v \rightarrow \xi \Xi v) \text{ <\$>V } x$ 
1200
1201  $\Pi\text{-rec } \Sigma\text{-rec} : \text{Xi}$ 
1202  $\Pi\text{-rec} = \text{record}$ 
1203    $\{\Xi \star = \Pi ; \text{ren-}\star = \lambda \rho \tau \rightarrow \text{refl}\}$ 
1204  $\Sigma\text{-rec} =$ 
1205    $\text{record}$ 
1206    $\{\Xi \star = \Sigma ; \text{ren-}\star = \lambda \rho \tau \rightarrow \text{refl}\}$ 
1207
1208  $\Pi V \Sigma V : \forall \{\Delta\} \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta R[\kappa] \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa$ 
1209  $\Pi V = \xi \Pi\text{-rec}$ 
1210  $\Sigma V = \xi \Sigma\text{-rec}$ 
1211
1212  $\xi\text{-Kripke} : \text{Xi} \rightarrow \text{KripkeFunction } \Delta R[\kappa] \kappa$ 
1213  $\xi\text{-Kripke } \Xi \rho v = \xi \Xi v$ 
1214
1215  $\Pi\text{-Kripke } \Sigma\text{-Kripke} : \text{KripkeFunction } \Delta R[\kappa] \kappa$ 
1216  $\Pi\text{-Kripke} = \xi\text{-Kripke } \Pi\text{-rec}$ 
1217  $\Sigma\text{-Kripke} = \xi\text{-Kripke } \Sigma\text{-rec}$ 
1218
1219 5.3 Evaluation
1220  $\text{eval} : \text{Type } \Delta_1 \kappa \rightarrow \text{Env } \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta_2 \kappa$ 
1221  $\text{evalPred} : \text{Pred Type } \Delta_1 R[\kappa] \rightarrow \text{Env } \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{NormalPred } \Delta_2 R[\kappa]$ 
1222
1223  $\text{evalRow} : (\rho : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta_1 R[\kappa]) \rightarrow \text{Env } \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{Row } (\text{SemType } \Delta_2 \kappa)$ 
1224  $\text{evalRowOrdered} : (\rho : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta_1 R[\kappa]) \rightarrow (\eta : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \rightarrow \text{Ordered } \rho \rightarrow \text{OrderedRow } (\text{evalRow}$ 
1225

```

```

1226 evalRow [] η = εV
1227 evalRow ((l, τ) :: ρ) η = (l, (eval τ η)) :: evalRow ρ η
1228
1229 ↓Row-isMap : ∀ (η : Env Δ1 Δ2) → (xs : SimpleRow Type Δ1 R[κ]) →
1230           reifyRow (evalRow xs η) ≡ map (λ { (l, τ) → l, (reify (eval τ η)) }) xs
1231
1232 ↓Row-isMap η [] = refl
1233 ↓Row-isMap η (x :: xs) = cong2 _::_ refl (↓Row-isMap η xs)
1234
1235 evalPred (ρ1 · ρ2 ~ ρ3) η = reify (eval ρ1 η) · reify (eval ρ2 η) ~ reify (eval ρ3 η)
1236 evalPred (ρ1 ≲ ρ2) η = reify (eval ρ1 η) ≲ reify (eval ρ2 η)
1237
1238 eval {κ = κ} (‘x) η = η x
1239 eval {κ = κ} (τ1 · τ2) η = (eval τ1 η) ·V (eval τ2 η)
1240 eval {κ = κ} (τ1 ‘→ τ2) η = (eval τ1 η) ‘→ (eval τ2 η)
1241
1242 eval {κ = ★} (π ⇒ τ) η = evalPred π η ⇒ eval τ η
1243 eval {Δ1} {κ = ★} (‘∀ τ) η = ‘∀ (eval τ (lifte η))
1244 eval {κ = ★} (μ τ) η = μ (reify (eval τ η))
1245 eval {κ = ★} [ τ ] η = [ reify (eval τ η) ]
1246 eval (ρ2 \ ρ1) η = eval ρ2 η \V eval ρ1 η
1247 eval {κ = L} (lab l) η = lab l
1248 eval {κ = κ1 ‘→ κ2} (‘λ τ) η = λ ρ v → eval τ (extende (λ {κ} v’ → renSem {κ = κ} ρ (η v’)) v)
1249 eval {κ = R[κ] ‘→ κ} Π η = Π-Kripke
1250 eval {κ = R[κ] ‘→ κ} Σ η = Σ-Kripke
1251 eval {κ = R[κ]} (f <$> a) η = (eval f η) <$>V (eval a η)
1252 eval ((ρ ▷ op) η) = row (evalRow ρ η) (evalRowOrdered ρ η (toWitness op))
1253 eval (l ▷ τ) η with eval l η
1254 ... | ne x = (x ▷ eval τ η)
1255 ... | lab l1 = row (1, λ { fzero → (l1, eval τ η) }) tt
1256 evalRowOrdered [] η op = tt
1257 evalRowOrdered (x1 :: []) η op = tt
1258 evalRowOrdered ((l1, τ1) :: (l2, τ2) :: ρ) η (l1 <l2, op) with
1259   evalRow ρ η | evalRowOrdered ((l2, τ2) :: ρ) η op
1260 ... | zero, P | ih = l1 <l2, tt
1261 ... | suc n, P | ih1, ih2 = l1 <l2, ih1, ih2
1262

```

## 5.4 Normalization

```

1264 ↓ : ∀ {Δ} → Type Δ κ → NormalType Δ κ
1265 ↓ τ = reify (eval τ idEnv)
1266
1267 ↓Pred : ∀ {Δ} → Pred Type Δ R[κ] → Pred NormalType Δ R[κ]
1268 ↓Pred π = evalPred π idEnv
1269
1270 ↓Row : ∀ {Δ} → SimpleRow Type Δ R[κ] → SimpleRow NormalType Δ R[κ]
1271 ↓Row ρ = reifyRow (evalRow ρ idEnv)
1272
1273 ↓NE : ∀ {Δ} → NeutralType Δ κ → NormalType Δ κ
1274 ↓NE τ = reify (eval (↑NE τ) idEnv)

```

## 6 Metatheory

### 6.1 Stability

$\text{stability} : \forall (\tau : \text{NormalType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \Downarrow (\Uparrow \tau) \equiv \tau$   
 $\text{stabilityNE} : \forall (\tau : \text{NeutralType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \text{eval } (\Uparrow_{\text{NE}} \tau) (\text{idEnv } \{\Delta\}) \equiv \text{reflect } \tau$   
 $\text{stabilityPred} : \forall (\pi : \text{NormalPred } \Delta \mathbf{R}[\kappa]) \rightarrow \text{evalPred } (\Uparrow_{\text{Pred}} \pi) \text{idEnv} \equiv \pi$   
 $\text{stabilityRow} : \forall (\rho : \text{SimpleRow NormalType } \Delta \mathbf{R}[\kappa]) \rightarrow \text{reifyRow } (\text{evalRow } (\Uparrow_{\text{Row}} \rho) \text{idEnv}) \equiv \rho$

Stability implies surjectivity and idempotency.

$\text{idempotency} : \forall (\tau : \text{Type } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow (\Uparrow \circ \Downarrow \circ \Uparrow \circ \Downarrow) \tau \equiv (\Uparrow \circ \Downarrow) \tau$   
 $\text{idempotency } \tau \text{ rewrite stability } (\Downarrow \tau) = \text{refl}$   
 $\text{surjectivity} : \forall (\tau : \text{NormalType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \exists [v] (\Downarrow v \equiv \tau)$   
 $\text{surjectivity } \tau = (\Uparrow \tau, \text{stability } \tau)$

Dual to surjectivity, stability also implies that embedding is injective.

$\Uparrow\text{-inj} : \forall (\tau_1 \tau_2 : \text{NormalType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \Uparrow \tau_1 \equiv \Uparrow \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2$   
 $\Uparrow\text{-inj } \tau_1 \tau_2 \text{ eq} = \text{trans } (\text{sym } (\text{stability } \tau_1)) (\text{trans } (\text{cong } \Downarrow \text{eq}) (\text{stability } \tau_2))$

### 6.2 A logical relation for completeness

$\text{subst-Row} : \forall \{A : \text{Set}\} \{n m : \mathbb{N}\} \rightarrow (n \equiv m) \rightarrow (f : \text{Fin } n \rightarrow A) \rightarrow \text{Fin } m \rightarrow A$   
 $\text{subst-Row refl } f = f$

– Completeness relation on semantic types

$\approx\_ : \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa \rightarrow \text{Set}$   
 $\approx_{2\_} : \forall \{A\} \rightarrow (x y : A \times \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \text{Set}$   
 $(l_1, \tau_1) \approx_2 (l_2, \tau_2) = l_1 \equiv l_2 \times \tau_1 \approx \tau_2$   
 $\approx_{\text{R}\_} : (\rho_1 \rho_2 : \text{Row } (\text{SemType } \Delta \kappa)) \rightarrow \text{Set}$   
 $(n, P) \approx_{\text{R}} (m, Q) = \Sigma [pf \in (n \equiv m)] (\forall (i : \text{Fin } m) \rightarrow (\text{subst-Row } pf P) i \approx_2 Q i)$

$\text{PointEqual}\approx : \forall \{\Delta_1\} \{\kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2\} (F G : \text{KripkeFunction } \Delta_1 \kappa_1 \kappa_2) \rightarrow \text{Set}$   
 $\text{PointEqualNE}\approx : \forall \{\Delta_1\} \{\kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2\} (F G : \text{KripkeFunctionNE } \Delta_1 \kappa_1 \kappa_2) \rightarrow \text{Set}$   
 $\text{Uniform} : \forall \{\Delta\} \{\kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2\} \rightarrow \text{KripkeFunction } \Delta \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow \text{Set}$   
 $\text{UniformNE} : \forall \{\Delta\} \{\kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2\} \rightarrow \text{KripkeFunctionNE } \Delta \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$\text{convNE} : \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_2 \rightarrow \text{NeutralType } \Delta \mathbf{R}[\kappa_1] \rightarrow \text{NeutralType } \Delta \mathbf{R}[\kappa_2]$   
 $\text{convNE refl } n = n$

$\text{convKripkeNE}_1 : \forall \{\kappa_1'\} \rightarrow \kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_1' \rightarrow \text{KripkeFunctionNE } \Delta \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow \text{KripkeFunctionNE } \Delta \kappa_1' \kappa_2$   
 $\text{convKripkeNE}_1 \text{ refl } f = f$

$\approx\_ \{\kappa = \star\} \tau_1 \tau_2 = \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2$   
 $\approx\_ \{\kappa = \mathbf{L}\} \tau_1 \tau_2 = \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2$   
 $\approx\_ \{\Delta_1\} \{\kappa = \kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\text{'}} \kappa_2\} F G =$   
 $\text{Uniform } F \times \text{Uniform } G \times \text{PointEqual}\approx \{\Delta_1\} F G$   
 $\approx\_ \{\Delta_1\} \{\mathbf{R}[\kappa_2]\} (\_ \text{<}\$ \_ \{ \kappa_1 \} \phi_1 n_1) (\_ \text{<}\$ \_ \{ \kappa_1' \} \phi_2 n_2) =$   
 $\Sigma [pf \in (\kappa_1 \equiv \kappa_1')] ]$   
 $\text{UniformNE } \phi_1$

```

1324   × UniformNE  $\phi_2$ 
1325   × (PointEqualNE- $\approx$  (convKripkeNE1 pf  $\phi_1$ )  $\phi_2$ 
1326   × convNE pf  $n_1 \equiv n_2$ )
1327    $\approx_{-} \{ \Delta_1 \} \{ R[\kappa_2] \} (\phi_1 \text{ <\$> } n_1)_{-} = \perp$ 
1328    $\approx_{-} \{ \Delta_1 \} \{ R[\kappa_2] \}_{-} (\phi_1 \text{ <\$> } n_1) = \perp$ 
1329    $\approx_{-} \{ \Delta_1 \} \{ R[\kappa] \} (l_1 \triangleright \tau_1) (l_2 \triangleright \tau_2) = l_1 \equiv l_2 \times \tau_1 \approx \tau_2$ 
1330    $\approx_{-} \{ \Delta_1 \} \{ R[\kappa] \} (x_1 \triangleright x_2) (\text{row } \rho \ x_3) = \perp$ 
1331    $\approx_{-} \{ \Delta_1 \} \{ R[\kappa] \} (x_1 \triangleright x_2) (\rho_2 \setminus \rho_3) = \perp$ 
1332    $\approx_{-} \{ \Delta_1 \} \{ R[\kappa] \} (\text{row } \rho \ x_1) (x_2 \triangleright x_3) = \perp$ 
1333    $\approx_{-} \{ \Delta_1 \} \{ R[\kappa] \} (\text{row } (n, P) \ x_1) (\text{row } (m, Q) \ x_2) = (n, P) \approx R(m, Q)$ 
1334    $\approx_{-} \{ \Delta_1 \} \{ R[\kappa] \} (\text{row } \rho \ x_1) (\rho_2 \setminus \rho_3) = \perp$ 
1335    $\approx_{-} \{ \Delta_1 \} \{ R[\kappa] \} (\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2) (x_1 \triangleright x_2) = \perp$ 
1336    $\approx_{-} \{ \Delta_1 \} \{ R[\kappa] \} (\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2) (\text{row } \rho \ x_1) = \perp$ 
1337    $\approx_{-} \{ \Delta_1 \} \{ R[\kappa] \} (\rho_1 \setminus \rho_2) (\rho_3 \setminus \rho_4) = \rho_1 \approx \rho_3 \times \rho_2 \approx \rho_4$ 
1338   PointEqual- $\approx \{ \Delta_1 \} \{ \kappa_1 \} \{ \kappa_2 \} F G =$ 
1339    $\forall \{ \Delta_2 \} (\rho : \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \{ V_1 \ V_2 : \text{SemType } \Delta_2 \ \kappa_1 \} \rightarrow$ 
1340    $V_1 \approx V_2 \rightarrow F \rho \ V_1 \approx G \rho \ V_2$ 
1341   PointEqualNE- $\approx \{ \Delta_1 \} \{ \kappa_1 \} \{ \kappa_2 \} F G =$ 
1342    $\forall \{ \Delta_2 \} (\rho : \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) (V : \text{NeutralType } \Delta_2 \ \kappa_1) \rightarrow$ 
1343    $F \rho \ V \approx G \rho \ V$ 
1344   Uniform  $\{ \Delta_1 \} \{ \kappa_1 \} \{ \kappa_2 \} F =$ 
1345    $\forall \{ \Delta_2 \ \Delta_3 \} (\rho_1 : \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) (\rho_2 : \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_2 \Delta_3) (V_1 \ V_2 : \text{SemType } \Delta_2 \ \kappa_1) \rightarrow$ 
1346    $V_1 \approx V_2 \rightarrow (\text{renSem } \rho_2 (F \rho_1 \ V_1)) \approx (\text{renKripke } \rho_1 \ F \rho_2 (\text{renSem } \rho_2 \ V_2))$ 
1347   UniformNE  $\{ \Delta_1 \} \{ \kappa_1 \} \{ \kappa_2 \} F =$ 
1348    $\forall \{ \Delta_2 \ \Delta_3 \} (\rho_1 : \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) (\rho_2 : \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_2 \Delta_3) (V : \text{NeutralType } \Delta_2 \ \kappa_1) \rightarrow$ 
1349    $(\text{renSem } \rho_2 (F \rho_1 \ V)) \approx F (\rho_2 \circ \rho_1) (\text{ren}_k \text{NE } \rho_2 \ V)$ 
1350   Env- $\approx : (\eta_1 \ \eta_2 : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \rightarrow \text{Set}$ 
1351   Env- $\approx \eta_1 \ \eta_2 = \forall \{ \kappa \} (x : \text{TVar } \_ \ \kappa) \rightarrow (\eta_1 \ x) \approx (\eta_2 \ x)$ 
1352   - extension
1353   extend- $\approx : \forall \{ \eta_1 \ \eta_2 : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \} \rightarrow \text{Env-}\approx \eta_1 \ \eta_2 \rightarrow$ 
1354    $\{ V_1 \ V_2 : \text{SemType } \Delta_2 \ \kappa \} \rightarrow$ 
1355    $V_1 \approx V_2 \rightarrow$ 
1356    $\text{Env-}\approx (\text{extende } \eta_1 \ V_1) (\text{extende } \eta_2 \ V_2)$ 
1357   extend- $\approx p \ q \ Z = q$ 
1358   extend- $\approx p \ q \ (S \ v) = p \ v$ 
1359
1360   6.2.1 Properties.
1361   reflect- $\approx : \forall \{ \tau_1 \ \tau_2 : \text{NeutralType } \Delta \ \kappa \} \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \rightarrow \text{reflect } \tau_1 \approx \text{reflect } \tau_2$ 
1362   reify- $\approx : \forall \{ V_1 \ V_2 : \text{SemType } \Delta \ \kappa \} \rightarrow V_1 \approx V_2 \rightarrow \text{reify } V_1 \equiv \text{reify } V_2$ 
1363   reifyRow- $\approx : \forall \{ n \} (P \ Q : \text{Fin } n \rightarrow \text{Label } \times \text{SemType } \Delta \ \kappa) \rightarrow$ 
1364    $(\forall (i : \text{Fin } n) \rightarrow P \ i \approx_2 Q \ i) \rightarrow$ 
1365    $\text{reifyRow } (n, P) \equiv \text{reifyRow } (n, Q)$ 

```

### 6.3 The fundamental theorem and completeness

```

1373 fundC :  $\forall \{ \tau_1 \tau_2 : \text{Type } \Delta_1 \kappa \} \{ \eta_1 \eta_2 : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \} \rightarrow$ 
1374    $\text{Env} \approx \eta_1 \eta_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \rightarrow \text{eval } \tau_1 \eta_1 \approx \text{eval } \tau_2 \eta_2$ 
1375 fundC-pred :  $\forall \{ \pi_1 \pi_2 : \text{Pred Type } \Delta_1 \text{R}[\kappa] \} \{ \eta_1 \eta_2 : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \} \rightarrow$ 
1376    $\text{Env} \approx \eta_1 \eta_2 \rightarrow \pi_1 \equiv \pi_2 \rightarrow \text{evalPred } \pi_1 \eta_1 \equiv \text{evalPred } \pi_2 \eta_2$ 
1377 fundC-Row :  $\forall \{ \rho_1 \rho_2 : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta_1 \text{R}[\kappa] \} \{ \eta_1 \eta_2 : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \} \rightarrow$ 
1378    $\text{Env} \approx \eta_1 \eta_2 \rightarrow \rho_1 \equiv \rho_2 \rightarrow \text{evalRow } \rho_1 \eta_1 \approx \text{evalRow } \rho_2 \eta_2$ 
1379 idEnv- $\approx$  :  $\forall \{ \Delta \} \rightarrow \text{Env} \approx (\text{idEnv } \{ \Delta \}) (\text{idEnv } \{ \Delta \})$ 
1380 idEnv- $\approx x = \text{reflect} \approx \text{refl}$ 
1381 completeness :  $\forall \{ \tau_1 \tau_2 : \text{Type } \Delta \kappa \} \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \rightarrow \Downarrow \tau_1 \equiv \Downarrow \tau_2$ 
1382 completeness eq = reify- $\approx$  (fundC idEnv- $\approx$  eq)
1383 completeness-row :  $\forall \{ \rho_1 \rho_2 : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta \text{R}[\kappa] \} \rightarrow \rho_1 \equiv \rho_2 \rightarrow \Downarrow \text{Row } \rho_1 \equiv \Downarrow \text{Row } \rho_2$ 

```

### 6.4 A logical relation for soundness

```

1391 infix 0  $\llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx \_$ 
1392  $\llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx \_ : \forall \{ \kappa \} \rightarrow \text{Type } \Delta \kappa \rightarrow \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa \rightarrow \text{Set}$ 
1393  $\llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx \text{ne} \_ : \forall \{ \kappa \} \rightarrow \text{Type } \Delta \kappa \rightarrow \text{NeutralType } \Delta \kappa \rightarrow \text{Set}$ 
1394  $\llbracket \_ \rrbracket r \approx \_ : \forall \{ \kappa \} \rightarrow \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta \text{R}[\kappa] \rightarrow \text{Row } (\text{SemType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \text{Set}$ 
1395  $\llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx_2 \_ : \forall \{ \kappa \} \rightarrow \text{Label} \times \text{Type } \Delta \kappa \rightarrow \text{Label} \times \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa \rightarrow \text{Set}$ 
1396  $\llbracket (l_1, \tau) \rrbracket \approx_2 (l_2, V) = (l_1 \equiv l_2) \times (\llbracket \tau \rrbracket \approx V)$ 
1397 SoundKripke :  $\text{Type } \Delta_1 (\kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\text{'}} \kappa_2) \rightarrow \text{KripkeFunction } \Delta_1 \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow \text{Set}$ 
1398 SoundKripkeNE :  $\text{Type } \Delta_1 (\kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\text{'}} \kappa_2) \rightarrow \text{KripkeFunctionNE } \Delta_1 \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \rightarrow \text{Set}$ 
1399 -  $\tau$  is equivalent to neutral 'n' if it's equivalent
1400 - to the  $\eta$  and map-id expansion of n
1401  $\llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx \text{ne} \_ \tau n = \tau \equiv \uparrow (\eta\text{-norm } n)$ 
1402  $\llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx \_ \{ \kappa = \star \} \tau_1 \tau_2 = \tau_1 \equiv \uparrow \tau_2$ 
1403  $\llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx \_ \{ \kappa = \text{L} \} \tau_1 \tau_2 = \tau_1 \equiv \uparrow \tau_2$ 
1404  $\llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx \_ \{ \Delta_1 \} \{ \kappa = \kappa_1 \xrightarrow{\text{'}} \kappa_2 \} f F = \text{SoundKripke } f F$ 
1405  $\llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx \_ \{ \Delta \} \{ \kappa = \text{R}[\kappa] \} \tau (\text{row } (n, P) \text{ op}) =$ 
1406    $\text{let } xs = \uparrow \text{Row } (\text{reifyRow } (n, P)) \text{ in}$ 
1407    $(\tau \equiv \llbracket xs \rrbracket (\text{fromWitness } (\text{Ordered} \uparrow (\text{reifyRow } (n, P)) (\text{reifyRowOrdered } n P \text{ op})))) \times$ 
1408    $(\llbracket xs \rrbracket r \approx (n, P))$ 
1409  $\llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx \_ \{ \Delta \} \{ \kappa = \text{R}[\kappa] \} \tau (l \triangleright V) = (\tau \equiv (\uparrow \text{NE } l \triangleright \uparrow (\text{reify } V))) \times (\llbracket \uparrow (\text{reify } V) \rrbracket \approx V)$ 
1410  $\llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx \_ \{ \Delta \} \{ \kappa = \text{R}[\kappa] \} \tau ((\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) \{ nr \}) = (\tau \equiv (\uparrow (\text{reify } ((\rho_2 \setminus \rho_1) \{ nr \})))) \times (\llbracket \uparrow (\text{reify } \rho_2) \rrbracket \approx \rho_2) \times (\llbracket \uparrow (\text{reify } \rho_1) \rrbracket \approx \rho_1)$ 
1411  $\llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx \_ \{ \Delta \} \{ \kappa = \text{R}[\kappa] \} \tau (\phi <\$> n) =$ 
1412    $\exists [f] ((\tau \equiv (f <\$> \uparrow \text{NE } n)) \times (\text{SoundKripkeNE } f \phi))$ 
1413  $\llbracket [] \rrbracket r \approx (\text{zero}, P) = \top$ 
1414  $\llbracket [] \rrbracket r \approx (\text{suc } n, P) = \perp$ 
1415  $\llbracket x :: \rho \rrbracket r \approx (\text{zero}, P) = \perp$ 

```

$\llbracket x :: \rho \rrbracket r \approx (\text{succ } n, P) = (\llbracket x \rrbracket \approx_2 (P \text{ fzero})) \times \llbracket \rho \rrbracket r \approx (n, P \circ \text{fsucc})$

**SoundKripke**  $\{\Delta_1 = \Delta_1\} \{\kappa_1 = \kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2 = \kappa_2\} f F =$

$\forall \{\Delta_2\} (\rho : \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \{v V\} \rightarrow$

$\llbracket v \rrbracket \approx V \rightarrow$

$\llbracket (\text{ren}_k \rho f \cdot v) \rrbracket \approx (\text{renKripke } \rho F \cdot V V)$

**SoundKripkeNE**  $\{\Delta_1 = \Delta_1\} \{\kappa_1 = \kappa_1\} \{\kappa_2 = \kappa_2\} f F =$

$\forall \{\Delta_2\} (r : \text{Renaming}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2) \{v V\} \rightarrow$

$\llbracket v \rrbracket \approx_{\text{ne}} V \rightarrow$

$\llbracket (\text{ren}_k r f \cdot v) \rrbracket \approx (F r V)$

#### 6.4.1 Properties.

**reflect-** $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket \approx : \forall \{\tau : \text{Type } \Delta \kappa\} \{v : \text{NeutralType } \Delta \kappa\} \rightarrow$

$\tau \equiv_{\text{t}} \uparrow \text{NE } v \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \approx (\text{reflect } v)$

**reify-** $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket \approx : \forall \{\tau : \text{Type } \Delta \kappa\} \{V : \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa\} \rightarrow$

$\llbracket \tau \rrbracket \approx V \rightarrow \tau \equiv_{\text{t}} \uparrow (\text{reify } V)$

**$\eta$ -norm-** $\equiv_{\text{t}} : \forall (\tau : \text{NeutralType } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \uparrow (\eta\text{-norm } \tau) \equiv_{\text{t}} \uparrow \text{NE } \tau$

**subst-** $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket \approx : \forall \{\tau_1 \tau_2 : \text{Type } \Delta \kappa\} \rightarrow$

$\tau_1 \equiv_{\text{t}} \tau_2 \rightarrow \{V : \text{SemType } \Delta \kappa\} \rightarrow \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket \approx V \rightarrow \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket \approx V$

#### 6.4.2 Logical environments.

$\llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx_{\text{e}} : \forall \{\Delta_1 \Delta_2\} \rightarrow \text{Substitution}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{Env } \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$\llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx_{\text{e}} \{\Delta_1\} \sigma \eta = \forall \{\kappa\} (\alpha : \text{TVar } \Delta_1 \kappa) \rightarrow \llbracket (\sigma \alpha) \rrbracket \approx (\eta \alpha)$

#### – Identity relation

**idSR** :  $\forall \{\Delta_1\} \rightarrow \llbracket ' \rrbracket \approx_{\text{e}} (\text{idEnv } \{\Delta_1\})$

**idSR**  $\alpha = \text{reflect-}\llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx \text{eq-refl}$

### 6.5 The fundamental theorem and soundness

**fundS** :  $\forall \{\Delta_1 \Delta_2 \kappa\} (\tau : \text{Type } \Delta_1 \kappa) \{\sigma : \text{Substitution}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2\} \{\eta : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \Delta_2\} \rightarrow$

$\llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \approx_{\text{e}} \eta \rightarrow \llbracket \text{sub}_k \sigma \tau \rrbracket \approx (\text{eval } \tau \eta)$

**fundSRow** :  $\forall \{\Delta_1 \Delta_2 \kappa\} (xs : \text{SimpleRow Type } \Delta_1 \text{ R}[\kappa]) \{\sigma : \text{Substitution}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2\} \{\eta : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \Delta_2\} \rightarrow$

$\llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \approx_{\text{e}} \eta \rightarrow \llbracket \text{subRow}_k \sigma xs \rrbracket \approx_{\text{r}} (\text{evalRow } xs \eta)$

**fundSPred** :  $\forall \{\Delta_1 \kappa\} (\pi : \text{Pred Type } \Delta_1 \text{ R}[\kappa]) \{\sigma : \text{Substitution}_k \Delta_1 \Delta_2\} \{\eta : \text{Env } \Delta_1 \Delta_2\} \rightarrow$

$\llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \approx_{\text{e}} \eta \rightarrow (\text{subPred}_k \sigma \pi) \equiv_{\text{p}} \uparrow \text{Pred } (\text{evalPred } \pi \eta)$

#### – Fundamental theorem when substitution is the identity

**sub<sub>k</sub>-id** :  $\forall (\tau : \text{Type } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \text{sub}_k ' \tau \equiv_{\text{t}} \tau$

$\vdash \llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx : \forall (\tau : \text{Type } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \approx \text{eval } \tau \text{ idEnv}$

$\vdash \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \approx = \text{subst-}\llbracket \_ \rrbracket \approx (\text{inst } (\text{sub}_k\text{-id } \tau)) (\text{fundS } \tau \text{ idSR})$

#### – Soundness claim

**soundness** :  $\forall \{\Delta_1 \kappa\} \rightarrow (\tau : \text{Type } \Delta_1 \kappa) \rightarrow \tau \equiv_{\text{t}} \uparrow (\llbracket \tau \rrbracket)$

1471  $\text{soundness } \tau = \text{reify-}\llbracket \tau \rrbracket \approx (\vdash \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \approx)$

1472 

---

1473 – If  $\tau_1$  normalizes to  $\Downarrow \tau_2$  then the embedding of  $\tau_1$  is equivalent to  $\tau_2$

1475  $\text{embed-}\equiv t : \forall \{\tau_1 : \text{NormalType } \Delta \kappa\} \{\tau_2 : \text{Type } \Delta \kappa\} \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv (\Downarrow \tau_2) \rightarrow \Uparrow \tau_1 \equiv t \tau_2$

1476  $\text{embed-}\equiv t \{\tau_1 = \tau_1\} \{\tau_2\} \text{ refl} = \text{eq-sym } (\text{soundness } \tau_2)$

1477 

---

1478 – Soundness implies the converse of completeness, as desired

1480  $\text{Completeness}^{-1} : \forall \{\Delta \kappa\} \rightarrow (\tau_1 \tau_2 : \text{Type } \Delta \kappa) \rightarrow \Downarrow \tau_1 \equiv \Downarrow \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \equiv t \tau_2$

1481  $\text{Completeness}^{-1} \tau_1 \tau_2 \text{ eq} = \text{eq-trans } (\text{soundness } \tau_1) (\text{embed-}\equiv t \text{ eq})$

## 1483 7 The rest of the picture

1484 In the remainder of the development, we intrinsically represent terms as typing judgments indexed  
1485 by normal types. We then give a typed reduction relation on terms and show progress.

## 1487 8 Most closely related work

1488 8.0.1 *Chapman et al. [2019]*.

1490 8.0.2 *Allais et al. [2013]*.

## 1492 References

- 1493 Guillaume Allais, Pierre Boutillier, and Conor McBride. New equations for neutral terms: A sound and complete decision  
1494 procedure, formalized, 2013. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1304.0809>.
- 1495 James Chapman, Roman Kireev, Chad Nester, and Philip Wadler. System F in agda, for fun and profit. In Graham Hutton,  
1496 editor, *Mathematics of Program Construction - 13th International Conference, MPC 2019, Porto, Portugal, October 7-9, 2019*,  
1497 *Proceedings*, volume 11825 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 255–297. Springer, 2019. ISBN 978-3-030-33635-6.  
1498 doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-33636-3\_10. URL [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-33636-3\\_10](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-33636-3_10).